410727

A.__

FIE



John C. Sugher, M. D., Director Division of Biology and Medicine

152

CLASSIFICATICI CANCELLED

50

 \bigcirc

 $\subset \supset$

50

BMBP

5/4/54

Dunning: Mack. July

OFFICE

DATE .

FORM SEC. 114

SURNAME .

CHARGED AUTHICRIT May L, 1954

Gordon N. Punning, Health Physicist Biophysics Branch, Division of Biology and Medicine

PATA ON SOIL SAMPLES COLLECTED ON THE ISLANDS OF THE PACIFIC FOLLOW-ING THE FIRST DETONATION AND ALSO THE DOSE RATE READINGS AT THE SAME LOCALITIES.

at the confor AVAILABLE

Attached (Annex I) are data on soil samples collected on the islands of the Pacific following the first detonation and also the dose rate readings at the same localities. Ine method of evaluating such data is to try to establish relationships between different units if possible. One useful relationship would be the conversion of disintegrations per minute per gram of soil to milliroentgens per hour of gamma radiation at a three-foot height or vice versa.

Larson's work with soils around NPG during the spring 1953 tests indicated the following relationship: 10 mc/sq.ft. beta counts of soil (after absorption and geometry corrections) \longrightarrow 1 mr/hr gamma at 3 feet. He found that essentially all of the activity was in the first one inch of top soil.

In collecting soil on the Pacific Islands good care was taken to collect one square foot of surface (in fact, templets were made for this surpose). It was impossible, however, to scoop up the soil to a uniform depth so the rule followed was to collect to one inch or greater. If the fallowt activity in the Pacific Islands also was contained in the first one inch, the additional soil below this contributed mass but little activity to the sample. By taking the disintegrations per minute per gram (after a thorough mixing) and multiplying by the total number of grams for each sample one should arrive at the activity per square foot.

The plot of beta disintegrations per minute per gram of soil versus ar/hr of gamma at 3 feet is shown on the attached graph. The correlation is not too good. For references, several curves are arbitrarily drawn on the graph. The data strongly suggest that less than 10 pc/sq. ft. is equivalent to 1 mr/hr. For lower levels of activity the data are more of the order of 2 pc/sq. ft. \longrightarrow 1 mr/hr and for lation Twels of activity less than 1 pc/sq.ft. \longrightarrow 1 mr/hr. If qradient for the order of it a curve to such widely scattereddata, the bid of <math>r = 1 mr/hr is a very rough approximation. Another possibility but not probability is a more complex curve shown r_{r} the solid black line.

ALY RESEARCH & APPL.



Dr. John C. Jugner

-2-

1954 La 1954

Based on calculations made in Annex II it would appear that about $10 \,\mu c/ft^2 \longrightarrow 1 \,m/hr$ gamma at 3 feet. If one accepts the figure of 2 beta emissions for each gamma photon (Effects of Atomic Weapons) then 20 $\mu c/ft^2$ (beta) $\longrightarrow 1 \,m/hr$ gamma at 3 feet.

Knowing the difficulties of collecting, handling, packaging, shipping and counting the samples, it is probable that some of the data are not entirely valid. The information shown in this memo suggests that further carefully controlled studies must be made before a more firm conclusion may be reached.

Attachments 3 Annex I Annex II Graph (w/cy 1A only)

> DISTRIBUTION: copy 1A - Dr. Bugher 2A - Dr. Dunning 3A - Bioph.Br. Reading File 4,5,6A - 32M Tiles

> > 1



NY 10 LA THE REAL

"r. Jugher

•-

ANEFX I

Comparison of Joil Activity to ose-rate readings

Location	Date of Collection	to.	(5430 date)	Total ict. (pc/2t ²)	<u>sr/hr</u> (survey) feters
Likiop	6 March	2 ملر 2	23,000	2.5×10^{1}	~3
Jemo	15	1,060	13,000	0,2	-3
Ailuk	*	7,160	23,000	2.2 x 10 ¹	3 - 15
Nejuit	7 Yarob	1,360	30,000	1.3 x 10 ¹	3 - 10
Ormed	5 March	1,325	15,000	9.0	3.5
Erikub	5 Karch	1,720	4 ,300	3.3	1.5
Kaven	6 March	1,335	5,500	3.3	1.8
Totho	6 Karch	90بار1	2,400	1.5	~0.8
Dalap	" Warch	965	950	0.4	0 .5
Rongelap (Northern)	8 March	703	890,000	9.2 x 10 ²	٥.0يليا
(Central)	*	815	1,600,000	5.7 x 10 ²	280.0
(1 mile 3.Village)	•	1,680	100,000	7.6×10^{1}	0.0بلا
(South listern)	٩	1,040	140,000	6.6 x 10 ¹	220.0
Priirippa	•	810	9,000,000	3.2 x 103	2 ,200. 0
Eniwetok		2,010	780,000	7.1 x 10^2	900.0
Kabelle	٠	1 , 47 0	4,500,000	3.0 x 103	2,000.0
Utirik	9 Karch	1,140	1,100,000	6.9 x 10 ²	14 0. 0
Bikar	٩	1,080	35,000	1:.1 x 10 ¹	140.0
Driwetak	10 March	2,050	185,000	8.3×10^{1}	280. 0
Glfo		1,060	14,000	្ាំ	100.0

 500:073

2/10

.

Tr. Jurbe

-

Lay 1, 1754

ŧ

ž, /

AINEX II

Calculations of Lose Bate at Three Feet bove A Plane Surface

For point source (0.3 - 3.0 Mov pange) Dose rate (r/hr) = $\frac{6CE}{d^2}$ where: C = activity (curies) E = energy in Kev d = distance in feetDose rate = $\frac{CFA}{d^2 + x^2}$ where: A = activity/unit area<math>h = beight above surface (feet) x = distance in feet R_2 Tose rate = $\frac{6FA}{d^2 + x^2}$ x = distance in feet R_2 $r = 6T Ha ln \frac{h^2 + R_2}{h^2 + h_1^2}$

The mean free path for 0.7 New in air is about 360 feet so that essentially all of the dose will be contributed from a surface 1,000 feet in radius.

Let
$$A = 10 \times 10^{6}$$
 curies/ft²
 $R = 0.7$ Nov
Bose rate = $6\pi(0.7)(10 \times 10^{-6}) \ln \frac{2 + 10^{6}}{9}$

Since this formula assumes no absorption and also a uniform place surface, an estimate is that

500:074