



VEFENSE NUCLEAR AGENCY WASHINGTON, D.C. 20305 UNIQUE DOCUMENT SADDOOCS80 ACO

404225 4

4 DEC 1972

LGLS

LEASE

NS1

DEPT

0 N COORDI

MEMORANDUM FOR:

DEPUTY ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF INTERIOR FOR TERRITORIAL AFFAIRS

YASSISTANT GENERAL MANAGER FOR MILITARY APPLICATION, ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION

SUBJECT: Environmental Statement - Eniwetok Cleanup and Rehabilitation

Attached is a proposed outline of a Draft Environmental Statement (DEIS) for the cleanup and rehabilitation of the islands comprising Eniwetok Atoll along with a proposed schedule for its preparation and processing. The outline DEIS indicates the general scope of the content of the document with notations in the margin showing the agency which is expected to be the principal source of information and to provide the material necessary to complete the portion of the document indicated.

To comply with the intent of the National Environmental Policy Act and the Council on Environmental Quality's Guidelines, the DEIS is structured to cover the entire program for the cleanup and rehabilitation and is intended to be published as a joint document. At the interagency meeting of 7 September 1972, it was agreed that DOD would be the lead agency in the preparation of the DEIS.

From time to time there will be a need to obtain information and to consult with your agency on matters concerning the DEIS. For this purpose request you designate a single point of contact to coordinate these matters. To meet the proposed schedule, it would be helpful to have your comments and/or concurrence on the proposed outline of the DEIS and the schedule together with the name and phone number of your point of contact by 14 December 1972.

Branci Car

CONSSIFIED B OR LOGISTICS DECLASSIFY 15 Ma

D/c. Mary- Le

3108/7238/ POIL2

TIONAL

Criminal Sanctic

ORMA

CURITY

4 DEC 1972

LGLS

SUBJECT: Environmental Statement - Eniwetok Cleanup and Rehabilitation

Mr. M. E. Stevens, Logistics Directorate, phone 694-4787, is designated the point of contact for this headquarters.

FOR THE DIRECTOR:

2 Encls 1. Outline 2. Schedule

.

JOSEPH L. DICKMAN Major General, USAF Deputy Director (Operations and Administration)

3108/7238/10113

2

Copy furnished: ASD(H&E) ATSD(AE) ASD(ISA)

DRAFT

OUTLINE DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL STATEMENT ENIWETOK CLEANUP

Responsible Agency

para 3d

1. Scope of the Proposed Cleanup and Rehabilitation

Program

long ferrin , Continuing , permanent DNA based on

a. Cleanup (make safe for human habitation) (Brief Statement on the intended work)

b. Rehabilitation (Short and long range develop- DOI based on para 3e ment plans for resettlement of Eniwetok people)

(Brief statement on the intended work)

2. Summary of Impacts

Summarize all impacts of proposed actions both DNA based on para 4 adverse as well as advantageous

3. Project Description

	. a.	Int	croduction	-	Outlin	e	scope	and	objectives		DN	A based	1	
		-								. •	on	other	par	2
of	propo	sed	restoratio	n	and re	ha	bilita	atior	ı					

b. Description of Area - Eniwetok

(1) Geographic - geological-climatic DNA description of Atoll

(2) Flora, Fauna, and Agriculture DNA

Associated with islands

(3) Historical background of Atoll
(4) The Eniwetok people
DOI

CLASSIFIED BY DIR FOR LOGISTICS, DNA DECLASSIFY ON 15 May 1973

NO DEPT. OF ENERGY CLASSIFIED INFOMMATION (NO RD/FRD/DOE-NSI) COORDINATE WITH: DOD BEFORE DECLASSIFICATION RELEASE AUTHORITH, DOE/SA-20 Cod BY R.A. CAPENTER, DATE 6-10-94 HRGCH HIGH 6/16/94

3108/7238/ poils

Responsible Agency (5) Cultural Background of Eniwetok DOI people The U.S. Development of the Islands (6) DNA/AEC for nuclear testing Present condition of the Islands (7) DNA/AEC (8) Economic and Cultural resources DOI c. Present Local Environment and Resources Description of Ujelang-geography, geology, DOI climate, economic and cultural - and the conditions which affect the living conditions and economy compared to Eniwetok. Similar to 3b above. d. Proposed Cleanup Plans (1)Results of Radiological and DNA/AEC Engineering Survey (2)Cleanup of Radiological Hazards DNA/AEC Cleanup of unsafe physical conditions (3) DNA Proposed Rehabilitation Plans e. Master plan for the use of the Islands DOI/TTPI/ (1) Eniwetok Council (2) Economic Development DOI Social and Cultural Development DOI (3) f: Phenomena or Activities associated with DNA/AEC/DOI Cleanup and Rehabilitation

