2291ET 0018933# 410982 OPERATION HARDTACK PROJECT 2.8 WT-1625 Draft FALLOUT LEASURELEENTS BY AIRCRAFT AND ROCKET SAMPLING S.L. Mnitcher L.R. Bunney g R.A. daRoza R.R. Soule, Project Officer U.S. Naval Radiological Defense Laboratory San Francisco 24, California See Next page For August 1959 Declass Notice 5 ARCHIVES BEST COPY AVAILABLE 22, 23, Callection Former Co. 2 west 85% local for itend storig 244 DOE <u>к</u> З + 20 lu in voatan Š from 1954 on by study of Caute daili Folder_ This document consists of 1.4 questests. ais is acom Disof 2.1.5

OPERATION HARDTACK

PROJECT 2.8

WT-1625 Draft

DELETED VERSION DALY

FALLOUT MEASUREMENTS BY AIRCRAFT AND ROCKET SAMPLING

- S. L. Whitcher
- L. R. Bunney
- R. A. da Roza
- R. R. Soule, Project Officer

U.S. Naval Radiological Defense Laboratory San Francisco 24, California

August 1959

CLASSIFICATION CANCELLED OF DOFIOC IDNA Ltr. B-9920, 6/18/80 14/81 Press to Hawithonnie WITH DELETIONS BY Strauser 4/ 29/85 1

rgy Act of

E-C-R-E-T, R-E-S-T-R-I-C-T-E-D-D-A T A

ABSTRACT

The primary objective of the project was to estimate the partition of Sr^{90} and Cs^{137} between the local and long-range fallout formed by megatonrange nuclear detonations over land and water surfaces. A secondary objective was to determine the spatial distribution of radioactivity (and particles) in the nuclear clouds a few minutes after stabilization. It was planned to achieve these objectives by radiochemical analyses and particle size measurements on the following types of samples:

1. Samples of the particles and radioactive gases present in the upper portions of the clouds to be collected by high flying aircraft.

2. Samples of the particulate matter in the clouds to be collected along nearly vertical flight paths, at several different distances from the cloud axis, by rocket-propelled sampling devices.

3. Samples of the fallout to be collected at an altitude of 1,000 feet by low-flying aircraft.

The project participated in a megaton shot (Koa) fired over a coral island, a **TELETP** hot (Walnut) fired from a barge in deep water, and a 9-MT shot (Oak) fired over a coral reef in shallow water. The aircraft sampling program was generally successful, and fairly complete sets of both cloud and fallout samples were collected on each shot. The rocket program was unsuccessful due to a variety of equipment malfunctions.



SE-C-D-EIT-REFERENCE DE DATE

The gas samples were analyzed for radioactive krypton and the cloud and fallout samples were each analyzed for Sr^{90} , Cs^{137} and several other nuclides to give information on fractionation. Fall rate and size distribution measurements were made on the particle samples from the land surface shot. The combined analytical data were used to estimate the distribution of Sr^{90} and Cs^{137} between the local and long-range fallout.

There are no results to be reported on the spatial distribution of radioactivity in the clouds since this part of the project was dependent on the rocket samples.

The results indicate, if the layers sampled were representative of the total clouds that for water shots, around one-fourth of the Sr^{90} and one-third of the Cs¹³⁷ formed will be dispersed over distances greater than 4,000 miles. Corresponding figures for a coral land surface are one-fifth for Sr^{90} and one-half for Cs¹³⁷. Radiomuclide fractionation was pronounced, i.e., the radiomuclide composition varied from layer to layer, in the land surface shot. The local fallout was depleted in both Sr^{90} and Cs^{137} and the upper portions of the clouds were enriched. Fractionation was much less for the water shot.

It is recommended that a similar project, with a more detailed analysis of radionuclide distributions be included as part of the program if future weapons tests are scheduled. Such a project could provide more valuable information than the HARDTACK data.



PREFACE

 $\left(\right)$

In the formulation of this project, three distinct parts were established: rocket fallout sampling, aircraft fallout sampling and sample analysis, data interpretation and report preparation. Responsibility for the conduct of rocket sampling was assigned to the University of California Radiation Laboratory (UCRL); responsibility for the conduct of the aircraft sampling was assigned to the Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory (LASL); and responsibility for the conduct of sample analysis, report writing, etc., was assigned to the U.S. Naval Radiological Defense Laboratory (NRDL). The Project Officer was supplied from the NRDL technical staff. H. F. Plank, as technical advisor to the project officer, was responsible for the conduct of the LASL portion, E. H. Fleming acted in a similar capacity for the NRDL portion and N. E. Ballou and T. Triffet are responsible for the NRDL portion.

S CGREDIARIES PRICITEDAD.A.T

ACKNOW LEDGMENTS

()

The authors wish to acknowledge the vital contributions made to the Project, in both the field and the laboratory, by members of the Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory, the University of California Radiation Laboratory, and the U.S. Naval Radiological Defense Laboratory.

Persons contributing invaluable aid included: G. Cowan, P. Guthals, and H. Plank from the Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory; R. Batzel,

E. Fleming, R. Goeckerman, F. Momyer, W. Nervik, P. Stevenson, and K. Street from the University of California Lawrence Radiation Laboratory; and J. Abriam, N. Ballou, C. Carnahan, E. Freiling, M.G. Lai, D. Love, J. Mackin, M. Nuckolls, J. O'Connor, D. Sam, E. Scadden, E. Schuert, P. Strom, E. R. Tompkins, T. Triffet, H. Weiss, L. Werner and P. Zigman from the U.S. Naval Radiological Defense Laboratory.



TCREETERIESCORIES TO DALATA

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Ċ

ABSTRACT	2
PREFACE	4
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	5
CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION	11
l.l Objectives	1
1.2 Background and Theory	1
 1.2.1 Formation and Nature of Fallout Particles	4920 2326 280 22
1.3 Experimental Program	4
1.3.1Outline of the Program31.3.2Rocket Sampling of Clouds31.3.3Aircraft Sampling of Clouds31.3.4Aircraft Sampling of Fallout31.3.5Selection of Radionuclides3	4 5 6 7 9
CHAPTER 2. PROCEDURE · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0
2.1 Shot Participation 4	0
2.2 Instrumentation · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1
2.2.1 Rocket-Borne Cloud Sampler	1

Atomic accer According

ECRETERES TRIGEED DAT A

43 2.2.2 Aircraft-Borne Samplers 49 2.2.3 Discussion of Some Errors in Sampling . . 50 2.3 Description of Field Operations . . . 50 2.3.1 Meteorology . . . 54 2.3.2 Koa Event 57 2.3.3 Walnut Event . 59 2.3.4 Oak Event 60 2.3.5 Rocket Development Work . . 61 2.3.6 Aircraft Samples 6Z 2.4 Particle Work . 64 2.5 Sample Analysis and Radiochemical Procedures 6**8** 2.6 Data Reduction . . 69 CHAPTER 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION . 69 3.1 Discussion and Interpretation of the Date . 69 3.1.1 Cloud Data . . 78 3.1.2 Fallout Data 83 3.1.3 Combined Cloud and Fallout 86 3.2 Data Reliability 86 3.2.1 Cross Contamination of Kea Samples 87 3.2.2 Accuracy of Radiochemistry · · · · 87 3.2.3 Reliability of Sampling • • • • • • • 87 3.2.4 Farticle Fall Rates and Specific Activities 89 3.3 Comparison with Results of Previous Tests . 90 3.4 Effectiveness of Instrumentation . 91 CHAPTER 4. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS 91 4.1 Conclusions 92 4.2 Recommendations

SECRET RESTRICTED DATA

(Ì)

0018933

- 11-

S-E-OR EIT RESTREES TRANCTED DATA

(;

APPENDIX A. ROCKET DEVELOPMENT	94
APPENDIX B. RADIOCHEMICAL DATA TABLES	10 8
APPENDIX C. PARTICLE DATA AND CHARACTERISTICS, SHOT KOA .	116
APPENDIX D. METEOROLOGICAL DATA TABLES	12 8
APPENDIX E. DERIVATION OF FORMULA FOR % Mo IN CLOUD	13 5
REFERENCES	137
FIGURES	
2.1 Air-Sampling Rocket	4 4
2.2 Diffuser Section of Air-Sampling Rocket • • • • • • • • •	4 4
2.3 Battery of Rockets Ready for Firing	45
2.4 B-57 Gross Particulate Sampler	45
2.5 Intake and Filter Section, B-57 Gas Sampler	47
2.6 Pumps and Gas Bottles, B-57 Gas Samplers	47
2.7 Filter Foil Installed on Top of B-50	48
2.8 B-50 Filter Screen	48
2.9 Plan View Wind Velocity Hodograph, Shot Koa	52
2.10 Plan View Wind Velocity Hodograph, Shot Walnut	53
2.11 Plan View Wind Velocity Hodograph, Shot Oak	50
3.1 Particle-Gas Fission Ratios as a Function of Time for Samples from the Light and Variable Wind Layer	71
3.2 Fraction of Total Sr ⁹⁰ Formed Which Remains Aloft at Various	
Times	7 2
3.3 Fraction of Total Cs ¹⁵⁷ Formed Which Remains Aloft at Various	
Times	73
3.4 Mo ⁹⁹ : Kr ⁸⁸ Ratios for the First Four Hours	79
A.1 Diagram to Illustrate Rocket Programming	100
A.2 Schematic View of Rocket Nose Section	101
C.1 Particle Fall Rate Distribution Curves for Height Line Samples,	
Shot Koa: Samples Massive Ll, L2, L3 and L4	119
C.2 Particle Fall Rate Distribution and Specific Activity Curves for	1 - 6
Height Line Samples, Shot Koa: Sample Massive L5 • • • •	120
C.3 Particle Fall Rate Distribution and Specific Activity Curves for Height Line Samples, Shot Koa: Wilson Special Sample	120

(:

.

8 Atom C Later y Act of 1954

0018933

. 12 9

EULER BERERE

(;

C.4	Particle Fall Rate for Cloud Samples.	Distribution and Shot Koa: Sample	Specific 502. Coar	Activity	Curves		121
C.5	Particle Fall Rate	Distribution and	Specific	Activity	Curves		
	for Cloud Samples,	Shot Koa: Sample	502, Fine		• • • •		121
c.6	Particle Fall Rate	Distribution and	Specific	Activity	Curves		
	for Cloud Samples,	Shot Koa: Sample	500, Coar	50		• •	122
C.7	Particle Fall Rate	Distribution and	Specific	Activity	Curves		-
	for Cloud Samples,	Shot Koa: Sample	500, Fine				122
C.8	Particle Fall Rate	Distribution and	Specific .	Activity	Curves		
	for Cloud Samples,	Shot Koa: Sample	977, Coar	se		• •	123
C.9	Particle Fall Rate	Distribution and	Specific .	Activity	Curves		
	for Cloud Samples,	Shot Koa: Sample	977, Fine	• • • •		• •	123
C.10	Particle Size Distr	ibution Curves fo	r Height 1	Line Samp	les,		
	Shot Koa: Samples M	assive Ll and Mas	sive L4 .			• •	124
C.11	Particle Size Distr	ibution Curves fo	r Cloud Sa	amples, S	hot		
	Koa: Samples 502, C	oarse, and 502, F	'ine • • •		• • • •	• •	125
C.12	Particle Size Distr	ibution Curves fo	r Cloud Sa	amples, S	hot		
	Koa: Samples 500, C	oarse, and 500, F	ine		• • • •	• •	126
C.13	Particle Size Distr	ibution Curves fo	r Cloud Sa	amples, S	hot	•	
	Koa: Samples 977, C	oarse, and 977, F	ine			• •	12 7
		-					

TABLES

•

2.1	Device Information	40
2.2	Cloud Altitude Data '	51
3.1	Particle-Gas Fission Ratios and R-Values for Samples from	
	Light and Variable Wind Layer	74
3.2	Percent of Nuclides Left in Cloud after One Day	75
3.3	Sr90 and Cs137 R-Values vs Altitude	77
3.4	Mo ⁹⁹ : Kr ⁸⁸ , Kr ⁸⁸ : Kr ⁸⁵ Ratios for First Four Hours	80
3.5	Data on Nuclides in Fallout	83
3.6	Enrichment Factors in Fallout	84
3.7	Mo ⁹⁹ Fractions from Combined Data	- 85(:
3.8	Fractions of Mo ⁹⁹ , Sr^{90} and Cs^{137} in cloud	85(1
3.9	Comparison of Airborne and Deposited Fractions	85(0
3.10	Radiotungsten Analyses on Koa Cloud Samples	86
3.11	Cloud Data, Operation Redwing	83
3.12	R-Values for Redwing	89
B.l	Radiochemical Data, Koa Particulate Samples	110
B.2	Radiochemical Data, Koa Gas Samples	111
в.3	Radiochemical Data, Walnut Particulate Samples	112
B.4	Radiochemical Data, Walnut Gas Samples	113
B.5	Radiochemical Data, Oak Particulate Samples	114
в.6	Radiochemical Data, Oak Gas Samples	115

9

DATE

5 E 2 D 7-

AC

Fner

C.R. E.T.R.E.S.T.R.R.C.T.E.D.D.A.F.A.

Ċ,

C.1	List of Samples Measured, Shot Koa
C. 2	Particle Classification and Size Measurements, Shot Koa 111
D.1	Winds Aloft Data, Shot Kca
D. 2	Winds Aloft Data, Shot Walnut
D.3	Winds Aloft Data, Shot Oak
D.4	Atmospheric Temperature Data, Shot Koa
D.5	Atmospheric Temperature Data, Shot Walnut
D.6	Atmospheric Temperature Data, Shot Oak

()



14.

FGRET RESTRECTIONATIO

0018933

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 OBJECTIVES

The general objective of the project was to estimate, from analytical data on cloud samples, the relative distribution of certain radionuclides between the local and world-wide fallout formed by megaton-range detonations on land and water surfaces, with particular emphasis on the distribution of Sr⁹⁰ and Cs¹³⁷ between local and world-wide fallout.

Specific objectives were to: (1) obtain airborne particle and gas samples by rocket and aircraft sampling techniques; (2) determine the distribution of radionuclides between two groups of particles which differed from one another in their falling rates in air and which could be considered representative of local and world wide fallout; (3) attempt to determine an early-time distribution of radionuclides and particles between the upper and lower halves of the cloud and radially outward from the cloud axis; (4) estimate the extent of separation of fallout from gaseous fission products by fission determinations on gas and particle camples collected coincidentally near the top of the cloud at various times following the shots.

1.2 BACKGROUND AND THEORY

Data on the geographical distribution of fallout are particularly needed to assess the global bazards associated with the testing of nuclear weapons,

11

but they are also important for an appraisal of the effects of nuclear weapons used in warfare.

S-T-R-I-G-T-FD-D-A

It has been recognized since the earliest weapons tests that a substantial portion of the radionuclides formed in a nuclear detonation are deposited throughout the world, thereby becoming available for general biological assimilation. The total fallout is usually considered as being divided into two classes, designated as local and world-wide fallout. In a general way, local fallout is thought of as consisting of relatively large particles, which reach the earth's surface in a few hours, whereas world wide fallout is composed of finely-divided material which may remain suspended in the atmosphere for months or years and be deposited at long distances from the source. A more precise differentiation is needed for specific situations, one of the most important considerations being the location of the detonation site in relation to world centers of population. For explosions at the Pacific Proving Grounds, the boundary between the two classes has been chosen at a particle falling velocity of 3 inches per second; material settling out more slowly than this is likely to be transported beyond

the ocean areas and deposited in inhabited regions, if it attains an altitude of 100,000 ft.

The ratio of local to world-wide fallout is/governed by the height attained by the nuclear cloud and the size distribution

/ of the particles in the nuclear cloud which act as collectors for the radioactive fission-product atoms. If many large particles with fast falling

12 pic Energy Act of

E GRETTRESTRICTEDEDATE

rates are present, as is the case for surface or underground shots where the fireball contacts the ground, the local fallout will be large. Local fallout can be expected to decrease as the detonation height increases and become a negligible quantity for an air burst high above the ground.

Numerous estimates of local fallout have been prepared at previous Operations, mainly from analyses of radiation intensity data obtained in aerial and surface monitoring surveys. However, the uncertainties in converting from dose rate measurements to fission products deposited per unit area are so great that the results cannot be regarded with a great deal of confidence. More reliable values are evidently needed and in planning for Operation HARDTACK, the AEC examined possible ways of obtaining such information (Reference 1). After consideration of the difficulties inherent in additional refinement of surface measurement techniques, this approach was abandoned. An alternative program based on further development of existing cloud sampling procedures was formulated (Reference 2) and this culminated in Project 2, 8.

A knowledge of fallout partition and how it is influenced by shot environment may contribute to reduction in world-wide fallout at future tests and to a better understanding of the military implications of local fallout. It will also assist in extrapolation to previously untried shot conditions and yields.

13

Admit Tag Tay ict of 1954

Formation and Nature of Fallout Particles. When a surface 1.2.1 burst is detonated, great quantities of the adjacent environment are swept up and mixed with the incandescent air in the fireball. There is sufficient thermal energy in the hot gas to completely vaporize all the material in the immediate vicinity, but the flow of heat into a massive object, such as a shot tower, shield or coral rock, will be comparatively slow even with a high temperature gradient. Consequently, the interior portions of large structures in the neighborhood may not receive enough heat to evaporate and will be melted only. Later, when the fireball has risen above the surface, the material carried into it by the vertical air currents around ground zero will not be heated to the melting point. As a result, the fireball in its later stages will contain the environmental components as a mixture of solid particles, molten drops and vapor. The extraneous material in the Pacific shots will consist of coral and ocean water salts plus the components of the device, shield, and tower or barge.

The prependerance of caygen and of the environmental material in the fireball is of outstanding importance in the formation of the fallout particles. As the hot air cools through the range 3500-1000 K°., it becomes saturated with respect to the vaporized constituents and they con-(Reference 3) dense out as an aggregate of liquid drops, most of which are very small (References 4 and 5). These are mixed with the larger drops formed by

14 TELDED

fusion and with solid particles.

The radionuclide atoms present will collide frequently with oxygen atoms or molecules and, since the majority of them are electron donors, metallic oxide molecules will be formed which become thermodynamically stable as the temperature falls. The oxide molecules, or free radionuclide atoms, also have frequent collisions with the liquid drops of environmental material (silica, alumina, iron oxide or calcium oxide) and these collisions may be inelastic since in some cases the incoming molecules will be held by strong attractive forces. The radioactive oxide molecules which condense at the liquid surface will spread into the interior of the drops and become more or less uniformly distributed throughout. Later, after the liquid drops have frozen, the incoming radionuclide molecules may be held by surface forces.

Another way in which the radionuclide molecules may become associated with the environmental material is by participation in the structure of the cluster embryos which are the precursors of the liquid drops (References 4 and 6).

Due to the very low concentrations of the radionuclide oxide molecules, collisions with one another will be relatively infrequent and it appears that the aggregation of enough molecules of this type to form a drop or crystal will be a rare event, if it cocurs at all.

15 SE

19.6

ECRETRETRIGTEDDATA

0018933

The isobaric radionuclide chains formed in the explosion are known to be distributed on a mass scale in a way generally similar to the products of asymmetric fission of U^{235} by thermal neutrons, but with some important differences. The experimental yield curve for slow neutron fission has a broad minimum for mass numbers approximately one-half that of the original nucleus and maxima on either side at mass numbers in the neighborhood of 95 and 139 (Reference 7). Comparing the chain yields for megaton-range detonations with this curve, it is noted that there is a small drop in the peak yields accompanied by an increase in the symmetric fission probability. The same nuclide distribution might be expected in the fallout material and this is found to be roughly true under certain conditions. In other cases, the elements formed initially partially separat with respect to /one another so that samples of fallout may differ in composition

among themselves and also from the distribution curve characteristic for the event.

Fractionation is a term which has been applied to this phenomenon and it is used to signify an alteration in nuclide composition of some portion of the debris which renders it non-representative of the bomb products as a whole. The R-values, which are commonly used for reporting radiochemical data on cloud and fallout samples, are useful indices of fractionation. The R-value for any nuclide is defined as the ratio of the number of atoms

of this nuclide to the number of atoms of a reference substance (usually Mo⁹⁹) in the sample divided by the same ratio for the products of thermal of U²³⁵. neutron fission/ Atoms which do not separate from the reference substance have R-values appropriate for the type of detonation, while enrichment or depletion are manifested by positive or negative deviations from the characteristic value.

Knowledge of the causes and mechanism of fractionation is still largely incomplete at the present time. One effect that seems to be indicated by the available data may occur in the isobaric chains near mass numbers 90 and 140 which contain rare gas nuclides as prominent chain members. These have half-lives and independent fission yields such that they comprise a considerable fraction of the total chain yield during the period when the environmental material is condensing. If the rare gas atoms which collide with the liquid drops of environmental material are not held by strong forces, as appears probable, the particles formed at this stage will be depleted in the nuclide chains in question.

A variety of types of particles have been observed in the local fallout at previous test series (References 8,9,10,11, 12, 13). For land surface shots in the Pacific they have been mainly of three kinds: irregular grains, spherical solids and fragile agglomerated flakes. The grains were not, in general, uniform throughout, but consisted of layers or shells of calcium

17

SCERET RESTRICTORDER TA

oxide, calcium hydroxide and calcium carbonate formed by the decarbonation, hydration and recarbonation processes going on in the fireball and subsequently. The majority of them were white or transparent but some were yellow or brown. Many of the flaky aggregates were observed to disintegrate spontaneously into smaller particles within a few hours after collection.

In addition to these primary types, a fourth kind was noted consisting of small black spheres of calcium iron oxide $(2CaO \cdot Fe_2O_3)$. These were usually observed adhering to the surfaces of the large grains but occasionally were found isolated (Reference 12).

For detonations over ocean surfaces the fallout collected consisted of droplets of salt slurry 50-300 microns in diameter. These contained about 80% salt, 18% water and 2% insoluble solids by volume. The major part of the radioactivity was found in the insoluble solids portion.

The fallout deposited at more distant points has not been as well characterized, but is believed to be composed of minute spheres formed by condensation of the environmental material from the vapor plus a very fine, unfused dust swept up into the cloud from the area around the shot point (Reference 14).

The availability of the radioactivity in the fallout for assimilation into the biosphere depends to a large extent on its solubility in aqueous

o Energy Act of 195

SECRETESTRICE

or slightly acid media. Determination of the soluble fraction is therefore an important problem and solubility studies have been reported on fallout from several of the shots at Operations CASTLE and REDWING. For CASTLE fallout, it was found that the soluble fraction was strongly dependent on the detonation environment, being around 0.05 for land shots and 0.58-0.73 for shots fired from a barge (Reference 15). The solubility in seawater of the fallout from the reef shot Tewa, Operation REDWING, was investigated in two ways: by leaching of particles placed on top of a glass wool column and by centrifuging a suspension of the fallout material (Reference 13). The soluble fractions found by these two methods were 0.08 and 0.18, respectively. An ultrafiltration method was used for determining the solubility of fallout from the land shot, Zuni. About 25 per cent of the total gamma activity and Np²³⁹ were soluble in seawater and 5 per cent of the total gamma activity was soluble in rainvater.

Recent investigations (Reference 60) have shown that biological availability is analogous to solubility in 1 N HCL. Bomb debris from large tests is 99 per cent soluble in 1 N HCL, independent of shot environment.

