

- 472 Morgan, C
Griffen Cl
474 Richardsonso
The Painter
475 Bateson, C
W. Patrick
476 Stevens, J
*The Remn
Innated: T*
479 Cowan, B.
The Fingers
480 Rueger, C
482 Morgan, J
484 Wright an
486 Cronan, J
487 Yoshihash
Ferrell
489 Tsou, Amo
490 Miyamoto
492 Mauy, J
Fantine A
494 Kohl, The
497 Index, ^

F
C
E
F

the soil, tenants who maintain their property in good condition and recognize the authority of the paramount chief may regard the land as their own.

The first thermonuclear explosion—Trinity—in July, 1945, demonstrated the feasibility of the atomic weapon. Two devices were fired at Operations Crossroads on Bikini Atoll in July, 1946, for information concerning the effects of atomic bursts on ships. The first full scale thermonuclear device was exploded in the Pacific Proving Grounds on November 1, 1952. Subsequent tests took place as shown in Table I.

TABLE I. U.S. THERMONUCLEAR TESTING FROM 1948 THROUGH 1956.

Code	Time	Place	Test
Sandstone	Spring 1948	Eniwetok	Atomic
Ranger	Winter 1950-51	Nevada	Atomic
Greenhouse	Spring 1951	Eniwetok	Atomic
Buster-Jangle	Fall 1951	Nevada	Atomic
Tumbler-Snapper	Spring 1952	Nevada	Atomic
Ivy	Fall 1952	Eniwetok	Hydrogen
Upshot-Knothole	Spring 1953	Nevada	Atomic
Castle	Spring 1954	Pacific	Hydrogen
Teapot & Wigwam	Spring 1955	Nevada and off U.S. coast	Atomic
Redwing	Summer 1956	Pacific	Hydrogen

In contrast to the tests of high-yield thermonuclear devices at the Pacific Proving Grounds, only relatively small nuclear test explosions were conducted at the Nevada test site. There, as well as in the Pacific, all tests were planned for times when forecast weather conditions minimized the possibility of fallout hazards. High-air bursts at the Nevada test site have produced no significant fallout; the damage has been successfully confined to the controlled area of the test site. Previous to the 1954 "Castle" mishap, biological damage from the testing of atomic weapons was negligible. The Atomic Energy Commission reported that four persons suffered hand burns on May 14, 1948, because of a failure to handle radiated materials with adequate protection.²

One of the major problems in the Marshall Islands stems from the

placement of islanders as a result of the establishment of the Pacific Islands Proving Grounds. The first of the islanders, 1916, before the territory had been placed in several stages, first to Rongerik, later 1948 to Kili. The physical and climatic conditions still differed from those on Bikini and the islanders in adjusting to their new home. Bikini, afforded its people the opportunity of abundance of fish and good anchorage facilities for what possess. Bikini has a larger land area than Eniwetok and has heavier rainfall and richer soil. Furthermore, they were forced to learn new methods of cultivation and grow in their former habitat. They informed Nations Visiting Mission of their dissatisfaction of their desire to return to their homeland, or failed to find an island suitable to their needs.³

The United States High Commissioner, Deplained on March 10 that conditions on Bikini from returning there for many years, if ever, that, as efforts to find another atoll had been made for the displaced islanders in Jabwar on Jaluit Atoll. Buildings had been made some of the families from Kili on a settlement for the land lost on Bikini, the difficult to move.⁴

The 1956 Visiting Mission toured Jaluit Atoll Kili Island, some forty miles away. Since landing strip nor mooring facilities, the plane cannot land. Most of its 198 acres are planted with coconuts and anchorage, the unfavorable trade winds, reef combine to isolate the island during landings. Landing operations are possible only between March. The unfavorable surf conditions make it best are infinitely poorer than

² U.S. Dept. of Navy, *Report of the Trust Territory of Pacific Islands for 1948*, pp. 100, 411.

³ N. Visiting Mission, *op. cit.*, 77-79.

