Bouse of Representatives Committee on Appropriations Washington, D.C. 20515

Was 29 1981

May 22,1981

402782

Territorial attains

Because of the necessity for editing and printing the hearings at an early date, we ask that the attached transcript of testimony be returned to the Staff Assistant to the Subcommittee as early as you can, but not later than

Editorial changes by the witnesses should be held to a minimum and limited to:

- 1. Changes (in pencil only) of grammatical and other obvious errors; and
- 2. Additions of statements and information requested and approved by the Subcommittee. (Insertions to be written on one side of a page only).

Note . -- Although no changes should be made in Members' remarks, if you observe any typographical or other obvious errors, we would appreciate pencil notes in the margin, or in an accompanying memo, calling them to our attention.

If you cannot prepare the required additional material within the time limitation, please return the transcript within such time with a notation as to when the additional material will be submitted.

Sincerely.

Clerk and Staff Director

Uriginal

Committee Hearings

of the

U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES



OFFICE OF THE CLERK Office of Official Reporters

1 RPTR KNOWLES 2 10:00 a.m. 3 5 6 DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR AND RELATED 7 AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS FOR 1982 8 9 10 Wednesday, May 13, 1981 11 12 13 OUTSIDE FEDERAL WITNESSES 14 WITNESSES 15 DR. BRUCE WACHHOLZ, OFFICE OF HEALTH AND ENVIRONMENTAL 16 RESEARCH, ACTING ASSISTANT SECRETARY FOR ENVIRONMENTAL 17 PROTECTION, SAPETY AND EMBASY PREPAREDNESS, DEPARTMENT OF 18 ENERGY; 19 DR. WILLIAM BURR, OFFICE OF HEALTH AND ENVIRONMENT, ACTING 20 ASSISTANT SECRETARY FOR ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, SAFETY AND Emergency 21 ENERGY PREPAREDNESS, DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY; L. JOE DEAL, CHIEF, ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AND SAFETY 22 23 BOARD, ACTING ASSISTANT SECRETARY FOR ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, SAFETY AND EXERCY PREPAREDNESS, DEPARTMENT OF 24 25 ENERGY;

26	STEVEN	GOTTLIFE.	DEPUTY	ASSISTANT	GENERAL	COUNSEL.
20	SIEVEN	GOTITIED,	DEFOII	VOOTOTYUT	GERERAL	COOKSEL

- 27 ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, SAFETY AND ENERGY PREPAREDRESS;
- 28 DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY;
- JOHN E. DE YOUNG, SENIOR STAFF ASSISTANT INTERN,
- 30 TERRITORIAL AND AFFAIRS; AND
- 31 DANIEL HIGH, ACTING DEPUTY HIGH COMMISSIONER

15 DR. BILL

. .

16

STATE OF HEALTH AND ENVIRONMENTAGE

CONTARY FOR ERVIRORIESTAN

PERHESS, DEPARTMENT OF

32 Mr. MURTHA. Do we have Dr. Bruce Wachholz? 33 Dr. WACHHOLZ. Yes, sir. 34 Mr. MURTHA. Dr. William Burr and L. Joe Deal, and Mr. 35 deYoung. Have a seat, Mr. deYoung. 36 Mr. deYoung, if you will introduce your colleagues. Mr. DEYOUNG. 37 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. There are a number of items on radiation survey, medical program, tother 38 39 aspects of resettlement of displaced people that will come 40 up this morning. 41 We have with us the acting Deputy High Commissioner for 42 the Trust Territory, Mr. Daniel High, who will address some 43 of the resettlement problems. He is accompanied by his 44 Budget Officer. There are some backup support witnesses for 45 the Department of Interior from the Department of Energy. 46 are Dr. Burr, Dr. Wachholz, Mr. Deal and Mr. Gottlieb. They 47 will be addressing the problems specifically that relate to radiation. 48 49 Mr. MURTHA. I understand that you have an opening 50 statement, is that correct? Mr. DEYOUNG. We have no prepared opening statement. 51 are prepared to answer any questions the committee would 53 like to put to us. Mr. MURTHA. Mr. deYoung, would you provide for the 54 committee an update of the radiological status of both 55 Bikini and Enewetak Atolls? 56

57 Mr. DEYOUNG. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Dr. Wachholz, of 58 the Department wi Browigy, is prepared to present that on 59 behalf of the gavernment. 60 61 has been provided with cogies of the latest documents that are available to the Repartment of Emergy. 62 THE FINAL DOSE REASSESSMENT The latest on the Engwetak stituation is g document, this 63 64 particular document, reassessment, which you have, I believe. 65 Was that provided to the committee? 66 67 Mr. DEYOUNG. Yes. Dr. WACHHOIZ... And last fall, we provided to the people 68 of Bikini a status report of the radiological situation 69 70 status of Bikini Atoll. This was a follow-on of our earlier OF A SIMILAR NATURE REPORTING ON THE RADIOLOGICAL STATUS OF ENEUETAK ATOLL book for the Enewetak people. 71 72 In terms of the dose assessment for various living patterns and conditions of both atollis, they are discussed 73 BASIC ENEWETHE 74 in here. THESE DOCUMENTS. In terms of the hard sore data, that is in this book for 75 TOGETHER WITH ITS APPENDICES. the Enewetak Atolin, and this will be followed by a similar 76 document from Laurence Livermore National Laboratory in 77 DATA AND several months, giving the complete, assessment with respect 78 to Bikini Aroll. 79 For the record, would you identify them? 80 Mr. GOTTLIES. FINAL The Emewetak book that I am referring tois 81 Dr. WACHHOLZ.

97

9.0

99

100

101

102

103

104

82	entitled ''Reassessment of the Potential Radiological Does
83	for Residents Resettling Enewetak Atoll, " and the two
84	previous documents to which I made reference are ''The
	Meaning of Radiation at Bikini Atoll, ' and a document.
86	TAK ''The Eneweys' Atoll Today.''

Mr. MURTHA. We will keep a copy of those documents for our files. If you will review and summarize the conclusions of those studies, please?

Dr. WACHHOLZ. The conclusions of these studies are based

CONSIDER

91 solely on radiation doses and do not take whatever other

92 factors one might wish to include in any judgments regarding

93 the future of these atolls and the people.

The statements I will make are based on a comparison with U.S. radiation exposure limits.

With respect to Enewetak, I think you will find that the ESTIMATED THE latest dose numbers in this final document are roughly about "THE EMEMETAK ATOLL TODAY" 10 to 20 percent higher than they were when we printed this document a year and a half or two years ago, because of the greater data base which has become available since that time.

Mr. MURTHA. So there is no increase in actual radiation, there is only an increase in the accumulation of information which--

Dr. WACHHOLZ. But that increase in information has led to a recalculation.

107	nr. nuktha. I understand,
108	ESTIMATES DOSES Dr. WACHHOLZ. In which the dosages themselves are
109	roughly 10 to 20 percent higher.
110	Mr. MURTHA. And the bottom line, how do they compare
111	with areas that don't have radiation?
112	Dr. WACHHOLZ. With respect to the southern islands at
113	Enewetak Atoll, they are well within U.S. exposure
114	standards. Well below U.S. exposure standards .
115	With respect to the island of Enjebi, they are above U.S.
116	exposure standards. ^A With respect to Bikini Atoll, here
117	W WHICH THE ISLANDS are or could again. there is only one situation whose they are or could
118	be within U.S. exposure standards, and that would have a
119	number of restrictions associated with it, specifically for
120	the Island of Eneu.
121	PSOPIC They would have to reside solely on Eneu; Approximately
122	50 percent of their diet would have to be from imported
123	foods, and there would have to be rather stringent
124	•
125	specifically Bikini Island, and no food eaten from Bikini
126	Island.
127	ENEU ISLAND With those four sestrictions, constraints one could fall
128	within the U.S. exposure standards.
129	Mr. MURTHA. Is this a final report? For instance, you
130	find 15, 20 percent higher radiation levels with new data.
131	Is it possible that you coud again have the same problem,

that more data would increase the amount on the atolls where there is low radiation?

Dr. WACHHOLZ. In terms of the data base which is SCIENTISTS currently available, our people in the laboratory believe that they have the total data base for both Enewetak and Bikini at this time.

This became complete roughly a year ago or thereabouts, which led to the increase in the estimates at Enewetak. But the Bikini numbers should be final. I have been assured of that.

Mr. MURTHA. When will you survey the next time?

Dr. WACHHOLZ. Bikini? We probably would survey; per the provisions of the U.S. Government would survey per the provisions of Public Law 96-205, at least once every five years, minimum.

Mr. MURTHA. There is no need to survey the ones where the radiation is below?

Dr. WACHHOLZ. The southern islands of Enewetak, we really don't see any need to survey those in the same manner right now. Certainly when the coconut trees mature and other food crops mature and some we can see that there would be some interest in doing some sampling at that time.

Mr. MURTHA. Two other questions. One: Are there any other atolls in the northern Marshalls that you have not surveyed, or that you have surveyed and you haven't mentioned the results?

NAME: HAP133080

PAGE 8

157	A RADIALOGICAL Dr. WACHHOLZ. Well we conducted survey of 11 atolls and
158	two islands as part of the northern Marshall Islands
159	BUT ON THIS MATTER radiological survey. I would defer to Mr. Deal.
160	Mr. DEAL. Thank you. We provided, the other day, Mr.
161	Chairman. and youterday, the final report of the external
162	radiation doses of that survey TESTERDAY,
163	There will be a number of copies available in a short
164	period of time. We have a second printing.
165	The report, the survey didn't show us, any surprises. We
166	found the radiation essentially where we expected to find
167	it. This survey has provided a guideline for the internal
168	dose studies and the things Dr. Wachholz was talking about.
169	So I think we have a very extensive base of information 🕶
170	documents and shows the condition of those atolls today, the
171	ones that did get radiation and those that didn't.
172	Mr. MURTHA. Why do we have high radiation levels on some
173	atolls, and others, we don't? For instance, Enewetak, I
174	would have thought, would have been much higher, but it is
175	at a satisfactory level. Why is that?
176	Mr. DEAL. It has to do with the conditions of the
177	testing at the time. When the weapons testing program was
178	going on in the early '50s, I guess, and late '40s, there
179	were certain atolls that they conducted nuclear tests on.
180	I think there were, I have forgotten exact numbers, but
181	there were around 100 shot in a number of places there, with

those areas.

the bulk of them being Bikini and Enewetak.

FOR THESE TESTS.

At Enewetak Atoll, they used the northern islands. That is

RADISTION

WHY you have the problems there. That was the shot islands of the second th

188 That is basically the reason. Bikini was the same way.

Mr. MURTHA. Mr. deYoung, what activities is the

190 Department of Interior engaged in with regards to the

191 affected atolls?

Mr. DEYOUNG. By ''affected atolls,'' I assume you are referring to Bikini, Enewetak and probably Rongelap and Utirik in which we actually had some fallout occur and that directly affected the people.

The people of Bikini and Enewetak were not affected by the fallout. They had been relocated and so were outside of the fallout area.