<u>1.2.2 Cloud Development</u>. During the later stages of existence of the fireball, it is transformed into a vortex ring whose rotational velocity persists up to the maximum cloud altitude, at least for the larger shots. The vortex contains the fission products, environmental material and bomb components which were present in the fireball and is the site where the radioactive fallout particles are generated. The cloud continues to rise until its buoyancy is reduced to zero by adiabatic expansion, entrainment

19

LONDOG HONG MCLIPI 1954

T A DEALER THE TRACE TELLED T A

of cold air and loss of energy in overcoming atmospheric drag (References 16, 17, 18). The diameter of the ring increases rapidly during the ascent and the cloud spreads out laterally to a large area as its upward velocity decreases. For smaller yields the cloud stops at the tropopause or below, but for megaton-range weapons the top may penetrate several thousand feet into the stratosphere. The time to maximum altitude is somewhat less than ten minutes.

A knowledge of the distribution of activity and particles within the stabilized cloud is needed for the establishment of a rational fallout model; however, the collection of a suitable set of samples which could be used to determine these quantities experimentally presents a formidable operational problem which has not yet been solved. Several distributions have been assumed in an effort to match the fallout patterns on the ground, but it is not known how closely these models correspond to the actual structure of the cloud. Considering the method of formation, it might perhaps be anticipated that the activity would be greatest in an anchor ring centered on the axis of the cloud. Some evidence for this structure was obtained at Operation REDWING with rockets with telemetering ionization chambers (Reference 19).

1.2.3 Transport and Distribution. During the ascent of the nuclear cloud the particles present are acted on by body forces and by the vertical

20

Atmic Energy Act of 1954

THERE E. S. T.R. L. CHARDED, A. PA

currents in the rising air. Some of the large particles will be heavy enough so that they will have a net downward velocity even though the cloud as a whole is moving upward. They will contribute to the fallout in the immedi-/During this time, volatile fission produc ate vicinity of ground zero (Reference 20). may be fractionated from less volatile fission products by a kind of "fractional distillation" process within the hot cloud

Once the upward motion has ceased, the particles in the cloud will begin to settle out at rates determined by their density, dimensions and shapes and by the viscosity and density of the air (Reference 21). The terminal velocities for small spheres can be accurately calculated when the dependence of the drag coefficient on Reynold's number is known. Irregular or angular particles will fall more slowly than spheres of the same weight, but their velocities cannot be estimated as well due to uncertainty in the shape factors (Reference 22).

The particles which make up the local fallout follow trajectories to the surface governed by their fall rates and by the mean wind vector between their points of origin in the cloud and the ground level. Locations can be specified by reference to a surface coordinate system made up of height lines and size lines. The height lines are the loci of the points of arrival of all particles originating at given heights on the axis of the cloud. The size lines connect the arrival points of particles of the same size from different altitudes. Time and space variation of the winds will change the magnitude and direction of the mean wind vector, and vertical motions

0018933

21

ROLLENGISCOLAS

in the atmosphere will alter the falling rates of the particles. Corrections for these effects can be made when adequate meteorological data are available.

The local fallout as defined here will be down in 4.5 days or less, leaving aloft an aggregate of particles ranging from about 25-micron diameter down to submicron size. For small shots the majority of this will be in the troposphere but for megaton-range yields a large proportion will be deposited in the stratosphere. Hence, in discussing worldwide fallout, it is desirable to consider it as subdivided into two classes identified as tropospheric, or intermediate, fallout and stratospheric, or delayed, fallout (Reference 23).

The material left in the troposphere is thought to remain aloft up to forty days and to circle the earth a few times before reaching ground level. It deposits in relatively narrow bands, centered on the detonation latitude, with little evidence of diffusion across the stable air barrier located in the troposphere north of the equator. It is probably brought down largely by the scavenging effect of rainfall or other precipitation (Reference 23).

Those particles which do not fall out within the first few weeks will remain suspended in the atmosphere for a prolonged period — a matter dyrendmy the little dir elitication is of around seven years on the average. This material originates exclusive-

·7~

SEC-RETRESTRICTEDIDATE

ly in the stratosphere and the particle size, slthough not known, must be very small, probably less than 0.1 micron. It is distributed by the stratospheric winds in the east-west or west-stat direction, and there is also thought to be a slow circulation toward the poles. Movement into the troposphere can take place by slow settling or by seasonal changes in the altitude of the tropopluse. The exchange may be most prevalent at the break in the tropopluse near the middle latitudes. Once transfer from the stratosphere is completed, the material will be deposited relatively quickly in the same manner as intermediate fallout (Reference 23).

The 1.2.4 Procedures for the Determination of Fallout Partition. hazards of nuclear weapons testing are associated primarily with worldwide fallout, since local fallout can be controlled by selection of the test site and the proper winds aloft so that its area of deposition will be of However, local fallout has local minor consequence to the population of the world/ Introduction of radioecological consequences which are not neglibile and may spread the consideral nuclides, such as Sr⁹⁰, into the human environment viz world-wide fallout ancer 87M nulde has a potential effect on the whole population and their significance has been studied in great detail (Reference 24). As a result of these studies, Mun un. it has been concluded that certain radionuclide levels at the earth's surface can be tolerated and that these levels can be maintained within acceptable limits by restrictions on the rate of nuclear testing. This is based on the concept that a condition of "equilibrium" is reached in the

T.S.T.R.UC.T

DO TREASTORIES ASSAULTS ACTIVED TO TA STATE

stratosphere at which the rate of injection of radioactive bomb debris will be equal to the decay plus deposition rate. A concensus reached at the 1957 Congressional Committee hearings on fallout placed the permissible rate of testing at 2-10 MT per year (Reference 24). The validity of such numbers depends in large part on the reliability of experimental determinations of the fraction of the weapon appearing in global fallout.

This fraction has usually been estimated indirectly by measuring the fallout in the local area and subtraction from unity. The methods used for the determination of local fallout have involved measurement of gamma ray field contours or representative sampling of the material arriving at the surface of the earth (References 25 and 26). The total amount of radioactive debris in the fallout area may be calculated if the relation between dose rate and surface density of radioactive material is known. Similarly, samples representing a known area of the fallout field may be analyzed for amount of weapon debris and all such areas summed to give the total local fallout. A combination of fallout sampling and analysis plus gamma radiation measurements has also been used (Reference 26).

These procedures are subject to a number of difficulties and uncartainties, not only with regard to making adequate sample collections and radiation field measurements, but also in data interpretation. The establishment of accurate gamma contours requires an extensive and costly

24

Salar College

28 25

)=D-A.I

CECHERTESTRICTED DATA

field program since radiation intensity measurements must be made over areas up to tens of thousands of square miles. When the fillout is deposited mainly over the surface of the ocean, the original patterns are distorted continuously by settling of the particles and by ocean currents. The collection of samples at the earth's surface which are truly representative of the area sampled and free from collector bias presents problems which have not been fully solved to date.

Conversion of gamma intensity contour data to fraction of device requires knowledge of the relation of dose rate to fissions per unit area of the fallout field at one hour and of the gross radioactive decay rate. The decay rate varies with the device composition, environment and fractionation in a way which is not well understeed. Some uncertainty will always be present in local fallout determinations by this method when fractionation exists to an unknown degree, even though all the other quantities are known accurately.

Another procedure for the determination of fallout partition was originated by the University of California Radiation Laboratory based on the supposition that certain of the rare gas fission products remain throughout their lifetimes as free atoms unattached to surfaces (Reference 26). If this is true, they will not be removed from the cloud by the falling particles and may be considered as representative of the number of fissions remain-

SECREMENES PRINTER DATA

ing aloft for long periods.

In the application of this method, coincident samples of gas and particles are taken by an isokinetic collector during the first few hours of existence of the clouds. The nuclear aerosol is sucked through a filter to remove the suspended material and the particle-free gas is then pumped into a storage bottle. The number of fissions in the two samples is determined by analyzing the gas for 2.8-hour Kr^{88} and the solid for a representative muclide such as Mo⁹⁹.

The ratio of sample fissions calculated from a bound muclide to those from an unattached rare gas nuclide will give the fraction of the reference substance which is in the sampled portion of the cloud at the time of sampling. At a very early time, if no separation of gas and particles occurs, this ratio should be one. Later it would be expected to decrease as the falling particles remove the bound fission products. Hence, if the early ratio is one, the fraction of the material in world-wide fallout may be determined if the time is known at which particles having a falling velocity of 3 inches per second leave the sampling region, or if the ratio approaches a constant with time.

<u>1.2.5 Prior Estimates of Local Fallout</u>. Determinations of local fallout have been made at virtually all the nuclear weapons tests conducted by the United States. Estimates of the fraction of the radioactivity deposited locally have been made for Operations JANGLE (References 16, 23, 25,

26

S CHELSTREST ALL D. D.A.T.A.

SECRET RESTRICTED DATA

27, 28), TUMBLER-SNAPPER (References 27, 16), UPSHOT-KNOTHOLE (References 16, 27), CASTLE (Reference 29, 30, 31, 32, 33), WIGWAM (Reference 34), TEAPOT (Reference 35), and REDWING (References 23, 36). A summary of values computed from gamma contours and/or area sampling covered a range from 0.2 to 0.6 (References 25, 26). Reexamination of the preliminary REDWING data by Tucker (Reference 37) gave higher figures in the range 0.65-0.70 for barge (water surface) shots and up to 0.85 for land surface shots.

Results by the UCRL cloud sampling method are also available from REDWING (Reference 26) for the ground shots Lacrosse, Mohawk, Zuni and Tewa (part land, part water), for the water surface shots Huron and Navaja and the high altitude air burst, Cherokee. In the first three events the ratio of solid-to-gas fissions was as low as 0.04. Values for Tewa were not much less than one but this was probably due to the low sampling altitudes relative to cloud height. The ratios for the barge shots were greater than 0.6 in all cases. For Shot Cherokee the only sample taken from the main body of the cloud gave a ratio-of onse. From the assumption that the ratio at early times in all cases is one, interpretation of these figures in terms of fallout distribution indicates that 90-95% of the activity came down locally for the land shots, 15-50% for the water shots and easentially none for the high altitude air burst.

On 5-7 March 1957 2 symposium was held at the Rand Corporation

ED_DA

32,

9 F C R F T B F ST B I C T E D D A TA

to summarize and evaluate work done on fallout partition up to that time (Reference 26). The conference concluded that the best generalization which could be reached on the basis of the data presented was an equal distribution of radioactivity between world wide and local fallout for both land and water defonations in the megator range.

1.2.6 World-wide Fallout. World-wide fallout has been of great concern to persons responsible for the conduct of weapons tests on account of the possible consequences attendant upon the global dispersal of radioactive substances. The dangers from external irradiation are generally believed to be of a minor nature, due to the low levels of activity involved, but the incorporation of nuclides into the human system through the usual biological channels introduces the possibility of long-term effects whose seriousness is not easily determined.

The local fallout from the tests at Eniwstok, as defined earlier, will settle out in the Pacific Ocean and hence will be of only indirect concern. However, the tropospheric and stratospheric fallout will come down over land areas. Careful consideration of the nuclides present in global fallout has indicated that Sr⁹⁰ is the one to be most feared due to its possible accumulation in the human skeleton and subsequent long-term izradiation of the hematopostic tissues (Reference 24). Consequently, a major part of the work done on world-ride fallout has been directed toward the esti-

mation of Sr⁹⁰. Measurements have been made to determine the existing levels at the earth's surface, the quantity stored in the stratesphere, and the deposition rate. Samples of fallout have been taken from the soil and vegetation, by gummed tape and pot-type collectors on the ground, and by air-filter samplers at the surface and in the tropesphere and stratesphere (References 8, 23, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45).

Based on this work, it was estimated in the fall of 1956 that the Sr⁹⁰ levels were about 22 mc/mi² in the midwestern section of the United States, 15-17 mc/mi² for similar latitudes elaewhere and perhaps 3-4 mc/mi² for the rest of the world (References 39, 39). The total amount in the stratespheric reservoir, if uniformly distributed over the area of the globe, would increase these figures by about 12 mc/mi². The deposition rate of the stored material was considered to be around 10% per annum. It was further estimated that if these levels were maintained for fifteen years the concentration in the human skeleton would be about 1% of the maximum permissible (Reference 24).

The quantity of radioactivity in the storage reservoir was estimated by summation of the contributions of all the bursts through Operation REDWING which have deposited debris in the stratosphere. The available fraction of the weapon was determined by subtracting the local and intermediate fallout from the total. The intermediate fallout is thought to

S.E.G.B.E.E.F.R.E.S.F.A.G.S.E.D.D.D.A.S.

contain 1-5% of the weapon for megaton-range detonations (References 16, 46, 47). Determinations of this quantity by a world-wide network of stations for shots Mike and King of Operation IVY gave a figure of 2% (Reference 47).

Some data on Sr⁹⁰ concentrations in the stratosphere has been obtained from filter samples collected on flights of the General Mills high-altitude balloons. This work was part of a continuing program for sampling the stratosphere along the 80th meridian (Reference 48).

1.2.7 Fractionation Effects (1): Observations at Other Tests. The occurrence of fractionation is manifested by differences in radiochemical composition, decay rate or energy spectra among various samples of fallout taken at different times or locations in the contaminated region. Observations of some degree of fractionation have been made at many different detonations. As expected, fission product nuclides such as Sr⁸⁹, Sr⁵², Ca¹³⁷, or Ba¹⁴⁰, which have rare-gas ancestors with hilf-lives of a fraction of a minute or longer, are frequently found among the products which are most severely fractionated with respect to the bulk matrix material, which is always a refractory material. The location of the burst is also an impertant factor. Separation of the nuclides from one another appears to be most prenounced in underground or surface shots (References 49, 50), generally less for a water surface (Reference 51) and still smaller for balleon, high tower and air detonations (References 51, 52). Relatively

30

A TALE A

SEACHTET-REESTRICTED-D-ATTA

in water samples little fractionation was found for the one device detonated in deep water (Reference 34).

At Operation GREENHOUSE it was noted that the exponent of the beta decay curve increased from 0.95 to 1.3 with median particle size for samples taken from the clouds at Dog, Easy and Able shots. This indicates that the close-in particles are enriched in fast decaying components with respect to the more distant fallout (Reference 53).

For JANGLE surface shots, pronounced depletion of chains 89, 115, 111 and 140 referred to Mo⁹⁹ was observed in comparing long-range with local fallout samples. Chains 144 and 95 were not fractionated. Still more extensive nuclide separation was found for the underground shot with all the above chains showing depletion in the grater area (Reference 53).

On Shot 6 at TUMBLER-SNAPPER the grozs decay exponent decreased steadily with distance from ground zero up to seventy miles (Reference 53).

Radiochemical data from CASTLE Brave showed fractionation of Sr⁹⁰ and Ba¹⁴⁰ with respect to Mo⁹⁹, but none for Ce¹⁴⁴ (Reference 53). In the land Shots Zuni and Tewa of Operation REDWING, depletion of Ca¹³⁷, Sr⁹⁰, and Te¹³² was found in the close-in fallout with maximum factors of 100, 13 and 7 (Reference 54). These depletion factors became smaller with increasing distance from the shot point. Fractionation of the

E CREEKIER E SET RELIGIUL DUD A PAR

fallout from the barge shots Flathead and Navajo was much less and variations in abundance were not greater than a factor of two (Reference 54). Analytical data on cloud samples from these four events corroborated the fallout results (References 50 and 51).

Some radiochemical analyses have been performed on particles of different sizes from certain balloon shots (Reference 52). In Boltzmann of Operation PLUMBBOB, both the Sr⁸⁹: Mo⁹⁹ and Sr⁹⁰: Mo⁹⁹ ratios were a factor of two greater in 22-micron particles than in 137-micron particles. Enrichment of Sr⁸⁹/was also found in two other balloon shots, Hood and Wilson

1.2.8 Fractionation Effects (II): Relations among the R-Values for Several Radionuclides. As noted above, some scattered observations on fractionation were reported from the earlier tests, but it was not until Operation REDWING that enough data became available to investigate the separation of various nuclides from one another in any detail. At event Tewa of this operation, six particle samples were collected from different locations in the cloud and subsequently analyzed for around thirty nuclides. From this work, relations among the R-values for the products became apparent which seem to be of significance for understanding the fallout formation process (Reference 55). The R-values for the substances studied (Eormalized to give unit intercept on the axis of ordinates) were plotted

32

A HIGT METERS ACERCEDINA

36,

against the R-value for Eu¹⁵⁶ and a series of straight lines resulted with slopes ranging from positive to negative values. Positive slopes indicated a simultaneous enrichment of the cloud particles in europium and the product nuclide, whereas negative slopes showed that as the particles became richer in europium they were more and more depleted in the product nuclide. Products having rare-gas and alkali metal precursors had the steepest negative slopes while U, Np and Pb had small negative slopes. The more refractory oxide elements Nd, Be, Zr and Nb had positive slopes and those elements such as Ca, which showed no fractionation with respect to europium, had infinite positive slopes. The results are consistent with the view that those products having rare-gas or alkali metal ancestors at the time of condensation will concentrate in the smaller particles which have a larger surface-te-volume ratio.

Similar relationships have been found for several high yield air bursts using Ba¹⁴⁰ as the secondary reference nuclide and Mo⁹⁹ as the primary reference nuclide (the primary reference nuclide is the substance used as reference in calculating the R-values; the secondary reference nuclide is the substance used as abscissa in the R-value plots). In this reference system, Ag¹¹¹, U²³⁷, Cd¹¹⁵, Cs¹³⁶, Np²³⁹, Y⁹¹, and Sr⁸⁹ had approximately unit positive slopes while Zr⁹⁷, Ce¹⁴⁴, Pu²³⁹ and the rare earths had average negative slopes of 1.5. For these shots there was

33

A MITERESTATION -D. A.T.A.

E O RE TREGTREGT R. I. G. T. E. DED AFTER ST

evidence that the nuclides in the larger particles $(3-12 \mu)$ were fractionated, but these in particles smaller than 1 μ were not (Reference 56).

This method of data analysis has been shown to be valid regardless of the secondary reference nuclide, the primary reference nuclide and the reference event (Reference 6).

1.3 EXPERIMENTAL PROGRAM

1.3.1 Outline of the Program. The foregoing discussion indicates that further progress in the development of a realistic fallout model will require an improved knowledge of the structure of nuclear clouds with respect to the vertical and radial distribution of particle size and radioactivity within the mushroom. Quantitative data on the activity associated with particles in different size groups is also needed for estimation of the partition of the weapon between local and world-wide fallout. Project 2.8 was established to attempt to obtain such information from certain shots at Optration HARDTACK. It was planned to explore the cloud structure by means of air sampling rockets and to use both the rocket samples and also air craft samples collected from the cloud with the UCRL coincident sampler for determination of the fallout partition. Other aircraft flying at 1000 fact were scheduled to collect fallout samples to be used for the determination of the effect of particle size on fractionation and for correboration

34

. 38 "<

S. Br. G. R. S. Toroffe, Particular Institution I Stiller Lange

of the radionuclide composition of local fallout as determined from the rocket samples. The influence of the environment on fallout partition was to be investigated by participation in events over land and water surfaces.

The basic hypothesis on which the determination of fallout partition by the measurement of relative enrichment is based is that the increase of a volatile material with respect to a refractory material (e.g., Kr^{88} with respect to Mo^{99}) occurs principally as a result of fallout of the refractory material (i.e., the only force producing separation is gravitation). If this hypothesis is correct, then the Mo^{99} left in the cloud region sampled compared to the Kr^{88} may be interpreted as the fraction of refractory debris which will be distributed in "world-wide" fallout. This fraction is given by

$$y = \frac{[R^{99}(88)]_{E}}{[R^{99}(88)]_{c}}$$

If, however, other forces operate on the particles, particularly centrifugal forces which exist during the initial phase of cloud rise or turbulent forces which may exist for several hours due to temperature inequalities, the possibility exists that separation of gases or small particles from large particles may occur without requiring real fallout of refractory material. It is also possible that separation of the more volatile products from the less volatile may occur in the gas phase as a function of altitude in the cloud without requiring separation of large particles from small particles or particles from permanent gases. If these processes occur, even a large enrichment of volatile material near the top of the cloud would not necessarily be attributable principally to fallout.

To help determine whether these alternative processes are important, it is considered necessary to obtain very early data for R values of relatively volatile

35
fission products in the cloud. If it can be established that the very early distribution is normal and then departs from the normal pattern at a rate consistent with the fallout interpretation, other separative forces might be considered unimportant.

<u>1.3.2 Rocket Sampling of Clouds</u>. Experimental determination of the distribution of activity within the cloud requires the collection of a group of samples at different vertical distances along paths nearly parallel to the axis and at various radial distances. The almost-vertical flight path requirement necessitates the use of sample collectors which are propelled by rockets.

The rockets used by the project had a rather complex structure (see Chapter 2) but from the standpoint of particle collection their important features were the sampling head and the electronic programmer. The sampling head was designed to separate the particles collected into two groups having falling rates corresponding to local and world-wide fallout as already defined. The separation was to be attained by the action of aerodynamic forces in the sampler similar in effect to those experienced by particles falling through the atmosphere in the gravitational field of the earth. The function of the electronic programmer was to open the head at predetermined positions in the flight path so that samples could be collected from different portions of the cloud.

35(a)

E-G-R-E-T_R. S-T-R-I-G-T-E-D-A-T-A-

It was planned to fire a total of eighteen rockets on each shot at about $H \neq 10$ minutes from launching platforms spaced at various distances from ground zero. Two rockets were to be fired along each trajectory, one programmed to collect a sample from the base to the top of the debris and the other to collect from the top half of the cloud only.

1.3.3 Aircraft Sampling of Clouds. A condition necessary for use of the g2s-particle sampling technique for the determination of device partition is that the samples be collected from a region which is losing material by fallout but not receiving particles from any other section of the cloud. The portions of the cloud which are suitable for this type of sampling are dependent on the wind structure existing at the time of burst. For one type of structure which occurs fairly frequently at the proving grounds, the top and bottom parts of the cloud are blown off rapidly in different directions leaving a layer approximately one mile thick that experiences only light and variable winds. Hence this stratum, which is located between 50,000 and 60,000 feet, will soon be isolated from the rest of the cloud and may remain fairly closely over ground zero for a day or more. It is called the light and variable wind layer" and is satisfactory for coincident sampling since it can not receive fallout from higher cloud levels.

SECRETER STRICTED DATA

In cases where the stratum is not well defined, sample collections can be made from the top of the cloud provided this can be reached and followed by the sampling aircraft or from a location selected to minimize the feed-in of fallout from higher altitudes.

The theory of this technique has been discussed under section 1.2.4 and the sampling equipment is described in Chapter 2. The operation plan was to fly through the light and variable layer at several intervals between $H \neq 2$ and $H \neq 24$ hours with B57D aircraft equipped both with the coincident samplers and with wing tank particle collectors. The coincident samples were to be analyzed for Kr^{88} and Mo^{99} to determine the fallout partition (see 1.2.4) and the wing tank samples for ten radionuclides to investigate fractionation with particle size.

<u>1.3.4 Aircraft Sampling of Fallout</u>. The fallout sampling part of the program was intended to provide information supplementary to that obtained from the rocket and aircraft cloud sampling experiments. WB-50 aircraft were scheduled to fly at an altitude of 1000 feet and to collect fallout at various times between $H \neq 4$ and $H \neq 24$ hours along height lines which would correspond to the cloud level (ca 55,000 feet) sampled by the B57D's. Since the cloud is an extended source of fallout, the term "heightline sampling", as used here, signifies the sampling of a band of material centered on the geometrical height line and having a band width approxi-

37

BILC TED-D-A

SET RETERMSTRICTED DATA

mately equal to the diameter of the cloud.

The wind structure described in the preceding section on the formation of the light and variable layer also leads to isolation of the 55,000 foot height line along the eastern periphery of the fallout curtain. This situation is advantageous for height line sampling since the aircraft may proceed westward from a position east of the fallout area and collect the first fallout encountered. The samples should contain 55,000-foot fallout alone, uncontaminated by material from the rest of the cloud.