⁴ U.S. Dept. of Navy, *Report of the Trust Territory of Pacific Islands for 1949*, p. 101.

the loading of copra and 1
ing the greater part of the
To aid in the islanders'
ity initiated a community
the Bikini group with no
resources of kili and app
sist them. Taro plants we
tural extension station w
tangible means of transp
ment of the island itself;
areas accessible to the Bik

Nucker issued a press
made with the former re
sation for the use of their
trust agreement amounted
Ujelang. Both groups were
homes and certain adjace
ment was made at the :
United States was given 1
The second displacem
houly after the beginni
United States Atomic En
2, 1947, the selection of tl
tion. The islanders were
family dwellings, two cc
trade store, a school, and
ing problem of the Ujela
Their island, a considera
frequently went for six n
copra and to deliver goo
ferred to the Ponape Dis
reasons for the transfer, d
against it, basing their p
shallese in culture and wi
The group was promptly
for the sole purpose of giv

* United Nations, Trusteeship
Office, T/1025, New York, Doc. 36
* U.S. Atomic Energy Commis
'U.S. Dept. of Navy, Report'
41; U.N. Visiting Mission, *op. cit.*

TABLE II. Fatality Index from March 1954, Pacific
THERMON CLEAR TEST

Group	Persons Involved	Estimated Penetrating Dose	Degree of Skin Contamination
Rongelap	64 Marshallese	175 roentgens	Extensive
Rongelap people on Ailingnae	18 Marshallese	69 roentgens	Less extensive
Rongerik	28 Americans	78 roentgens	Slight
Utrik	157 Marshallese	14 roentgens	None

Full co-operation and support from all the agencies enabled the team to operate at maximum efficiency and to render appropriate care to the injured with little delay. Plans were made to evaluate the medical and genetic status of the group at specified intervals to note the later effects of radiation. Data on the original investigations and resurveys were published in a report entitled *Some Effects of Ionizing Radiation on Human Beings*.

Inside Bikini Atoll, at a point ten miles "downwind" from the explosion it was estimated that the radiation dosage was about 5,000 roentgens for the first 36-hour period after the fallout. The highest radiation measurement outside Bikini Atoll indicated a dosage of 2,300 roentgens for the same period. This was in the northwestern part of Rongelap Atoll, about 100 miles from the point of detonation.¹² The roentgen is the commonly accepted unit of measurement of radiation dosage. A dose of about 25 roentgens of radioactivity received by a person over a brief space of time will produce temporary changes in blood. A dose of some 100 roentgens received in a short interval may produce nausea and other symptoms of radiation sickness. About 450 roentgens delivered over a day or so may be fatal to approximately half of the persons so exposed.

One hundred eleven Marshallese, including members of the Holdover Committee of the Marshallese Congress, petitioned the Trusteeship Council in 1951 requesting that:

All the experiments with lethal weapons within this area be immediately ceased. If the experiments with said weapons should be judged absolutely necessary for the eventual well-being of all people of this world and can not be stopped or changed to other areas due to the unavailability of other

¹² U.S. Doc. T/PEU/10/28, dated May 6, 1948, T/1235, p. 82.

solutions, the following suggestions were submitted: All possible precautions should be taken before such weapons are exploded. All tamperings, and their valuable possessions be transported to safe distances first before such explosions occur. All the people living in the area be instructed to take safety measures. Adequate funds be set aside to pay for the possessions of the people in case they have to be moved from their homes. Courses be given to Marshallese medical practitioners and health aides which would be useful in the detecting and circumventing of preventable dangers.¹³

Dwight Heine, a representative of the Marshallese, informed the Trusteeship Council Standing Committee on Petitions that his people had not been warned of nuclear explosions and were not aware that the drinking water on the islands had been contaminated. The United States delegation upheld the right to conduct nuclear tests in the area on the ground that the "Trusteeship Agreement specifically designated the territory as a 'strategic area'" to ensure their country's role in the maintenance of peace and security and emphasized the fact that no person had lost his life, or been seriously injured, nor had his home been destroyed as a result of the tests.

The claims of the United States delegation were questioned by India's representatives led by V. K. Krishna Menon, who contended that the Trusteeship Agreement gave the United States the right to establish military bases and to station troops in the area, not to use the area as a proving ground for nuclear weapons. The reference to "strategic area" in the agreement only concerned the defense of the territory by the administering authority. Menon pointed out that the Council was faced with two grave issues of principles: The first questioned the right of the Administering Authority to use a territory under its trust for a testing ground; the second concerned the Administering Authority's duty to ensure the well-being of the people and to conserve the natural resources of the territory under administration. Activities in the Pacific islands were certainly not designed to ensure the welfare of the inhabitants, to conserve the territory's resources, or to protect the elements of Micronesian civilization.

