

the second se	 -				
	-	-	A 19 1	 	
				• ,	1-0
		-		~	
				 v	S
 _		_	_	 •	_

Dr. Bugher

-2-

September 16, 1954

DOE ARCHIVES

My analysis of the inhalation vs. oral ingestion routes of uptake leads me to believe ingestion is much the more important. Hardin Jones, however, believes inhalation explains his data better. If it is assumed that oral ingestion is dominant, one would expect human activity to be lower than grazing animals⁴. (However, where milk is an important dietary component, available data on I transmission through milk suggest that human thyroids would not be much lower in activity/gm than the cows⁴.) LeRoy⁴s data probably give only an upper limit to the average American human thyroid activity; the actual value is quite likely lower by a considerable factor. My calculations are based on LeRoy⁴s values.

Time Scale of I-131 Ingestion

To extrapolate from observed activity in July to integrated dose from the full CASTLE series, an estimate must be made of quantity of ingestion as a function of time. Preliminary data from Lynch on fallout indicate that essentially all I-131 measured in June and July originated from the May 4 shot. Total fallout in the U. S. from CASTLE was about 3 times that from the May 4 shot, such that total thyroid dose from CASTLE would have been three times that from the May 4 shot.

In my calculations I have assumed that all fallout in the U. S. from the May 4 shot occurred on May 15, and that a continuous ingestion of I-131 was thereafter maintained at a constant number of fissions per day. If, on the contrary, I had assumed all thyroid activity observed in June and July was taken up on May 15, total dose would have been higher by a factor of about 5.

> DISTRIBUTION: copy 1A - Dr. Bugher 2,3A - Biophysics Branch 4,5,6A - B&M Files