With respect to Rongelap and Utirik, a medical monitoring program has been under way since 1954. This has been conducted under the auspices of the Department of Energy.

In recent years, Congress has appropriated funds for the fallout victims. I am pleased to report that the compensation is up to date, and there is at the present time adequate reserve in the fund, we think, to and cover new cases that might occur within the coming years.

NAME: HAP133080

PAGE 10

207	The Enewetak people, and you will be hearing more direct
208	from them later on this morning, have been returned to the
209	southern islands of Enewetak.
210	They actually returned in two groups. Half of them
2 1 1	returned last April. The second half returned in October.
212	They are occupying new communities in the southern islands
213	of Enewetak and Medren, and a small island, Japtan.
214	Since the crops in the southern island are not yet
215	and bearing A it will be another six or seven years before that
216	occurs, you have a situation where you have a new community,
217	but no food crops, no trees, little or no shade, so that the
218	people of Enewetak have always anticipated they would
219	continue probably to use the island Ujelang on which they
220	have been residing for the last several decades as a
221	community to which they would go to gather food.
222	will in Usiang. Possibly some of them/live/for various periods of time.
223	The group that went back to Enewetak last year decided they
224	would try on a trial basis, for three to four months, to see
225	if they really could adapt to this brand new community that other crays in fraduction.
226	doesn't have trees and things like this.
227	The bulk of the group seems to have decided that it is
228	to line on 6 nemetak wee of feasible probably with some return to Ujelang. A month
229	ago, approximately 90 of the Enewetak people returned to
230	Ujelang. We don't know precisely what the Ujelang Council

231 has in mind, whether they will set up a revolving group that

232 moves back and forth This is something that they will be 233 telling us in the future.

The Bikini problem is still before us. We had, as the committee knows, originally proposed to move the people back to the Island of Bikini. That program was completely washed out several years ago when it was learned that the food intake on the Island of Bikini, through the plants, was such that Bikini Island simply could not be used for habitation or for agricultural purposes.

And I think the estimates at that time A land to my are Lat understanding, are still valid today, Ait will be 60 to 90 years before the Island of Bikini can be used.

The Island of Eneu, which was somewhat south of the 1954 fallout, I think something like seven miles south of Bikini Island, did not receive as much fallout,

As Dr. Wachholz earlier indicated. Aunder certain strings conditions, possibly could be used for at least some resettlement. I say ''some,'' because there is a question as to whether that small island could support all of the Bikinians, even if the stringent regulations could be met.

I must say very candidly, there is some feeling that the stringent regulations that must be followed are of such a nature that they cannot be guaranteed in the future.

This originally led the Department to not consider Eneu as a place of resettlement. The people of Bikini, however,

ENERGY, IN CONSULT MION WITH COUNSEL FOR THE BININI PEOPLE CALCULATED ANTICIPATED RADIATION DASES UNDER NAME: HAP133080 RESET TLE MENT OF ENEU ISLAND WITHIN U.S. GERSSIRE LIMITS,

257 asked for that decision to be reconsidered, and that led to 258 the dose assessment work that Dr. Wachholz mentioned a 259 little earlier. The people of Enewetak and Bikini, and their legal 260 261 adviser, always have retained independent scientific consultants who will be examining the results done by the 262 Department of Energy, and will be advising the people of 263 264 Bikini on those results later this year. In the interim period, we do have a program of temporary 265 rehabilitation on Kili Island, end We are looking forward to 266 what we call a long-range rehabiliation of Kili, since it 267 268 appears Kili must be used for a considerable period of time. 269 The details on those programs can be addressed by Mr. 270 High, the acting Deputy Commissioner, because he has some 271 last-minute details on that particular program. 272 I would be very happy to have him answer. Mr. MURTHA. Mr. High. 273 Yes, Mr. Chairman. It is a little difficult 274 Mr. HIGH. 275 to hear back there with our backs to Mr. deYoung. As I understand, the question is on Kili, is that correct? 276 I have, Mr. Chairman, a report. I have also visited Kili 277 one time in furtherance of the payment which was made by the 278 279 United States Congress. I turned out to be the messenger

with the money, which was a very popular task, I can tell

280

281

you.

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

So I have done that on behalf of the Congress. statement I have from the former High Commissioner, Mr. Winkle, could, perhaps, be basically read or paraphrased. But it is this, that in conjunction with the people of Bikini, there have been a number of actions taken in the past few years and recently to try and ease the conditions that they face there.

As you know, four months of the year, there are very harsh waves that they encounter and the island becomes practically inaccessible for the field trip ships.

I encountered that, myself. We had to lay off the island for some time before we could get ashore. I personally watched the boat tip. People scattered every which direction, outboard engines going up in the air. A very dangerous situation.

We were delayed in our departure about two days even getting off the island. This was in January. You can't quite appreciate it until you experience it, in water up to here.

You had videotapes yesterday. I have some I took when I was out there just for farm purposes. I don't have them here, but they are very graphic when you see what they do.

So High Commissioner Winkle, and certainly I, myself, realize that there are conditions that need to be mitigated 306 and lessened if the people are to stay there for a

307 continuing length of time.

So that is the basis from which I am sure Mr. Winkle was working. As you know, there have been visits to other sites, alternate resettlement sites. They have been basically unsuccessful.

The people and leaders have viewed locations in Hawaii, Wake Island, there was an over-flight of Palmyra. As I understand it, the air field was such that the military plane that had the representatives on it could not land, so they did not actually land on the island.

They over-flew it but because of the distance from the area to which they are used to living, some other things, it simply did not appear to be a feasible site for them.

They felt it would be too isolated. So from the places we have looked at, it appears until they can return to their original homeland, kiki appears to be it at the moment, at least, realistically speaking. It isn't a very popular decision.

Mr. MURTHA. What is the distance you are talking about?

Mr. HIGH. You mean with Palmyra?

327 Mr. MURTHA. Yes.

Mr. HIGH. I think it is 1000 miles or something from Hawaii. It is quite a ways away. As far as the facilities on Kili, my own personal impression when I want ashore was that the housing is very good, but it is wood construction,

961 select an independent, non-U.S. Government scientist to
962 validate, review and assess DOE's radiological studies of
963 Bikini.

The Bikinians have selected Epidemiology Resources, Inc.

(ERI) of Boston, Massachusetts, led by Dr. Henry I. Kohn,

professor emeritus at Harvard Medical School, to conduct

this review.

Phase I of the review, to be funded by DOE, will involve the preparation of a technical scientific evaluation of DOE's conclusions.

Phase II, to be funded by DOI, will involve the preparation of a bilingual lay booklet for the Bikinians and a visit to Kili by ERI to explain its conclusions and recommendations and to answer questions the Bikinians may have.

This work is ready to be conducted immediately, and a trip to Kili has been tentatively scheduled for the late fall.

It is hope that ERI's project will constitute the final word for now on the radiological safety of Bikini and Eneu.

As a brief update on where the independent assessment stands, DOI has acknowledged a willingness to support this Phase II aspect, the preparation of a booklet for the Bikinians and a visit by the scientists to Kili.

As to Phase I, the technical report, to be funded by DOE, we have not yet reached an agreement with DOE on the exact

986 level of funding and the exact scope of the work to be 987 performed.

We are hopeful to get this resolved just as soon as possible, meaning in the next 10 days, because the project really should go ahead.

DOE has its budgetary constraints. We are trying to pare this down to the simplest review possible that still meets the critera set forth in the settlement agreement, which is to provide an independent assessment for the Bikinians.

The last area of needed appropriations is ongoing costs and activities, including travel and related expenses, improved educational facilities on Kili, contingency funding, the health care program referred to earlier, periodic radiological surveys of Bikini Atoll and updated radiation dose assessments.

Mr. MURTHA. I would like you to provide some detailed information for the record, breaking down the various suggestions you have and estimated costs of those suggestions.

Mr. WEISGALL. I will do so, and provide that to the committee shortly.

1007 [The information follows:]

1009 *********COMMITTEE INSERT *******

332 wood with tin and so forth.

336

337

338

339

340

341

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

333 It wouldn't last through one typhoon on Saipan, but a lot 334 of things don't. They don't normally have typhoons in this 335 area, but we have had high winds of late.

We had tidal wave action a few years ago, waves came up and washed the temporary platforms off the foundations. I saw that, or effects of it.

So they do have wind and do need to be prepared against the fact that you might get a real typhoon out there and the people would have nothing but wood houses to protect them.

In that regard, there is a community center, typhoon shelter combinations, a building which was being constructed while I was there.

I would say it is very well-constructed. It has huge prefabbed, pressed-wood beams. It looks like one of these steel buildings with the steel work inside it, only it's done out of wood.

It looked to me like it would stand about anything that would come along. That is the only building constructed to that degree of strength on the island that I saw.

Mr. MURTHA. How many people can get inside the building?

Mr. HIGH. I am sure the representatives from Kili can

tell you better than I, but it looked to me like everyone

could get in.

It's a huge structure. It looked like you could play

basketball. It's a pretty big building. There are
approximately 68 temporary houses, cook houses, showers,
three classrooms, an access road.

I am not quite sure what that refers to. There is a road that runs down the middle of the housing area. When I say ''road,'' when I was there, it consisted of basically sand. I mean, it was difficult to walk in. So I am not sure what the access road is.

Someone from Kili, I think, would be better equipped to tel you about that.

Mr. MURTHA. Do you have vehicles there?

Mr. HIGH. When I was there, the only vehicle I saw was a tractor with a front-end loader that was used by the contractor, Holmes & Narver, who were doing work on the island. That would run up and down occasionally.

While I was there, I walked and everyone I saw was walking. I don't know if that situation has changed, but there is no paving I saw.

The storm shelter I see mentioned here is 4000 square feet, this building I was telling you about. The runway that has been requested, the airstrip, was the subject of a contract signed by High Commissioner Winkle the day before he left office, about three days before I left for Washington.

It is with, I think, AIC, American International

Contractors. I believe that is who it is with. A gentleman name Tolkoes. They have done work there and quite successfully.

They are already purchasing equipment, mobilizing gear, and ready to go in and do the work. I have talked with them. They are going to, of course, use people from Kili to assist with the construction.

International Bridge Corporation. It used to be with AIC, but it's split off. That has been signed. The project is bonded, so there should be no difficulty in seeing it through to completion. It is planned to begin by the end of May.

So as far as mobilizing, they are doing that now. So that's correct. This shows a completion date of September, this year. I am not sure that is still correct. I don't recall.

Mr. MURTHA. Do they have the same weather problems there we were talking about yesterday?

Mr. HIGH. Well, you have rain. But throughout the Trust Territory, you have a dry season, a wet season, a trade wind season and so forth. The main problem on Kili, as I understand, is the wind, winter trade winds that whip the seas up.

You can't get onshore with equipment. Once that season is over, I wouldn't think you would have much problem. Getting

407 equipment on and off can be a problem.

Sitting at the desk, I don't know how they do it. In addition to the airstrip, also a part of this \$.5 million contract, and it is a 3000 foot airstrip we are talking about, there will also be a replacement or repair of certain facilities that were damaged in the wave action in December.

