<b> </b> ,	US DOU ARCHIV 826 U.S. ATOMIC EN RA <u>COMMISSION</u>	ΈS <b>7¥</b> <del>† _ γ†</del>		The elonomorphics	pages
	Par 3771	<u><u> </u></u>	ATTURN ATTURN		
	Folder <u>MRA Castle</u> 1952-5/53 Ceptain William	Vol 1,		MA) 18 1953	101386

IMA POSITION REGARDING MAIL CENSORSHIP FOR CASTLE

SYMBOL: MAR: NOG

## This n torinailerai S C meering c. 1- 1-----Secs VE. nizec c' wh 10 is prolabiled by law.

Facts Bearing on the Problem:

During IVY numerous security violations occurred when Task Force personnel wrote and dispatched to the United States personal letters containing classified information. The resulting publicity ran counter to the policy established by the Psychological Strategy Board and created much speculation in the press. As a result of these security violations, it has been suggested that consorphip should be imposed during CASTLE. We have learned informally that the Division of Security may undertake in a Commission paper to establish an AEC position in this matter.

General Clarkson has recommended to the Joint Chiefs of Staff that consorship not be imposed, but that security instruction be improved and public releases be increased.

To this date mail censorship has not been applied in the Korean CLASSIFICATION CANCELLE AUTHORITY: DOE-DPC combat sone. BY BA BOGER DATE

## BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Disquasion:

If compulsory consorchip of personal mail were imposed under existing legislation, it could be applied only to military personnel. Since mail conserving that excluded civilian personnel would be ineffective, new legislation would have to be enacted if mail cansorship were to be worthwhile. If over-all consorship were applied, it would require that the Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory personnel, who have access to "Restricted Data" at all times, be required to submit to consorchip only when at the Pacific Proving Grounds. Such a condition would adversely affect their morale. In addition, contractor personnel might rebel at the idea of censorship and thereby create a problem in labor recruitment. There is also the feeling that the organisation required for consoring mail would increase personnel requirements and everload existing facilities which will be overtaxed even without these extra people.

	$\sim$	-	COLT			P
<u> </u>	Helles	<u>eeuii</u>	INCOM	ATION THE	641 C. H.	0
OFFICE MAR	:WLGuthrie	AP: CGordon	MA: PCFine	MAR: VGHuston	MAE: JLArmstrong	
	G	culaton og		111 mits	a	
	5-14-55	5-15-53	_ <del></del>	5-15-53	5- 19 -53	/



Since all known violations in letter writing coourred among Naval personnel, it has been concluded by those most closely associated with the problem that these personnel may not have been adequately instructed as to what information they could or could not divulge. This could be remedied by improving the security instruction at CASTLE.

Whether or not mail consorship is applied, the news value of security information which might be released through personal letters can be considerably reduced and rendered unsensational through properly timed and worded joint press releases by the AEC-DOD.

Conclusions:

All Min Lought

Abarrence mad

DATE >

## **BEST AVAILABLE COPY**

It is concluded:

- (1) that mail consorchip for all personnel cannot feasibly be enforced during the CASTLE Operation;
- (2) that imposing mail sensorship on only one group of personnel would be discriminatory and might sause leaks to be attributed erroneously to the group whose letters were not censored;
- (3) that an improved security education program should be undertaken to instruct all personnel participating in CASTLE:

(4) that a news release should be made a reasonable time prior Undy instanting fulletion test will be held, a short statement released after each shot, and another general statement made upon completion of the test. Just me - why not known tellingene, lefter, but bronder than I my onen Department of Energy Historian's Office ARCHIVES

That the conclusions above be accepted as the DMA position on censorship to be used in assisting the Division of Security in preparing a staff paper for formal Commission consideration.

GREENBE PG: bm 1A: Addressee - 1A Lestrand 1/6/67 Slimpen William L. Outhrie 3A: DMA Reader Captain, USN LA: DMA Files SECHE OFFICE > SURNAME D