FEDERAL RADIATION COUNCIL RADIATION PROTECTION CUIDANCE FOR FEDERAL AGENCIES

Hemorandum for the President

Pursuant to Executive Order 10231 and Public Law 86-373, the Federal Hadiation Council has made a study of the huzards and use of radiation. We herewith transmit our first report to you concerning our findings and our recommendations for the guidance of Federal agencies in the conduct of their radiation protection activities.

It is the statutory responsibility of the Council to " • • advise the President with respect to radiation matters, directly or indirectly allecting health, including guidance for all Federal agencles in the formulation of radiation standards and in the establishment and execution of programs of cooperation with States • • •"

Fundamentally, setting basic rodiation protection standards involves passing judgment on the extent of the possible health hazard society is willing to accept in order to realize the known benefits of radiation. It involves inevitably a balancing between total health protection, which might require foregoing any activities increasing exposure to radiation, and the vigorous promotion of the use of radiation and atomic energy in order to achieve optimum benefits.

The Federal Radiation Council has reviewed available knowledge on radiation effects and consulted with scientists within and outside the Government. Each member has also examined the guidance recommended in this memorandum in light of his statutory responsibilities. Although the guidance does not cover all phases of radiation protection, such as internal emitters, we find that the guidance which we recommend that you provide for the use of Federal agencies gives appropriate consideration to the requirements of health protection and the beneficial uses of radiation and atomic energy. Our further findings and recommendations follow.

Discussion. The fundamental problem in establishing radiation protection guides is to allow as much of the beneficial uses of ionizing radiation as possible while assuring that man is not exposed to undue hazard. To get a true insight into the scope of the problem and the impact of the decisions involved, a review of the benefits and the hazards is necessary.

It is important in considering both the benefits and hazards of radiation to appreciate that man has existed throughout his history in a bath of natural radiation. This background radiation, which varies over the carth, provides a partial basis for understanding the offects of radiation on man and serves as an indicator of the ranges of radiation exposures within which the human population has developed and increased.

The benefits of ionizing radiation. Radiation properly controlled is a boon to mankind. It has been of inestimable value in the diagnosis and treatment of diseases. It can provide sources of

energy greater than any the world has yet had available. In industry, it is used as a tool to measure thickness, quantity or quality, to discover hidden flaws, to trace liquid flow, and for other purposes. So many research uses for ionizing radiation have been found that scientists in many diverse fields now rank radiation with the microscope in value as a working tool.

The hazards of ionizing radiation. Ionizing radiation involves health hazards just as do many other useful tools. Scientific findings concerning the biological effects of radiation of most immediate interest to the establishment of radiation protection standards are the following:

1. Acute doses of radiation may produce immediate or delayed effects, or both.

2. As acute whole body doses increase above approximately 25 rcins (units of radiation dose), immediately observable effects increase in severity with dose, beginning from barely detectable changes, to biological signs clearly indicating damage, to death at levels of a few hundred rems.

3. Delayed effects produced either by acute irradiation or by chronic irradia-. tion are similar in kind, but the ability of the body to repair radiation damage is usually more effective in the case of chronic than acute irradiation.

4. The delayed effects from radiation are in general indistinguishable from familiar pathological conditions usually present in the population.

5. Delayed effects include genetic effects (effects transmitted to succeeding generations), increased incidence of tumors, lifespan shortening, and growth and development changes.

6. The child, the infant, and the unborn infant appear to be more sensitive to radiation than the adult.

7. The various organs of the body differ in their sensitivity to radiation.

8. Although ionizing radiation can induce genetic and somatic effects (effects on the individual during his lifetime other than genetic effects), the evidence at the present time is insufficient to justify precise conclusions on the nature of the dose-cilect relationship at low doses and dese rates. Moreover, the evidence is insufficient to prove either the hypothesis of a "damage threshold" (a point below which no damage occurs) or the hypothesis of "no threshold" in man at low doses.

9. If one assumes a direct linear r." tion between biological effect and t amount of dose, it then becomes perto relate very low dose to an assumbiological effect even though it is not a testable. It is generally agreed that the effect that may actually occur with n exceed the amount predicted by the assumption.

Basic biological assumptions. The are insufficient data to provide a fir: basis for evaluating radiation effects : all types and levels of irradiation. is particular uncertainty with respect : the biological effects at very low d: and low-dose rates. It is not prude therefore to assume that there is a let of radiation exposure below which the is absolute certainty that no effect in: occur. This consideration, in addition to the adoption of the conservative h pethesis of a linear relation between hi logical effect and the amount of di determines our basic approach to the formulation of radiation protectic guides.

The lack of adequate scientific information makes it urgent that addition research be undertaken and net do developed to provide a firmer basis i evaluating biological risk. Appropriate member agencies of the Federal Race tion Council are sponsoring and encouraging research in these areas.

Recommendations. In view of the following summarized above the following recommendations are made:

It is recommended that:

1. There should not be any man-maradiation exposure without the expect tion of benefit resulting from such a posure. Activities resulting in man-maradiation exposure should be authoriar for useful applications provided in 1, ommendations set forth herein a followed.

It is recommended that:

2. The term "Radiation_Protect: Guide" be adopted for Federal use. T. term is defined as the radiation do which should not be exceeded with careful consideration of the reasons f doing so; every effort should be made encourage the maintenance of radiati doses as far below this guide practicable.