There is also supposed to be some kind of an air support terminal. I think that is a pretty fancy term for what will probably simply be a place to be out of the weather while you are waiting for the plane.

Again, I am not really conversant with the details of that. I know the High Commissioner felt that the, that one important aspect of all this is that was developed through the joint efforts of the people of Kili, their Council and High Commissioner's Office and Interior, the territories.

He spent more time on the Marshalls and Enewetak and so forth than any other area.

Mr. MURTHA. How do you get around these different islands if you don't have an airport?

Mr. HIGH. You go by field ship. We have several assigned in the Marshall Islands.

Mr. MURTHA. How big a ship is it?

Mr. HIGH. I am not much on tonnage. It looks like a small freighter. It has passenger cabins, maybe three or four. Everything else is deck space.

432 Those ships at this point were in good shape. The problem 433 is the fuel and so forth to keep them moving. 434 Mr. MURTHA. When you are finished with this 435 construction, will you have any rehabilitation money left, 436 or does that use it all up? 437 Mr. HIGH. We had \$750,000 left in that account, and this is 500. So we will have a couple hundred thousand left, as 438 439 I understand it. 440 But that is the idea. Other than that, the next step, 441 then, would be some kind of a master plan, similar to what 442 was done on Enewetak. That is the next step. The Department is working on that 443 444 now. Its scope has been basically prepared, but they still 445 have to go into the master plan, ACE, what they need, how 446 they are going to do it. 447 That is if this is going to be a place of some permanency. Mr. MURTHA. When will the master plan be completed? 448 449 Mr. HIGH. I would have to defer to Mr. deYoung on that. 450 Mr. DEYOUNG. The master plan, as indicated, is in the 451 scoping stage. One of the problems has been, originally, 452 the people of Bikini requested that part of the master plan be the possible use also of Eneu Island. 453 Since we have not as yet been able to determine whether 454 Eneu Island will be part of that master plan, we have been 455

delaying the actual implementation of preparing the master

456

plan until that particular segment is resolved.

458

459

460

461

462

463

464

465

466

467

468

470

471

472

473

474

475

476

477

478

479

480

481

This does not mean that the part of the master plan involving the permanent rehab of Kili Island, and a small island called Ejit, which is off of the Majuro in the main district center, will be held in abeyance.

But an overall master plan will not be complete until we know whether Eneu is going to be part of it or not part of it. for secreble we of Breez serving

Because that actually will determine the number of houses, for example, you would put on Kili, and other facilities. the Planter Fran. The chances are that Eneu would not be part of that

We hope to resolve this aspect shortly. As the acting 469 A High Commissioner indicated, the current fund, the close to the end of it.

The Budget Officer of the Trust Territories does have some information, I believe, that could be useful in indicating what possible ongoing costs are needed, - would be needed in carrying out a master plan, and also the interim work that has to be done until we get a final Bikini resettlement program in operation.

Mr. MURTHA. Mr. deYoung, Public Law 96-205, passed March 12, 1980, requires the Secretary of Interior to submit a plan to Congress no later than January 1st of this year for medical care and treatment for people affected by nuclear

482 weapons testing.

Has that plan been submitted to Congress?

Mr. DEYOUNG. Yes, Mr. Chairman. A preliminary report
was submitted to Congress on January 7, 1981. And I do have
an additional copy here just for the convenience of the
committee.

The report submitted to Congress was not a final plan, the reason being that Public Law 96-205, mandating the plan, had certain language over which there have been varied interpretations, and differences of opinion, and I may say very strong differences of opinion, between the individual peoples involved, their legal representatives, various Federal agencies, and the government of the Marshall Islands.

So what we presented to Congress on January 7 was some preliminary conclusions. The Department neither endorses nor rejects these at this time. They are not in total form.

We do feel that the original legislation requires clarification. I can tell you very briefly what the preliminary proposals indicated. Because there are roughly anywhere from 2500 probably to 3000 people involved from the four named atolls. Not all living on the atolls today, but coming from the atolls many of those are scattered in other parts of the atolls. Manhaller

507 You may have more Rongelapese living away from Rongelap Island than actually on it, the only exception being the 508 people of Enewetak that have been an integrated group and 509 have, in the main, lived together and stayed together. 510 This is not the case with the people of Enewetak? 511 Rongelap, or the people of Bikini, in which there are 3- to 512 513 400 Bikinianskawäy from Bikini Island at the present time. worked on The contractor who drew up the health aspect of the 514 515 proposal drew one up which said it would be almost "affected impossible to divert special care for these people from the 516 517 overall care the Marshall Island Government Health Service provides, because these people are all over the place. 518 Tecartingly, the contraction And they drew up a proposal in which health care would be 519 provided in essence for the Marshall Islands, but which Zuio 520 would cover the provisions of Public Law 96-205. 521 The cost 522 estimate of that for the first year was \$11 million. 523 How are they going to do it? Mr. MURTHA. 524 Mr. DEYOUNG. It would be done by, essentially, upgrading 525 the current Marshall Island Government health system. Out 526 of that \$11 million, \$3 million would be provided by the ita) 527 Marshall Island Government from their regular operating . budget. 528 There would have to be a supplement of \$8 million. 529 Fraction orily did a similar-type \upgrading program \upsilon for the people of the 530 four atolls named in the bill, regardless of where they 531

532	were, (in other words; not only on the four atolls, but all-
533	aver the Marshalls. which would necessitate American The Marshalls.
534	doctors, a medical-type ship to go around the cost was
535	estimated at \$10.6 million, of which 3 million also would
536	come from the Marshall Island Government.
537	proposals In other words, those two/were fairly equal. We were als
538	asked by some of the participants, what would it cost if you
539	provided special care only for the people living physically
540	on the four atolls?
541	To do that would need, again, upgrading American
542	physicians, a ship to take the medical teams around,
543	upgraded dispensaries. That cost was estimated at roughly
544	\$2.8 million a year, which would be completely subsidized by
545	I would like to note, however, that would provide
546	I would like to note, however, that that would provide
547	care only for a person that was physically living on one of
548	those four islands. If you were a fallout victim living in arrangement, it,
549	Majuro, under this thing, you wouldn't get any special
550	treatment.
551	If you were a Bikinian living elsewhere, you wouldn't get
552	any special treatment, you would simply have to go to the
553	Majuro Hospital and get the treatment there.
554	Mr. MURTHA. How does the Interior Department intend to
555	make a decision, and when; and then when will you get the
556	appropriation request up to us?

557 Mr. DEYOUNG. All right. Since, as I indicated, there is
558 this widely divergent range of opinions, particularly
559 amongst the affected people themselves and their legal
560 representatives, and the Marshall Island Government, as well
561 as Federal entities, we are proposing to ask for
562 clarification of the language in this section of Public Law
563 96-205.

And we will be submitting some ideas for clarification within the next several months. Once that clarification is obtained from the Congress, and we know precisely what the Congress had in mind as to what should be covered, we then are prepared to redraft a suitable health care program within the parameters that are established more clearly.

And at that point, when that plan is agreed upon by the Department of Energy, would look at it from a funding point of view.

I would defer to the Department of Energy at this point, because the law at this time designates them as the funding entity.

Dr. WACHHOLZ. Dr. Burr.

Dr. BURR. If the law remains with the stipulation that the program is to be planned and managed by Interior, but funded by Department of Energy, which is a little unusual as to management--

581 Mr. MURTHA. Why is it set up that way?

582 Dr. BURR. That is something I can't answer, Mr. 583 I really don't know the logic in setting the bill up that way. But if it does remain that way, then, of 584 585 course, it would be the responsibility of the Department of 586 Energy to come forward with--587 Mr. MURTHA. What has the Department of Energy got to do with this? I don't understand this. I understand what it 588 589 says, you are supposed to fund it. But why are you funding 590 it? 591 Dr. BURR. The only answer I can give you, Mr. Chairman, 592 is, that is the way the law reads. 593 The law says, for some reason or other, that the 594 Department of Energy would seek the money. 595 Dr. WACHHOLZ. Mr. Chairman, if I could just add a COMMENT 596 statement to that. The only amplification that I could offer is in some of the legislative history of the law 597 there is reference to the fact that this law is a follow-on 598 599 sequirement to the U.S. nuclear weapons activity. Which was run by the Department of Energy.

THESE ACTIVITIES WERE CONDUCTED JOHE BY AND ACTIVITY 600 Mr. MURTHA. Sointly by the Department of Energy and 601 Dr. WACHHOLZ. THIS RESPONSIBILITY Accordingly, it has been allocated 602 Department of Defense. to the Department of Energy, apparently. But that is the 603 only suggestion I can offer. f604 It looks to me, Mr. deYoung, like you are 605 Mr. MURTHA. talking about three months, you will have your proposal on 606

others?

607 health care. 608 Then you will have a clarification which will take several 609 months. Then, of course, the funding apparatus will take 610 some time. What happens to the people in the meantime? 611 Mr. DEYOUNG. In the interim period, we will proceed as 612 we have in the past. Medical monitoring of the fallout 613 peoples Rongelap and Utirik, will continue. 614 If they need medical care directly related to the fallout, 615 that will be provided by Department of Energy. If they need 616 617 routine medical care, and that can be taken care of by the Marshall Island Health Service, they will be referred to the 618 619 Marshall Island health program. Which, according to the testimony yesterday, 620 Mr. MURTHA. is not in the best condition. 621 I think, Mr. Chairman --622 Mr. DEYOUNG. Mr. MURTHA. They showed slides of the hospital. 623 624 Mr. DEYOUNG. I think, Mr. Chairman, the slides yesterday were from Palau. The Marshall Island health system, 625 obviously, needs upgrading. The Marshall Island Delegation 626 is here. I would prefer that they speak to this issue 627 later. 628 How is Palau compared to the rest of the 629 Mr. MURTHA. islands there? How is their facility compared to the 630

NAME: HAP133080

PAGE 27

632	Mr. DEYOUNG. Palau's facility, at the present time,
633	other than the Marshalls, are the old hospitals built 15 to
634	20 years ago.
635	Mr. MURTHA. What I am trying to do, though, is get a
636	picture. Is this the same; is this worse; or is this better
637	than the other hospitals?
638	Mr. DEYOUNG. In Palau?
639	Mr. MURTHA. Yes. What I saw, how do you compare it with
640	the other hospitals?
641	Mr. HIGH. Mr. Chairman, I have been to all of them at
642	one time or another. In Ponape, we have a new hospital. It
643	is a very good facility. The problem is maintenance. I was
644	told by an individual in the last 30 days that it is
645	starting to get dirty and going downhill.
646	This isn't really funding, just keeping it clean.
647	Nevertheless, the basic structure is good. Fartan has a new
648	hospital. In Palau, I have seen some construction there. I
649	think it is an out-patient facility. The basic structure is
650	poor and needs to be upgraded.
651	When you talk about a tin roof on a structure, that is not
652	necessarily bad. There are houses recently sold for
653	\$100,000 with tin roofs. But the hospital in Palau does
654	definitely have to be improved and upgraded.
655	The Marshalls Hospital is not a good situation. They have
656	a plan coming before the High Commissioner's Office now,

657 OICC, to go with a \$4 million panel-type hospital.

658 Mr. MURTHA. Are they worse then Palau or better?

659 Mr. HIGH. In the Marshalls? I would say they are 660 comparable. There are two of those areas that need

661 upgrading.

