ment in a warrhack

COLLECTION (G 724 51-57 Suntable Collection (G 724 51-57 Suntable Collection (NN3-321-93-0/0) This document consists of 6 pages BCX No. 151 (NN3-321-93-0/0) Copy No. 2 of 4 Series A 408875

February 9, 1959

ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION

MEETING NO. 1308

2:45 p.m., Tuesday, October 22, 1957

Present

W. F. Libby Harold S. Vance John S. Graham John F. Floberg

K. E. Fields

W. B. McCool

CLASSIFICATION CANCELLED BY AUTHORITY OF DOE/OC

Carl Wilson 3/23/84
REVIEWED BY

H.R. Schmidt 7/9/85

By: W. Teuch 3/18/86

Also Present

David B. Anthony Col. Harold C. Brown Capt. Edward E. Conrad Edward Diamond Paul C. Fine Paul F. Foster Col. John R. Hood, Jr. George M. Kavanagh Calvin Potts John L. McGruder Capt. Kenneth F. Musick William P. Risso Lt. Col. Raymond I. Schnittke Brig. Gen. Alfred D. Starbird Col. Charles B. Stewart Shelby Thompson Richard V. Willit Herbert York Maj. Gen. Alvin R. Luedecke, AFSWP James McRae, Sandia Corp. James E. Reeves, Albuquerque Operations Office

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

1. Laboratory Directors Briefing on Operation HARDTACK

General Starbird explained that the purpose of the briefing was to discuss with the Commission the plans for Operation HARDTACK and not necessarily to obtain a final Commission decision on the program. He began by reviewing the development of plans for Operation HARDTACK as set forth in AEC 952/16 - Review of Program



for Operation HARDTACK. The AEC staff, he said, made the following recommendations: (1) the operation should begin no earlier than May 1, 1957, to allow adequate time for AEC preparations, (2) the number of AEC shots should be seventeen as requested by the laboratory directors, plus one detonation of a clean weapon to which U.N. observers would be invited, (three specific contingency shots should be ready for detonation in the event that some of the other seventeen shots are not successful, (4) two one-point safety tests should be conducted at the Nevada Test Site during the fall of 1957, and (5) following Operation HARDTACK, two or three devices of less that two kilotons should be tested underground in the tunnel used during Operation PLUMBBOB.

Mr. Norris Bradbury, Director, IASL, then reviewed with aid of charts the devices recommended by IASL for testing duri HARDTACK. Mr. Libby expressed concern about the amount of of site fallout which would result if it were necessary to deton the contingency devices now included in the plans. In respon to a question by Mr. Libby, General Starbird said no new type weapon has ever been stockpiled without one of its type being tested before entry. Mr. Libby also raised the problem of the increasing proliferation of types of weapons being tested and stockpiled. General Starbird replied that the staff recognize this problem. He pointed out that AEC had received requirement from the DOD for warheads for a large number of different weap and that AEC is attempting to develop warheads which will fit several different kinds of carriers and missiles. Mr. Bradbu: added that the laboratories were conscious of the desirabilit; reducing the amount of testing, but said he did not believe the it would be possible under the present DOD requirements to dispense with any of the shots now scheduled. Any of the devi

not tested during HARDTACK, he said, would be delayed entering the stockpile at least two years.

Mr. Herbert York, Director, Livermore Laboratory, discuss with the Commissioners the weapons tests proposed by Livermore Laboratory. Mr. Libby noted that if the two high altitude sho were eliminated from the test series, and no contingency shots detonated the off-site fallout from Operation HARDTACK would r exceed four megatons, the same as that resulting from Operation REDWING. General Luedecke pointed out, however, that the Commission had originally requested these shots in order to determine whether detonations at 125,000 to 250,000 feet could be detected. This information is required before establishing a U.S. position on a possible world moratorium on weapons testing. More important to the DOD, he said, was the informat to be learned about the effects of high altitudes on nuclear explosions, and the characteristics of radiation in varying densities of the upper atmosphere. The latter information is needed, he said, in connection with research being conducted of method of attacking large numbers of enemy aircraft by the radiation from weapons detonations. Mr. Libby observed that i the two high altitude shots and three contingency shots were tested in addition to the other scheduled shots, the off-site fallout would total 8.22 megatons. The President, he said, wishes to limit, if possible, off-site fallout to the amount resulting from Operation REDVING. Mr. Libby added, however, t the President probably would agree to a total off-site fallout of not more than six megatons.

Mr. Graham left the meeting briefly during the above discussion.

General Luedecke said that if the two high altitude shots were removed from the test schedule, the DOD would wish to re-



examine the entire program for Operation HARDTACK. Mr. York remarked that the testing of the two rocket shots is quite important to the anti-missile program. Mr. Vance said in view the adjournment of the London Disarmament Conference earlier is the year, a weapons test moratorium is unlikely and, therefore there now seems to be no urgency in testing U.S. detection capabilities at high altitudes.

General Starbird pointed out that if it becomes necessary to reduce the number of devices tested, the clean weapon device will have to be eliminated since these are purely experimental devices, while the others are DOD requirements. Mr. Libby reiterated, however, that the President has talked repeatedly the need to develop clean weapons and has, in fact, based his defense of continued weapons testing partly on the fact that meets are needed to achieve such weapons.

Mr. Floberg suggested that the U.N. observers be permitted to see one of the other shots, thus eliminating the extra observer shot. General Starbird replied that AEC should test weapon already proven to be clean by a previous test, rather than risk one of the new devices not being as clean as expected. The United States would suffer a serious setback, he said, if weapon which it had claimed to be clean, proved not to be before a group of U.N. observers. Mr. Libby suggested that the President be asked to reconsider his proposal to invite U.N. observers to the next test series.

Mr. Graham observed that the Commission's long range interests might best be served by reducing the number of shots for Operation HARDTACK, and thereby reduce the possibility of arousing public opinion against further tests of any type. He suggested that more of the shots be fired underground in tunnels was done during Operation PLUMBBOB. General Starbird point

- 4 -

out that only three devices scheduled for HARDTACK were small enough to be tested within the United States. Also, these sho produce very little fallout so that the total off-site fallout of HARDTACK would not be substantially reduced if they were eliminated. In addition, he said with each device tested underground, there is the risk that an earthquake will occur concurrently causing the public to conclude erroneously that the one caused the other.

Mr. Vance said he thought that if the President understood the need for testing each of the devices proposed for the serial his reservations about the number of shots would be dispelled he would agree to the shot schedule now before the Commission. Mr. Vance proposed, therefore, that the Commission recommend the President the shot schedule for Operation HARDTACK as presented by the laboratory directors.

General Lucdecke said the DOD would like to begin testing their five weapons effects shots about the middle of April. The Commissioners indicated no objection to this.

Mr. Libby said he believed that before reaching a final decision on the shot schedule, the Commission should obtain the views of the GAC Subcommittee on Weapons which will meet at Los Alamos on November 20. The other Commissioners agreed with this suggestion and also agreed that for planning purposes, Mal, 1953, should be established as the date for beginning testiof the seventeen devices proposed by the AEC laboratory direct The Commissioners indicated they would also review the shot schedule with the MLC at the 114th AEC-MLC Conference on October 25. Mr. Libby restated his belief that the test of a clean weapon for U.N. observers should be cancelled.

2. AEC 952/17 - Demonstration Shot for Operation HARDTACK.

The Commissioners deferred consideration of AEC 952/17 until a later meeting.

W. B. McCool Secretary

Approved by the Commission: Meeting 1350, April 2, 1958