Other types of wind structure will probably not be as favorable for height line sampling and the fallout collected is likely to contain particles originating from different levels in the cloud.

As one proceeds outward from ground zero along a height line, the particle size of the fallout decreases and the time of arrival increases. However, low altitude sampling at a given location should provide a sample containing particles of relatively uniform size. # Hence, by making a series of collections along a height line at different distances from the shot point, advantage can be taken of particle size separation by natural fallout processes. The WB-50 operations were arranged to utilize this situation to obtain a set of samples suitable for an investigation of size-dependent

* Uzed synonomously with falling rate.

38

Atomic Pacter Action 1954

E-C-R-E T-HEE-S=T-R-H-C-T-E D D-L-T-A-

0018933

properties.

It was planned to use the radiochemical data from these samples to corroborate the composition of local fallout as determined from the rocket experiments, to investigate fractionation with particle size, and to compare the composition of local fallout with world wide fallout. It can also be used for determination of device partition if the fallout is shown to be highly depleted in a particular fission product since the enrichment of the debris remaining aloft in this fission product will then be related to the fraction of the debris which has fallen out, in much the same way as has already been described for interpretation of the enrichment of a gaseous fission product in the cloud with respect to particulate debris.

1.3.5 Selection of Radionuclides. The radionuclides chosen for determination from the particle samples were those of greatest concern in world-wide fallout, namely Sr^{90} and Cs^{137} , plus a sufficient number of others to provide basic data for further investigation of fractionation. In the latter category were Sr^{89} , Y^{91} , Mo^{99} , Cs^{136} , Ce^{144} , Eu^{156} and U^{237} . The members of this group existed in a variety of forms, ranging from gaseous to relatively non-volatile species, during the period of condensation from the fireball. Ca^{45} was determined in conjunction with elemental analyses for Ca and Na to help in tracing the behavior of the environmental material which forms the major part of the fallout particles.

Analyses for I^{131} , which were tentatively planned originally, were not carried out due to the limited analytical personnel available, the uncertainties of sample collection for this nuclide and the relatively lesser interest in its ultimate

fate.

39

SECRET-RESTRICIED DATA

CHAPTER II

PROCEDURE

2.1 SHOT PARTICIPATION

The project initially planned to participate in Shots Koa, a megatonrange land-surface burst and Walnut, a water-surface burst. Due to apparent contamination of the Koa cloud samples by debris from Shot Fir, participation was later extended to include Shot Oak, a high-yield water-land burst fired over the lagoon reef. Important device information is given in Table 2.1. The project rockets participated during Shots Koa and Walnut and were also fired during Cactus and Yellowwood for system check and nose cone recovery practice. Aircraft were flown during Koa, Walnut and Cak.

TABLE 2.1

DEVICE INFORMATION

	KOA	WALNUT	OAK
Total Yield, Mt.: Fission Yield Mt.	1.31 40.08	DELETED	8.9 / 0.6
Tission field, Mt.:	DELETED		DELETED
Location	Site Gene	Near Site	4 miles south
		Janet	of Site Alice
Shot time	0630 M	0630 M	0730 M
	13 May 195 8	15 June 1958	29 June 1958
Shot type	Land-Surface	Water-Surface;	Water-Land Sur-
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		fired from a	face; fired from
		barge in deep	an LCU anchored
		water	over the lagoon r
	40		in 15 feet of wate
		THE STREET	E-D-DATA

Atomic Energy Act of 1954

ST CONFERENCE TO DED TA

2.2 INSTRUMENTATION

The instrumentation for this project falls into two general classes: rocket-borne and aircraft-borne cloud samplers. Two types of aircraft, B-57D's and WB-50's, were used.

2.2.1 Rocket-Borne Cloud Sampler. The rocket, a 20 foot long unit, consisted of an air-sampling nose section, a two-stage propulsion unit and various items of auxiliary equipment (Reference 57).

The air-sampling diffuser of the nose section was 36 inches long from the intake orifice to the filter. An additional 32 inches of length behind the filter was occupied by exhaust ports and auxiliary equipment. The extreme forward part of the rocket was a conical section 5 inches long which sealed the intake orifice prior to the time when sampling was begun. The orifice of the diffuser was 2 inches in diameter and the filter was 8-1/2 inches in diameter. An expansion from 2 inches to 8-1/2 inches in diameter in a length of 36 inches gave an expansion angle of 10 degrees, the maximum at which the flow would not separate from the diffuser walls. The filter was an 8 inch circle of matted cellulose fiber coated with stearic acid to help retain the particles. It was supported by a wire retaining screen. The inside wall of the diffuser was in the form of a revolved segment of a circle 250 inches in radius and was parallel to the axis of the rocket at the orifice. Particles entering the sampling section were decelerated from about twice the sonic



velocity to subsoric by passage through a shock front which formed near the throat of the diffuser. Following this, they were subjected to a force field of such a nature that the smaller particles were impelled toward peripheral areas of the collecting filter to a greater extent than the larger particles. The diffuser was designed to effect a resolution of particles having average settling rates greater or less than 3 in./sec. in the normal atmosphere (Reference 57).

A light skin was wrapped around the outside of the diffuser to fair up the external shape of the nose cone.

The propulsion section contained primary and sustainer motors, both of which were solid-fuel units about 6 inches in diameter with burning times of 6 seconds. The sustainer motor was ignited shortly before the start of sampling and provided sufficient thrust to maintain the rocket speed at about Mach 2 during passage through the cloud.

Items of zuxiliary equipment included explosive squibs, electronic timing circuitry, a parachute system, a closure system for the sampling section, a radio beacon and a dye marker. Foamed plastic inserts were fitted into the nose sections to provide additional buoyancy.

The explosive squibs were used to remove the conical nose tip, thereby opening the sampling orifice, and to jettison the propulsion unit. The electronic timing circuitry initiated the opening of the orifice, disconnection of

TECRET RESTRICTED.D.A.T.A.

the propulsion unit, ejection of the parachute, closure of the sampling section and activation of the radio beacon. The parachute system consisted of a pilot chute, a pilot chute shroud cutter and the main canopy. The pilot chute was withdrawn from its compartment when the propulsion section was jettisoned, but remained attached by shrouds to the nose section until the latter had slowed down to a speed which would not cause damage to the main canopy. At this time the shrouds were cut and the main canopy was withdrawn from the nose section by the pilot chute shrouds, which were attached to a bag containing the large parachute. The front closure of the sampling unit, made by a ball joint, and the aft closure, consisting of a cone and "O-ring" seal, were closed after sampling. The radio beacon was activated at launch time so that search craft equipped with radio direction finders could locate the nose sections.

Figure 2.1 shows a complete rocket on a launcher. Part A is the primary motor, Part B the sustainer motor, Part C the parachute compartment, Part D the electronics compartment and Part E the air sampling nose section. Figure 2.2 gives the important dimensions of the diffuser and filter in the air sampling nose section. Figure 2.3 is a view of a battery of six rockets assembled for firing.

2.2.2 Aircraft-Borne Samplers. Three different types of equipment were



Ĺ

Figure 2.1 Air-Sampling.Rocket



Figure 2.2 Diffuser Section of Air-Sampling Rocket

44

49%



()

Figure 2.3 Battery of Rockets Ready for Firing



Figure 2.4 B-57 Gross Particulate Sampler

50,

ECREEFEREEGERERELEGEDEDEDETA

utilized to obtain the samples discussed in Sections 1.3.3 and 1.3.4. Units of the kind illustrated by Figure 2.4 were used for collection of the cloud particle samples needed for the radiochemical work. These samplers were stainless steel shells of parabolic shape fitted with intake butterfly valves which were open only during the sampling runs. They were installed at the forward end of both the right and left wing fuel tanks of the B-57D's. The particles were collected on a 24 inch filter paper which was supported by a retaining screen located near the aft end of the unit.

The coincident sampler was constructed in such a way that both the gas and particle samples would be taken from the same volume of the cloud. Air was drawn through a dessicant section and a filter section by a circulating pump and then forced under pressure into a sample bottle. Figure 2.5 shows the intake and dessicant-filter sections and Figure 2.6 is a photograph of the compressor pumps and gas bottles. These samplers were mounted on both sides of the B-57D fuselage toward the rear of the aircraft.

The WB-50's used for the fallout sampling were equipped with the AFOAT-1 standard E-1 filter assembly. Figure 2.7 is a view of a WB-50 with the filter foil installed on top, nearly over the rear scanner's position. Figure 2.8 shows the filter screen removed from the foil with a filter paper in one side. The foil was sealed by sliding doors in front and

46

A contrasting of the second second second



(

(.

Figure 2.5 Intake and Filter Section, B-57 Gas Sampler



Figure 2.6 Pumps and Gas Bottles, B-57 Gas Samplers



():

Figure 2.7 Filter Foil Installed on Top of B-50



Figure 2.8 B-50 Filter Screen

SECHERRER BOURDELESS

0018933

back of the filter screen except during the sampling periods.

2.2.3 Discussion of Possible Errors in Sampling. Polydisperse aerosols contain an aggregate of particles whose sizes are arranged in accordance with a characteristic frequency distribution. When the aerosol is sampled under ideal conditions, the ratios of the numbers of particles in the various size ranges will be preserved unchanged in the collector. However, a departure from the initial size distribution may be encountered if the collecting device has a dimensional bias (non-isokinetic condition), or if some of the particles are broken up during the sampling operation.

Isokinetic sampling conditions will be achieved with a filtering device moving through the aerosol at subsonic speeds if the air velocity into the intake of the filter is identical with the flow rate past the outside. As used in Project 2.8, both the wing tank and coincident samplers were close to isokinetic since the velocity ratios were respectively 0.8 (or greater) and calculated 0.7-0.9. However, in a few cases, the/velocity ratios for the coincident units were much less, due to nalfunction of the sampling equipment (see Appendix B). The E-1 sampler used on the WB-50's was poor isokinctically, but this was considered to be immaterial for height line sampling where the particles in a given region should be fairly uniform in size. Samplers, such as the project rockets, which move at supersonic speed with respect to the aerosol are expected from aerodynamic theory to be unbiased.

CRETERESTR.

In the rocket samplers some breakup of the fallout particles was thought to be likely in passing through the shock front in the diffuser throat. A series of experiments carried out by NRDL on the shock tube at the University of California Engineering Experiment Station indicated that coral fallout grains were not fractured by Mach 2 shock waves (Reference 58). Impact with the filter is another possible cause of particle breakup in all the sampling devices, but little or nothing is known about this effect.

0018933

2.3 DESCRIPTION OF FIELD OPERATIONS

2.3.1 Meteorology. It was indicated in section 1.3.3 that samples to be used for the determination of fallout partition by the UCRL method should be collected from the light and variable layer, if well defined, or from higher locations in the cloud. The cloud heights and wind structure in the upper atmosphere are therefore important characteristics to consider in devising operational plans. It is known from previous work that the clouds rise to a maximum altitude in the first few minutes and then settle back to a "stabilized" level. Based on height-yield curves derived from photographic data on earlier shots (Reference 21), it was estimated that the stabilized altitudes would be around 72,000 feet for Koa and Walnut and 99,000 feet for Oak (Reference 59). The altitudes observed by project aircraft were considerably lower (Reference 60). A radar record for shot Koa indicated that the cloud rose to 72,000 feet at 5 minutes and then settled rapidly (Reference 61).

The light and variable layer existed for all the shots, being possibly

SECTED TERESTRATION FDPDADA

best defined for Koa where it circulated over the atoff for at least a day. For Koa and Walnut the altitude of the layer coincided quite closely with the top of the cloud, whereas for Oak it was some 20,000 feet below the top which was blown off rapidly by the strong easterly winds. Since the B-57D samples were taken from this stratum in each case, the criterion of sampling from a region which would not be receiving fallout from any other source was easily satisfied.

Some altitude data taken in part from the wind and temperature tables in Appendix E are given in the Table 2.2.

TABLE 2.2

Approximate Altitude in Feet

	Koa	Walnut	Oak
Tropopau se	57,000	54,000	50,000
Light & Variable Layer	60,000 \$	55,00 0	55,00 0
Cloud Top, Expected*	72,000	72,00 0	99,00 0
Cloud Top, Observed	65,00 0	61,000	70,000 - 75,000
Sampling Flights	60,300	56,500 ·	56,30 0
	•		

* (Reference 59)

The suitability of the wind structures for fallout sampling along height lines can be most readily visualized by reference to the plan view, wind velocity hodographs at shot time which are reproduced in Figures 2.9, 2.10

E-C-R-E-T-R-E-S-T-R-I-S-T-E-D-D-A-T-A-T Alornic-Energy-Article 1954-1





57,2



(...

Figure 2.10 Plan View Wind Velocity Hodograph, Shot Walnut

5З

- 58 ..

59.

STORE REFERENCE AT A

and 2.11. The Koa hodograph shows that for this event the winds were ideal for height line sampling since material falling from the light and variable layer would be clearly isolated from the rest of the fallout. On Walnut, an overlap of particles originating in the cloud at 40,000 feet and at higher levels would be anticipated. For Oak the samples collected at 1000 feet would contain material which came from several different elevations in the cloud.

2.3.2 Koa Event. No rocket samples were collected from Shot Koa. In preshot planning it was intended that a salvo of 18 rockets would be fired into the cloud, 6 each from Sites Wilma, Sally and Mary. The firing line to Site Wilma failed on the day before the shot and could not be repaired before evacuation. Firing circuits to Sites Sally and Mary were intact at shot time and a firing signal was transmitted to these sites at H 4 7 minutes, but no rockets fired. Failure appears to have been caused by the heavy current drain by several launcher orienting motors dropping the main power supply voltage to a point where it was insufficient to operate critical relays in the local launch programming equipment. Thereafter, launching operations were programmed so that only a single launcher motor would be operating at one time.

Five samples were taken from the cloud by B-57D aircraft at 3-1/2, t, 8, 11 and 28 hours post-shot time (See Table B1). A flight scheduled for



 $\left(\begin{array}{c} c \\ c \end{array} \right)$

í

Figure 2.11 Plan View Wind Velocity Hodograph, Shot Oak

55

60%

13-14 hours had to be cancelled due to rain and atmospheric turbulence. The first four samples were collected in about 1/2 hour each and the last sample required 2-1/2 hours. The wing tank samplers functioned on each flight, but there were no gas samples on the last three runs due to a failure of the compressor pumps on the coincident sampling units.

Samples of material falling from the 60,000 foot layer were collected at an altitude of 1000 feet at 4, 6, 8, 10 and 12 hours after shot time by a WB-50 aircraft. The fallout was encountered on a bearing of 50-60 degrees at 28, 59, 88, 109 and 131 miles from ground zero. A second WB-50 collected one 1000-foot sample at H / 6 hours on a bearing of 20 degrees at 42 miles from ground zero. It is thought that this material came from about 45,000 feet. A third WB-50 mission was flown at 0700 the next day to 300 miles on bearing 58 degrees based on an extrapolation of the previous contacts. From there, the aircraft was directed to 225 miles, bearing 55 degrees, then to 200 miles, bearing 40 degrees, and finally to 400 miles, 60 degrees, but no fallout was encountered. The aircraft was released after 6 hours for a weather mission.

Shot Fir, was fired at Bikini on the day preceding Koa and the clouds from the two bursts rose to approximately the same height (65,000 feet). On the day following Koa there was a deposition of fallout in the Eniwetok area and in the afternoon the gamma radiation background on

ECRET RESTRIOUTEDND

Site Elmer rose to 25-30 mr./hr. The Fallout Prediction Unit was not able to establish definitely the origin of this material, but felt that there was some reason to think that it had come from Shot Fir. After arrival of the Koa samples at LASL, a dispatch was received in the field indicating that the cloud, and possibly the fallout samples, were heavily contaminated with Fir debris. The nature of the evidence was not known at the time, D_{EVEN}

DELETED

DELETED Examination of the wind structures existing during the period of the Fir and Koa detonations indicated a pessibility of some contamination of Koa fallout by Fir debrie, but no mechanism was apparent that could lead to heavy contamination.

When the radiochemical data became available it was found that all the Koa cloud samples contained some material from Fir, but not enough to appreciably alter the significance of the results (see Chapter 3).

2.3.3 Walnut Event. It was planned to project a total of 10 rockets into the cloud, 4 each from Sites Mary and Sally and 2 from Site Wilma. The launchers on Mary were set for automatic positioning by blue-box signal, whereas on Sally and Wilma the quadrant elevations and azimuths were preset. After the shot the firing circuits to Sally and Wilma were intact, but the line to Mary was open. A firing signal was sent at H \neq 10 minutes and THE REPORT OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE AND

the rockets on Sally and Wilma were launched, but the obscuring cloud cover prevented observation of their trajectories. The rockets on Mary did not launch and later inspection showed that one launcher was inoperative, one elevated without rotating and two elevated and rotated. Two nose cones from the Sally rockets were recovered by boat and the others were lost. The closures on the cones recovered were intact but water had leaked in. There was a small amount of activity in the water and on the filter and the filter sample was returned to the ZI for analysis. It was identified by the name Whiskey 6 (see Table B. 3).

6 samples were taken from the cloud at times between 1-1/2 hours and 26 hours post shot time (see Table B3). Both the wing tank and the coincident samplers were operative on each flight.

In preparing the height line flight program for this shot, it was intended that 1 WB-50 would collect 1000 foot samples at 4, 6, 8, 10 and 12 hours with a second WB-50 standing by on the ground to take over the mission, if necessary. No sampling flight was scheduled for $D \neq 1$ day. The first aircraft encountered fallout at $H \neq 4$ hours on a bearing of 320 degrees at a distance of 42 miles from surface zero and a sample was collected. Due to deposition of damp fallout material on the nose of the zircraft, z dose of 1.5 r. (read on an electronic integrating dosimeter) was accumulated at the bombardier's position during the sampling run. The

dose was continuing to rise at the rate of 50 mr./min. and the radiological advisor aboard decided to disontinue the mission and return to base. The standby aircraft took off and was flown to a point on a bearing of 330 degrees at a distance of 120 miles from surface zero. A H \neq 8 hours the aircraft searched on course 225 degrees, but no fallout was encountered. At H \neq 10 hours the active fallout area was reentered at bearing 283 degrees, 140 miles from surface zero, and a sample taken. At H \neq 13 hours a third sample was collected at bearing 278 degrees, 150 miles from surface zero.

2.3.4 Oak Event. There was no rocket participation during Shot Oak. Circumstances leading to the discontinuation of the rocket sampling portion of the project are outlined in Section 2.3.5 and Appendix A.

5 samples were taken from the cloud by B-57D aircraft between 2 and 26 hours post shot time (see Tables B5 & B6). Both the wing tank and coincident samplers were operative on all flights.

A WB-50 aircraft collected samples from the northeastern edge of the fallout pattern at 4, 6, 8, 10 and 11-1/2 hours after the detonation. The fallout was encountered on a bearing of 300-310 degrees at 65, 93, 125, 160 and 187 miles from surface zero. The operation progressed without incident, due mainly to the experience gained by the participating personnel

on the first two shots.

2.3.5 Rocket Development. The project cloud sampling rocket (see Section 2.2.1) was a new one of complex design. The main motor had been used previously on the ASP (atmospheric sounding projectile) and the sustainer motor on the RTV (reentry test vehicle), but the nose cone and associated equipment had not been used as a component of a rocket before. Development work on a similar sampling device had been done during Operation Plumbbob, and at the end of the operation a satisfactory unit for land recovery had evolved. After Plumbbob, Project 21.3, Task Unit 2, was set up for the purpose of developing a sea recovery version of the rocket for Operation Hardtack. When Project 2.2 was established, the existing rocket contracts were extended to provide additional units for use on this program. Because of the experimental nature of the rocket, the sponsors of this work, UCRL, assessed the probability of obtaining any rocket data as being of the order of 50%.

The development problems were the responsibility of Project 21.3, but a review of their work at the Eniwetok Proving Grounds is of interest since a large portion of Project 2.8 was directly dependent on the availability of a suitable rocket-borne cloud sampler. This review will also serve to provide an explanation of the circumstances which led to the cancellation of the rocket experiment prior to Shot Oak.

0018933

65

60

Atomic Energy ARL Mallon

SHE C-REENTERING SUMMER CHAINDED AT INAN

Notes on the developmental rocket firings and tests are outlined in Appendix A. Details of the firings on Koa and Walnut, which have been given in Sections 2.3.2 and 2.3.3, are not repeated.

2.3.6 Aircraft Samples. The B-57D aircraft used for the cloud sampling work were under the control of a LASL representative. The person responsible for these collections communicated with the aircraft by normal voice radio from the Air Operation Center on Site Fred. The fallout samples were taken by WB-50 aircraft controlled by an NRDL representative. They were directed from the Air Weather Central on Site Elmer using CW radio communication. The transmitters used by the Air Weather Central operated on a long wave length, thereby making it possible to maintain radio contact with the WB-50's at long ranges and low altitudes.

Estimated coordinates for each sampling position on the height line flights were furnished by the Fallout Prediction Unit (FOPU). The initial 4 hour position prediction was based solely on the wind data available at shot time, but contacts made by the sampling aircraft, plus additional wind data, assisted in preparing the later estimates. Interchange of information between FOPU and the Air Weather Central was maintained throughout the sampling flights.

The FOPU predictions were generally quite accurate with respect to

61

Atomic-Encipy Act of 1954

S-E-G-Roof T-R-R-E-B-T-R-HGoffE-D-D-A-T-A-

radial distance from ground zero, but the wind information was not always. adequate to determine the angular position. For example, on Koa the estimated height line bearing was 0 degrees but the sampling aircraft encountered fallout at a polar angle of 50 degrees. For Walnut the 4-hour sampling position given was quite accurate, but the later curving of the height line toward the west could not be predicted. Sampling position estimates were the best of all on Oak and even the most distant points were predicted within 2 degrees in bearing and 3 miles in distance.

Tables B1-B6 give a summary of all the samples collected by aircraft for the project. It will be noted that in addition to the cloud samples taken from the light and variable layer, there were several samples on each shot from lower altitudes. Analytical data for these samples is included since they give information on the variation of cloud composition with altitude (see Appendix D).

2.4 PARTICLE WORK

Some investigation of particle characteristics was carried out for all the cloud and height line samples from Shot Koa which were large enough to work with. Approximately one quarter of each filter paper from the cloud samples, and one section from the E-l sampler, were shipped to UCRL by the first flyaway following the shot. On each sample the filter



ECRETTREGTRLCTED_DATA

paper was removed by burning off in a stream of atomic oxygen from a gas discharge generator. The maximum temperature reached during burnoff was around 200° C. The weight of material recovered varied from 50 mg. to about 4.5 gm.

At UCRL some of the cloud samples were separated into coarse and fine fractions using a Bahco centrifuge and fall rate distribution curves were determined for the two fractions with the micromerograph. Fall rate data were also obtained for all the height line samples and in several cases the specific activity-fall rate curves were determined for cloud and fallout samples. In operating the micromerograph the weight could either be recorded continuously or in 16 increments by means of individual pans on a rotating turntable.

Two of the height line samples and three cloud samples, separated into coarse and fine fractions with the Bahco, were transmitted from UCRL to NRDL for examination. The chemical substances present in these samples were identified with the polarizing microscope and by X-ray diffraction, and the particle size distributions determined by microscopic observation. A binocular microscope fitted with ocular micrometers containing a linear scale was used for the particle work. Each scale division of the micrometer represented 15 microns for the magnification used (100X). A portion of the sample was placed on a microscope slide and tapped gently

> 63 STOC-R-D-T-R-D-D-A-TIA A Simila Daergy ACL 05-1954

to disperse the particles. Traverses were made along the slide from one extreme edge of the dispersion to the other and every particle within the micrometer scale was sized and typed. Generally, several appropriately spaced traverses were taken. The particles were sized in terms of maximum diameter and typed by the conventional classification of irregular, spherical and agglomerated. Diameters were measured to the nearest one-half scale division and particles less than a half unit were ignored. Particles adhering to each other were sized individually, if possible, or otherwise not taken into account.