3108/7238/ po115

Responsible Agency

4. Probable Environmental Impact of the Proposed

Action

a. Proposed Cleanup Plans

(1) Increased Island Population

(2) Radiologic Debris Removal and Disposal

(3) Other Hazardous Material Removal

and/or Disposal

b. Proposed Rehabilitation Plans

(1) Planning Activities - Development,

Land Use, Agriculture

- (2) Construction Activities
- (3) Operation and Maintenance Activities

5. Alternatives to the Proposed Actions

a. Cleanup

- (1) Return of Islands
 - (a) Status quo
 - (b) Cleanup and return

(2) Method of Disposal of Hazardous

Materials

- (a) Island disposal
 - (b) Lagoon disposal
 - (c) Ocean Dumping
 - (d) Return to CONUS

3

3108/7238/ poil6

DOD/DNA/AEC TTPI/Eniwetok Council

DOI/TTPI/ Eniwetok Council

DOD/DNA/AEC DOI/Eniwetok Council

Responsible Agency

DOI/TTPI/ Eniwetok

Council

- (3) Method of Performance
 - (a) Troop Units
 - (b) Contract

b. Rehabilitation

(1) Land use and long range development

plans

- Describe various alternatives to the plan proposed and discuss pros and cons of which lead to the most advantageous plan

(2) Economic development

- Describe various alternatives to the plan proposed and discuss pros and cons of which lead to the most advantageous plan

(3) Social and cultural development

- Describe various alternatives to the plan proposed and discuss pros and cons of which lead to the most advantageous plan

c. Cost Benefit Analysis of the Various DOD/DNA/DOI TTPI Alternatives

Provide an economic analysis in terms of dollar costs vs. dollar benefits (positive or negative) to be gained from each alternative.

23 200 200

3108/7238/10117

Adverse Environmental Effects which cannot be 6. avoided.

a. Water Quality

b. Air Quality

·c. Land and Lagoon Use Patterns

d. Damage to Life Systems

e. Threats to Health

f. Food Chain

g. Other

7. Relationship between short term effects and long DNA/AEC/DOI term productivity

An assessment of the proposed actions for cumula-

8. Irreversible or irretrievable commitments of DNA/AEC/DOI resources.

5

Identify the extent to which the proposed action curtails the range of beneficial uses of the environment. Responsible Agency

DOD/DOI/ AEC/DNA/TTPI/ Eniwetok Council

3108/7238/20118

TENTATIVE SCHEDULE FOR PREPARATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL STATEMENT FOR CLEANUP AND REHABILITATION OF ENIWETOK.

			Dato		
		30	November 72	-	Circulate Proposed Outline Draft Environmental Statement to DOI and AEC for comment.
		14	December 72	-	DOI, AEC provide comments.
		2]	December 72	-	Provide outline and schedule for DEIS to DOI and AEC for action.
		1	February 73	-	DOI, AEC provide inputs for DEIS.
		15	March 73	-	Circulate proposed DEIS to DOD, DOI and AEC for comment.
	•	15	April 73	-	DOD, DOI, AEC provide comments and corrections.
		1	May 73	-	Joint Conference DNA/DOD/DOI/AEC to resolve differences. (If necessary)
		15	May 73	-	Brief Eniwetok Council on DEIS.
	6. 9K	15	May 73	-	File DEIS with Council on Environmental Quality. Make DEIS available to Public. Solicit views of other Federal, State and local agencies.
	RELEASE 10 DATE: 6-1	30 <u>.</u>	June 73	-	Hold Public Hearings in Ujelang and/or Majuro on Cleanup and Rehabilitation Plan.
N REL	-20 DAT	15	July 73	-	Receive comments on DEIS from CEQ, Public and other agencies.
100	CATIO DELEN	51	August 73		Conference DNA/DOD/DOI/AEC to resolve comments and method of handling. (If necessary).
. ~ 7	CARP	, 5	August 73	<u> </u>	Brief Eniwetok Council on comments and methods of resolution.
3 2 5	DECLI UTHORI NR.A.	15	August 73	÷	Circulate Draft of Final EIS to DOD, DOI, AEC and Eniwetok Council for comment.
OORDII	BEFORE DEC AUTHO AU BY R.	1	September 73	-	DOD, DOI, AEC, Eniwetok Council provide comments or concurrence on Final EIS.
ũ		·15	September 73		File Final EIS with CEQ and make available to public.
	CLASSI		October 73		Decision on Execution of cleanup and rehabilitation plan. ISTICS DUA
			Y ON 15 May 19		09045