664

665

666

667

668

669

670

671

672

673

674

675

The other in the trust territory that is bad is the Truk

663 Hospital.

Mr. MURTHA. If you gentlemen would stay, but just leave the table in case we run into any problems that we may have to ask you about, then we will ask the Bikini Delegation to come forward.

Mr. HIGH. Mr. Chairman, one last point on the Marshalls Hospital. Mr. deYoung points out there is funding now available, \$8 million available for the hospital in the Marshalls.

Their current plans I saw a month ago show 4.4 is what they intend to spend on it. The question is whether it is going to be temporary, or permanent.

Thank you.

576 Aednesday, May 13, 1981

677 THE BIKINI DELEGATION

678 WITHESSES

679 JONATHAN M. WEISGALL, COUNSEL TO THE PEOPLE OF BIKINI;

680 TOMAKI JUDA, MAGISTRATE OF THE KILI/BIKINI COUNCIL;

681 NATHAN NOTE, COUNCIL SCRIBE;

682 JOHNNY JOHNSON, COUNCIL TREASURER;

683 SENATOR HENCHI BALOS, ELECTED REPRESENTATIVE OF THE PEOPLE

.

684 OF BIKINI TO THE MARSHALL ISLANDS LEGISLATURE; AND

685 JAMES HAMILTON, ESQ.

Mr. WEISGALL. Thank you. 686

689

391

693

698

699

701

702

703

704

705

707

709

710

687 Mr. MURTHA. Mr. Weisgall, as I understand it, you are 688 the attorney representing them.

If you will introduce the other gentlemen at the table. I 690 undersiand you also have a statement, is that accurate?

Mr. WEISGALL. That is correct, Mr. Chairman. The Bikini 692 Delegation, just going from one end of the table to the other, at the far end is Nathan Note, who is the scribe of 694 the Bikini Council.

695 Next to him is Mr. Johnson, the treasurer of the Bikini 696 Council. In the middle is Tomaki Juda, who is the 697 magistrate of the Bikinians.

To my immediate right is Senator Henchi Balos, who is the elected representative of the people of Bikini to the Marshall Islands Legislature. 700

And to my left is my colleague, James Hamilton. We are co counsel for the people of Bikini.

Senator Balos would like to make a brief introductory remark. Then I would like to proceed with some prepared remarks.

Mr. MURTHA. Proceed, Senator. 706

Senator BALOS. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. It is a great honor for the leaders of the people of Bikini to appear 708 before your committee today on behalf of all the Bikini people.

711 Mr. Chairman, I am pleased to introduce to you the new 712 committee, our legal advisers, who have been introduced by 713 Mr. Weisgall. Mr. James Hamilton, Mr. John Weisgall.

Mr. Weisgall has been our legal counsel for the past six years. He has visited us on the island a number of times. With your permission, Mr. Chairman, I would like, on behalf of my colleagues, to ask Mr. Weisgall to make a statement on our behalf.

Thank you.

Mr. MURTHA. Thank you, Senator.

Mr. Weisgall.

Mr. WEISGALL. During the past year, Mr. Chairman, I have met regularly with the Bikini Council and members of the Bikini community on Kili, and elsewhere, to review resettlement questions.

I guess I have been to Kili three times in the last 12 months. The community is somewhat dispersed, as you heard earlier this morning.

Of the approximately 1000 Bikinians, the majority, about 550 or so, live on Kili, another, oh, 250 or so, live on Majuro Atoll, a number of them living on Ejit Island in Majuro.

The remainder are scattered throughout the Marshall Islands.

One has a strong sense of deja vu in appearing before this

736 subcommittee, which has actively followed the Bikini
737 situation since 1978.

Many of the same questions raised in 1978, and again in 1979 and 1980, remain unanswered today. In 1978, when the people living on Bikini Island were again moved off following disclosure that their body burdens of radioactive cesium-137 greatly exceeded Federal standards, the United States and Trust Territory Governments presented a document to the Bikinians stating that "Some of the Bikini people will choose to remain on Kili indefinitely, and the governments will accordingly undertake a program for the permanent rehabilitation of Kili."

In 1980, I outlined the details of a comprehensive rehabilitation program for Kili in testimony before this subcommittee. Yet little action has been taken in the last three years to improve the plight of the Bikinians.

Immediate action to upgrade Kili and Ejit is urgently needed, since the United States has declared that Bikini Atoll is off-limits for resettlement for 20 to 60 years.

In May 1979, the Department of Energy wrote to the Interior Department concerning radiological conditions on Eneu. DOE stated that the degree of uncertainty in estimating long-term radiation doses on Eneu was similar to the problems found on Enewetak Atoll.

Based on these uncertainties, an Environmental Impact

Statement prepared for the resettlement of Enewetak had recommended a tightening of applicable U.S. radiation guidelines from 500 millirem per year to 250 millirem.

Applying the Enewetak criteria to Enew, DOE concluded that ''Even with imported food, the radiation doses to the people on Enew could not be expected to be in compliance with the Enewetak criteria for about 20-25 years.''

Even with the stringent conditions being met, the dose predictions for Eneu would exceed the 250 millirem standard which had been adopted by the U.S. Government for Eneu.

Some of the numbers are below 500, but every dose estimate we have seen and is contained in the DOE booklet prepared for the Bikinians, does have a predicted dose over 250 millirem, even with these very stringent requirements being imposed.

Based on this information which was first presented in preliminary form in May of '79, two years ago, the Department of Interior promptly informed the Bikinians, Congress and the High Commissioner of the Trust Territory that Eneu could no longer be considered as a resettlement site for the Bikinians.

By letter dated June 1, 1979, DOI wrote to the Bikini leaders, stating:

''All of us here deeply regret that Eneu Island cannot be used for residence for at least another 20-25 years, since

we understand the deep feelings of the people of Bikini and their hopes, that even though Bikini Island is not usable now. Eneu Island possibly might have been. This is not now possible.''

Similarly, in a report submitted to Congress one month later, pursuant to Public Law 95-348, DOI stated that there was ''no question that the Island of Eneu must be placed off limits as a place of residence for the Bikini people for at least another 20-25 years.''

Despite the United States' formal announcement in 1979 that Eneu Island could not be resettled for at least another 20-25 years, certain U.S. officials have inaccurately held out the hope that parts of Bikini Atoll can be resettled soon.

For example, during a two-day dose assessment meeting held on Kili on October 8-9, 1980, called at the request of the people of Bikini to receive information from the U.S. Government regarding the radiological safety of Bikini Atoll, the United States failed orally or in writing to inform the Bikinians that their homeland could not be resettled for many years.

To the contrary, a member of the DOE team at the dose assessment meeting stated unequivocally to the Bininians that he would not hesitate to live on Eneu with his family.

A bilingual booklet, given to you earlier this morning,

prepared by DOE, discussed only the application of the discarded 500 millirem standard.

No mention was made of the 250 million standard adopted by the United States for evaluating living conditions on Bikini or Eneu, and no one pointed out that all of the booklet's predicted levels of exposure for Bikini and Eneu unacceptably exceeded the 250 millirem standard adopted by the U.S. Government.

At the meeting, one of the Bikini leaders, who was rather surprised at DOE's latest switch on Eneu, asked what had happened in the 15 months since the June 1, 1979 U.S. Government's letter to the Bikinians to change the statement in that letter that Eneu could not be resettled.

The DOE spokesman replied that he was not aware of any such letter or position by the United States.

This assessment was not disputed by the other six DOE officials and scientists, led by an acting Deputy Assistant Secretary, and the three DOI officials, led by a Deputy Assistant Secretary, who attended the dose assessment meeting.

Mr. MURTHA. What was the date?

Mr. WEISGALL. The date of the dose assessment letter was October 9, 1980. These kinds of actions and statements by the United States are extremely counterproductive, to say the least. They are wholly at odds with official U.S.

836 policy on Bikini and Eneu.

They sadly raised false hopes among the Bikinians.

838 Moreover--and perhaps most unfortunately--such views may have

839 provided a reason for the failure of the United States to

840 make Kili a permanent home for the Bikinians.

One depends on the other. Until a final decision is reached on Bikini and Eneu, it is difficult to go ahead with a decision.

I have said this for two years. The true facts must be recognized and dealt with. The official U.S. position regarding resettlement of Bikini and Eneu has not changed since June 1, 1979. Bikini is off limits for at least 30 to 60 years, and Eneu is off limits for at least 20-25 years.

The United States has stated for several years that the Bikinians cannot return to their homeland. Nevertheless, it has failed--until the last two weeks--to take any action to make the Bikinians' ''temporary'' home on Kili a more permanent one.

Nearly three years ago, in testimony before this subcommittee, Magistrate Juda referred to his people as ''victims of bureaucratic incompetence.''

That assessment still applies today. It led the Bikinians to bring a lawsuit six years ago to force the United States to conduct a thorough radiological survey of Bikini before continuing with the resettlement of the atoll.

861 Mi. MURTHA. Let me understand what you are saying. 362 did an initial assessment. Then after they were forced to 863 do an additional detailed assessment, they found the higher 864 levels of radiation, is that accurate? 865 Mr. WEISGALL. That is correct. 866 If it hadn't been for the lawsuit, they Mr. MURTHA. would not have found these higher levels of radiation, is 867 868 that accurate? 869 Mr. WEISGALL. Well, there were signs before the lawsuit 870 was brought that there were problems. That was why the 871 lawsuit was brought. 872 Mr. MURTHA. The question is, the government acted in 873 response to the lawsuit, not on their own initiative. 874 Is that what you are saying? 875 Mr. WEISGALL. That is generally correct. There were trouble signs early on, but the resettlement was continued. 876 877 That was held in limbo for a three- or four-month period. 878 Then the lawsuit was brought, which said in essence, don't 879 continue with the resettlement of Bikini until you conduct a thorough comprehensive radiological survey to see if it was 880 881 safe. Mr. MURTHA. Was there a decision in the lawsuit? 882 Pursuant to the settlement in the lawsuit, Mr. WEISGALL. 883 the thorough radiological survey was conducted. That showed 884

it was not safe and resettlement was stopped.

885

This assessment also compelled the people to bring a lawsuit two months ago seeking just compensation from the United States for the taking and destruction of Bikini Atoll and damages for breaches of fiduciary obligations owed to the Bikinians by the United States. This is a lawsuit born of frustrations.

It is a last resort to force the United States to give the Bikinians what is justly due them. The lawsuit will be prosecuted vigorously, but it is not an excuse for Congress to avoid its responsibility to appropriate funds necessary for the current well-being of the people.

Mr. MURTHA. How much money are you talking about here?

Mr. WEISGALL. The lawsuit seeks damages and just

compensation from the United States of a total of \$450

million. That is limited to the destruction and taking of

Bikini Atoll.

It is not related to any current obligations of the United States to continue caring for the Bikinians in a Kili rehabilitation project.