Particle characteristics, fall-rate and size distribution curves are given in Appendix C. No particle work was done on the samples from Oak and Walnut.

2.5 SAMPLE ANALYSIS AND RADIOCHEMICAL PROCEDURES

Radiochemical analyses were carried out on the gross particulate cloud samples from the wing tank collectors, on size-separated cloud samples, on the gas-particulate samples from the coincident units and on the fallout samples. The major part of the analytical work on the cloud and fallout particle samples was done by NRDL (some by LASL), while the gasparticle samples for the determination of fission ratios (Section 1.2.4) were analyzed at UCRL.

The gross particulate and fallout samples were shipped to NRDL on filter papers as collected in the field. The size-separated samples were prepared at UGRL by the oxygen burnoff and centrifuge technique described in Section 2.4, and then transmitted to NRDL. 2 particle groups were separated for the Koa and Oak samples and 3 for Walnut (see Appendix B).

At NRDL the samples were prepared for analysis by wet ashing with furning HNO_3 and $HClO_4$ to destroy organic material, then furning with HF to remove silica. The HF was expelled by again furning with $HClO_4$ and the resulting solution was transferred to a volumetric flask and diluted to volume with 4N HCl. Aliquots of the HCl solutions were taken for the analyses. A total of 1040 radionuclide determinations and 41 elemental analyses (see Section 1.3.5) were performed at NRDL using the following procedures:

1. Elemental Na and Ca were determined with the flame photometer using a matrix very similar to the constituents of coral.

2. Mo⁹⁹ was determined by either of two methods, depending on the age of the sample. A carrier-free anion exchange method (Reference 67) was used for fresh samples, while a modified precipitation method (Reference 68) was used for older samples.

3. Eu¹⁵⁶, Y⁹¹, and Ce¹⁴⁴ were measured by a cation exchange pro-

65

cedure after preliminary separation of the rare earth group by precipitation reactions and anion exchange (Reference 62).

4. Ca⁴⁵ was separated by a procedure using precipitation reactions. Ba and Sr were removed by precipitation as the nitrates using fuming HNO₃ under controlled conditions. The Ca was recovered from the nitric acid solution by precipitation as the sulfate. The sulfate was then dissolved, scavenged twice with Zr, Te, Fe and La hydroxides, once with basic Mo and Cd sulfides and once with acidic Mo and Cd sulfides. Ca was precipitated as the oxalate for mounting and counting.

5. Sr^{89} and Sr^{90} were originally separated by precipitation procedures (References 68 and 64). For the determination of Sr^{90} , the Y^{90} was allowed to grow into equilibrium, the $SrCO_3$ precipitate dissolved in HNO₃ containing Y carrier, Y (OH)₃ precipitated with ammonia gas and the Sr removed as the nitrate in fuming nitric acid. The Y was precipitated as the oxalate from an acetic acid solution in the pH range 3-5 and ignited to the oxide for mounting and counting.

6. The Cs procedure used for the determination of Cs¹³⁶ and Cs¹³⁷ was a modification by the original author of a precipitation and ion exchange procedure (Reference 65). The modification consisted mainly of a Cs tetraphenyl boron precipitation in the presence of EDTA, the use of Dowez-50 in place of Duolite C-3 in the cation exchange step and the addition of an anion exchange step. SECRET.R.E.S.T.R.I.C.T.E.D.D.A.T.A

The radiochemical work reported as being done at LASL was performed in conjunction with diagnostic measurements on the events. The methods used were those reported in the Los Alamos compilation of radiochemical procedures (Reference 66).

The gas samples were analyzed for Kr⁸⁸, Kr⁸⁵, Kr^{85m} and in some cases for Xe¹³³. The rare gas radionuclides were separated from the constituents of the atmosphere and then counted in a gas counter. The separation procedure used was developed at UCRL, Livermore, under the direction of Dr. Floyd Momyer. Carrier amounts of inactive Kr and Xe were added to the air sample and the mixture punped through a series of traps for purification purposes. Water and carbon dioxide were condensed out in the first trap, which was filled with inert packing and held at liquid nitrogen temperature. The Kr and Xe were absorbed on activated charcoal in a second trap, also immersed in liquid nitrogen, but the major part of the N₂, O₂ and A passed through the trap and were removed. Residual air was desorbed at -80°C and the Kr by subsequent warming to 10°C. Further purification was effected by two more absorption-desorption cycles on charcoal. , After determination of the pure Kr yield, it was transferred to the gas counter.

This was the procedure used when Kr alone was the desired product; additional purification steps were necessary when Xe was also determined.

67

THE FRIEDRED STURRANT STUDIED A

2.6 DATA REDUCTION

The analytical results were computed in the normal manner for the elemental analyses done for the project. However, the first, and more time-consuming phases of the data reduction were carried out on the IBM 650 computer at UCRL, Livermore. The radiochemical data were manually transcribed to IBM cards in the proper form for use by the computer, which was coded to apply a least-squares fit to the decay data and to make corrections for chemical yield, radioactive decay and the aliquot of the sample used. The output of the computer gave the counting rates for the individual radionuclides at zero time of the shots.

Further computation was performed by hand to obtain the number of fissions, product-to-fission ratios or R-values. Determination of the R-values, defined in Section 1.2.1, required calibration values on fission products from the thermal neutron fission of U^{235} . When these were not available, or only recently obtained, comparison analyses between LASL and NRDL provided the necessary factors.

CHAPTER III

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 DISCUSSION AND INTERPRETATION OF THE DATA

It is noted that the achievement of project objectives 1, 2 and 3 depended wholly or in part on the proper functioning of the rocket samplers. Due to their failure, there are no results to be reported on the vertical and radial distribution of particles in the clouds, which was objective 3. However, objectives 1 and 2 were partially met and 4 was fully met by the aircraft samples.

Referring to the nuclides listed in Section 1.3.5, it is to be observed that a number of them were included for the purpose of developing 2 general background of information on nuclide fractionation. This material may serve 2s the basis for a separate report, but it is not being considered here since it was not 2 primary concern of Project 2.8. Only the data which have a bearing on the distribution of the nuclides Sr^{90} and Cs^{137} in the fallout will be covered in this chapter. The radiochemical results for each of the different types of samples collected contribute something to the overall evaluation.

3.1.1 Cloud Data. For the coincident samples from the light and variable wind layer there are two sets. available for Koa, five for Walnut and

69

SECREPERES TRACE DED AREA

S K.C.R.E.T. R.E.O.T.R.L.O.T.R.D.T.T.A

six for Oak. The ratio of total fissions, as calculated from the sample analytical data for Mo^{99} , Kr^{85} and Kr^{88} are given in Table 3.1. Also listed are the R-values for Sr^{90} and Cs^{137} from the gross particulate samples collected from the cloud at the same time. R-values characteristic of megaton range detonations are 0.77 for Sr^{90} and 0.90 for Cs^{137} . Subject to the assumptions inherent in the method, which include among others that the ratio of Mo^{99} to Kr^{88} in the sampled portion is representative of the entire cloud, the ratio of Mo^{99} fissions to Kr^{88} fissions gives directly that fraction of the total Mo^{99} formed in the explosion which was left in the cloud at the time of sampling. Multiplication of these ratios by the cloud R-values and division by the device R-values convert them to the fractions of the nuclides remaining in the clouds. e.g. $\left(\frac{Mo^{99}}{Kr^{88}}\right)_{cloud} \times \frac{R}{R} \frac{(Sr^{90})}{(Sr^{90})} = fraction of Sr^{90}$

remaining in cloud. The last step is necessary to correct for the difference in fission yields between device neutrons and thermal neutrons (see Section 1.2.1). The assumption is made here that the Mo^{99} to Sr^{90} and Cs^{137} ratios are constant throughout the cloud. The samples in the table are identified by aircraft numbers as in Appendic B, to which reference should be made for further details.

The calculated fractions of Mo^{99} , Sr^{90} and Cs^{137} in the cloud, based on the Kr^{88} fission product ratios, are plotted as a function of time in Figures 3.1, 3.2 and 3.3. Kr^{88} was not determined on the 27-hour samples from Walnut and Oak due to its low counting rate at that time. The points on the curves for these shots at 27 hours are based on the Mo^{99} -to- Kr^{85} fission ratios corrected by the Kr^{88} -to- Kr^{85} ratio at 12 hours. On Koa the late-time fission ratio is extrapolated and the Sr^{90} and Cs^{137} fractions


(

L

F.

71

. 76

I

•



Fig. 3.2

F1. .)

					-	TAVI	لمعكسا				•			
		PARTI	CLE-QAS FISS	ION BA	TIOS ANI	R-VALUES	FOR SAMPLE	S FROM	LIGHT /	UND VARIABL	E WIND LAYER	~41		
	-		KOA				NALNUT				OAK			
		·		Rava	Lues	•		Revalu	101	;		R-Yalues		
	ទិសាញ វិ ទ	Fission Ration Roy/Kr85	м ^{,99} /к. ^{85⁺}	Br.90	0s137	Fiesiofi <u>Batios</u> M099/Kr ⁵⁸	No99/Ir ⁶⁵	5 ⁺ Br90	C	Fiseion Batios No99/Kr ⁶⁶	He ⁹⁹ /Xr ^{85[†]}	5r90 0.	ر بة	1
	и 136 и 770	0,027 0.022	0.023	5.62 1.37	27.8 3.85	•								
74	978 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	(6.5 hrs) (27.5 hrs)				1955 1955 1955 1950 1950 1950 1950 1950	0.72 0.65 0.65 0.42 0.42 0.42 0.42	1.07 0.94 0.94 1.05 0.94 1.10 05 0.11	2.27 2.23 2.33 2.59 2.59 2.59 2.51 2.51 2.51 2.51 2.51 2.51 2.51 2.51					
	9099976 97699976 97699976 97699976 97699999 9769999999									0.075 0.10 0.091. 0.078 0.15 0.15	0.15 0.19 0.25 0.25 0.19 0.15	41478 41410000000000000000000000000000000000	15 22 25 13	l i
	977 II II 779 A BBBU	med R ⁹⁹ (Kr ⁹	¹⁵) = 1 for t	he dev.	i ces					1	96.0	1.32	- 0 1	
								-9						

.`

7**9**.,

SECRETERESSIEREDED AFT.A

are calculated from R-values averaged from the particulate samples taken in the main cloud on the same aircraft as the gas samples. . The fractions for Oak are also from averages, here in the light and variable stratum, while for Walnut the stabilized condition shown in Figure 3.1 is used. Sample 980 L for Oak is not included due to the poor sampling conditions.

The fractions of these nuclides remaining in the cloud after one day are given in Table 3.2. These numbers are to be interpreted as the quantity of material which does not come down in the local area. The limits assigned are derived from the variability in the data.

TABLE 3.2

PERCENT CONTINUE OF NUCLIDES LEFT IN CLOUD AFTER ONE DAY M099 Cs¹³⁷ Sr 90 36 ± 36 Koa 2 + 2 8 + 8 36 ± 9 Walnut 24 + 6 20 + 5 49 ± 23 23 + 10 Oak 11 ± 5

Of the curves for the fraction of Mo⁹⁹ left in the clouds, the one for the water surface burst shows to a considerable degree the behaviour anticipated when the project was planned. On the reef shot, the points appear to be fluctuating around a fraction of 0. 11, whereas for the land surface detonation there is insufficient data to do anything but extrapolate beyond 6.5 hours. Since it is likely that the fission ratios would be around one

amin

Act of Ac

.80

initially, the curves shown for Oak and Koa may be only the relatively flat part which appears for Walnut at a later time. This seems to be consistent with what is surmised about the cloud particle size distribution for land and water shots.

In addition to the samples from the light and variable wind layer, there were also a number of collections made on each shot at lower altitudes. Although not of direct application to the project objectives, the radiochemical data for these samples is instructive since it shows how the nuclide composition of the particulate matter varied with altitude. Some of the samples came from the bottom portions of the clouds, but those collected at the lowest altitudes may have been below the base of the mushroom and would perhaps be considered as fallout. Table 3.3 gives a summary of the Sr^{90} and Cs^{137} R-values for the three shots as related to altitude and time of collection. The R-values for the samples marked with an asterisk were calculated as gross figures from the R-values for the size-separated fractions. For the land surface shot the R-values show a general increase with altitude, attaining values at 60,000 feet which are 10 (Sr^{90}) to 40 (Cs^{137}) times those expected for the detonation. The water shot R-values are relatively insensitive to altitude, and the enrichment factor is not more than 2 for either nuclide. Samples collected below 45,000 feet may be from the

0018933

76

15032

10000 President of 195

		(. (
		(751) ⁹⁹ (137)	23366836438 33366836438
		R ⁹⁹ (90)	0.20 1.22
•	OAX	Sempling Time Hrs.	889 89 89 89 89 89 89 89 89 89 89 89 89
addr	ł	Sampling Altitude, Feet	55,500 57,50 57,0000 57,0000 57,0000 57,0000 57,0000 57,0000 57,0000 57,0000 57,00000 57,00000 57,0000000000
ES VS ALTI		R ⁹⁹ (137)	
ABLE 3.3 37 R-VALUI	5	R ⁹⁹ (90)	0.77 0.77 0.77 0.77
O AND C ^{B¹}	MALM	Sampling Time, Hrs.	21.2.2.2 21.2.2.2 21.2.2.2 21.2.2.2 21.2.2.2 21.2.2.2 21.2.2.2 21.2.2.2 21.2.2.2.2
SrS		Sampling Altitude, Feet	500 500 500 500 500 500 500 500 500 500
	•	R ⁹⁹ (137)	27.88 2.7.88 2.64 5.64 5.64 33.08 33.08 33.08 33.08 33.08 33.08 33.08 33.08 33.08 5.64 5.64 5.64
		к ⁹⁹ (90)	0.47 0.72 0.72 0.77 0.77 0.77 1.72 1.72 1.72 0.77 0.77 0.77
	KOA	Sampling Time Hrs.	88 + + 11 8 - 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5
-		Sumpling Altitude, Feet	39,000 10,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 10,000

fallout.

On the reef shot it appears that the sampling planes were just entering the base of the cloud at the 55,000 foot level since there is a sudden jump in the R-values at this point. The material collected at lower altitudes is depleted in both Sr^{90} and Cs^{137} and is not greatly different in composition from the fallout at 1000 feet. It is also noted that the enrichment factors for both nuclides go through a maximum with time for the samples from the light and variable stratum. Several conjectures might be offered in explanation of this unexpected behavior with time. One of these is that some sampling may have been done at the lower boundary of the light a variable stratum where some of the particles collected had fallen below the stratu where the rare gases were present. This could also be offered as a possible ex-planation for the late time rise in the Mo to Kr ratio in the Oak shot. Somewhat similar data for the Mo⁹⁹-to-Kr⁸⁸ and Kr⁸⁸ to-Kr⁸⁵ ratios

for the first four hours following detonation are given in Table 3.4. The Mo⁹⁹ to Kr⁸⁸ ratios are also shown graphically in Figure 3.4. At the lower altitudes the Mo⁹⁹ is enriched and the Kr⁸⁸ depleted with respect to Kr⁸⁵.

3.1.2 Fallout Data. The radiochemical data on the fallout samples may he used to obtain results for the distribution of Sr^{90} and Cs^{137} which are complementary to those found from the cloud analyses. The fraction of the total Mo⁹⁹ formed in the explosion which has left the cloud is found by difference from the numbers given in Table 3.2. Multiplication of these figures by the Sr^{90} and Cs^{137} R-values for the fallout and division

?3

A BEB C.R. F. T. R. B. S. P. R. LYRING MAN

TABLE 3.4

Mo-TO-Kr AND Kr⁰⁰-TO-K^{U5} RATIOS FOR FIRST FOUR HOURS, SHOT KOA

Kr ⁸⁸ /Kr ^{85*}	410.0	0.73		ł	•
Kr ⁸⁸ /Kr ⁸⁵ [*]	0.02	תיו	1	1.38	
⁸⁰ 99/Kr ⁸⁸	· 9,450	0,31	0.34	01027	
Mo ⁹⁹ /Kr ^{85m+}	270.0	64.0		0.052	•
Mo99/Kr ⁸⁵⁺	192.9	0.32	1 1 1	8	
Sempling Altitude, Feet	41,000	47,000	47,000	61, 500	
Sempling Time, brs	2.5	3.5	3.5	3.75	
Sample No.	502 R	500 L	500 R	977 R	

continued

80

Ċ

* Besumed $R^{99}(Kr^{88}) = R^{99}(Kr^{85m}) = R^{99}(Kr^{85})$

+ assumed $R^{99}(Kr^{85})$ and $R^{99}(Kr^{85m})$ = 1 for the device.

R. D. B. C. B.

8580

CONT'D	
3.4,	
LABLE	

L L L L L

C.S.E.C.B.E.D

• . . MO-TO-Kr AND Kr⁸⁹. TO. Kr⁸⁵ RATIOS FOR FIRST FOUR HOURS, SHOT WALNUT *

Kr ⁸⁸ /Kr ⁸⁵	0.00508	1.63) 8 9 1	1.69	1.21	1.66	0.98	1.04	18.0	0.88	
Kr ⁸⁸ /Kr ⁸⁵ a [#] ·	0.0082	7.47	T T T	1.47	1.43	1.52	0.92	0.84	17.0	0.73	
M099/Kr ^{BB}	294	0.28		0.25	0.27	0.20	0•51	0•49	0•50	0.51	
⁴⁰ 99/Kr ⁸ 5m ⁺	3.44	0.60		0.53	0.54	0.43	0.67	0.59	0.50	0.54	
M099/Kr ⁸⁵⁺	2.14	0.66	0.59	0.61	0.46	0.47	0.72	0.73	0.60	0.65	
Sampling Altitude, Feet	142,000	114,000	144,000	148,000	48,000	50,000	58,000	58,000	58,000	58,000	
Sempling Time, hrs	2.5	3.1	3.1	3.15	3.15	3.7	1.6	1.6	3. 4	3.4	
Somple No.	501 L	504 L	504 R	196t	496 r	500 R	982 L	982 R	980 L	980 п	

(

continued

Atomic Energy A

+ assumed $\mathbb{R}^{99}(\mathrm{Kr}^{85}$ and $\mathbb{R}^{99}(\mathrm{Kr}^{85m}) = 1$ for the device

86

 $\approx \text{assumed } \mathbb{R}^{99}(\text{Kr}^{83}) = \mathbb{R}^{99}(\text{Kr}^{85m}) = \mathbb{R}^{99}(\text{Kr}^{85})$

TABLE 3.4, CONT'D

Mo-TO-Kr AND Kr⁸⁸ TO-Kr⁸⁵ RATIOS FOR FIRST FOUR HOURS, SHOT OAK

Kr ⁸⁸ /Kr ^{85*'}	8 8 1	0.095	0.047	1	0.057		0.84	1.40	1.31	1.39
Kr ⁸⁸ /Kr ^{85m*}	8 1 1	0.079	0.049	1	0.054		69.0	1.36	1.25	1.18
M099/Kr.BB	8 8 8	Н	66	İ	- 29		1•5	0°042	010	0.12
M099/Kr85m ⁺	1 1 1	1.21	4.63	1- 1	5.00	8	1.51 [`]	0.15	0.18	0.21
M099/Kr ⁸⁵⁺	1.67	1.45	4.48	3.08	5.29	4.52	1.85	0.15	0.19	0.25
Sampling Altitude, Feet	45,500	45,500	47,000	1,7,000	49,000	49,000	54,000	56,400	56,400	2
Sampling Time, hrs	3.9	3.9	2.8	2.8	3.25	3.25	3.75	2.1	2.1	3.2
Sample No.	501 L	501 R	50h L	504 R	196 I	1496 R	1495 L	978 L	978 R	981 в

* assumed $\mathbb{R}^{99}(\mathrm{Kr}^{88}) = \mathbb{R}^{99}(\mathrm{Kr}^{85m}) = \mathbb{R}^{99}(\mathrm{Kr}^{85})$ + assumed $\mathbb{R}^{99}(\mathrm{Kr}^{85m})$ and $\mathbb{R}^{99}(\mathrm{Kr}^{85}) = 1$ for devices

> F 7 84

88,

S.F. G.R.F. C. R. B. S. C.R. L. C. T. F. M. H. T. H.

by the device R-values convert them to fractions of the two nuclides in the fallout Table 3.5 lists results obtained in this way based on the averaged composition for the fallout.

Table 3.5

DATA ON NUCLIDES IN FALLOUT

	<u>R-Value(A</u>	verage)	Fract	ion De	posited
	<u>Sr⁹⁰</u>	<u>Cs137</u>	<u>Mo</u> 99	<u>Sr</u> 90	<u>Cs</u> 137
Koa	0.52	0.44	0.98	0.66	0.48
Walnu t	0.78	1.13	0.80	0.21	1.00
Oak	0.45	0.40	0.89	0.52	0.40

All the fallout samples from the land and reef shots show depletion of both Sr^{90} and Cs^{137} as compared to the detonation yields. This is most pronounced in the earliest samples. Material coming down at times later than 4 hours for the land shot, and 6 hours for the reef shot, is quite uniform in composition and exhibits little evidence of fall rate-dependent fractionation.

The 4-hour fallout from the water surface shot is depleted in both Sr^{90} and Cs^{137} , but the 10- and 13-hour samples show an enrichment. The two latter samples have nearly the same composition. The failure of the 6- and 8-hour flight missions makes the data rather scanty in this case.

These effects are brought out clearly by the numbers listed in Table 3.6.

3.1.3 Combined Cloud and Fallout Data. If alternative processes to fallout are not important, fission products with volatile predecessors can be as useful as gaseous fission products for measuring the extent of fallout. Since it is incorrect to assume that the content of a volatile fission product in fallout is zero, the R

TABLE 3.6

ENRICHMENT FACTORS IN FALLOUT

(

-	³ 1 ^R 2	.58 0.20	49 0.24	•63 0•59	.63 0.61	.60 0.58			
NAK .	Sampling 1 Time, hrs	RL 4 0	R2 6 0	R3 8 0	R4 10 0	R5 12 0		allout	the device
	Sample No.	Maggive	Маввіте	Massive	Massive	Маввіте		rved in f	cted from
	۲ ²	0.63	1.57	1.57				орве	erpe
	۲ h	0.68	1.25	1.13				о Мо95	o Mo95
KOA	Sempling Time, hrs	4	9	13				of Sr90 t	of Sr90 t
	Sample No.	Massive l Rl	Massive 2 Rl	Massive 2 R2				Ratic	Ratic
	R2	0.37	0.54	0.54	0.52	0.50	0.48		ы Ч Ч
	R1	0.62	0.68	0.68	0.68	07.0	69•0	0)99(a	د ب
	Sampling Time, hrs	4	6	в	JO	21	с б		· 01 [/ / /
	Sample No.	Massive Ll	Маввіve R2	Маввіте R3	Massive R4	Маввіче R5	Wilson Sp. F	в в Гв99/с	י יו יו יו

Ratio of Ca¹³⁷ to Mo99 observed in fallout Ratio of Ca¹³⁷ to Mo99 expected from the device

R

 $R_2 = \left[R^{99}(137) \right]_{FO} ; \left[R^{99}(137) \right]_{E}$

84

STERERE TIME FISST R. S. C.T. B. D. D. T. A. A.

value in fallout must be measured. Then:

$$y = \frac{\left[R^{99}(\Upsilon)\right]_{E} - \left[R^{99}(\Upsilon)\right]_{F0}}{\left[R^{99}(\Upsilon)\right]_{C} - \left[R^{99}(\Upsilon)\right]_{F0}}$$

This formula can be derived by algebraic operations from the definitions of the R-values (see Appendix E). If, despite the fact that it is incorrect, the R value for Y in fallout is assumed to be zero, the above equation reduces to the expression for a gas and y becomes the upper limiting value for the fraction of Mo^{99} (or re-fractory debris) left in the region sampled.