NO DEPT. OF ENERGY CLASSIFIED INFORMATION (100 00/ FRD/DOE-NSI)

3105/7238/ 00119

Attachment 2

DRAFT OUTLINE FOR ENVIRONMENTAL STATEMENT

This is in response to the DNA proposal of December 4, 1972, for a joint AEC-DOD-DOI environmental statement to cover the restoration of Eniwetok Atoll.

The AEC has considered the tentative environmental statement outline and assignment of responsibilities for drafting particular sections.

We recognize that the timing for availability of radiological survey results and radiological assessments, will impose a delay of several months before firm radiological cleanup assumptions can be started. However, it is suggested that much of the outcome of the radiological survey and assessment could be postulated at this time for the purposes of environmental statement drafting with the idea that those postulated matters would be confirmed in the final environmental statement. On this basis, the AEC will initially assume for the draft statement:

- that the radiological survey findings for radionuclides
 of other than plutonium will be comparable to those
 encountered at Bikini for similarly situated islands;
- that the radiological survey findings for plutonium will not show serious contamination problems at locations other than Runit (and possibly Engebi) Islands;

CONFIRMED TO BE UNCLASSIFIED AUTHORITY: DOE/SA-20 BY H.R. SCHMIDT, DATE: HRJ: LOGIA 14/94

3105/7238/00120

09045

- 2 -

3. that the overall radiological survey findings (including plutonium) will lead to environmental assessments favorable

to restoration and rehabilitation for islands other than Runit;

- that the restoration of Runit will not necessarily be covered completely in the draft environmental statement; and
- 5. that the subject of plutonium decontamination will be treated so that Runit Island could be covered in the final statement or in an addendum to the final statement.

DMA offers the following comments with regard to the proposed outline:

In both Sections 3b and 3c the DNA, AEC and DOI should assess environmental conditions (for Ujelang and Eniwetok) on identical bases so that Ujelang conditions may be used as a base of comparison. The objective in both assessments would be to assure that comparable environmental values are known for the two locations. The AEC would be able to provide in-depth environmental data on Eniwetok on the atoli basis of about 20 years of study. Unfortunately, other environments are not as well documented.

3108/7238/10121

ONCIDENTIAL

- 3 -


Section 5c. We question whether an economic analysis of the various alternatives will satisfy NEPA requirements completely. The AEC might be able to contribute to the cost-benefit analysis in terms of environmental values.

AEC intends to draft and submit inputs on the following by February 1, 1973: Section 3b(6); 3b(7) - summary of the present environmental condition of natural values at Eniwetok Atol1; 3d(1) radiological survey; 3d(2) - comments on possible alternatives; 3f - comments; 4a.(2) - comments; 5a.(1), (2), (3); and 6, 7 and 8.

The following comments pertain to the tentative DNA scheduling for preparation of the environmental impact statement:

- 1. It has been the AEC's understanding that the Eniwetok cleanup should be expedited as much as feasible. For this on interval reason, a transfer of 3 1/2 months for the staffing of the draft environmental statement appears unnecessarily long particularly in comparison with the five working weeks allowed for writing the various portions of that draft. April 1 It is suggested that March 1 It is suggested that March 5 would be a feasible date and that the for the staffing necessary.
- 2. It seems desirable that the draft environmental statement be publicly available for a few days prior to any hearings

3108/7238/ (15172



or meetings on that subject. Perhaps the Eniwetok council should not be briefied until at least a week after they have received the draft statement.

3. In keeping with a policy of acting as rapidly as feasible, a tentative date of July 1, 1973, or 90 days after the draft statement is issued, should be the target for issuing the final environmental impact statement.

The AEC point of contact for participation in preparing the environmental statement on Eniwetok restoration will be Gordon C. Facer, Ext. 3011.

We look forward to being of assistance in this urgent matter.

3108/7238/ 100123