Two weeks ago, as you heard earlier, the High Commissioner awarded a contract to a private company in Guam to construct a 3000-foot coral airstrip on Kili. This airstrip, when completed, will help make Kili more accessible, end the island's isolation and aid the people in case of food shortages or medical emergencies.

However, the funding of this airstrip and the construction of classrooms that were destroyed in a storm last December will virtually deplete the Bikini Resettlement Rehabilitation Account established in 1978 pursuant to Public Law 95-348 for the resettlement and relocation of the Bikini people.

This fund must be replenished as soon as possible in order to carry out other Bikini projects that are long overdue.

Among these projects, and you have heard of some of them already from the Interior witnesses, but among them are the preparation of a master plan for the rehabilitation of Kili and Ejit. Some preliminary scoping work has been completed, but a final master plan should be prepared.

Implementation of the master plan, with the construction of housing and community facilities that will be more than temporary in nature.

Continuation of food support for Kili. The present food program provides USDA food as a diet supplement. This USDA food is not meant to--and, in fact, does not constitute--provide a balanced and nutritious diet for the Bikinians.

Section 601(b) of Public Law 96-597, signed into law just last December, authorizes the Secretary of the Interior to provide for the ''purchase of food'' and ''for the transportation of such food'' for the people of Bikini.

The High Commissioner's office should devise and implement

a balanced and nutritious food program for the Bikinians living on Kili and Ejit.

The food program currently supporting the people of Enewetak Atoll could serve as a model for the Kili-Ejit program, and it may be cost-efficient to coordinate the planning of this program with the one recently implemented at Enewetak.

Improvements in the Kili mirstrip. The limited funds available for Bikini permit only the construction of a simple 3000-foot coral strip and for the clearing of trees for 1000 feet on either end of the mirstrip.

The Bikinians feel that the strip should be lengthened to 5000 feet in order to accommodate certain types of aircraft that may be needed in an emergency.

In addition, improving the surface of this rudimentary airstrip may be necessary in order to ensure continued safe access to Kili. Lastly, some compensation may be in order for those who are particularly injured by the placement of the airstrip.

Funding an independent scientific assessment of DOE's radiological survey of Bikini. You have heard a little bit about this earlier this morning. Pursuant to an agreement settling the litigation initiated by the Bikinians in 1975, the United States agreed to conduct a thorough radiological survey of the atoll and agreed that the people of Bikini may

Mr. WEISGALL. Mr. Chairman, the United States has a special obligation to the people of Bikini. It committed an injustice to the Bikinians when it moved them off their atoll in 1946 without just compensation and without caring for them.

No such action would be sanctioned today. But for the United States to fail to come to grips with this problem after 35 years is to continue that injustice. This must stop.

Thank you very much.

Mr. MURTHA. Thank you, very much, Mr. Weisgall. Thank you, Senator, and gentlemen.

We will have the Delegation from Enewetak.

Is Mr. deYoung still here? I would like a reply from the Department of Interior and Department of Energy about the confusion which now exists, and what was said by the delegation in their meeting.

It is clear to me the Government's position. It is not clear to me what the delegation said when they were there, and I would like that confusion to be cleared up for the record.

Mr. DEYOUNG. Yes, we will provide that for the record.

[The information follows:]

******* COMMITTEE INSERT *******

1035 Wednesday, May 13, 1981

1036 DELEGATION FOR ENEWETAK

1037 WITHESSES

1038 HERTES JOHN, MAGISTRATE;

1039 BINTON ABRAHAM, IROIJ RI ENJEBI;

1040 NAPATALY PETER, REPRESENTING IROIJ JOHANNES PETER;

1041 SAM LEVY, SECRETARY;

1042 THEODORE R. MITCHELL, COUNSEL TO ENEWETAK PEOPLE;

1043 JOHN SILK, COUNSEL TO ENEWETAK PEOPLE AND INTERPRETOR;

1044 DR. A. BERTRAND BRILL, CONSULTANT TO ENEWETAK PEOPLE;

1045 DR. MICHAEL A. BENDER, CONSULTANT TO ENEWETAK PEOPLE: AND

1046 DR. ROBERT L. BERGMAN, CONSULTANT TO ENEWETAK PEOPLE

NAME: HAP133080 PAGE 45 1047 Mr. MURTHA. Now, Mr. Mitchell, as I understand, is the 1048 counsel. 1049 Mr. MITCHELL. Yes, sir. 1050 Mr. MURTHA. Mr. Mitchell, you have 20 minutes for a 1051 presentation. If you will introduce your colleagues, and 1052 then we will proceed. 1053 We have two statements. Both of those statements will 1054 appear for the record. [The statements of Theodore R. Mitchell and Robert L. 1055 1056 Bergman follow:]

******* INSERT 1b-1 *******

1057

1058

.

1061

1062

1063

1064

1066

1069

1070

1072

1073

1074

1075

1077

1079

1080

1082

1059 Mr. MITCHELL. Thank you. To my right is John Silk, who 1060 will serve as translator.

To his right is Hertes John, Magistrate. To his right is Napataly Peter, a substitute for his father, who is too aged to make the trip this time.

Binton Abraham, and next to him is Sam Levi, Secretary to 1065 the Counsel.

Mr. MURTHA. We have your statement for the record, Mr. 1067 Mitchell. We know this is a very difficult problem, but 1068 hope you can handle it in 20 minutes.

Mr. MITCHELL. We will certainly try. The Magistrate would like to make a brief opening statement, translated by 1071 Mr. Silk.

Mr. JOHN. (through interpreter) Mr. Chairman, it is a great pleasure to appear before you and this committee. I have only a few comments to make at this time.

I would like to extend our greetings to you and members of 1076 the committee.

Mr. Chairman, I want to thank you and the members of this 1078 committee for the funds which were appropriated last year for a boat for the use of the people of Enewetak, and also for the funds that were also appropriated for the 1081 supplemental food program.

There are, however, a few things which still need to be 1083 accomplished, and we would like to ask the assistance of

1084 this committee.

1085

1087

1088

1089

1090

1091

1092

1093

1094

1095

1096

1097

1098

1099

1100

1101

1102

1103

1104

1105

1106

1107

1108

One of these things is the return of the people of Enjebi 1086 to Enjebi Island. We would like to renew our request for your committee's help to rebuild the necessary houses and plan the Island.

Another matter of importance to us is the radiation health program intended for the islands affected by the nuclear testing program.

We ask your assistance in encouraging the Department of Interior to complete the plan. That, too, also needs funding.

Mr. Chairman, in order to facilitate our presentation, we have asked our lawyer, Mr. Mitchell, and our doctors to speak on our behalf. Before I give these gentlemen the balance of the time allotted for our presentation, I would like to make a general statement about the desires of the people of Enewetak:

As you are aware, Mr. Chairman, the Atoll of Enewetak has contributed substantially to the nuclear capability of this country. As a result, millions of people in this country have benefited from the use of Enewetak.

Today, the people of this country can be confident that they have a strong military defense system to defend their country and their homes.

Enewetak, a small dot on the world globe, made a large

1113

1114

1117

1118

1123

1124

1125

1126

1127

1128

1129

1130

1109 part of this possible. Today we, the people of Enewetak. 1110 ask the greatest and most powerful country on the face of 1111 the earth to extend a helping hand to the 678 people of 1112 Enewetak.

Mr. Chairman, there is a special relationship between the Government of the United States and people of Enewetak. We 1115 did not initiate this relationship, nor were we given a 1116 choice. But the fact is, if it is there, it exists.

This is all I have to say, Mr. Chairman. Thank you and the members of this committee on behalf of the people of 1119 Enewetak. Thank you.

1120 Mr. MURTHA. Because of my time constraints, we are going to adjourn until 1:00 o'clock, then let you come back at 1121 1:00 o'clock and make your additional comments. 1122

I would ask, Mr. deYoung, for you to bring your delegation back at 1:00 o'clock, also, in case you are needed.

Mr. MITCHELL. Mr. Chairman, I think it would be helpful if we had the same DOE people back.

Mr. MURTHA. He is going to bring DOE and Interior back. The committee will now adjourn until 1:00 p.m. Thank you. (Whereupon, at 11:20 a.m., the subcommittee recessed, to reconvene at 1:00 p.m., the same day.)

1131 RPTR KNOWLES

1132 1:30 p.m.

1137

1138

1142

1148

1149

1133 AFTERNOON SESSION

1134 Mr. YATES. Sorry I couldn't be here this morning. I had 1135 some important business in another Appropriations 1136 subcommittee, and I had to interrogate a witness there.

Mr. Mitchell.

Mr. MITCHELL. Thank you very much. It is a pleasure to 1139 have you chairing the meeting this afternoon. We coverfed 1140 the preliminary matters rather well this morning with Mr. 1141 Murtha.

The elderly gentleman who was with us last year, Mr. 1143 Peter, was not able to make the trip again. In his place is 1144 his son, Nepataly Peter. Some of the other faces here are 1145 familiar to you, I am sure. Hertes John, the Magistrate; 1146 Binton Abraham, from the northern part of the atoll, Enjebi 1147 Iroij; and Sam Levi is the Secretary.

Mr. YATES. We welcome them all.

Mr. MITCHELL. To my left we have three doctors, three 1150 different types. Dr. Brill is our advisor with respect to 1151 cancer, somatic effects of radiation. Dr. Bender is a 1152 geneticist knowledgeable about the genetic effects of 1153 radiation. Dr. Berman, to my immediate left, is a 1154 psychiatrist. He will bring to yo some new information 1155 which hasn't been presented before.

1156 Mr. YATES. I undestand their statements are all part of
1157 the record, too.
1158 [The information follows:]
1159

1160 ******** INSERT 2-1 ********

3

- 1161 Mr. MITCHELL. That is right.
- 1162 Mr. YATES. You may proceed.
- 1163 Mr. MITCHELL. There are two issues that I, given our
- 1164 limited time, I think we ought to focus on. That is Enjebi,
- 1165 resettlement of that particular island within the atoll.
- 1166 Then, if we may, if there is sufficient time, the radiation
- 1167 health program, which was authorized a year ago, and still
- 1168 is yet to be planned and budget put together, even though
- 1169 the Department of the Interior is bringing you a report of
- 1170 January 1 this year.
- 1171 Mr. YATES. Is Interior here?
- 1172 Mr. MITCHELL. They are here.
- Mr. YATES. What happened to the report we were to receive
- 1174 earlier this year?
- 1175 Mr. DEYOUNG. A preliminary report was supplied on January
- 1176 7. 1981. It set forth some of the difficulties we had
- 1177 experienced in preparing the final plan. Clarification is
- 1178 being sought and a final proposal will be forthcoming in
- 1179 time.
- 1180 Mr. YATES. In time means what time?
- 1181 Mr. DEYOUNG. Within the net six months, perhaps.
- 1182 Mr. YATES. Did we have a hearing on what the preliminary
- 1183 plan indicated?
- 1184 Mr. DEYOUNG. Not as yet.
- 1185 Mr. YATES. Go ahead, Mr. Mitchell.