The Indian delegation proposed that the International Court of Justice tender an advisory opinion on the legality of such experiments bearing in mind the provisions of the United Nations Charter and the Trusteeship Agreement. Pending the Court's opinion, the

¹³ U.S. Trusteeship Council, Official Records, "Fourteenth Session, 87th Report of the Legal Committee on Petitions for June 2-July 16, 1951" (New York, 1951), 26.

people but to all the peoples of the free world to maintain at a maximum its capacity to deter aggression and preserve peace. Thus it believes that further tests are absolutely necessary for the eventual well-being of all the people of this world.¹⁹

An exhaustive examination was undertaken of alternative sites in this country and in other parts of the world. The conclusion was reached that there were no other technically suitable sites available to the United States where such complete safeguards against possible hazards could be taken.

After considering the two petitions, the Council reaffirmed the position taken in 1954 on the Pacific bomb tests. By a vote of 9 to 4, it urged the United States to take all necessary measures to guard against dangers in the conduct of experiments and to settle claims of the inhabitants of Bikini and Eniwetok relating to their temporary displacement in connection with the 1954 nuclear test.²⁰

The Council's proposal was opposed by the representatives of India, the U.S.S.R., Burma, Syria, and Haiti. Krishna Menon stated that his delegation had never intended to condemn any action or policy of the United States, when proposing that the matter of thermonuclear testing in trust territories be referred to the International Court of Justice. The experiments would be equally regrettable whatever country conducted them and wherever they were held; India felt strongly that the experiments should be stopped. Problems of precautions and of radioactive fallout have far greater implications than those immediately affecting the Marshall Islands.

Tsarapkin considered the use of a trust territory for atomic tests of weapons intolerable. Bikini and Eniwetok were uninhabitable at present and would remain so for a long period, if not forever. This meant that a part of a trust territory had actually been destroyed.²¹ U. Mya Sein, representing Burma, questioned whether the Administering Authority should conduct nuclear tests within a trust territory without the free consent of the inhabitants. It was immaterial whether the aims of the tests were military or peaceful and what precautions were taken or compensations paid. A careful reexamination of the problem might lead to a real test of the United Nations Charter. Rafik Asha of Syria and Max Dorsinville of Haiti expressed the hope that the Administering Authority would soon find in possible to settle the claims of all displaced persons in Micronesia and as

¹⁹ People's Commission, *Report on the Problem of Nuclear Tests in the Pacific*, p. 14.

²⁰ The serious irradiation of a number of Japanese fishermen, coupled with the contamination of considerable quantities of fish, caused by the fallout of radioactive ash over a large area of the Pacific Ocean in March, 1954, had an enormous impact on world opinion. The New York *Times* reported that 23 fishermen were killed by atomic particles and 12,000 pounds of fish recovered from public sales were tested and declared dangerous by the Science Research Institute of Tokyo. The log of the vessel showed it to have been eighty miles from Bikini.²²

In England, the Bikini explosion of March, 1954, produced a minor crisis in the Churchill government. Labour party leaders and the *Times* urged the prime minister to seek an immediate meeting with the United States to discuss the outlawing of atomic weapons. Labourites signed a motion which called for the control of nuclear weapons by the United Nations, demanded an immediate ban on further experimentation with the H-Bomb, and proposed that the United States, Great Britain, the U.S.S.R., and the Chinese Peoples' Republic suggest methods for an over-all reduction in armament. Minister of Defense Emanuel Shinwell contended: "We are entitled to have all the information available to the United States arising out of recent tests; otherwise our partnership in defense with the United States is a sham."²³ Churchill cautioned the country that atomic weapons were a vital war deterrent; experiments were an essential part of the defense policy of a friendly power, without whose massive strength and generous help Europe would be in mortal peril.

Drew Middleton declared in the *New York Times*, on April 4, 1956: "It is difficult to escape the conclusion that the most serious casualties from the hydrogen weapons explosions in the Pacific have been suffered by those governments in Britain and Europe, which are the most friendly to the United States. In London, a citadel of the North Atlantic Alliance, the [Churchill] government was under heavy assault by a Labor Party so appalled that it forgot its internal feuds."²⁴ Anne O'Hare McCormick, in the same newspaper three days later, wrote: "The emotional reactions to the stupendous blasts in the Pacific have spread far beyond the radius of their destructive power. They have whipped up shrill protests against continuing the tests in

²² Trusteeship Council, Official Records, *Resolution, op. cit.*

²³ "Trusteeship Council Considers New Petition, from Marshall Islanders," *Nations Review*, II (May, 1956), 61-63.