It is recommended that:

3. The following Radiation Protect: Guides be adopted for normal peacets operations:

Type of esposire	Condition	Dose (reni)
Radiation worker: (a) Whele boly, head and trunk, active blood form- ing orputs, genuels, or lens of cyc.	Accumulated dose	S times the number of years buy age 18.
(b) Skin of whole body and thyroid	Vear.	30.
(c) Hands and formrnis, feet and ankles	113 weeks. Vear.	10. 75.
(d) Bour	Body burden	01 microgram of millum-2200
(c) Other organs		biological equivalent. 15. 5
Population:	Ymr	0.5 (whole body)
(b) Average.	30 year	\$ (gonads).

The following points are made in relation to the Radiation Protection Guides herein provided:

1. .

(1) For the individual in the personance of the basic Guide for annual which body dose is 0.5 rem. This Guide :

æ

4

DOE ARCHIVES

Wcdnesday, May 18, 1960

plies when the individual whole body doses are known. As an operational technique, where the individual whole body doses are not known, a suitable sample of the exposed population should be developed whose protection guide for annual whole body dose will be 0.17 rem per capita per year. It is emphasized that this is an operational technique which should be modified to meet special situations.

(2) Considerations of population Renetics impose a per capita dose limitation for the gonads of 5 rems in 30 years. The operational mechanism described above for the annual individual whole body dose of 0.5 rem is likely in the immediate future to assure that the gomadal exposure Guide (5 rem in 30 years) is not exceeded.

(3) These Guides do not differ substantially from certain other recommendations such as those made by the National Committee on Radiation Protection and Measurements, the National Academy of Sciences, and the International Commission on Radiological Protection.

(4) The term "maximum permissible dose" is used by the National Committee on Radiation Protection (NCRP) and the International Commission on Radiological Protection (ICRP). However, this term is often misunderstood. The words "maximum" and "permissible" both have unfortunate connotations not intended by either the NCRP or the ICRP.

也

(5) There can be no single permissible or acceptable level of exposure without regard to the reason for permitting the exposure. It should be general practice to reduce exposure to radiation, and positive effort should be carried out to fulfill the sense of these recommendations. It is basic that exposure to radiation should result from a real determination of its necessity.

(6) There can be different Radiation Protection Guides with different numerical values, depending upon the circumstances. The Guides herein recommended are appropriate for normal peacetime operations.

(7) These Guides are not intended to apply to radiation exposure resulting from natural background or the purposeful exposure of patients by practitioners of the healing arts.

(8) It is recognized that our present scientific knowledge does not provide a firm foundation within a factor of two or three for selection of any particular numerical value in preference to another value. It should be recognized that the Radiation Protection Guides recommended in this paper are well below the level where biological damage has been to observed in humans.

It is recommended that:

4. Current protection guides used by the agencies be continued on an interim basis for organ doses to the population.

Recommendations are not made concerning the Radiation Protection Guides for individual organ doses to the population, other than the gonads. Unfortunately, the complexities of establishing fuides applicable to radiation expease of all body organs preclude the Council from making recommendations concern-

FEDERAL REGISTER

ing them at this time. However, current protection ruides used by the agencies appear appropriate on an interim basis. It is recommended that:

5. The term "Radioactivity Concentration Guide" be adopted for Fuderal use. This term is defined as the concentration of radioactivity in the environment which is determined to result in whole body or organ doses equal to the Radiation Protection Guide.

Within this definition, Radioactivity Concentration Guides can be determined after the Radiation Protection Guides are decided upon. Any given Radioactivity Concentration Guide is applicable only for the circumstances under which the use of its corresponding Radiation Protection Guide is appropriate.

It is recommended that:

6. The Federal agencies, as an interim measure, use radioactivity concentration guides which are consistent with the recommended Radiation Protection Guides. Where no Radiation Protection Guides are provided, Federal agencies continue present practices.

No specific numerical recommendations for Radioactivity Concentration. Guides are provided at this time. However, concentration guides now used by the agencies appear appropriate on an interim basis. Where appropriate radioactivity concentration guides are not available, and where Radiation Protection Guides for specific organs are provided herein, the latter Guides can be used by the Federal agencies as a starting point for the derivation of radioactivity concentration guides applicable to their particular problems. The Federal Radiation Council has also initiated action directed towards the development of additional Guides for radiation protection.

It is recommended that:

7. The Federal agencies apply these Radiation Protection Guides with judgment and discretion, to assure that reasonable probability is achieved in the attainment of the desired goal of protecting man from the undesirable effects of radiation. The Guides may be exceeded only after the Federal agency having jurisdiction over the matter has carefully considered the reason for doing so in light of the recommendations in this paper.

The Radiation Protection Guides provide a general framework for the radiation protection requirements. It is expected that each Federal agency, by virtue of its immediate knowledge of its operating problems, will use these Guides as a basis upon which to develop detailed standards tailored to meet its particular requirements. The Council will follow the activities of the Federal agencies in this area and will promote the necessary coordination to achieve an effective Federal program.

If the foregoing recommendations are approved by you for the guidance of Federal agencies in the conduct of their radiation protection activities, it is further recommended that this memorandum be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

> ARTIUR S. FLEMMING, Chairman, Federal Radiation Council.

> > ٠.

The recommendations numbered ": through "7" contained in the above memorandum are approved for t. guidance of Federal agencies, and t memorandum shall be published in th. FEDERAL RECISTER.

DWICHT D. EISENHOWER MAY 13, 1960. [P.R. Doc. 60-4539; Filed. May 17, 193

8:51 a.m.]

mbared

410

DOE ARCHIVES