NAME: HAP133080

Mr. MITCHELL. I will be happy to come back to that in due course. It seems to us--and what I will try to do here is just lay a general background for comments from the experts, and to enable you to more skillfully cross examine -- it seems to us that the Enewetak cleanup and rehabilitation program, in large part, is a great success story. It is, I think on the part of nearly everyone involved, from the Enewetak people, to the Department of Defense, even the Department of Energy and in many ways, the Department of the Interior as well, although we are rather critical of them.

I think that with respect to the precise question of the resettlement of Enjebi, which is the largest part of the unfinished business before us, we have approached that question in the most reasonable and prudent fashion so s to make an informed choice, an informed decision.

Just to outline in the briefest possible way what we have done, starting with the cleanup program, which was part and parcel of the cleanup program was a continual radiological assessment of what was being done and what should be done next.

Once the cleanup was finished, there was another radiological survey. Soil measurements, in particular at Enjebi. Once the plutionium problem was solved so the soil concentrations of plutionium were at or below 40 microcuries per gram, it then became a question of what will the radio

1211 nuclids do in the food chain?

Following the soil measurements that were made after the cleanup was finished, we then called upon the Department of Energy, through the Lawrence Livermore Laboratory in California, to do an updated dose assessment. They have done that, and you have before you, presented earlier this morning, a final dose assessment which, standing alone, doesn't mean very much to us.

Its conclusion is a number, a predicted radiation dose

1220 which is based upon the soil measurements, the diet to be

1221 observed by the people, and the way they intend to live upon

1222 that island. Now that has been done.

The next reasonable and logical step--well it looks like that.

1225 Dr. BENDER. This is the document?

1226 Mr. MITCHELL. Bruce, was the final dose assessment 1227 provided this morning? I assumed that it was.

THE COMMITTEE RECEIVED COPIES OF THE FINAL ENEWETAND
Dr. WACHHOLZ. You were presented this morning. Bose Assessment.

1229 Mr. YATES. That was a different one.

1230 Mr. MITCHELL. Basically the dose assessment is 1231 straightforward, although extremely complicated and 1232 involving a lot of calculations.

1233 Mr. YATES. Do you have notes on this?

1234 Dr. BENDER. No.

1228

1235 Mr. YATES. All right, thank you.

Mr. MITCHELL. It is a matter of taking all the soil
measurements, measurements of the radio nuclids on the
island, putting that together with what people intend to
eat, then calculating what the dose will be. That is what
we have done here. The prediction of the dosage is
expressed in MIL/REM. We give those numbers to Dr. Bender
and Dr. Brill and ask them, what do they mean?

Last ar, we provided you a copy of their report, this blue covered document. Since that report was completed, the dose assessment, the final doce assessment has been done. So we have asked Dr. Brill and Dr. Bender, to revise their report. You have before you their statements, which does that. What we would like to do, in due course, is have both Dr. Brill and Dr. Bender comment briefly upon their interpretation of the dose as it how stands.

Then finally, once the dose, the predicted dose is interpreted in terms of health effects, an increase in the risk of cancer, an increase in the risk of genetic effects, once we have that interpretation from Dr. Bender and Dr. Brill, the people then are in a position to evaluate that increased risk in terms of everything else that is important to them—the value of their land,, the value of reestablishing the community at Enjebi, which to them, is of vital importance.

We brought Dr. Bergman along because we asked him sometime

1268

1269

1270

1271

1272

1273

1274

1275

1276

1277

1278

1279

1280

1281

1282

1283

1284

1261 ago, to take a look at all of this, and tell us from the 1262 perspective of a behavioral scientist, what effect it may 1263 have upon he people to keep them away from Enjebi. His 1264 evaluation has been provided to you as a written report for 1265 the record, and we would like him to have the opportunity to 1266 speak briefly to that question. There are potential ill effects from keeping people away from Enjebi. 1267

Mr. YATES. No ill effects from keeping the people away? Mr. MITCHELL. There are. There are potential ill effects, health effects in keeping them away.

Mr. YATES. In other words, they want to go home? Mr. MITCHELL. That si right. They want very badly to go home. They feel very badly, as long as they cannot.

Mr. YATES. We read the report submitted by Drs. Bender and Brill. The conclusion says, after stating premises:

''We have reexamined our earlier Enewetak health effects estimates in light of the more recent dose and cancer risk coefficient estimates. We find the risk is still small. We note that our revised estimates remain in remarkably good agreement with those provided by DOE. We still conclude it is entirely possible that the radiation exposures of the Enewetak people, resulting from return of the dry Enewetak to the southern Islands, and the dry Enjebi to their home. will never result in even a single case of disease among 1285 either the returning population. "

1288

1297

1298

1299

1300

1301

1308

1309

1310

1286 You mean or their descendants rather than of their 1287 descentants?

56

- Dr. BENDER. There are several typos.
- 1289 Mr. YATES. That is a typo, isn't it? Or their 1290 descendants.
- Mr. MITCHELL. It may be helpful, since the numbers—we might as well deal with this quite openly—the numbers in this dose assessment you now have before you, the final dose assessments are somewhat higher than the ones contained in the preliminary dose assessment that formed the basis of the earlier estimates made by Dr. Bender and Dr. Brill.
 - Mr. YATES. But they concluded nevertheless that, even though they are higher, they nevertheless are small, and therefore, should not affect them. Is that a correct conclusion?
 - Dr. BERGMAN. That is correct.
- 1302 Dr. BENDER. Yes sir.
- 1303 Mr. YATES. What about the rate of increase? You
 1304 indicated that on the basis of the two studies, the later
 1305 study showed a higher incidence, if I understood what Mr.
 1306 Mitchell is saying. If that is true, is there a rate that
 1307 might affect them if they return?
 - Dr. BENDER. No. The dose assessments have been revised, generally upwards. In the order of 20 percent. In a general way the effects about which we are concerned are a

linear function of accumulated doses, say 30 years or 50 1311 1312 percent. So it is inevitable that the health effects 1313 estimate matter will increase as dose increases. That does 1314 not mean that the risk per unit dose is any different, nor 1315 that there will be greater risks in future years as a result 1316 of some accumulations of doses. We have accounted for that. In fact, the risk later on, after about eight years in the 1317

1318 case of Enjebi, whether we start having locally grown 1319 coconuts and things available, will start to decline.

Mr. YATES. Really?

1320

1321

1323

1325

1326

1327

1331

Dr. BENDER. And the risks will decline with each 1322 succeeding generation, generally.

Mr. YATES. The soil is clear then, and coconuts grown on that land will not have the same effects as the coconuts-where was that? Bikini, yes. Are you familiar with Bikini?

Dr. BENDER. Yes, to an extent.

Mr. YATES. Yes, well we took them off the Bikinis because 1328 they were eating the coconuts. I guess they had a higher incidence of possible cancer producing agents than is 1330 present at Enewetak or Enjebi.

Dr. BENDER. The Bikini people, as I understand the 1332 situation, some of them were moved back,, and did indeed eat 1333 a lot of coconuts, and were found indeed to have higher body 1334 burdens than had been anticipated, when it was anticipated they would not be eating so many coconuts.

1360

1336 The present final reassessment of potential radiological 1337 doses for Enewetak is based on several things. It is based 1338 on soil radio nuclid measurements. It is based on knowledge 1339 about the uptake of those radio nuclids from the soil into 1340 things like coconuts. And it is based on the diet that we 1341 believe the people of Enewetak will follow. It is given in 1342 fact in terms of availability to outside foods and 1343 nonavailability of outside foods. So these factors are 1344 taken care of in the dose assessment here, I believe. 1345 Mr. YATES. What would be the requirement for outside 1346 foods? Will they have to be----1347 Mr. MITCHELL. The preferred diet of the Enewetak people--|-Mr. YATES. Is it indigenous, or will it have to be 1348 1349 imported? Mr. MITCHELL. As it now stands, based on a survey taken a 1350 little while back, the dietary survey, the figures in this 1351 dose assessment, they prefer to eat 60 percent imported 1352 foods, 40 percent local. 1353 Mr. YATES. What food will they have locally? 1354 Mr. MITCHELL. Coconut, bread fruit, bananas. Taro is not 1355 available. The islands just won't sustain them. 1356 Dr. BENDER. Pork, chicken, seafood. 1357 Mr. YATES. They have that. Small farms? 1358 Mr. MITCHELL. Well, pigs and chickens, back yard farming. 1359

Mr. YATES. What did they do before the bombs, and before

- 1361 the war, as far as their food?
- 1362 Mr. MITCHELL. Before the war the islands were in much
- 1363 better shape.
- 1364 Mr. YATES. I am sure they were, but what did they eat?
- 1365 Mr. MITCHELL. The same thing.
- 1366 Mr. YATES. They didn't import did they?
- 1367 Mr. MITCHELL. Hertos John will be the expert witness on
- 1368 that.
- 1369 Mr. SILK. Before war, what kind of diet did they observe?
- 1370 (Mr. Silk is interpreting for Hertes John)
- 1371 Mr. SILK. Before the war, we used to eat pork, chickens.
- 1372 Mr. YATES. The same thing they eat now?
- 1373 Mr. SILK. Fish, taro, banana, bread fruit, lime. Those
- 1374 are the things----
- 1375 Mr. YATES. Are those still present on Enewetak?
- 1376 Mr. SILK. No.
- 1377 Mr. YATES. They are not?
- 1378 Dr. BENDER. But they will be. They have been planted on
- 1379 Enewetak and other islands. They have not yet, I believe,
- 1380 be replanted on Enjebi.
- 1381 Mr. MITCHELL. I was at Enewetak the middle of the month.
- 1382 The houses are beautiful. The coconut trees are about knee
- 1383 high. Bread fruit trees, most of them were blown away in a
- 1384 storm a few weeks ago. It looks like a newly completed
- 1385 housing project in Scottsdale, Arizona. It is desolated.

The long ranging agricultural program, which is a matter
before you as part of the Administration request, is
something that is a very, rather prosaic, but vital part of
the follow-on, is also something we support. But this kind
of thing, as I implied in the question is what really is
needed to restore Enewetak to its original conditions so it
cold support the people at a reasonable standard of living.

Mr. MURTHA. Will they ever become self-sufficient in food?

Mr. YATES. As they were before?

Mr. MITCHELL. They will always probably need to import some food. But self-sufficiency for that atoll, development of an economy for that atoll, is the prime objective of these people now.

We have been talking to the Solar Power Corporation, a subsidiary of Exxon to develop a solar power program for the island. We are tapping all the businessmen we know or can find to put together a kind of round table to begin coming up with ideas for exploitation of the marine resources. The boat which you appropriated last year will help in that regard. Ultimately, this will be the test of whether the whole effort is successful.

Mr. YATES. Maybe you ought to ask the psychiatrist whether that is good or bad.

Is it good?

Go ahead, Mr. Murtha.

1412 Mr. MURTHA. How many more people today, than say before 1413 the war?

Mr. MITCHELL. Just before Christmas, 1947, when they were 1415 136. Now we need a current census. We have been using the number 550, but Hertes, the Magistrate, tells me he thinks it is about 770. It has been a while since there was an accurate census.

