

Notes and Excerpts: [redacted] 1974

A typed copy of these comm attached yellowe. for Sir

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

- 15.8 line 8 - add - "just as some individuals are more susceptible to smoke than others"
- 15.1 - and downee - "say" "it will" to "it would"
- 15.12 line 1 - "scatter" or "sources"?
- 15.37 - Is it the first sentence wrong in 15 meaning? Don't "no significant" etc what is being?

R

Chapt 16 - no suggestions.

Chapt 17 -

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY DECLASSIFICATION REVIEW	
SINGLE REVIEW AUTHORIZED BY: AA Swoback 11/2/94	DETERMINATION (CIRCLE NUMBER(S)) 1. CLASSIFICATION RETAINED 2. CLASSIFICATION CHANGED TO: 3. CONTAINS NO DOE CLASSIFIED INFO
REVIEWER (ADD): NAME: ML KOLBAY	COORDINATE WITH: 4. CLASSIFICATION CANCELLED 5. CLASSIFIED INFO BRACKETED
DATE: 11/9/94	7. OTHER (SPECIFY):

- 17.8 - spelling
- 17.15 - Is revision time for word usage of "a few hours" correct?
- 17.26 - Strontium and Barium carbonates and phosphates are very insoluble. Sulfides are soluble.
- 17.41 - last sentence "At Skeni etc -" seems to be a non-sequiter. Perhaps it should be given expanded and given a separate par.
- 17.43 Is a word about presentation of information needed?
- 17.44 - Turn of sentence questionable. I suggest -
"Two general forms of --- are encountered etc."
"An understanding of both forms of liquids is essential to obtain etc."
- 17.57 - Some changes in punctuation needed.

This document consists of 27 pages of Cyt. Serial. 1974

Chapter 17 - continued

17.62 - Something left out at the end of the first line.

17.67 - Should this begin with "No" or Four?

17.69 - I like "over emphasized" and "under emphasized" rather than over-embellished.

17.72 - line 6 - Should not this read X-Ray pictures or films?

17.82 - Item c - English?

17.83 - ~~Should~~ Should this be acute radiation exposure?

I think that a concluding paragraph is needed. The chapter ends too abruptly.