²⁴ *New York Times*, March 17, 1954.

²⁵ *Ibid.*, March 28, 1954.

²⁶ *Ibid.*, March 31, 1954.

the Japanese
ment at New
lly called fe-
ference, in A
the spread of
radioactivity

"The Japan
all three of
Christmas Is.
The lower fe-
ruary 9, 1956
Britain to stop
Sawada, called
take action to
organizations
Shintoism co-

signatures of
and atomic b
similar resolu
Meteorologic
*the Abnormal
periments at*
society devote

The notific
Christmas Isl
ducted during
protests from
Federation of
a suspension

would be de-
public debate
into the "rop
Nobusuke Ki
sanction to si
warded a thi

blast, urging

²¹ Quoted by V.

²² Jules Lauren
April, 1956), 111.

²³ N. Arizona;
Japan, XXVII (N
in Lower Latitude

If your government were to suspend research and preparation for tests as well as the tests themselves, and resume such preparation only upon knowledge that another nation had actually exploded another H-Bomb, we could find our present commanding lead in nuclear weapons erased or reversed. We must continue—until properly safe-guarded international agreements can be reached—to develop our strength in the most advanced weapons—for the sake of all free nations, for the sake of peace itself. We must—and we shall—continue to strive ceaselessly to achieve—not the illusion, but the reality of world disarmament.

Lewis L. Strauss, Chairman of the Atomic Energy Commission, sent President Eisenhower a statement from 12 scientists supporting the policy of continued testing of hydrogen bombs.³⁵ On the other hand, 62 scientists at Brookhaven National Laboratory at Upton, Long Island, issued a 350-word statement against further testing.³⁶ Dr. H. Bentley Glass, professor of Biology at Johns Hopkins University, noted that an international agreement on the number of nuclear explosions allowed each nation appeared to be necessary for the safety of the human race. Dr. Ralph Lapp, nuclear scientist, also endorsed controlled disarmament enforced by a unified police corps.³⁷ In a letter to the *Saturday Review*, July 17, 1954, Albert Schweitzer openly appealed for the cessation of testing.

The basic facts concerning the dangers of atomic radiation are known and generally accepted by many scientists. There are, however, sharp divergences of opinion concerning the amount of radiation that will do permanent damage not only to individuals directly but to future generations. The Medical Council of London in 1955 considered that the then foreseeable hazards from external radiation due to fallout from the test explosions of nuclear weapons were negligible, but added that the world cannot ignore the possibility of more ill effects to the population if the rate of firing increases.³⁸ The International Congress of Human Geneticists which met in Copenhagen in the autumn of 1955 took the position that the "damage produced by radiation on the hereditary material [of man] is real and should be taken seriously into consideration in both the peaceful and military uses of nuclear energy as well as in all medical

³⁵ *New York Times*, Oct. 16, 1956.

³⁶ *Ibid.*, Oct. 29, 1956.

³⁷ *The Hazards to Man of Nuclear and Allied Radiations: A Report to Medical Research Council*, GMO 9180 (London, 1955), pp. 69-81. Cf. *Nuclear Explosions, and Their Effects*, Delhi, Indian Ministry of Information and Broadcasting, June, 1956, p. 6.

³⁸ *The Hazards to Man of Nuclear and Allied Radiations*, op. cit., 10-11.

medical and industrial practices in which x-rays or other ionizing radiation is emitted."³⁹

The March, 1954, hydrogen-bomb explosion in the Pacific gave rise to a manifold unorganized world-wide protest. Subsequent tests on fallout, radioactivity, and biological hazards, to some extent, have only reinforced the initial reaction. The manifest absence of any firm foundation of knowledge seems apparent in statements made by professional organizations. Clearly the inevitable adverse influence of increased radiation on health and the genetic endowment of man must be balanced against the needs for defense and national sources of power. A well-informed public opinion could help influence ultimate decisions on weapons testing, at least power, and biological hazards in an atomic age.

The United States must constantly re-evaluate security needs in the light of its duties as a trust administrator, for despite the continuation of the Pacific Trust area as "strategic," this country has agreed to participate in the overall United Nations program to lead to mandates toward self-government and independence. Each step must be closely watched by friends and foes; mismanagement will only disillusion those to whom the words "colonialism" and "imperialism" have sinister connotations.

³⁹ *New York Times*, Aug. 7, 1956.