Fission products such as Sr^{89} and Cs^{137} (to a somewhat lesser extent Sr^{90}) appear to behave very much like Kr^{88} in Koa, Walnut, and Oak events and may be used to estimate fractional fallout of refractory debris or upper limits to the fraction remaining aloft.

The disadvantage of using Sr^{89} or Cs^{137} for this purpose is that R values must be measured in fallout and are not necessarily constant. The chief advantage is that the analyses may be extended to longer times since the half-lives are long and a sufficient sample may be obtained by simply filtering more air.

Values have been calculated in the above manner and are given in Table 3.7.

85

90, in

G-C-R-E-F--R-E-CITR-LIGT E.D.D A.T.I.

Table 3.7

Mo⁹⁹ FRACTIONS FROM COMBINED DATA

Ti	me of Co	llection (hr)		Fraction	of Mo ⁹⁹ in	Cloud C	Calculated	From:
	Cloud	Fallout	<u>Sr⁸⁹</u>	Cs137	<u>sr⁹⁰</u>	<u>_y91</u>	Ce ¹⁴⁴	Cs ¹³⁰
	4.5	6	0.019	0.013	0.049	0.26	0.57	1.10
Koa	7.3	8	0.014	0.011	0.033	0.20	0.53	0.58
	8	10	0.016	0.013	0.041	0.28	0.61	0.81
	ш	12	0.013	0.01 0	0.030	0.22	0.86	0.76
Walnut	1.6	4	0.20	0.28	0.45	0.90	1.6	0 .46
	3.4	4	0.32	0.44	0.60	1.04	1.6	0.44
	6.8	13	-	-		0.93	1.4	0.19
Oak	2.1	4	0.19	0.21	0.26	0.43	0.30	0.78
	2.1	6	0.17	0,20	0.29	0.51	-0.06	0.81
	6	8	0.06	0.05	0.11	0.17	0.05	0.31
	6	10	0.06	0.05	0.11	0.20	-0.03	0.30

In calculating the above values for fraction of Mo⁹⁹ in the cloud, the data must be picked from Tables Bl thru B6 with care. Only cloud samples taken in the light and variable layers are used and these are matched on an individual basis with height line samples taken at a later time, wherever possible.

The half lives of the noble gas precursors of the nuclides used above are: Cs^{137} , 3.8 min.; Sr^{89} , 3.2 min.; Sr^{90} , 33 sec.; Y^{91} , 10 sec.; Ce^{144} ,~1 sec.; Cs^{136} , none. The fraction of Mo⁹⁹ remaining in the cloud as calculated by each of these nuclides increases inversely as the half life of the nuclides noble gas precursor. This indicat that these nuclides and their precursors were not distributed in the same ratio through out the cloud even at very early times. If they were so distributed, the fraction of

85(a)

E.C.R. E.T. R. Date Tille I. D. T. D. D. M. H. H.

Mo⁹⁹ as calculated from each of them should be identical. This indicates that the light and variable layer is not representative of the total cloud.

The Mo⁹⁹ fractions calculated from Cs^{137} and Sr^{89} , the two nuclides having the longest lived noble gas precursors, are compared with the Mo⁹⁹ fractions calculated from Kr^{88} in Table 3.8.

85(ъ)

Neb cite

ctrof: 1954

3
3
5
ΩQ.
<u>_</u>
Q
\sim

ĺ

Table 3.8

Sr⁹⁰ and Cs¹³⁷ IN CLOUD FRACTIONS OF Mo⁹⁹.

		1		00		,				
E E	collection r.)	Fract Cloud	ion of Mo	ot in ed From:	Fraction Using Mo	99 Calcul	in Cloud Lated From:	Fraction Using M	n of Calcul	in Cloud Ated From:
তা	Fallout	Sr ⁸⁹	Cs137	Kr ⁸⁸	Sr ⁸⁹	_{Cs} 137	Kr ⁸⁸	Sr ⁸⁹	Cs ¹³⁷	Kr ⁸⁸
	4	0.023	0°017	0.027 .	71.0	0.12	0.20	0.72	0.53	0.8/.
	6	0.019	0.013	0.027	مد.0	0.095	0.20	0.59	07-0	D_RI.
	ı	ł	1	0.022	I	I	0.039			0,091
	Ð	110.0	110.0	ł	71.0	11.0	i	19-0	0-1.8	
	IO	0.016	0.013	1	0.15	21.0	. 1	09.0	67-0	1
	77	0.013	010.0	1	٩٢.0	11.0	1	0.67	0.51	I
	4	0.20	0.28	0.50	0.28	0.39	0.70	0.39	0.55	0_08
	4	0.32	0-44	0.50	0.39	0.54	0.61	0.47	0.65	0.74
all Lati	other sets c on to analyz	of data f se by thi	or Walnut s method.	both the	cloud and	fallout	are enriched	in Sr ⁸⁹ ,	and Cal37,	an impossible
	4	0.19	0.21	0.088	0.42	. 24.0	0.20	0.77	0.85	0.36
	9	71.0	0.20	0.088	0.38	0.45	0.20	0.69	0.81	0.36
	9	0.078	0.093	0.084	0.32	0.38	0.34	0.65	0.78	0.70
	Ø	0.063	0.053	0.084	0.26	0.22	0.34	0.52	0-44	0.70
	στ	0.064	0.052	0.084	0.26	0.21	0.34	0.53	0.43	0.70

(

0.70

0-44 0-43

0.52 0.53

85(c)

;

The sum of the nuclide fractions from the cloud and fallout should be one in each case provided that the R-values used are representative of the cloud and fallou as a whole. This seems to be likely for the fallout where the R-values change only. relatively slightly with time but more doubtful in the cloud due to the scatter of the analytical results. Table 3.9 gives a comparison between the deposited fraction (from Table 3.5) and airborne fractions (from Tables 3.2 and 3.8). The agreement is generally as good as could be expected considering the nature of the data.

In shot Koa the gas sample data are very meager. The gas and particulate samples are not matched well in time and altitude. It is believed that the Mo99 fractions, and consequently the Sr90 and Cs137 fractions, as calculated from the Sr⁸⁹ and Cs¹³⁷ in the cloud and fallout are better values than those calculated from Kr⁸⁸.

For shot Walnut the late fallout results are limited and not interpretable in obtaining the fraction airborne, hence only the gas sample data have been used. These fallout data also lead to unreasonably large fractions deposited.

In shot Oak, both fallout and gas samples give similar values for the fractions deposited and airborne. The averages have been used.

Table 3.9

COMPARISON OF AIRBORNE AND DEPOSITED FRACTIONS

		<u>Sr</u> 90			<u>Cs137</u>	
	Fraction Deposited	Fraction <u>Airborne</u>	Total	Fraction Deposited	Fraction <u>Airborne</u>	Total
Koa	0.66	0.13	0.79	0.48	0.56	1.04
Walnut	0.81	0.24	1.05	1.00	0.36	1.36
Oak	0.54	0.23	0.77	0.41	0.49	0.90

1111

A CHER BOTH SUMAPOLITIC TORDED

3.2 DATA RELIABILITY

3.2.1 Cross-Contamination of Koa Samples. As discussed in Section 2.3.2, a preliminary examination of the samples from Shot Koa, shortly after their receipt. at LASL, indicated that they might be badly contaminated with debris from Fir. If this were the case, the fission ratios from the Koa cloud data could not be used for the determination of fallout partition since they would not be representative of the detonation. To investigate the extent of cross-contamination, the Koa samples were analyzed for W^{185} , Table 3.10 gives a summary of the results of this work. These numbers for the tungsten-to-fission ratios times 10^4 are to be compared with a figure of

Table 3.10

RADIOTUNGSTEN ANALYSES ON KOA CLOUD SAMPLES

Sample Number	W ¹⁸⁵ Atoms/Fission (x 104)		
977	481		
.569	1.62		
500	1.67		
502	2.58		
981 L	0.621		
981 R	16.2		
980 L	43.4		
Massive R4	1.81		
Massive R5	4.70		
Wilson Special R6	3.03		

36,000, which is an average of several analyses on material from the Fir cloud.

DELETED

DELETED

Hence the quantities of No and Kr introduce

into the Koa cloud from Fir were small enough so that they would have a negligible effect on the fission ratios.

86

0018933

D-D-A

9š

<u>3.2.2 Accuracy of Radiochemistry</u>. Radionuclide analyses on the particle samples were accurate to 5 percent on a relative basis and the gas counting had an accuracy better than 10 percent.

3.2.3 Reliability of Sampling. Certain points on the curves of Figure 3.1 are to be attributed somewhat less significance than the others due to uncertainties regarding the samples. On Koa the fission ratio for sample 981 R may be off by a factor of 2 due to the small sample size and high counter background from fallout which would decrease the counting accuracy. On Walnut sample 978 L (27.5 hr.) the probe velocity was low and Kr^{85} only was determined. Sample 980 L for Oak has been disregarded due to the very low probe velocity which would tend to make the Mo⁹⁹ to Kr^{88} ratio too high.

3.2.4 <u>Particle Fall Rates and Specific Activities</u>. The particle size distributions (and hence the specific activity as a function of particle size) could be altered in a number of ways before the fall rate studies were made. Among these are breakup of particles by impaction on the filter, loss of fine particles in handling, spontaneous breakup of particles in the fallout process itself due to atmospheric moisture (cf. Appendix C re the behavior of particles in liquids), and several other possible means of alteration.

One can calculate what fall rate a particle would need to fall 59,000 feet in 4 hours, i.e., to be collected in Koz Massive Ll. This fall rate is 125 cm/sec.

The diameter of a spherical particle with a fall rate of 125 cm/sec. is about 120 microns. Figure Cl gives essentially no particles with fall rates as great as 125 cm/sec. However, Figure C 10 gives about 30 per cent of the particles with diameters greater than 120 microns. This disagreement is possibly due to the effect of the micromerograph on weakly constructed particles, and the effect may not be uniform on all types of particles.

Hart Ballen Ballen Ballen

TABLE 3.11^a

CLOUD DATA, OPERATION REDWING

ł

Ć

Water Shot (Navajo)	мо ⁹⁹ :Кт ^{ВВ}	14.3	100.0	0.00L	0.54
	R ⁹⁹ (90)	0.75	0.64	0.68	8 3 3
	Altitude, feet	39,000	43,000	46,000	50,000
Reef Shot (Tewa)	мо ⁹⁹ :кт ⁸⁸	16.6	14.3	0.77	0.59
	R99(90)	<u>44.0</u>	74.0	0.86	1.5 ,
	Altitude, feet	32,000	48,000	51,000	53,000
Land Shot (Zuni)	Mo ⁸⁶ :Kr ⁸⁸	50.0	2.5	11.0	
	R ⁹⁹ (90)	0.51	0.64	2.0	
	Altitude, feet	000 , 14	51,000	55,000	
				88	

a. Reference 26.

(

9 794

IC Energy

ECRE

E-C-R-E-T-R+E-G-T-R-L+C-D-E-D-D-M-T-A

The above example illustrates the inconsistencies in the data and points out the need for caution in making interpretations based on them. 3.3 COMPARISON WITH THE RESULTS OF PREVIOUS TESTS

Shots were fired during Operation Redwing under conditions similar to those of the present series and some data are available from published reports which may be used for comparison purposes. Results on the No⁹⁹ to Kr⁸⁸ ratios and Sr⁹⁰ R-values as a function of altitude in the cloud for the first four hours are reproduced in Table 3.11 from Reference 26. It is noted that for the land and reef shots the Sr⁹⁰ R-values increase and the Mo⁹⁹ to Kr⁸⁸ ratios decrease in a way generally comparable to the similar Hardtack events. On the water shots the Sr⁹⁰ R-values are nearly constant with altitude, as with Walnut, but the No⁹⁹ to Kr⁸⁸ ratios are not comparable.

The fallout R-values for the Hardtack shots are generally not inconsistent with those arrived at for the Redwing shots by Project 2.63. The latter gave radionuclide compositions which generate computed decay curves in good agreement with those actually measured on several different types of instruments. The R-valu from Redwing are listed in Table 3.12.

Table 3.12

		R-VALUES FO	DR REDWING			
<u>R⁹⁹(90)</u>			<u>R⁹⁹(1</u>	$\frac{R^{99}(137)}{137}$		
Shot	Cloud	Average Fallout	Cloud	Fallout		
Flathead	~1.1	0.34	~2.3	0.32		
Nava jo	-	0.8	<u>ب</u>	0.7		
Tewa	~1.0	0.29	~1.5	0.14		
Zuni	~2.0	0.25	~2.8	0.08		

SECRETTINESSER OF TEUDATA

Fallout R-values for Sr^{90} and Cs^{137} collected in different locations from Tewa and Zuni showed variations of up to an order of magnitude. The fallout collections from those stations closest to the zero point were most depleted in these nuclides. Flathead and Navajo gave much less change in the R-values with distance from the zero point; at most a factor of two.

3.4 EFFECTIVENESS OF INSTRUMENTATION

The aircraft-borne sampling equipment performed in a generally satisfactory manner throughout the entire operation with the exception of some malfunctioning of the gas compressor pumps on the first shot. This was due primarily to the shortage of time for checkout prior to actual operational use. As the participating personnel gained experience, communications improved and the sampling flights progressed more smoothly. Each of the three types of aircraft sampling equipment is considered to be well suited for its intended use.

Difficulties experienced with the rocket samplers are fully described in Chapter II and Appendix A.

90

CHAPTER IV

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

4.1 CONCLUSIONS

The failure of the rocket sampling program made it necessary to rely almost exclusively upon the technique of relative enrichment of volatile material in an isolated portion of the cloud for the measurement of fallout partition. This technique is an unproved one which includes some rather bold assumptions, and a number of experimental difficulties.

It should be born in mind that it was not possible to sample at altitudes as high as desirable, and differences in cloud height with energy release and their subsequent effects upon fallout partition were not clearly defined. However, with these reservations it is concluded that the technique generated a reasonably consistent body of data which was interpretable in the fashion expected.

The pattern of progressive enrichment of volatile material in an isolated portion of the cloud was displayed in Walnut on a rather long time scale. However, if progressive enrichment occurred in Koa and Oak, it was on a time scale short compared to two hours. Since the program for early sampling by rockets was not successful, no data exist for these shots to demonstrate a time-dependent effect in the direction of enrichment.

1. The results suggest that for megaton range weapons detonated at the ocean surface, around one-fourth of the Sr^{90} and one-third of the Cs^{137} formed will be dispersed over distances greater than 4,000 miles.

2. Corresponding figures for a coral land surface or reef are around one-fifth for Sr^{90} and one-half for Cs^{137} .

ECHETARE BELRICED DATA

3. Radionuclide fractionation is pronounced in shots over a coral land surface. The local fallout is depleted in both Sr^{90} and Cs^{137} , while the upper portions of the clouds are enriched. Fractionation is much less for water surface shots.

4. Nuclear clouds are non-uniform in composition and certain nuclide ratios vary by rather large amounts from top to bottom. Again, this is much larger for land than water surface detonations.

5. The radiochemical studies of fine and coarse particles indicate that the fission products with rare gas precursors, Sr^{89} , Sr^{90} , Y^{91} , and Cs^{137} are in general more concentrated in the fine particles in the land and reef shots. In the water surface shot they appear to be more evenly distributed among the particle groups.

6. Sr^{90} and Cs^{137} distributions computed from cloud and fallout data are roughly in agreement with one another.

4.2 RECOMMENDATIONS

The ratio of local to world-wide fallout is essentially governed by the distribution of particles with respect to size and altitude in the cloud at stabilization (i.e. at an early time before appreciable fallout has occurred), and the specific activity of radionuclides of interest as a function of particle size. The latter function may vary with altitude in the cloud at stabilization.

The basic types of information necessary to calculate the fractions of a given radionuclide in local and worldwide fallout from particulate samples are:

1) the particle size at which division into local and worldwide fallout occurs for each sample

- 2) the fraction of the volume of the cloud swept out in obtaining each sample
- 3) the mass of each of the two groups of particles in each sample
- 4) the R values of the radionuclide of interest in each of the two groups of particles in each sample

The first of these can be calculated in advance from the criteria for worldwide fallout from the altitude of sample collection. The second can be calculated from the area of the sampling system by obtaining the total volume of the cloud and the cloud dimensions at various altitudes from cloud photography. The third can be obtained by separating the particles into the necessary two fractions during sampling and subsequently weighing each group. The fourth can be obtained by radiochemical analyses of each of the two particle groups.

It is recommended that such a program be carried out if the opportunity is presented by future weapons tests.

93

APPENDIX A

ROCKET DEVELOPMENT

A.1 HARDTACK PERFORMANCE

6 May Test

Four rockets were set up on Site Yvonne for testing during Shot Cactus, a 14-kt. detonation; two were located at 3200 feet from ground zero, while the others were placed at a position some 5000 feet further down-island. It was planned to fire both of the down-island rockets and one of those situated at 3200 feet to check out the performance of the array prior to operational use on Shot Koa. The remaining rocket was to be left unfired on its launcher so that the results of exposure to the detonation could be observed. The launching equipment for this rocket was rendered inoperative by the blast, but neither of the rockets at the close-in site were damaged. Both of the down-island rockets fired, and one penetrated the cloud and was recovered from the lagoon. However, it collected no activity since the cloud height was less than predicted and the sampler head was programmed to open at an altitude higher than the resultant cloud top. The second rocket flew in an erratic manner, missed the cloud and sank. Its nose cone was recovered from the bottom of the lagoon and a post-mortem examination indicated that the rocket had probably been damaged by a flying object prior to launching.

9 May Test

Two rockets were fired from Site Wilma for system check and nose cone recovery practice, but both cones were leaky and sank soon after striking the water. The cause of the leakage was not known, but it was thought that a contributing factor might have been the existence of a partial vacuum inside the sampling heads, since they were sealed at an altitude of about 80,000 feet where the ambient pressure is much below that at sea level. To correct this situation, small holes of about 0.040 inch diameter were drilled in the nose cones and coated with a hydrophobic grease, thereby allowing air pressure equalization without permitting the entry of water. Static tests showed that no water entered the sampler heads by this route.

13 May Test

Eighteen rockets were set up for firing at the Koa cloud, but, as described previously, none were launched (see Section 2.3.2).

26 May Test

After modification and testing of the launching equipment subsequent to Shot Koa, it was believed that the system was fully operational. It was desired at this time to test the complete array with a full complement of rockets. Four rockets were set up on Site Mary, eight on Site Sally and 6 on Site Wilma for firing at the Yellowwood cloud. The cloud

Alonne-Energy ANTIONICS

from Shot Yellowwood did not develop to the extent predicted, and launching signals were sent only to the launchers on Mary and Sally at H / 13-1/2 minutes. All rockets launched successfully. The rockets on Wilma were intentionally not launched, because it was apparent that their trajectories would not intersect the cloud. Even of those fired, four were seen to have missed the cloud. Three nose cones were recovered. The cap on the first nose cone was still intact, probably due to a short in the circuit that fired the nose cap removal squib; therefore, no sample was collected. The second nose cone was from a rocket programmed to open at 30,000 feet. When recovered, the nose cone contained about 60 ml. of water. At $H \neq 9$ hours the filter of this nose cone read about 1 mr./hr. at the surface. The third nose cone was from a rocket programmed to open at 55,000 feet. About 100 ml. of water had leaked into it, and the surface reading of its filter was 25 mr./hr at H / 9-1/2 hours. After this shot, an intensive effort was made to determine the cause of leakage of water into the nose cones. It was found that the ball joint sealing the forward end of the nose cone after sampling could bounce back a small amount after closure, thereby permitting water to enter. A latching mechanism was designed to lock the ball joint in its totally closed position. This modification was then applied to all nose cones.

96

At MICEPEREY School 954-1

l June Test

Three rockets were fired from Site Wilma to test the modified ball joint closure mechanism. The sustainer motor on the first rocket did not ignite, causing the nose cone to remain attached to this unit which fell into the lagoon and sank. The second rocket was damaged by impact with a coral head. The third nose cone was recovered intact and was dry inside. This represented a completely successful performance of the system. It appeared that the problem of water leakage into the nose cone had been solved.

19 June Test

Ten rockets were set up for firing at the Walnut cloud. Of these, six were successfully launched (see Section 2.3.3).

20 June Test

Because of the presence of water in the Walnut nose cones, two rockets were fired from Wilma to further investigate the cause of leakage. The nose cone of the first rocket failed to separate from the sustainer motor and was destroyed when it hit the reef. The second nose cone was recovered in the lagoon, and 50 ml. of water was found to have leaked into it. It was conjectured at this time that the low ambient temperature (-100 F°) encountered by the rocket at altitude might be freezing and causing distortion of the O-ring seals.

Atom Chenge Autor Post

23 June Test

A nose cone with parachute was dropped from a helicopter at an altitude of about 1,500 feet. It was recovered within two and a half minutes after striking the lagoon, and again, 50 ml. of water was found inside. The possibility of impact with the water causing the large rear conical seal to open momentarily now became suspect. This was suggested by the rather large volume of water that had entered in a relatively short time.

24 June Test

Two nose cones with parachutes were dropped from an altitude of 1,500 feet in an effort to determine the exact point of water leakage. In the first nose cone, the filter was replaced by a rubber membrane; and both the fore and aft spaces of the nose cone were stuffed with absorbent paper tissue, so any water leaking in would be retained near the point of entry. After recovery, it was found that no water had leaked into this unit. The second nose cone, which was the same one used in the 23 June test, was also stuffed with tižsue. However, a normal filter unit was used to separate the sections rather than a rubber membrane. When recovered, this nose cone was found to be dry inside. There was no difference between recovery conditions on the 23 and 24 June tests, except that the lagoon surface was rough on 23 June and calm on 24 June.

Appric Energy Act of 1954

LATER RESEARCH

It is seen in Figures A-1 and A-2, illustrating the programming of the rocket and the nose section of the nose section of the rocket, that the system is a complex one.

In the early stages of work on the rocket, prior to the field operation, it had been recognized that the chance of having a completely operational system ready for sampling the HARDTACK clouds was small, due to the short length of time available for development and test firing. Nevertheless, it seemed possible that defects of a minor nature which remained could be rectified in the field. The operational flights and tests already described show that significant progress was made toward this objective.

However, after the tests of 24 June, it became apparent that the cause of nose cone leakage and other malfunctions could not be determined and corrected with facilities available at the Pacific site. Further work, utilizing range and test installations in the United States, was essential to the attainment of a completely successful sampling system. Accordingly, the rocket portion of Project 2.8 was terminated on 27 June with the concurrence of the Chief, AFSWP, and the Division of Military Applications, AEC.; all unfired rounds were shipped to California.

From July to December 1958, the Cooper Development Corp. tested the rockets from the EPG to investigate possible modes of entry of water into the sampling heads (Reference 57).

Three nose cones identical to those flown in the last Pacific rounds

(

Figure A.2 Schematic View of Rocket Nose Section

were subjected to environmental tests at North American Aviation Co. during the month of July. The tests included low temperature cycle, vibration and acceleration.