Mr. YATES. So you have got an island now that once supported 136 people, now it will be required to support over 600?

Mr. MITCHELL. The tastes of the people have changed, too. Even in those remote islands.

Mr. YATES. Now they want television?

Mr. MITCHELL. Well, I haven't heard that yet. But they want outboard motors so they can go chase the tuna.

Mr. YATES. The reason I asked Dr. Bergman the question was, I had seen a television program, and of course Margaret Mead's anthropological studies always raised the questions about the return to the homes, and what impact of western culture is likely to bring upon them. They will be going home to their islands, we hope. Will the advent and introduction of private enterprise change their culture to the extent where they no longer have the same kind of cultural relationships they had before?

:

Dr. BENDER. I think the crucial think about social change 1436 1437 is how it occurs. Particularly whether it is something that 1438 comes from within the culture, as evolutionary change, 1439 something that makes sense to them and that they want, or 1440 whethe it comes as a big shock. There is evidence that 1441 cultural change among any of us has certian effects on health. There is a lot of evidence also that forced 1442 1443 cultural change and destruction of the culture has much more 1444 severe health effects.

1445 Mr. YATES. Do they want the introduction of Mr. 1446 Mitchell's clients, like Exxon?

1447

1449

1450

1454

1455

1456

1460

Mr. MITCHELL. Exxon is not my client. We are trying to 1448 exploit them.

Mr. YATES. Allright, exploitees, then.

Mr. MITCHELL. It is imperative that whatever business or 1451 economic enterprises are developed, are developed with the 1452 full participation, and ultimately, based upon the decisions 1453 of the people themselves. We are not looking for businessmen driven by a profit incentive as much as we are altruism.

Mr. YATES. I know that. But altruism soemtimes had 1457 deleterious effects.

Mr. MITCHELL. It could indeed. We are acutely aware of 1458 1459 that.

Mr. YATES. Right. They go home--I don't remember, I

structure will be like when they get home. Do they have

community ownership of the property again? How do they

allocate the resources of the community? How does each one

receive a proportion? Have they agreed to this kind of an

approach yet? Will they go back to their ancestral holding

and cultures, or how will it take place?

Mr. MITCHELL. I can assister that in part. And all of the people—there are now 100 people who have returned to Ujelang. But every; body has resettled to Enewetak, the southern islands of the atoll. Enjebi is yet to be decided. Everybody decided to go back on an experimental basis to see whether they could survive and function there, starting about last September, late last fall. All but 100 are still living there now on the islands of Enewetak, Majuro and Enjebi.

During the planning for the rehabilitation program, the planning council selected by the people themselves went back, actually marched up and down the islands and reestablished all the traditional parcels—latos—out to the lagoon and sea. All those were reestablished. The land rights associated therewith were in fact reestablished through that process.

Mr. YATES. Without complaint from anybody?

Mr. MITCHELL. There were differences. At times there

1486 were sharp differences. They have all been settled amicably 1487 among the group itself. They were left entirely to do that 1488 sort of thing. I wasn't involved. We didn't let anybody 1489 else be involved, we left them alone to do it themselves. 1490 In terms of -- these people have been isolated all these years. 1491 Mr. YATES. Answer my question. What happens to the 1492 products of the soil here, or to the food? 1493 Mr. MITCHELL. I think we better turn to Hertos John for 1494 that. 1495 Mr. YATES. Hertos, what happens now? Suppose you go 1496 back, suppose they go back to the islands. How do they 1497 distribute all of the food, how do they distribue the land, 1498 how do they distribute the leadership, the ownership? Tell 1499 us about the culture.

1500 Mr. SILK. Before we return, we have a planning council--1501 committee -- to take care of that. We try to work it out as 1502 best we could, try to redistribute the lands according to 1503 what we know before we left Enewetak.

Mr. YATES. The lands have been taken care of. What about 1505 distribution of food.

1504

1506

1507

1508

1509

1510

Mr. SILK. Distribution of food is not -- we haven't decided on that.

Mr. YATES. I know, but if you go back, there are going to be imports of food that hasn't been grown. You now have over 500 or 600 people, where previously you had 136. The

1511 land was distributed on the original 136, or was it

- 1512 distributed to the 500 and some? How was the land
- 1513 distributed?
- 1514 Mr. SILK. We are distributing the land according to the
- 1515 lineage system.
- 1516 Mr. YATES. I don't know what that means.
- 1517 Mr. SILK. It means according to your family, coming from
- 1518 your mother's side.
- 1519 Mr. YATES. Do the descendants of the mother's own the
- 1520 land now?
- 1521 Mr. SILK. The land is decided two ways. You get your
- 1522 land rights from your mother's and also from your father's.
- 1523 Mr. YATES. That is kind of a double lineage system, then.
- 1524 But the thing I am wondering about is, is there an overflow
- 1525 of people here who don't own anything?
- 1526 Mr. SILK. No, they will still have land.
- Mr. YATES. I don't understand that. Unless all the land-
- 1528
- 1529 Mr. MITCHELL. Can you just explain based on what you
- 1530 understand? John Silk is with our office in Majuro and also
- 1531 served as translater. I think he may be able to provide the
- 1532 answer. What increasing population, how do they continue to
- 1533 allocate land so everybody gets some, that is the question.
- 1534 Mr. YATES. If they do, if in fact they do, because what
- 1535 happens to the product of the soil? Presumably if he owns a

1536 plot of land and plants his food there, how does the person 1537 who doesn't own land get fed?

Mr. SILK. To answer the question, according to what I know about land distribution, I haven't heard of any Majuro who doesn't have land right in the Marshall Islands.

1541 Mr. YATES. They all have a land right?

1542 Mr. SILK. All the families have a land right.

1543 Mr. YATES. Some own more land than others?

Mr. SILK. That could be true, yes. But there is no one person that you can say owns a piece of property, because the land rights are within the family unit, the group. It is not parcelled out to individuals.

1548 Mr. YATES. Are there any people who wouldn't have some 1549 interest in some island somewhere? Apparently not.

Mr. SILK. It could be that there will be some people who have land rights on one island but don't have it on the other island. But that doesn't mean they don't have any land rights at all.

Mr. YATES. Okay. Well, how are they going to get fed?

Mr. SILK. Either they will get their food from the parcels of land that their families have or---

Mr. YATES. Imported? 👙

1558 Mr. SILK. Imported.

1550

1551

1552

1553

1554

1555

1556

1557

1559 Mr. YATES. How will the imported food be distributed?

1560 Mr. MITCHELL. That is a current question. They are doing

1561 that now. How is it done?

Mr. SILK. We distribute that according to the population,

1563 how many people are there.

1564 Mr. YATES. Equal shares?

1565 Mr. SILK. Equal shares.

Mr. YATES. Okay. So there is no trouble in paradise,

1567 right?

1568 Mr. SILK. There could always be trouble.

1569 (Laughter)

Mr. SILK. But we try to do our best to try to distribute

1571 equally.

1572 Mr. YATES. All right. Do they have any trouble? Okay,

1573 what are the troubles?

Mr. SILK. Well, like now, there are a number of our group

1575 who are not on Enewetak. They are on the other islands,

1576 Marshall Islands. And they want to get a share. As it is

1577 right now, the standing is that we divided the food among

1578 the people who are actually living on that island. So there

1579 is another problem.

1580 Mr. YATES. How is that going to be solved?

1581 Mr. SILK. Those people will have the food we give them,

1582 and there won't be any problem.

1583 Mr. YATES. Do they know the results of the reports, does

1584 the chief know the results of the reports we have showing

1585 what the incidence is and so forth? Are they aware that

there is a possible danger? The doctors aren't willing to say there is no possible danger, are you?

Dr. BENDER. We are not. We have tried to explain to them the risks as we see them. I believe they understand them.

Mr. MITCHELL. In September 1979, the Department of Energy and Department of Interior representatives, as well as Dr. Bender and Dr. Brill and the rest of us, went to Ujelang where the people were staying. I wish we had had more time. The Department of the Interior was anxious to get the boat back again. Department of Energy made a lengthy presentation. We met with Bender and Brill and another doctor. We evaluated the dose assessment. People consdiered the risk estimates which were before us then, which as you now know, are just slightly less than what you are now looking at.

The people weighed that in with all the other considerations important to them. The restricted land base, the need for everyone to inhabit where they traditionally belong. They decided it was resettlable. They asked us to come here and ask for the funds to do that.

Mr. YATES. How much money is needed?

Mr. MITCHELL. The unofficial Interior estimate, I think, as \$6 million.

1609 Mr. YATES. How do we resettle them if the Secretary of 1610 the Interior says they shouldn't be resettled, which is the 1611 condition at the present time? 1612 Mr. MITCHELL. You are referring to the early January 1613 letter? 1614 Mr. YATES. The letter of January 5th by the Deputy Under 1615 Secretary, who says, Cecil Andrus, said the Secretary of 1616 Interior determined that radiation exposure falls below 1617 Federal standards. 1618 Further, the Secretary believes the most acceptable way to 1619 ensure the people of Enjebi to return to their homeland in 1620 the future would be for the establishment of a housing trust fund to be used only when Enjebi Island was deemed safe for 1621 1622 habitation. Well, the doctors are deeming it safe. What about the 1623 1624 Department of Interior, are they deeming it safe? 1625 Mr. MITCHELL. That is the old Department of Interior. 1626 We have called upon them to reconsider. 1627 Mr. YATES. Well, Mr. Bettenberg represents the new 1628 Department of Interior. He used to represent the old one; 1629 now he represents the new one. Mr. MITCHELL. Just so at is clear, we have written and 1630 called upon the new Department of Interior to reconsider 1631 this. They have said they will do this. 1632

Mr. YATES. Who is 'they?''

1633

Mr. MITCHELL. In this case, it is either Billy Lee Hart,
or one of the under secretaries. I can provide a copy of
the letter for the record.
[The information follows:]

1639 ********* COMMITTEE INSERT ********

1640	Mr. YATES. Who from Interior wants to comment on that?
1641	Mr. BETTENBERG. I frankly can't.
1642	Mr. YATES. How about Mr. deYoung?
1643	Mr. DEYOUNG. I would be happy to.
1644	Mr. YATES. What is your title, Mr. deYoung?
1645	Mr. DEYOUNG. Senior Assistant for Office of Territorial
1646	and International Affairs. I would be happy to comment on
1647	that.
1648	Mr. Mitchell is correct. On behalf of the people of
1649	Enewetak, he has submitted a letter to Secretary Watt,
1650	requesting the Secretary to re-examine Secretary Andrus'
1651	decision.
1652	This issue is before the new Administration and will be
1653	reviewed.
1354	Mr. YATES. When was that done? When did you give
1655	Secretary Watt the new letter?
1656	Mr. DEYOUNG. I would say probably about two weeks ago.
1657	But it is pending. It will be looked at.
1658	Mr. YATES. What is your feeling, personally, about what
1659	the findings of the doctors are, and what about your
1660	advisors?
1661	Where is the gentleman from DOE?
1662	Mr. DEYOUNG. We have the DOE contingent in the rear of
1663	the room.
1664	Mr. YATES. There is no hiding place in here, you know.

