

**TOP SECRET**

*Atomic Energy file*  
*J.P.*

February 11, 1957

407125

Important Issues



1. Are the stockpile figures and future estimates correct?
2. Reason for initial emphasis on "large". Urgent need for massive retaliatory capability as a deterrent (because of U. S. much smaller manpower resources).
3. Need to increase "large" stockpile [.....] (Does it depend on estimate of what enemy is going to have? Our criterion should be "what will deter the enemy?". Presumably, while IX may deter and therefore XI will not deter additionally, nevertheless if the enemy has XI the enemy might not be deterred by our having only IX . . . . Of course, there must be a sufficient number to allow for dispersal, aborts, and misses.)
4. [.....] definition for a "small"? [.....] for little wars? (Note: memo's figures include only air-to-air and ground-to-air; what about small artillery shells and missiles?)
5. To what extent is it really more economical to make "large" than "small"? Has economy been the motivating force in policy?
6. The projected increase over 2 1/2 years in "small": [.....] [.....] — is this enough and is the increase quick enough?
7. After discussion with Strauss, the President should be advised as to this paper (because it is testimony before Joint Committee). What other disposition — to DOE?

PORTIONS EXEMPTED

E.O. 12353, Sec. 1.3 (a)(1)

DOE 7/27/82; DND 10/8/82; NSC 10/15/82

NLE DATE 11/21/83

DECLASSIFIED

Authority MR 82-321 #1

By LB NLE Date 11/21/83

*3/6/59*

*RC*

**RESTRICTED DATA**

Document contains restricted data as defined by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954. Its disclosure of its contents in any form to unauthorized persons is prohibited.

Electrostatic reproduction made for preservation purposes by the Eisenhower Library for replacement of a deteriorating manuscript item.

*Richard Murray*  
*memo (AEC) transmitting testimony before Senate Committee on Atomic Energy*

**TOP SECRET**

BEST COPY AVAILABLE