For the low temperature tests, the forward and aft seals were closed and the programmer and its container were removed. Thermocouples were placed on the "O-rings" of the forward and aft seals. The assembly was brought to room temperature (75 F°) and the cold chamber was stabilized at -65 F°. The nose cone was placed in the cold chamber and allowed to stand for five minutes. At the end of that time, the forward seal "O-ring"¹⁰ temperature was -10 F°. The nose cone was removed from the cold chamber and allowed to remain at room temperature for 4 minutes, then completely submerged in water for one minute and allowed to float at its normal level for 4 minutes. When the cone was removed from the water and disassembled, it was found that no leakage had occurred.

The cone used for the vibration test was a complete flight-readyassembly except that the skin around the diffuser had been removed. The acceleration load was maintained at 5 g's while the vibration frequency was varied from 3 to 2000 cycles per second. The dwell time at each resonant frequency was one minute. The vibration was applied first in the plane parallel to the longitudinal center-line of the assembly, then in the plane perpendicular to the center-line. No failures occurred.

102 Atomic Dicov Actor
For the acceleration tests, a flight-ready nose cone assembly was separated into two sections at the filter joint. Both sections were placed on a spin table in the deceleration plane and the load was raised to 50 g's and held there for one minute. No failures occurred. The sections were then placed in the acceleration plane and the load was again increased to 50 g's and maintained at that level for one minute. The programmer started its functions at approximately 15 g's, continued to operate properly and no failures occurred. The test was then repeated using the nose cone which had been vibration tested and the results were the same. The four tests showed that the sampling cone design was entirely compatible with the anticipated environmental conditions.

Beginning on 17 July, further testing of possible sources of leakage in the sampling cones was conducted at the Morris Dam Small Calibre Range, Azusa, California, which is a facility of the U. S. Naval Ordnance Test Station, Pasadena, California. Ten assemblies were dropped into the water at various angles and with various modifications. The first 8 tests were carried out by dropping the assemblies from a height of approximately 32 feet at angles of 75° and 90° with the breathe hole left open. Other tests included drops of cones attached to parachutes from 100 feet, free-fall drops with the breathe hole closed, and parachute drops with a neoprene boot on the forward seal of the nose cones. The last 6 tests used

nergy Act

cones in which a vacuum (23 inches of mercury), similar to the nearvacuum of the upper atmosphere, had been induced. Examination of these assemblies after recovery showed that the vacuum remained when the breathe hole was sealed.

A total of 27 tests using 10 nose cone assemblies were conducted over a 5-day period. This work, plus further testing at the Cooper Development Corporation plant, indicated that certain points around the forward ballseal joint and the operating mechanism were susceptible to small leaks as the pressure difference between the interior and exterior of the diffuserfilter section increased. The neoprene boot, which covered the operating mechanism, had proved to be particularly vulnerable during the Pacific firings and later tests. The reliability of the seal was increased a great deal by redesign of the boot, and only infrequent minute leaks were observed after installation of the improved boots. These leaks were repaired as they occurred until the seal was tight enough to hold a pressure difference of 23 inches of mercury for 10 minutes.

Following the successful drop tests, two flight test rounds were fired at NAMTC, Point Mugu, California, on 24 July. The nose cones for these rounds were modified to incorporate the improvements which had been made during the tests at Morris Dam. All programmer function times were as planned and both rounds were judged to be quite successful. Their trajec-

104

tories were followed throughout the flights by range radar, enabling the impact points to be quickly located by radars on the search aircraft. The cones were then recovered by a rescue craft. One of them was completely dry and the second contained only a few ml. of water. When the cones were disassembled, it was observed that the dry one had maintained a partial vacuum while the other had apparently leaked air to equalize the pressure.

In spite of the success of the flight tests, it was felt that still further improvements could be made in sealing the diffuser-filter assembly. A conference was held in August between Cooper and UCRL personnel to investigate new approaches to the problem. After study of the design, it was concluded that moving the forward ball seal "O-ring" from the forward to aft side of the ball would eliminate several possible sources of leakage, although there would be some sacrifice of performance. Slight leakage had been observed during some of the tests at the rubber boot on the pushpull rod, around the nose cap cable entries and at the forward nose cap blow-off joint. Relocation of the "O-ring" to a position aft of these areas was expected to prevent any water which might enter from reaching the filter. All changes in design which had been made at the EPG and later, including the relocation of the "O-ring", were incorporated in a new set of drawings and two new nose cones were manufactured to the revised draw-

105

A CONTRACTOR AND A CONTRACT A

ings.

A new antenna system was devised for the recovery transmitter consisting of two bent dipoles located on opposite sides of the head and positioned as far forward as possible so that they would be above the surface of the water. This system was tested at Puddingstone Dam near Pomona, California on 20 November 1958. The antenna was first submerged, then the head was allowed to float during the test. Readable signals were received as far as 5 miles away with both ground and aircraft receivers. The signal was both stronger and steadier than that produced by the antennas used on the Pacific rounds.

Drop tests using the two redesigned nose cones were conducted at Morris Dam on 22 November 1958. The assemblies were dropped 5 times each from a height of 35 feet. No parachutes were used and the angle of impact was not controlled. Both assemblies remained completely dry on the inside throughout the tests. One cone was slightly damaged when it came to the surface under a steel barge, but this was quickly repaired.

The two new nose cones were assembled into flight rounds for tests at NAMTC, Point Mugu, on 2 December 1958. Both rounds were launched at a 75° elevation angle and azimuth of 217°. The second stage of the first round either failed to ignite, or ignited only partially, as evidenced by the lack of a contrail and the horizontal range of only 14,200 yards. Nose cone

STOR OF LESS STATISTICS

TE CRE THAN ESTRICITE THID AT A

separation and parachute deployment were achieved satisfactorily. The nose cone was located after impact by a very strong, steady, directional signal from the recovery transmitter and by sighting the dye marker. It was completely dry inside and a vacuum seal had been maintained for 2-1/2 hours. On the next round, second stage ignition was observed and the range radar showed nose cone separation at approximately 105,000 feet. The payload descended very rapidly and could not be located by the search craft. The radar plots gave no indication as to the nature of the malfunction which evidently occurred. It is possible that the second stage parachute failed to deploy or that the first stage parachute was fouled by the motor.

These were the final tests carried out in the development of an ocean recovery version of the cloud sampling rocket. The results indicate that the improvements in design made subsequent to the field operation have resulted in a more practical system than the one available in April, 1958. However, further flight testing would be desirable if the rocket is to be used in a future cloud sampling program.

- Romiter and some act

STE-GORDELT = R-E-S-T-R-T-CUT L-D = D ALT-A

APPENDIX B

RADIOCHEMICAL DATA TABLES

The tables given in this section (B. 1 through B. 6) contain a compilation of radiochemical data for all the samples collected by Project aircraft. The samplers are identified by the number or name of the sampling plane. The letters R or L placed next to the aircraft number (or name) indicate that sampling units toward the right or left side of the aircraft were used. The single rocket sample obtained is also included. The analytical results are tabulated separately for the gas and particulate samples from the three shots. Data on the particulate material is divided into three groups, namely gross cloud samples, size-separated cloud samples and fallout samples. In each table the data are arranged in the order of increasing time of collection.

The following general remarks will serve to clarify certain entries in the tables:-

1. All fission values based on Mo^{99} in the particulate sample tabulations have been normalized to a LASL K factor of 2.50 x 10⁵.

2. The disintegration rates of Po²¹⁰ and Cm²⁴² listed in the tabular results have been corrected to the zero time of the event.

3. The amount of Po^{210} loaded on KOA was 5.487 x 10^{14} disintegrations per minute as of the zero time of KOA.

108

TO BREETINR ESTIRA COTEDERATA

4. The amount of Cm^{242} loaded on KOA was 2, 418 x 10¹⁴ disintegrations per minute as of the zero time of KOA.

5. The amount of Cm^{242} loaded on OAK was 5.674 x 10¹⁴ disintegrations per minute as of the zero time of OAK.

6. All Sr⁸⁹ and Sr⁹⁰ R values have been normalized to the LASL values by means of the KOA samples analyzed at both LASL and NRDL.

7. All Y⁹¹ R values have been normalized to the NRDL values by means of the KOA samples analyzed at both LASL and NRDL.

8. The term "probe velocity" refers to the pumping speed in the gasparticle coincident sampler. Samples collected at a low probe velocity are very likely non-representative of the cloud.

9. On KOA the Massive samples were collected on the 60,000 foot height line; the Wilson Special sample was from the general fallout.

10. The fine and coarse fractions for the KQA and OAK size-separated samples were separated at a nominal fall rate of 1 cm/sec. Nominal fall rates for the WALNUT fractions were: i rates for the WALNUT fractions were: fine fraction, less than 0.1 cm./sec.; medium fraction, 0.1 - 1.0 cm./sec.; coarse fraction, greater than 1 cm./sec.

11. The sampling altitudes given for Aircraft 978 on WALNUT and 981 on OAK are thought to be too high, but more reliable figures are not available.

109 S E C R F T. R E S.T.R I C T E D B A T A Along the construction of 1954

		-						•••			
•			•	•					,		
				+ + 	951 I	977 ¥	20 yo 20 yo 20 yo	Alteraít 4 elde	١	1	
•	0	- -		n R-frets	6.5	3.15	J. 5	Time (hrs)			
		•		r of 1 we	57000	61500	41000 47000	Altitnd. (ft)			
				a used in calc	0.66		0.10 0.68	Prr be v Alforaft v	· •		
· ·				ulsting the verious Kr 1 for Kr ⁸⁸ fissions when c		from Xeljj from Xeljj	Low probe valocity Probably below mila c	Reverte			
				fission ysl calculating	0		iloud 13	fisie No			
				ucs. the fract	.054	0 2 0	8 ⁵	₍₁ -01 * \$8	_		
	111		•	tion of Po ⁹⁸ , Sr90,	1.7/0.7	_14.7/1.5 49.7/1.2	0.001£0.0015 13.7£0.5	"fissions x 10-11 Krff	TABLE BZ		
				and Call? in the plo	1.9/1.2	16.141 T	0.0%0£0.002 11.9£0.8	U. actual att.			
	-		•	•	2.421,8		0.07 <u>6</u> 0.11 16.1	* f1+s10295x 10-11		-	
	-	· · · ·	<u>-</u>		0.023	0. 35	0.308	fseelone to PP fseeline 2,88			
								x M ⁹⁹ (%r ³⁸) ²		55981: 0	
F		. -				nckT	UCHL	Analysia by			
I .	1									12	

Massive 2 9 Massive 2 9 Device	Vblakey 6 Nasalmo 1 3	316		912	976	35	916	8	je y	ž¥	i Si	902	Alrenaft	
12	5 8 1	28.		1.5	27.5	12.3	6.5	J.7	ۍ.ړ ۱.۴		2.5	1.6	Time (bra)	
1000	1 000	58,500		57,000	58,500	57,500	64,000	50.000	58.000	u, 000	42,000	57.500	1]111030 (11)	12 (2013) 24 h
57011, 平50 67011, 平50 67011, 平50	(Tase, rocket	fine enting conree	EoArs.	fine	GTONN, LASL 'A'	GTOSS, LASE 'L'	gross, LASE 'A'	gross, LASL 'A'	TTILL LASE 141	GTONN, LASL 'A'	gross, List iai	gross, LASE 111	340șle Ty;e	
13-0 132.7	4.33	12.0 52.6 73.2	16.5 31-4	3.57	16.1	12.3	12.3	28.5	, <u>75</u>	26.5	50.0		tieslogg x 10 ^{-1;}	4
い 28 28	19.7			,	1	•	ı	1 1	٩.	1	,	•	3 10 12 2 ca45	
0.494 1.10 0.967 0.55	0.577	1.48 1.24 1.26	1.40	0.166	1.41	1.43	1. 30	0.989 1.79	1.68	1,20	0.797	- Gros	8 27 ⁴ 9	٠
0.520 0.861 0.77	0.K5K	1.22 1.12 1.11		0.880	1.16	1.15	1.05	0.938	1.39	1.27	0.745	Partice	제 PART	1441
0.592 0.893 0.721 0.85	919-0 919-0	0.915		-	0.576	0.591	0.857	0.643	0.942	0.898	0.711	0.A77	16 ^Å	F
7.0 7.92 7.92	7.03	1.72	1,1	- - -	5.79	مح ، و	6.91	18	8.65		7.16	5. 25 5	sworing c, 136 R	
0.565 1.41 1.41 0.9	0.616	1.56			1.84	1.23	1.69	1.33	2.02	ī.v	1.00	1.7	ce137 R	
0.763 0.870 0.85	0.740	- 0, KD2 0, 772			0.791	0.792	0.786	0.809	0.798	0.770	0.726	0.107	ն 1/11 1	
4.92 4.65	3.61	1.92 1.92	Air	•	1	ı	J.67	3.70	• •	-	3.47	3.74	8 8 1 56	
0.126 0.139 0.396	0.104	0.115 0.105 0.105	0.111 0.121	5 5	L.	•	0.10J	101.0	0.104	0.100	0.397	0.102	1/r 1/r	
·					0.030	0.044	0.036	0.025	0.034	0.039	0.051	0.026	Ke 12 f	
Angt Mgr	, NA 01.	NHOT NHOT NHOT	NFDT NHDT MADT	-	LASL			שינות בנינו וא שיינים ירי ירי בירשורים ערי יעונים. ארו איז	1671		Lusi	L45L	Amilyolo Vy	ددورور
								n 1						

A DATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PART

••

| ?:| //8

						0.7 / 0.1	for Er ⁹⁸ ,	∕ Device R	
							nd for Nr	• R • R •	
	8.13	•	1	2.64	or probe welocity	, 10 L	ייעניע		
	13.4	17,140.7	16.240.8	4.72			5	27.5	128 1
	, 15.2	19. * <u>/</u> 2,5	16.540.1	, e		0.71	57800	12.3	1 13
· · ·	12.7	14. #20.5	11.120.4	с. ущ		0,79	•	•	75 B
	15.4	16.049.9	25.620.4	7.25		0.74	64000	6.a	1]8 L
	27.2	12. 20.5	24.0 <u>6</u> 0.3	17.6		D. #6	50000	3.7	8
	26.1	n.1£0.1	21.9£0.8	15.6			•	-	3 #
	19.7	16.16.3	23. <i>11</i> 20.6	9.01		20 00 20 00 20 00	55000	۲. ۲	5 - 3
	17.5	<u> </u>	29.5£0.3	10.7		0 %	-	-	\$. ₩
6	6.14ء ال	ı	ı	5,46		0.10	18000	3,15	55 F
	10.7	11.940.4	17.5£0.9	7.09			-	- ;	2 1
	2,56	1.5% 27.03	0.013 20.011	5.47		,			ί: Γ Ι
	20.2	25 751 7	21.547.6 21.6/0.3	15. n 15. n		0,92	occas	4 6. 7 0	
	flaalong x 10	flastonasz 19-11	fissions x 10-11	Holesion x 12-11	Bonarka	Alfora(t v	41111uce	(br.)	5 81 5 8

-

. r

> · 17 19

...

ł

•

123

001A 455

1

TABLE B5

•			g77 b	E 096	98º L	982 N	. 385 1	¥01 1	, 1 toć	195 I	1 96 h	490 1.	rot -		а 1 05 Х.Х.Х.К.К.К.К.К.К.К.К.К.К.К.К.К.К.К.К.К	978 H	A alda		•	1
	f Cevice I		26.8	12.3	9.5	•	6.0	•	3.9	3-75	•	3.25	3.2		2.6	2.1 *	(bre)	ļ		
	R for Kr ^{a8}	•	55500	56300	56330	•	56 J00	-	45500	54000	-	00064	63500		• 7000	56400	Altitud. ((t)			
	0.7 2 0.1		0.70	0.70	0.18	0.67	0,49	u.61	0.76	0.68	0.63	u.72	0.53	0.82	16°C	0.81	Alrenalt			
•					Low crobe velocity												- Remarka			
			3.23	1 69	1 13		1 06	1.5.1	16.0	3.27	14.0	14.6	3.24	2.40	13.3	0.47	fission ₅₉ x 10 ⁻¹¹	20		
				b. 520.18	10.620.1	8. t <u>7</u> 1.3	0./ <u>7</u> 0.15		i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	1 4940 07	1	0.16 <u>/0</u> .06	18.2£1.7	•	0.1420.06	1. 10.04	¹ "fissionjax 10 ⁻¹¹ Zr ^j a	C GAS SAMPLES	TA31.T 36	
		ſ	13.760.7	12.0720.24	€.? <u>£</u> 0.1	5.97 <u>4</u> 0.15	13.5 <u>4</u> 0.5		e.1120.14			94 67 30 2	15.1.20.4	,	2-35/0-08	3.22.0.17	fissions in 10-11			
		a.53	10.720.1	y. 25	6.25	4.5720.14	r. 35	9.59	1.77	3.1	or the Former		11.1	7.7#40.05	2.10	3.13	fissions = 10-11			-
		0.16	0.064	0.16	0.078	130.0	Ľ	•	1.6	•	g			,	00.10		fissions M. W H H89 (Kr 61	:		
- -		7820	UCHL	UCXL	LCRL	UCHL	NCHL	-UCRL	UCRL	DCME	UCHT.	UCRL	ncxr	-		ay	restory a		5548100	

: | : 1

115

APPENDIX C

PARTICLE DATA AND CHARACTERISTICS, SHOT KOA

C.1 Size Distribution, Fall Rate and Specific Activity Data

Fall rate distribution data, particle size data and specific activityfall rate data are presented in graphical form, in Figures C. 1 through C. 13, for the cloud and fallout samples listed in Table C.1. Samples 500, 502 and 977 from the cloud were separated into coarse and fine fractions with the Bahco centrifuge before determination of the distribution curves. The boundary between the centrifuge fractions is as given in Appendix B. No fall rate work was done on samples taken from the cloud at times later than four hours due to the small quantity of material collected.

TABLE C.1

Sample List

Fall Rate	Particle Size	Specific
Distribution	Distribution	Activity
Massive Ll	Massive Ll	Massive L5
Massive L2	Massive L4	Wilson Special
Massive L3	502 Coarse	502 Coarse
Massive L4	502 Fine	502 Fine
Massive L5	500 Coarse	500 Coarse
Wilson Special	500 Fine	500 Fine
502 Coarse	977 Coarse	977 Coarse
502 Fine	977 Fine	977 Fine
500 Coarse		
500 Fine		
977 Coarse		
977 Fine	116	

SECRETERESSRIPPITEDED DATE

These results are being reported primarily for record purposes.

C2. Particle Characteristics

The majority of the particles were translucent white and had an irregular shape. Some flaky aggregates, small spheres apparently formed by condensation, and clusters of varying sizes were also present. Many of the larger particles were discolored with a reddish-brown stain, presumably due to iron oxide.

The main constituents were identified as Ca(OH)₂ and CaCO₃ (both calcite and aragonite) by examination with polarized light and by X-ray diffraction. Small quantities of ocean water salts were observed in all the samples.

The particles disintegrated spontaneously into many small fragments when brought into contact with liquids. The disintegration was most rapid with water, but also occurred at a slower rate with hydrocarbons and other fluids. Due to this effect, their density could not be determined by the bromobenzene-bromoform method.

Size measurement and type classification were described in Section 2.4; this investigation is summarized in Table C.2.

117

S-D-C'R-D-T-R-E-S'T-RELICITED DIALTAN

TABLE C.2

l

Sample	No. of Particles	Mean Size,	Part	ticle Type, %	-
	Measured	Microns	Irregular	Aggregates	Spheres
Massive Ll	115	155	67.3	18.5	14.1
Massive L4	216	65	51.4	16.2	32.4
502 Coarse	255	48	82 .0	11.0	7.0
502 Fine	287	19	93.7	3.5	2.8
500 Coarse	331	46	63.7 -	2.3	29 . 0
500 Fine	619	24	94.0	3.1	2.9
977 Coarse	264	47	76.1	9.5	14.4
977 Fine	29 9	21	94.6	2,3	3.1

Particle Classification and Size Measurements



· 127





 $(\$





Figure C.3 Particle Fall Rate Distribution and Specific Activity Curves for Height Line Samples, Shot Koa: Wilson Special Sample

120

126 •179



í

Activity Curves for Cloud Samples, Shot Koa: Sample 502, Coarse



Figure C.5 Particle Fall Rate Distribution and Specific Activity Curves for Cloud Samples, Shot Koa: Sample 502, Fine

121

.130



Figure C.6 Particle Fall Rate Distribution and Specific Activity Curves for Cloud Samples, Shot Koa: Sample 500, Coarse



Figure C.7 Particle Fall Rate Distribution and Specific Activity Curves for Cloud Samples, Shot Koa: Sample 500, Fine

122

(





Figure C.8 Particle Fall Rate Distribution and Specific Activity Curves for Cloud Samples, Shot Koa: Sample 977, Coarse



Figure C.9 Particle Fall Rate Distribution and Specific Activity Curves for Cloud Samples, Shot Koa: Sample 977, Fine







Figure C. 11 Particle Size Distribution Curves for Cloud Samples, Shot Koa: Samples 502, Coarse, and 502, Fine

125

. 134



(

Figure C. 12 Particle Size Distribution Curves for Cloud Samples, Shot Koa: Samples 500, Coarse, and 500, Fine

. 135,00





.136

APPENDIX D

CRETREST

METEOROLOGICAL DATA TABLES

(

Meteorological data for the shot days of Koa, Walnut and Oak are presented. Tables D-1 through D-3 give winds aloft, while Tables D-4 through D-6 give atmospheric temperature data.



137,3

STORTHERT RESTRATION

TABLE D.1

Winds Aloft Data, 13-14 May 1958

Alt., ft.	H	- 1	H	1 弦	H	f 8	H	f 11	H	173	H	1 232	Ħ	f 28
<u>x 10 </u>	DD	<u> </u>	עע	FFF	DD	FFF	_ <u>DD</u> _	FFF	מַת	FFT	DD	<u></u>	עע	III
1	07	025	08	028	09	023	08	023	08	023	06	021	07	021
2	07	027	07	03 3	09	030	08	025	09	024	06	023	08	02 3
3	08	028	07	032	09	030	08	026	09	026	08	023	08	021
4	08	031	08	025	09	030	09	027	09	027	08	022	09	017
5	09	02 9	08	025	09	026	10	023	09	025	09	024	09	016
6	10	025	09	020	09	022	11	023	09	022	09	027	09	020
7	10	027	10	016	08	01 9	10	023	09	021	09	02 3	10	021
8	10	027	10	017	09	016	08	019	09	019	09	015	10	014
9	09	022	10	017	09	0 10	07	017	09	012	09	012	10	00 9
10	09	02 2	12	016	10	02 2	09	012	09	008	.05	009	10	005
12	10	025	13	017	09	015	12	01 1	09	006	02	004	2 9	0 03
14	11	02 2	15	012	12	009	12	004	09	004	20	004	25	002
16	12	012	14	012	11	008	19	010			23	004	30	003
18	11	010	14	012	15	009	18	004	33	0 07	21	004	31	008
20	07	007	13	004	15	008	2 2	008	27	01 0	24	010	28	004
23	20	008	18	016	16	014	18	014	24	009	27	013	29	006
25	27	012	16	016	16	019	12	012	22	012	28	017	30	010
30	25	021	24	018	15	020	25	019	22	018	25	014	27	015
35	19	027	17	027	17	025	17,	018	20	024	24	010	25	020
40	22	025	19	025	22	020	23	027	22	023	24	022	23	025
45	24	035	20	045	25	039	24	034	25	023	29	021	20	023
50	29	120	28	030	28	120	28	029	21	025	21	020	20	022
22	28 ⊐}	011	2)	012	19	019	20	020	2)	022	24 75	029	02	612
	14	015	21	000	24	000	21	010	50 0h	008	22	013	06	012
20 70	10	000	17	007	00	009	15	011	04	004	07	012	00	012
75	10	024	17 07	000	00	005	1) 07	000 018	11	021	12	015	07	010
12	10	020	00	011	10	011	11	026	10	025	00	023	10	018
85	10	036	10		00	OLS	10	077	09	035	<u> </u>		09	039
9 0	09	051	11	062	~) ~~		10	053	09	053			09	048

DD ---- Wind bearing to nearest 10 degrees

FFF ---- Wind speed, knots

129

Statistic Billion Lot 197

1,38



TABLE	D.2
the second se	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Alt., ft.	н	- 1	н	4 23	н	45	н	48	म र्	113	Ħ¢	17 1
x 10 ³	DD	FFF	DD	ITT	DD	FFF	DD	FFF	מת	TTT	DD	FIT
-	07	010	00	0.20	10	015	o),	004	0.4	016	07	015
· 1	08	010	09	020	10	015	04	004	08	010	05	022
1	08	019	00	019	10	017	07	01		018	00	025
ך ע		019	11	019	10	017		016	00	018	10	023
5	09	017	11	019	20	016	10	010	09	021	10	022
6 -	09		11	015	10	015	10	017	09	023	10	020
7	09	013	11	017	11	015	11	018	09	028	10	020
S.	09	013	11	014	11	015	10	015	10	017	10	020
9	10	013	11	014	11	012	11	013	10	014	10	019
10	10	013	11	014	10	013	12	012	12	015	10	017
12	09	010	11	011	12	009	10	011	09	010	11	012
14	11	015	09	009	11	007	12	007	11	006	12	006
16	11	02 0	10	013	13	010	10	008	11	008	14	01 1
18	11	02 0	11	016	12	01 9	12.	010	12	013	12	01 0
20	11	015	13	011	13	017	13	014	12	012	10	011
2 3	15	016	14	008	11	006	13	012	13	012	10	012
- 25	20	011	17	006	13	006	12	016	14	016	11	014
30	18	025	15	012	16	01 2	16	C20	17	021	12	014
35	18	02 1	19	01 9	16	025	18	018	18	019	17	014
40	21	023	18	025	16	024	18	.014	17	024	17	028
45	18	014	18	015	16	023	16	024	17	039	17	037
50	19	014	18	024	18	026	15	033	18	008	21	021
55	11	005	14	014	17	005	19	035	05	013	12	015
6 0	08	017	00	01 3	08	015	14	004	09	017	09	015
65	10	023	-		11	026					07	019
70	09	025			09	024			08	029	109	160
75	09	042			09	034					10	049
80	09	050			09	045		÷-0	09	012	10	050
85 00	09	060			09	060				0)17	09	0.00
- ()	04	004	-0		10	ססט			υa	U+1	~ ~ 7	

Winds Aloft Data, 15 June 1958



Several France Provide 195

6568100

Called States and Sullinger

TABLE D.3

WINDS ALOFT DATA, 29 JUNE 1958

yu	ج	8	75	70	65	18	, ហ	50	45	ţ	- 3 5 5	30	25	200	20	81	. 16	14 4	ST 2	ot	9	ß	7	თ	.v	4	ω	N	ц Ц	× 10-2	Alt., ft.
10	10	10	010	10	80	50	ц С	ot	70	μ 3	14	91	.ω	14	μ	51	12	H	11	F	H	Ľ	10	10	11	11	10	ŝ	60	B	H -
076	072	064	053	034	026	029	006	510	015	613	015	910	éto	020	610	015	016	ÊTO	014	910	910	910	910	8T0	8 T0	570	012	012	610	FFF	1-1/2
1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1,			t l L	11 010	15 006	ττο 60	09 020	12 017	13 014	14 014	6T0 hT	14 015	13 016	5T0 ET	13 015	13 016	15 014	14 015	13 016	12 017	12 017	710 11	6T0 TT	10 021	10 021	10 021	6T0 60	DD FFF	H + 1
ŗ	I I	1	l t	:	1 2	1	14	ž	07	27	ц С	14	16	1	L L	5	5 Ч	5	6Т	5T 2	14	ц З	11	10	01	01	60	ŝ	80	臣	며 +
ł	:	l t	t t	:	1	1 1	<u>5</u> 00	010	610	022	010	014	. 019	ł	1	910	610	510	613 13	£10	110	oto	510	015	810	610	610	610	020	FFF	2-1/2
80	оt	Ч	õ	ŝ	ŝ	08	07	9T	ŝ	ដ	14	ч 4	Ч Ч	9T	91	5	С Ч	5	μ ω	بر س	ድ (ې د	72 7		Ч	80	80 80	80	80	R	H +
063	190	058	640	750	029	027	807	8T0	910	014	410	7,0	020	023	710	017	017	610	910	012	015	015	510	510	710	017	610	610	015	FFF	4-1/2
60	80	ы	60	60	Ы	80	ç	17 †	80	Ч,	91	19	77	17	Ц	μ ω	ىم ت	ц С	比 日	01	56	50	50	51		ถ้ ใ	Ц	ы	60	B	н +
073	668	058	047	036	050	026	OTO	007	015	μτο	600	008	010	010	014	90 00	900	010	014			710	910		017	000	024	026	021	FFF	10-1/2
ł	t 1	I I	8	ŝ	60	07	<u>5</u> ,	ці Л	07	Ľ	14	16	91	91	20	80	07	80	51	51	ᆣᅣ	: ; ;	ן ר ס ר	55		33	83	8	8	a i	격 +
ł	J t	1	5 ¹ 0	034	028	028	010	005	510	410	005	007	006	007	004	86	2 2 2 2	009	35	012	240	4 L O		000		0 0 0 0	2012-	027	000	FFF -/-	12-1/2
1	8	ç	ц,	98	ц,	3	8	80	ຮູເ	ן ב ג	14	55	10	08	08	80	8	85	55	55	55	55	3 8	35	55		2 5	25	20	E -	4 F
J I	666	058	050	035	010	004		2003 -	007					к 10			212	007 7		7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7		200	220		220		200			FFF FFF	

זננ

071.

)

E-C-R E-T-R-E S-R-R d-G-FLE D+ D-A-T-A

â

TABLE D.4

(

Atmospheric Temperature Data, 13 May 1958

Altitude, feet	Temperature,
	Co
Surface	27.8
310	26.8
2,231	21, 5
4,950	17.2
7.874	11.5
10,310	09.5
14,450	2.5
16,929	- 0.5
18,209	2.5
19,095	- 4.2
19,240	- 4.2
19,554	- 4.2
24,920	-14.2
26,90 3	-18.2
29,331	-23.5
31,070	-28.1
31,870	-30.2
36,036	-39.8
36,050	-40.2
40,930	-51.8
46,850	-65.2
51,810	-75.0
54,680	-77.7
56,859	-79.0
57,684	-75.0
60,621	-74.0
63,030	-77.0
64,482	-62.0
68,120	-63.8
73,656	-56.0
17,101	-57.0
04 140	-50.0
73,147	-45.0

132



TABLE D.5

Atmospheric Temperature Data, 15 June 1958

Altitude,	Temperature,
Surface	25 . 2
310	2 4.2
4,910	14.8
5,348	12.0
8,20 2	9.8
10,240	7.2
11,417	5.5
13,123	2.5
14,350	0.5
16,240	2.8
19,080	- 8.5
24,640	-19.2
31,440	-34.5
34,056	-40.2
35,550	-44.0
40 , 330	-57.0
46,140	-68.0
53,460	-79.0
5 3, 900	-78.0
57,618	-76.0
60,555	-79.0
61,083	-68.0
64,680	-70.0
65,703	-66.0
67,270	-66.8
69,30 0	-67.0
70,257	-62.0
73,920	-63.0
76,197	-60.0
78,804	-62.0
79,629	- 56 . 0
81,390	-54.0
96,947	-42.0

133

STATE CONTRACTOR DATE

SECRET RESTRICTEDDATA

TABLE D.6

 $\left(\right)$

Atmospheric Temperature Data, 29 June 1958

Altitude,	Temperature,
feet	Co
Surface	25.5
280	25.2
3,900	16.8
4,890	15.5
10,210	- 7.2
14,320	- 0.2
19,050	- 7.2
24,640	-17.8
31,490	-32,8
31,560	33.2
35,620	-42.2
40,420	- 55, 2
42,910	-62.0
46,240	-68.2
48,85 0	-74.0
49,740	-77.0
50,590	-71.0
56,050	-74.8
57,590	-78.0



APPENDIX E

Derivation of Formula for Percent Mo Left in Cloud

The formula given in Chapter 3 for the percent Mo⁹⁹ left in the cloud is based on a material balance for some nuclide, Y. It can be derived as follows:

> Let Y_E = atoms Y formed in the explosion e Y_C = " " left in cloud $Y_{FO} =$ " " in fallout $Mo_E = atoms Mo^{99}$ formed in the explosion ^{Mo}c [≠] left in the cloud 14 Mo_{FO} = in the fallout 88 11 y =fraction of Mo⁹⁹ atoms left in cloud k = the ratio atoms Y: atoms Mo⁹⁹ formed in thermal neutron fission, a constant $\left[R^{99}(Y) \right]_{E}^{E} = R$ -value for nuclide Y in explosion $[R^{99}(Y)]_{C} = " " "$ " " " cloud $[R^{99}(Y)]_{FO} = "" " " " fallout$ $\mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{F}}$

$$E = I_{C} + I_{FO}$$
$$= Mo_{E} Y_{E} / Mo_{E}$$
$$= Mo_{E} k \left[R^{99}(Y) \right]_{E}$$

... (1)

144

ETCREATINT R. H. C.T. B.D. A. T.A.

since $[R^{99}(\mathbf{Y})]_{E} = [\mathbf{Y}_{E}/M_{0}]/k$ $\mathbf{Y}_{C} = M_{0}C \mathbf{Y}_{C}/M_{0}C$ $= M_{0}C \mathbf{k} [R^{99}(\mathbf{Y})]C$ since $[R^{99}(\mathbf{Y})]_{C} = [\mathbf{Y}_{C}/M_{0}C]/k$ $\mathbf{Y}_{FO} = M_{0}FO \mathbf{Y}_{FO}/M_{0}FO$ $= M_{0}FO \mathbf{k} [R^{99}(\mathbf{Y})]_{FO}$ since $[R^{99}(\mathbf{Y})]_{FO} = [\mathbf{Y}_{FO}/M_{0}FO]/k$

from (1) since $Mo_{C} = Mo_{E}y$ and $Mo_{FO} = Mo_{E}(1-y)$ $Mo_{E} \in [R^{99}(Y)]_{E} = Mo_{E}y \in [R^{99}(Y)]_{C} + Mo_{E}(1-y) \in [R^{99}(Y)]_{FO}$ (2)

dividing (2) by Mo_{E}^{k} and rearranging

 $y = \frac{\left[\mathbb{R}^{99}(Y)\right]_{E} - \left[\mathbb{R}^{99}(Y)\right]_{FO}}{\left[\mathbb{R}^{99}(Y)\right]_{C} - \left[\mathbb{R}^{99}(Y)\right]_{FO}}$

REFERENCES

- 1. Conference, Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D.C., 10 June 1957.
- Fallout Project Planning Conference, Headquarters, AFSWP, Washington, D.C., 12-13 September 1957.
- 3. J. Frenkel; "Kinetic Theory of Liquids"; Oxford Press, 1946.
- 4. J. L. Magee; "Particle Size of Debris from the Atomic Bomb, Appendix II"; Rand Corporation, World Wide Effects of Atomic Weapons, Project Sunshine, R-251-AEC, 6 August 1953; SECRET-RD.
- 5. K. Stewart; "The Condensation of a Vapor to an Assembly of Droplets (with Particular Reference to Atomic Explosion Debris)"; Trans. Faraday Soc. <u>52</u>, 161-73 (1956).
- 6. E.C. Freiling; "Recent Developments in the Study of Fractionation, I"; USNRDL Technical Memorandum No. 73, 25 July 1957; CONFIDENTIAL-RD.
- 7. R. D. Evans; "The Atomic Nucleus"; McGraw-Hill Book Company, 1955.
- 8. Rand Corporation; "World Wide Effects of Atomic Weapons, Project Sunshine"; R-251-AEC, 6 August 1953; SECRET-RD.
- 9. C. E. Adams; "Fallout Particles from Shots Zuni and Tewa, Operation REDWING"; USNRDL-TR-133, 1957; CONFIDENTIAL.
- R. C. Tompkins and D. W. Krey; "Mechanism of Fallout Particle Formation: I"; Chemical Warfare Laboratories, Edgewood, Maryland; Technical Report CWLR 2059, 27 November 1956; SECRET-RD.
- C. E. Adams, N. H. Farlow and W. R. Schell; "The Composition, Structures and Origin of Radioactive Fallout"; USNRDL-TR-209, 3 February 1958; UNCLASSIFIED.
- 12. C. E. Adams and J. D. O'Connor; "The Nature of Radioactive Particles: VI, Fallout Particles from a Tower Shot, Operation REDWING"; USNRDL Report in Publication; UNCLASSIFIED.

E-G-REELD-TORED/SITUR MOCLELD/CILLER

- 13. T. Triffet and P. D. LaRiviere; "Operation REDWING, Project 2.63 Final Report" WT-1317; August 1958; SECRET-RD.
- 14. N. G. Stewart, R. N. Crooks and E. M. R. Fisher; "The Radiological Dose to Persons in the U. K. due to Debris from Nuclear Test Explosions prior to January 1956"; AERE HP/R-2017; UNCLASSIFIED.
- 15. E. R. Tompkins and L. B. Werner; "Chemical, Physical and Radiochemical Characteristics of the Contaminant"; Project 2. 6a, Operation CASTLE, WT-917, September, 1955; Naval Radiological Defense Laboratory, San Francisco, California; SECRET-RD.
- 16. S. M. Greenfield, W. W. Kellogg, F. J. Krieger and R. R. Rapp; "Transport and Early Deposition of Radioactive Debris from Atomic Explosions"; Project Aureole, R-265-AEC, 1 July 1954; SECRET-RD.
- L. Machta; "Entrainment and the Maximum Height of the Atomic Cloud"; Bulletin Am. Meteor. Soc., 31, 215 (1950); UNCLASSIFIED.
- I. C. Cheeseman and D. Sams; "On the Rise of an Atomic Cloud"; AWRE Report E9/57, August, 1957; UNCLASSIFIED.
- R. R. Soule and T. H. Shirasawa; "Rocket Determination of Activity Distribution Within the Stabilized Cloud"; Project 2.61, Operation REDWING, WT-1315, Draft Manuscript; Naval Radiological Defense Laboratory, San Francisco, California; SECRET-RD.
- A. D. Anderson; "A Theory for Close-In Fallout", USNRDL-TR-249;
 23 July 1958; UNCLASSIFIED.
- 21. E. A. Schuert; "A Fallout Forecasting Technique with Results Obtained At the Eniwetok Proving Grounds"; USNRDL-TR-139, 3 April 1957; UNCLASSIFIED.
- 22. J. M. Dallavalle; "Micromeritics"; Pittmann Publishing Corporation, 1948; UNCLASSIFIED.
- 23. Hearings before the Special Subcommittee on Radiation of the Joint Committee on Atomic Energy, Congress of the United States, Eightyfifth Congress; First Session on "The Nature of Radioactive Fallout and its Effects on Man"; Part 1, May 27, 28, 29 and June 3, 1957;

ECRET RESTREEDEDAD

U. S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D. C.; UNCLASSIFIED.

- 24. Ibid., Part 2, June 4, 5, 6 and 7, 1957; UNCLASSIFIED.
- 25. L. B. Werner; "Percent of Weapon Debris Removed by Local Fallout"; Review and Lectures No. 39, USNRDL 28 August 1957; SECRET-RD.
- 26. Rand Fallout Symposium, AFSWP-1050, 1 April 1957; SECRET-RD.
- N. M. Lulejian; "Radioactive Fallout from Atomic Bombs"; Report CS-36417, Air Research and Development Command, November 1953; SECRET-RD.
- 28. R. D. Cadle; "Effects of Soil, Yield, and Scaled Depth on Contamination from Atomic Bombs"; Stanford Research Institute, Menlo Park, California; Cm. C. Contract DA-18-108-CML-3842, 29 June 1953; SECRET-RD.
- 29. R. L. Stetson and others; "Distribution and Intensity of Fallout"; Project 2.52, Operation CASTLE. WT-915, January 1956; U.S. Naval Radiological Defense Laboratory, San Francisco, California; SECRET-RD.
- 30. T. R. Folsom and L. B. Werner; "Distribution of Radioactive Fallout by Survey and Analysis of Contaminated Sea Water"; Project 2.7, Operation CASTLE, WT-935, Draft Manuscript; Scripps Institution of Oceanography, La Jolla, California and U.S. Naval Radiological Defense Laboratory, San Francisco, California; SECRET-RD.
- 31. D. C. Borg, L. D. Gates, T. A. Gibson, Jr., and R. W. Paine, Jr.; "Radioactive Fallout Hazards from Surface Bursts of Very High Yield Nuclear Weapons"; AFSWP-507; May 1954; SECRET-RD.
- 32. R. C. Tompkins; "Radiochemical Estimation of Total Activity Included Within Dose Rate Contours for Bravo Shot, Operation CASTLE"; CRLR 636, Army Chemical Center, Edgewood, Maryland, March 1956; SECRET-RD.
- 33. H. D. Levine and R. T. Graveson; "Radioactive Debris from Operation CASTLE, Aerial Survey of Open Sea Following Yankee-Nectar; NYOO-4618, 20 December 1954; SECRET-RD.

QV25715195
E-C-R-E-D- RyEn St. J. Rule G-REE PT D. ALT ALT

34. N. E. Ballou; "Radiochemical and Physical Chemical Properties of Products of a Deep Underwater Nuclear Detonation"; Project 2.3, Operation WIGWAM, WT-1011, April 1957; U.S. Naval Radiological Defense Laboratory; SECRET-RD.

0018933

- R. L. Stetson and others; "Distribution and Intensity of Fallout from the Underground Shot"; Operation TEAPOT, WT-1154, March 1958;
 U. S. Naval Radiological Defense Laboratory, San Francisco, California; CONFIDENTIAL-RD.
- 36. V. A. J. Van Lint, L. E. Killion, J. A. Chiment and D. C. Campbell; "Fallout Studies during Operation REDWING"; Preliminary Report Program 2 Summary, ITR 1354, October 1956; Field Command, Armed Forces Special Weapons Project, Washington, D. C.; SECRET-RD.
- 37. B. L. Tucker; "Fraction of REDWING Activity in Local Fallout"; Rand Corporation Report, 9 July 1957; SECRET-RD.
- 38. W. F. Libby; "Radioactive Strontium in Fallout"; Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. 42, No. 6, pp. 365-390, June 1956; UNCLASSIFIE D.
- 39. W. F. Libby; "Current Research Findings on Radioactive Fallout"; Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. 42, 945-964; December 1956; UNCLASSIFIED.
- 40. A. G. Hoard, Merrill Eisenbud and J. H. Harley; "Annotated Bibliography on Fallout Resulting from Nuclear Explosions"; NYO-4753, September 1956; UNCLASSIFIED.
- 41. A. G. Hoard, Merrill Eisenbud and J. H. Harley; "Annotated Bibliography on Long Range Effects of Fallout from Nuclear Explosions"; NYO-4753, Supplement 1, November 1956; UNCLASSIFIED.
- 42. A. J. Breslin and M. E. Cassidy; "Radioactive Debris from Operation CASTLE, Islands of the Mid-Pacific"; NYO-4623, January 1955; SECRET-RD.
- 43. C. T. Rainey and others; "Distribution and Characteristics of Fallout at Distances Greater than Ten Miles from Ground Zero"; Project 27.1, UPSHOT-KNOTHOLE, WT-811, February 1954; University of California, Los Angeles, California; SECRET-RD.

E-C-R-E-TALREE-SaT=RATCHE-D+D ASS

44. K. H. Larson; "Radio-Ecological Aspects of Nuclear Fallout"; Operation PLUMBBOB, Program 37; Department of Agriculture; UNCLASSIFIED.

0018933

- 45. J. Lockhart, R. A. Baus and J. H. Blifford; "Atmospheric Radioactivity along the 80th Meridian, 1956"; NRL Report 4965, July 23, 1957; UNCLASSIFIED.
- 46. R. D. Maxwell and others; "Evaluation of Radioactive Fallout"; AFSWP 978, 15 September 1955; SECRET-RD.
- 47. L. F. Hubert, L. Machta and R. J. List; "A Meteorological Analysis of the Transport of Debris from Operation IVY"; U. S. Weather Bureau, NYO-4555, October 1953; SECRET-RD.
- 48. L. Machta and R. J. List; "Analysis of Statospheric Sr⁷⁰ Measurements; "U. S. Weather Bureau, March 1959; UNCLASSIFIED.
- 49. N. E. Ballou and L. R. Bunney; "Nature and Distribution of Residual Contamination, II"; Operation JANGLE, WT-397, June 1952; U. S. Naval Radiological Defense Laboratory, San Francisco, California; SECRET-RD.
- 50. P. C. Stevenson; Chemistry Division, University of California Radiation Laboratory, Livermore, California. Private Communication.
- 51. R. W. Spence and G. A. Cowan; Group J-11, Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory; UNCLASSIFIED.
- 52. K. Street; Chemistry Division, University of California Radiation Laboratory, Livermore, California.
- 53. Philip Krey; "AFSWP Fallout Symposium"; AFSWP-895, January 1955; SECRET-RD.
- 54. L. R. Bunney and N. E. Ballou; "Bomb Fraction Measurement Techniques"; USNRDL-TR-176; 11 September 1957; SECRET-RD.
- 55. P. C. Stevenson, H. G. Hicks, W. E. Nervik and H. B. Levy; "Correlation of Fractionation Phenomena in Tewa Event, Operation RED-WING"; UCRL 5027, 21 November 1957; SECRET-RD.

S. Contractor

EGRESTSREDSTRUCTED DATA

- 56. L. R. Bunney and E. C. Freiling; "Recent Developments in the Study of Fractionation, II"; USNRDL Technical Memorandum No. 81, 19 February 1958; CONFIDENTIAL-RD.
- 57. A. W. Goodrich; "A Rocket System for Sampling Particulate Matter Contained in Nuclear Clouds"; Cooper Development Corporation, Monrovia, California, 31 January 1959; UNCLASSIFIED.
- 58. Private Communication, Dr. T. Triffet, USNRDL.

59. ", E A. Schuert, USNRDL.

60. " " , Dr. G. Cowan, LASL.

- C. W. Bastian, R. Robbiani and J. Hargrave; "X-Band Radar Determination of Nuclear Cloud Parameters"; U. S. Army Signal Research and Development Laboratory, Fort Monmouth, New Jersey, 13 October 1958; SECRET-RD.
- L. R. Bunney, E. C. Freiling, L. D. McIsaac and E. H. Scadden; "Radiochemical Procedure for Individual Rare Earths"; Nucleonics 15, No. 2, 81-83 (1957).
- 63. L. E. Glendenin; "Determination of Strontium and Barium Activities In Fission"; Paper 236 in NNES, Div. IV, 9, 1460, edited by C. D. Coryell and N. Sugarman, McGraw-Hill Book Company, 1951.
- 64. E. J. Hoagland; "Note on the Determination of Strontium as the Carbonate"; Paper 237, Ibid.
- J. B. Niday; "Radiochemical Procedure for Cesium"; UCRL-4377, p. 13, 10 August 1954.
- 66. J. Kleinberg (editor); "Collected Radiochemical Procedures"; LA-1721, Second edition, 18 August 1958.
- 67. L. Wish; "Quantitative Radiochemical Analysis by Ion Exchange; Anion Exchange Behaviour in Mixed Acid Solutions and Development of a Sequential Separation Scheme"; Anal. Chem. 31, 326 (1959).
- E. Scadden; "Improved Molybdenum Separation Procedure"; Nucleonics 15, No. 4, 102 (1957).

142

A CONCLEASE SY ACCOUNTS



							•				۰.		
	•		-		••					· .•			•
		·				•						` ,	444
							E 136	¥ 116	1 200	7 005 x 205 x	Alvera A side		1
		0				+ An 1					• 2		
•						R-facts	6.5	3.15	.•	ده بر 5	fime (hrs)		
						or of 1	5700	6150	•	4100 4700	11010		
						. Т. I И. Т. Т	8	ō		8 0	, ⊂ g	· .	
						aed in	0.66	¢	69°0	0.10 0.68	Prr be		
				•		footes							
-						∍ting r Kr ⁸⁸			No Xr from	Low F	, a		A.
						the va fisal			. dome. Xe ¹ 33	robe v bly be	o tan 7 k e		٠
						rious i			Velu:	nlocltj low m∿i			•
						tr fise			•	n elou			
						ion ve uletin	_			<u>م</u> بر			
						lucs.	0.054	1.69	7.03	5.80	с ж 66 ⁰		
						fract lo				•	10-11	EO.	•
		111				ы с <u>с</u> н	1.7/	119.7 <u>/</u>	14.74	0.00 13.26		GAS S.	
		•				6 ⁹⁹ , 5,	7.0	1.2	1.5	1 <u>7</u> 0.00	ове х 1 Х ₇ 88	ac AKPLES	5
						-90°				15	10-11		
						d C.13		36,		11.			
						7 ta ci	9/1.2	1/1.7	,	0;041. 9 <u>4</u> 0.8	108358 87.58		
						he alou				2002	11- ^{01.}		
		-				ā.							
							2.421.	1	ı	0.07 <u>/</u> 0 7.1	108)5		
										,H	· 10 ⁻¹¹	-	•
	4	.~		,		 					212		
							0.0	•	0.1	6460 0.3	ler.		
		,					8	268	36	0	Nº 00		
• •		•									T #99(1		0 ;] O
											(r 88)*		435
	目		. .				1.1.31	570	łca.	U	kanly by		
	E	I					ſ	r	ŕ	r ř			
		1											1?
													ルナ

	Kassive 2 1 Kassive 2 1	Nassive 1	tot trey o				975				522			978	951	316	8	, yes	\$			ŝ.	952	Alrenati			
	13 13 14	4	ı	•			ž			•	1.5			у л то	12.3	6.8	3-7	3 . 4	3.15	0.4	•••		- 5	11	÷		
	1000 1000	1000	,			מעניינ					57_000		701 300		57_800	64,000	50,000	58,000	48,000	f1,000			5	41111md. (r1)		Theory of the	
	57011, 3-50 57011, 3-50	Frons, 8-50	groue, rocket		COAFSE	action action			COATSS	a ed tua	****		GT011, LASL 'A'	tran, tran 1		GTO LASL 'A'	grams, LASL 'A'	GTONN, LASL 'A'	gross, LASL 'A'	TTOTA, LASE 'A'	Frond, LISL 'A'	grooo, LASE 'I'		Sample Type			
	132.7	13-0	4.33		13.2	9.2%			31.4	16,5	;	1	16.1	12.3		12.1	28,5	76.5	32.3	26.5	5.0°	33-5		*1 +1 - 10 - 12		k.	•
	1.15 X 85	- • •	19.7	ļ	1	1)		يستعد المقاربية للم	1				ı	1	I	r	ł	ı	! .	1	ı	ı		10 T			
0.55	0.967		0.577		1.26	1.48			1.41	0.766		Size Sepe	1.41	1.43	1, 30		1.79	0.989	1.68	1:60	0.797	1.27	01000	а 6у ^л 5	AVEND		
0.77	0.267 0.267	fallout :	0.151	- Rocke	1.11	1.22			,	0.880		arated P.	1.16	1.18	1.08		1.49	0.938	1.39	1.27	0.745	1.07	• Partici	₽ 7,90	T PARTIC	TABI	
0,35	0.592 0.793 0.721	Semplar	0.646	t Samola	0.915	21			1 1	1		Articula	0.876	0,891	0.857		0.971	0.543	0.942	0.898	0.711	0.877	alete Sa	# 191	CULATE S	CE BY	-
7.0	7.92 6.5%	-	7.03	:	7.72	; ;	Section Section		E, I	ł		te Salep	5.79	9.20	16.91			.00	8.65	8.17	7.16	8	wiles .	с , 136	ANTELES		
e, o	0.565 1.41 1.41	}	0.646		1.5 5					ı			1.64	1.12	1.69		2 13	1.33	2.02	1.94	1.00	1.77		ce137 B			
0.85	0.742 0.870 0.638		0.740		0.702	,	Sec. 2 Press		. 1	ı			0.791	0.792	0.755			609-0	0.798	0.770	0.726	0.707	1	8 1114 2			
 0	7.92 1.65 3.18		3.51	J. 17	, 92 92	ı		,	,	,			ı	ı	ı	10.0		3.70	1		3.47	3.75		لا 94 اتھ			
0.1-0.	0.139 0.396		n.104	9.110	0.105	0.115		.1.1.1	0.111	0.043			,	ï	ı	0.105		0.101	0,104	0.100	0.297	0.102		1/5 1/5			
							Constantine Sta					•	0.030	0.044	0.0%	0.0jb	ALTS INCOMENTS	0-023	0.036	0.039	0.051	0.026		10 ¹²			
-	TOHK Tern Torn	-	JORN	NKDL,	NKDL	HRDL.		JUKN	WRDL	TURM		1	LAST	LASL	LASL	LASL		LASL	LUL	LU3L	Ltst	LISL		Åbnlys!∎ by			C L P A . C
																				and the second second second							

11z

Contraction of the second second

17. 118

			113						
						·			
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •								
-			÷						
						-			
						0.7 / 0.1	for Kr ⁹⁸ .	Jovice R	
							ad for Kr	• R • 1 43	
	đ.13	١	1	2.64	w probe velocity	0,26 Lo	yo yuu	-1.3	
	13.9	17.1£3.7	16.240.8	4.72			1000	о 7 г 7	978 1
	15.2	19.ª£2.5	16.5 <u>4</u> 0.1	6,111		1. P	57500	12.1	7 126
	12.7	14. 1 40.5	13.720.4	θ, 9μ		0. ji		•	8 216
	15.4	16.860.9	25.520,4	7.25			állanna	5 U	1 246
	27.2	42.5Ja.5	24.0£0.3	17.6			500.00	¥-7	я 00 ,
	26.1	10.1 <u>6</u> 0.1	21.9£0.8	15.6		0.10	- 7	-	4 50 B
	19.7	16.740.3	23.540.6	10.6		24 0 Cato	55000	3-4	1 056
	17.5 .	m.120.6	29.5£0.3	10.7		0.19	•	• ;;	n 964
	9.2£0.6 ,	,	,	5.46		0.73		ר גר ת ר	195 H
	10.7 .	11.9 <u>4</u> 0.4	17.549.9	7.09		J. A1	- 1000	•	ن کر ۱
	5,25 5,26	20.727.03	0.013 [0.011	5.47		1.29	12000	2.5	
	22.2	1.075 2.5	21. 140.5	15.0		0.92 92	00035	1.6	512 X
	fiestons 5 10-1	flantnagsk 19-11	"fissions x lo ⁻¹¹	flestong x 19-11	Benarty s	Probe v Alroraít v	Altitude (ft)	Tine (hre)	Alrenati S also
			INT GAS SAMPLES	čVA.					
			trate ar						

ŧ

?

TABLE B5

0014 435

1 ?. 120

$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		1. S.			Ţ													
					0.1-0.7	۳.o	0.85	0.0	5 7.0	7 0.8	5 0.7	0.6					•	
	1442	0.055	0.41	ı	0.250	7.19	0.703	0.524	17 3.53	61 0.5 1	76 O.4	- 0,2		117.				Device
	1.50	0,026	0,40	1.88	n.254	7.65	O, RYR	0. 7 4 5	(n.c. c.					111		1000	12	Massive B5
	IC 4K	0.057	0,69	2.17	0.206	 	0.000				5	2		105	Kross, B-50	1000	10	Kassive . Ph
	N 21	1.070	, Y					0.512	4 4 55	RY 0.61	14 0.1	9 0.J	64.0	196.	From. B-50	1000	05	Massive PJ
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				•	0.218	7.23	0.421	0.217	0 1.50	80 0.54	j 3.0.3	o.26	os: co	58.0	61038, B-50	1000	б	Massive R2
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	CAN	0.25%	0,70	•	0.256	7.09	0.914	0.151	·3 2.54	43 0.62	¥-0.4	7 0.2	1.17	16.7	grom, B-50	1000	, F	Massive Bl
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$										șles —	lout Saw			1				
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1017	0.105 0.436	0.14 1.74	••	0.145	1.10 E.RC	1.05 0.934	2.41 2.41) 11.3 15.1	0 1.7		9 1.5	1.74 7.75	41.9 2.06	11De Coafte	uncec	ç, 8	
HIMMAN Hill have (have) All linear (fr) Sample Type (fr) Interfer Type (fr) <thinterfer type<br="">(fr) <thinterfer type<br="">(fr)</thinterfer></thinterfer>	412 112	0.30 707	1.73		0.272	6. 56 57	0.914	1.82	15 4.34	0.85	3 1.0	1.1	5.91	3,76	COATS	66700	K •	977
Itenset <						•	2	-	5	ר ר	-		5.16	7.98	fine	55000,	2.1	378
Mitter Min. Millinge (hr.) Millinge							•		teples -	culate S	-d Farti	Separate	- 5140					
HIMAL HIIIAA MIIIAA Sample Type (fielong x 10 ⁻¹² $c_{h}V_{0}$ $r sr	Ę	6r0.0	1		J	•	1.00	7.04	11.2	2 1.10	.,	1.50	ı	16.7	gross, LASL 'A'	weee		
Aironali Time Allitude Sample Type fillions to 12^{-2} cm ¹² cm ¹² sm ² sm ² sm ² m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m	115	0.787	•		1	ı	0.508	7.21	1 15.2	يەرن يەر	3.7	5.21	•	1.00			*	c11
Aronal Time Allitude Sample Type finitions 10 ⁻¹² G_{n}^{2} S_{n}^{2} <t< td=""><td>۲۲</td><td>260,0</td><td>•</td><td></td><td>۱</td><td>,</td><td>0,483</td><td>04.1</td><td>14.8</td><td>10.1</td><td>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,</td><td>0.01</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>56 YM</td><td>12.1</td><td>920</td></t<>	۲۲	260,0	•		۱	,	0,483	04.1	14.8	10.1	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	0.01				56 YM	12.1	920
Minneri Him (hr.) Mittade Sample Type fillinge 10^{-12} (c_{h}^{16}) s_{h}^{27} s_{h}^{27} s_{h}^{210} s_{h}^{27} s_{h}^{210} s_{h}^{210} s_{h}^{27} s_{h}^{210} <th< td=""><td>ÿ</td><td>0.14</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>-</td><td>1</td><td>4</td><td>-</td><td></td><td>л</td><td>1</td><td>3.42</td><td>ETONE, LASL '11'</td><td>56300</td><td>6.0</td><td>982</td></th<>	ÿ	0.14					-	1	4	-		л	1	3.42	ETONE, LASL '11'	56300	6.0	982
Mitomatic Time Mititade Sample Type filliona 10^{-12} c_{1} s_{1} c_{1} c_{1	-	2	5 01.9		0.225	6.93	0.825	0.101	3,52	91 0.56	18 0.2	0.33	ı	70.3	BFOND, LASL 'A'	45500	و.ر	201
Altorner: Time Allitude Sample Type fission a construction 10^{-12} c_{n}^{-12} s_{n}^{-12} c_{n}^{-11} s_{n}^{-11} c_{n}^{-11} s_{n}^{-11} c_{n}^{-11} s_{n}^{-11} c_{n}^{-11} s_{n}^{-11} c_{n}^{-11} s_{n}^{-11} <t< td=""><td>ĩ</td><td>0.016</td><td>3.122</td><td></td><td>0.234</td><td>6.60</td><td>0.820</td><td>0.530</td><td>12 4.00</td><td>22 0.60</td><td>51 0.5</td><td>0.15</td><td>ı</td><td>23.1</td><td>gross, LASL 'A'</td><td>54000</td><td>3.75</td><td>C64</td></t<>	ĩ	0.016	3.122		0.234	6.60	0.820	0.530	12 4.00	22 0.60	51 0.5	0.15	ı	23.1	gross, LASL 'A'	54000	3.75	C64
Alronnet Time Allitude Sample Type fieldons 10^{-12} Ca ^L S $_{\rm F}$ $_{\rm F}$ $_{\rm S}$ $_{\rm F}$ $_{\rm S}$ $_{\rm F}$ $_{\rm S}$ $_{$	145	0.015	0,047		0,272	6.97	0.610	0.423	10 3.46	39 0.51	у 1 о.н	0.3		59.2	gross, LASL 'A'	0000		
Altorneli Time Altitude Sample Type fissions 10^{-12} Calls $_{8}$ $_{8}$ $_{9}$ $_{9}$ $_{9}$ $_{17}$ Calls $_{11}$ $_{12}$ $_{11}$ $_{12}$ $_{11}$ $_{12}$ $_{11}$ $_{12}$ $_{11}$ $_{12}$ $_{11}$ $_{12}$ $_{12}$ $_{12}$ $_{12}$ $_{12}$ $_{12}$ $_{12}$ $_{12}$ $_{12}$ $_{11}$ $_{12}$ $_{11}$ $_{12}$ $_{11}$ $_{12}$	5	0.059	0.101		6.237	1.86	օ.գես	5.48	12.2	2 1.49	ې د.	3.55	4	15.5	gross, LASL 'A'	00669		r y
Alternafic Time Altitude Sample Type filetions 10^{-12} $c_{a}^{b}c_{s}^{F9}$ s_{s}^{00} r^{91} c_{a}^{116} c_{s}^{117} c_{s}^{110} r^{16} r^{212} c_{s}^{12} r^{212} r^{210} r^{212}	113	0.011	0.051		112.0	7.22	0.811	0.417	15 J. IL	00 0.51	35 O.7	0.2	١	54.a	STORS, LASE 'A'	47000		5
Alrorafs Time Altitude Sample Type fielding 10^{-12} Calf s^{P}_{A} s^{O}_{A} s^{O	571	0.095	۱		0.746	1.91	0.61	۲.'7	85.8	2 1.15	2 1.7	2.5	ł	2.82	gross, LASL 'A'	56400	2.1	518 978
Altornafs Time Altitude Sample Type fleetong x 10 ⁻¹² Ca ¹ 2 S ² 9 S ⁰ Y ¹⁰ Ca ¹ 2 Ca ¹ 2 S ¹² S ¹² Ca ¹ 2 S ² S ¹² Ca ¹ 2 Ca ¹ 2 S ² S ² S ² S ¹² Ca ¹ 2 Ca ¹ 2 Ca ¹ 2 Ca ¹ 2 S ² S ² S ² S ² Ca ¹ 2 Ca ¹ 2 Ca ¹ 2 Ca ¹ 2 S ² S									2	tr Sampl	irticula:	Gross Pa				,		
TAR FARTICULATE CARPERS	Anali	N./10 ^{1,7}	20 10 ¹² 1	, 101/ 5 275	0237 N/r d	ы 951 ^п а	ы с")пт	c, 137 P	د ء ا بو	۲ 16 م	و د ہو د	т я ² я	101/10 2	fissions x 10 ^{-1;} Ko99	Sample Type	Altitude (rt)	11me (hrie)	Altorafy
										TARPL'S	1000012	1000						

114

1

Ę

				÷	、			•															ا
								977 B	E 096	38º T	. 962 I	1 296 .	E toS	, T TOS	1 56ղ	t 96h	196 I.	981 R	204 B 204 L 204 L	7 916 T	Alterafi	•	
					ך ביאו⊂י R	• R = 1 us		26.8	12.3	9-5.	•	0.0	•	و•ر	3-15	-	ر ب 5، ب	د. ح	• N • • •	2.1	1		
					for Kr ^{d8} .	od for Kr		55500	56300	563:00	•	56 300	-	45500	54000	-	0000	64500	4 JO20	5 ⁶¹ 100			
					0.7 £ 0.1			0.70	0.70	0,15	0.67	وبا.0	0.61	0.76	0.68	0.63	0 0. 55	0.62	16°C	C6'0 A sjeaary			
-	·			•						Low crobe velocity										Nemiti	,		
							رء.ر	1 23	1 63	6.1.1 5717		10.1	16.0	3.27	14.0	14.8	3.24	2,40	0,47 0,51 13.3	fieriong x 15-11	OVE		,
		115	n.				•	17.220.4	8.720.18	10.640.1	8.1£3.3	0.7320.18	,	1.49£0.07	ı	0.16 <u>40</u> ,06	16.2£1.7	١	4. 7340.04 5.4520.10 0.1420.06	°fissiong x 10-11 Xr ⁵ 5	GAS SAMPLES	TABIE B6	
	•						J	13.2 <u>6</u> 0.7	12.0720.2h	6.7 <u>6</u> 0.1	5.97 <u>4</u> 0.15	17.5 <u>6</u> 0.5	•	2.1760.19	Ĺ	9n°07 30°2	15.4 <u>7</u> 0,4	'	3.2240.17 2.3340.08 2.3140.11	*ficeineș5ª 10-11 Rr			
			A				5.53	10.7 <u>6</u> 0:1	1. 25	6.25	4.5720.14	55.12	9.59	1.77	3.1	7.10 <u>6</u> 0.30	13.1	3.78 <u>4</u> 0.05	3.13 2.75	Lings z 10-15			~
							0.16	0.068	0.16	0.078	130.0	11	•	1.5	•	65	0.12	• ,	6. 10 6. 10	fissions K. 86 = Nº9 (F. B	= 0	, .	
				.*			UCBL	UCAL	UCHL	LCRL	UCHL	UCKL	UCRL	UCAL	00¥C			004L		80)/ X Azalysii By	-	6018613	

			llan Sc	'assive i		avier.				· 0 1		•			1 13		•	i	8	•	• 0						5	۰ ۲	 -	۲.		u .:	÷		~		re r. A	
				15 12	14 10	8 CF	12 6			• =		• •	•	•	6.5		••5	i	и • Б		• u	,	24	:	11	1.3		0 . 0	•	4.5		1.0	2.75		2.3		Ttae (hrs)	
		1000		ŝ	1000	1000	1000			•000				•	56000	• •	0000		45000		2000		60200		50000	60000		0000		60800	,	49000	45000		40000		Altitude (^t)	
•		[Folt, 3-30	5-044. 0-30			FT011. 3-50	KTOBS, 3-50		005760	110		fine .	2	001740	fine .		fina	outrae			fine . Goster		gross, LASL 'A'	. V. Jeve "		gross, LASL 'A'		gross, LASL 'k'		gross, LASC 'A'		CTDER LISL M.	gross, LASL 'A'		Eross, LASL 'A'		• Sample Type	
		632.	330.	4.J.J.		10 1	1 4 D8		0_317	8.65		12.9		3.48	10.A	6.88	46.9	406.	263.		336.		1.354	0.473		0.928		2.65	11.3	11.4	13.3		13.3		12.2		fleetons x 10 ⁻¹² M ₂ 99	
		27.2	30.6	5.82	10.1	2.22	33.4		50, B	10.6		16.9		19.1	5	32.6	33.5	28.0	28.4				ł		1	• •	,	• •	22.7	•			20-7				04 ×/5	
	0.65	0.316	0,319	0.303	0.294	0.308	0.235		19.2	26.2 .	1.UO	22.2		0.725		13.7	22.2	0.614	2.84	0.411	1.17	31 20	3.71	34.89	•	26.51	1	2.50	17.5	17.35	u. 572		2.14	•	0.509	6	3r 89	
	17.0	0.528	0.540	0.523	0.526	0.521	0.481		5.59	8.36	1.00	7.15	0.1.0	1.04		4.20	10.9	0.701	0.667	0.4/1	0.463	Seberat	1.72	10.28	,	7.76	•	1.37	5.63	5,61	0.768		1.52	•	0.718	ross Par	5r90 R	EOA FAR
•	0.85	0.575	0.570	0.554	0.539	0,602	0.425	Fallout :	1.68	1.88	0.836	1.72	016*0	1.09	•	1.47	1.79	0.660	0.990	0.474	1.08	A Panta	t	•	,	1.88	,	0.986	1.55	1.57	0.759		0.342	,	0.758	ticulate	K Lei	LICULALE
	7.0		2	86.1	4.83	5.01	4.97		6.73	7-66	4.08	7,88	4.07	7.07		5.26	65.39	5.33	7.71	3.27	8.11		23.55	9,207	•	8.69	•	5.08	6.62	8,81	5.65		5.30	ı	5.61	Sample ;	Ce ¹³⁶ R	SAYPL.S
	0.9		0.449	0 465	021-0	0.485	0.237		13.5	17 4	13.7	34.7	7.97	5.17		22.0	39.4	£0.1	4.05	0.381	1.59		5.64	62.7	•	39.0	•	3.85	28.9	26.7	0.707		2,96	•	0.592		C.137 R	
0.00	0.95	0.004			0.658	0.678	0.550		0.986	2	0.758	0.972	1.05	1,05		1.05	1 0 8	0.757	0-446	0.629	1.15		0.842	1.049	,	1.02	ı	0.944	0.964	8	0.985	0.004	0.849	•	0.818	ł	C.144 R	
.	3.57				-	3, 73	3.24		2.41	2	3.31	2.97	5.03	3.58		4.23		3.27	4 18	3.91	4.03		ı	•	•	,	•	1	3.22	1 12	4.37	3.90	4.24	•	4.31		Eu 156 R	
0.1-0.2	0.171	0.119	0.110			0.129	0.100		0,100		0.119	0.116	0.132	0.154		0.163		0.137		0.121	0.163		ı	•	0,121	•	0.114	,	0.137	0_141	0.137	0.113	0.135	0.120	0.131		U237 #/r	
	2-21	5.39	•	I			•	ı	• •		1		ł	,				8.57		4.63	10.5		ı		8.14	8.75	9.21	8.35	1 1		7.44	,	7.25	•	7.10		1210 ⁴ bo210	
	3.20	3.67		,	4	•	,	,	- (groe		•	•	•	•		- 18		2.50 2.99		4.23].64		•	•	6.10	•	4-11	•				•		•	ı		4/3/1012 f	
	1.49	1.89	2.00	2.00				1.02	1.02		•	,	4	•		0.947		1.10		2.04	1_98		•	,	1.35	1.36	2.27	2.27	1. 40		2.20	2.15	2.13	2.19	2.19		Ca ac/1012f	
		•	•	•	•	•		•	•	1	• •	I	•	,	1		•	•		•	•	,	•	•	•	,	•	• •		; •	0.485	•	0.301	•	0.373		10 ¹⁰ 13	
	•	•	۱	•	•	•		,	,	,	• •	I	•	•	1	1	•	•		•			•	•	•	•	r		,		•	•	3.37	•	3.52		10 ³ . ¥/f	
	WHOL, UCHL	MADL, UCRL	NEC	WRDL	N N L L			TUNE	WADL		NADL	VSDI.		THDL		NADL, UCAL	HADE, SCRE	AFDL, DCKL		HUDL. UCHL	APDI DCAT	1		51	WINDL, UCHL	L SL	MUDL, UCHL	11.7	II GL		4 C 2 C	101		またした	157		Analysis by	

-

Appleter and Annal 1930 and and

0018933

TABLE BI