1665	Mr. MITCHELL. Mr. Chairman, I might sharpen the issue a
1666	bit by suggesting a question. The letter here says that the
1667	radiation standards are not complied with. I would assert,
1668	shall now assert.
1669	Mr. YATES. ''I do now assert.''
1670	Mr. MITCHELL. I do now assert that the dosages you are
1671	looking at in this final report are within the radiation
1672	protection guides, the most stringent government criteria.
1673	Mr. YATES. Mr. deYoung, having heard your assertion,
1674	wants to reply.
1675	Mr. DEYOUNG. I would like to remind the committee, as
1676	well as Mr. Mitchell
1677	Mr. YATES. I don't like the way this begins.
1678	Mr. MITCHELL. I am used to it.
1679	Mr. DEYOUNG. That when the Armed Services committee custified
1680	originally appropriated money for the start of the clean-up,
1681	they directed and ordered that there should be no necommendations on reclaim of resettlement in Enewetak Atoll, unless the rems set by the
1682	resettlement in Enewetak Atoll, unless the tems set by the
1683	Department of the them AEC be followed.
1384	Because of uncertainties, the EPA at that time recommended reduced. AtC, in turn, we would be such to the
1685	that the 500-rem level cut in half, to 250. We were
1686	ordered not to do any resettlement unless Attended 250 from Later
1687	Mr. Mitchell is perfectly aware of this. All of the
1688	Enewetak resettlement program has been on the basis of 250
1689	rem. This is not a/standard.

1690	This was a recommendation we were directed to follow.
1691	Mr. YATES. I know. The fact Mr. Mitchell is aware of
1692	that doesn't mean it is right.
1693	Mr. DEYOUNG. I know. What I am saying is that the
1694	Trehabilitation program that was carried out was carried out
1695	under a directive from a committee of the U.S. Congress.
1696	Mr. YATES. Which committee?
1697	
1698	Mr. YATES. When?
1699	Mr. DEYOUNG. That Expropriated the original money for
1700	the clean-up program.
1701	Mr. YATES. Mr. Mahoney's committee? Was this
1702	,, ,
1703	$H_{\star\star}$
1704	Mr. YATES. They can't appropriate. They recommend.
1705	Okay.
1706	How realistic is the 250,000?
1707	Mr. DEYOUNG. It is met in the southern islands. There
1708	is no problem at all.
1709	Mr. YATES. That is fine. Does the Secretary's directive
1710	apply to the southern islands as well?
1711	Mr. DEYOUNG. That's correct.
1712	Mr. YATES. Then the Secretary's letter won't let them go
1713	back to the southern islands, will it?
1714	Mr. DEYOUNG. No, I am saying the southern islands are

- 1715 way below 250, ke uch.
- 1716 Mr. YATES. They are already there. Does the Secretary
- 1717 agree with that?
- 1718 Mr. DEYOUNG. Yes, there is no problem with the southern
- 1719 islands.
- 1720 Mr. YATES. Just the northern islands?
- 1721 Mr. DEYOUNG. Just the northern islands and Enjebi.
- 1722 Mr. YATES. Do we have a map?
- 1723 Mr. MITCHELL. The eastern chain. Then you come to
- 1724 Enjebi near the top.
- 1725 Mr. YATES. Enjebi is right at the north.
- 1726 Mr. MITCHELL. That is right. That is where about half
- 1727 the people once lived.
- 1728 Mr. YATES. And they want to go back there. What about
- 1729 the other small islands? Were they never occupied?
- 1730 Mr. MITCHELL. They were always available for copra
- 1731 production and gathering of food.
- 1732 Mr. YATES. Will they be available? Oh, that is where
- 1733 they deposited everything, isn't it? Yes, I remember now.
- 1734 It's been a year.
- 1735 I hadn't really remembered it so well. I see Runet, named
- 1736 after Yvonne.
- 1737 Mr. MITCHELL. That was the English name for the islands.
- 1738 The applicable radiation guide--
- 1739 Mr. YATES. Is the only dispute left Enjebi?

1742

1755

1756

1757

1758

1759

1760

1761

1762

1740 Mr. MITCHELL. That's it. Enjebi has two numbers in it.

1741 Annual rate, and a 30-year dose.

- Mr. YATES. How far above 250 are you in Enjebi?
- Mr. MITCHELL. 250 is the wrong number with all due 1744 respect. It is 500.
- 1745 Mr. YATES. 250 is the number Mr. deYoung used.
- 1746 Mr. MITCHELL. Mr. deYoung respectfully is mistaken. The 1747 DOE people will agree he is mistaken. The applicable number 1748 is 500 millirem. On an annual basis.
- In 30 years, which is the dose of relevance to genetic effects, five rem, or 5000 millirem, is the guide, not the limit, not the limit, but the guide.
- The limits you see for dose, page 8 of our statement, 226 millirem is the dose our doctors tell us is relevant on an 1754 annual basis.
 - So 226 as against a standard of 500 millirem. For the 30-year dose, we are 1.8 above the five, above the standards themselves, radiation protection guidance, expressly permits, where justified, a dose at a rate higher than five in 30 years, if there is a good reason for doing so.
 - The 1.8 difference between the five rem, and the 6.8 which is the prediction, is a matter I would like our doctors to comment upon.
- They say it is not a dangerous thing by any means. And I would challenge the DOE to say otherwise.

1765 Mr. YATES. A question I should like to ask is, suppose there were no remnants, relics of the bomb blasts. What would be the rems that would be applicable just in a sunlit island like that, much lower than the consequences as a result--

Dr. BRILL. 3.5 microrads per hour or an annual dose of 30.7 millirem per year, as opposed to Denver, which is 140.3 millirem. 140 versus 30.

Mr. YATES. Because Denver is higher?

Dr. BRILL. Higher, and also because of the naturally occuring radioactive materials on the Colorado Plateau.

Dr. BENDER. Also because they are closer to the equator, which influences the cosmic rate.

Dr. BRILL. A fourth, or one-fifth.

Dr. BENDER. As we pointed out in the 1979 document, the kinds of doses we are talking about for the people of Enjebi, should they return, certainly the people of Enewetak as they have returned, are in the general range of exposures that people around the world take voluntarily, and nobody is the least bit concerned.

That is to say, people choose to live in Denver, and nobody says, "Well, that is dangerous, and we have to move them away."

Elsewhere in the world, there are some rather high radiation background areas, in Brazil and India, where

1790 people live and receive doses far in excess of any being 1791 considered here.

- 1792 That, of course, is not to consider cases of occupational
- 1793 exposure which we allow. So this is not an unusual
- 1794 situation.
- 1795 Mr. YATES. Is there a question here of 'hold
- 1796 harmless''?
- 1797 Mr. MITCHELL. That is raised now and then and I always
- 1798 feel a little uncomfortable when it is, because I don't
- 1799 think legally the Enewetak people could release the U.S.
- 1800 Government in any case from some future harm which could
- 1801 occur.
- On the other hand, based on everything we know, we don't
- 1803 foresee anything of that sort anyway.
- 1804 Mr. YATES. That's probably the reason you got the letter
- 1805 from the Secretary, because there wasn't a ''hold-harmless''
- 1806 provision.
- 1807 Mr. MITCHELL. I think the problem is two-fold. They are
- 1808 fearful of adverse publicity. They are fearful more so this
- 1809 day with lawyers chasing all over the islands, talking about
- 1810 suing for millions and millions of dollars, and they are
- 1811 even more afraid of adverse publicity from lawsuits.
- 1812 It makes our job even more difficult.
- 1813 Mr. YATES. Let's hear from DOE now. Mr. Deal, wherever
- 1814 you are.

MANE .	TAP 133080 PAGE 78
1815	DR , I know there is $m{pr}$. Wachholz, but Mr. Deal is bigger than
1816	Dr. Wachholz.
1817	Come on up, Mr. Deal, Dr. Wachholz and Dr. Burr.
1818	What is your feeling about all this? You have heard the
1819	testimony by witnesses, Mr. Mitchell and the witnesses with
1820	him. Mr. Deal has been answering questions in the past, not
1821	too satisfactorily, but answering them nevertheless.
1822	What is your feeling about this now, Mr. Deal?
1823	Mr. DEAL. Since I can start, I can turn to my
1824	Mr. YATES. Sure, of course you can.
1925	(Laughter.)
1826	Mr. DEAL. Mr. Chairman, I have heard a lot of things
1827	that bring back old history to us. as you may recall.
1828	I will ask for a change to correct history if I make a
1829	mistakemisstatement_in_my_commentsBut_I_think_that WAS CONSIDERED WHEN
1830	Everything Mr. Mitchell has said about the standards, we
1831	have to remember that the Atomic Energy Commission spent a
1832	lot of time reviewing the clean-up criteria for Enewetak. THESE DISCUSSIONS AND POSITION PAPERS ARE
1833	Think fill of that has been a matter of record, before:
1834	When it was submitted, the arrangements that the Department
1835	of Defense would do the clean-up operations, and we would AND CLEANUP.
1836	establish the criteria, The five commissioners themselves
1837	considered this in great detail.
1838	It took several years to put this all together. The
1839	numbers that were used, all that is laid out as a matter of

1864

apply. They do apply.

```
1840
     record in the EIS.
1841
           So there is nothing new here in terms of what we could say
                                                      15 AS 6000
      to you on that. The reasoning behind it is today as it was
1842
                  THE CHITTE OF DO
         THE N.
1843
      in that time. . We wanted to take a conservative approach.
                                                 THAT REMEN AFTER CLEENUS
1844
           It is unfortunate that the numbers with doses are so close
1845
      that there is no clear-cut yes or no. That is all I can
1846
      say. To comment on interpreting these standards tis
1847
      really a responsibility of EPA.
                      THE AUTHOR TOOK
           They have received, the Federal Radiation Council, which
1848
1849
      was the body established to promulgate radiation standards
1850
      for the government.
1851
           That is their responsibility. They have the
                                EPA
                                         CONCUR IN THE AEC
      interpretation of these. They did agree earlier in our
1852
              AND TO CLEANUP CRITERIA THAT WERE
      approach to the standard We had letters from them
1853
         ON
                               FOR THE DOD CLEANUP PROGRAM.
1854
      approving this.
                        Did Interior consult EPA on this at all?
1355
           Mr. YATES.
1856
           Mr. DEYOUNG.
                          Yes.
                        Did you consult EPA before the Secretary sent
1857
           Mr. YATES.
1858
      his letter out in January?
           Mr. DEYOUNG. EPA has been involved in various meetings
1859
1860
      and has testified before this committee.
                        What is the attitude of EPA in this, does it
1861
           Mr. YATES.
      have one?
1862
                          EPA has said the Federal standards should
1863
           Mr. DEYOUNG.
```

1865 Mr. MITCHELL. Excuse me. The EPA has also said, and 1866 somewhere in everybody's files, the letters are there, these 1867 numbers I mentioned before, 500 millirem per year, 5 rem in 30 years, are not absolute ceilings. 1868 1869

They have to be applied with judgment.

1870 Mr. YATES. I think the best thing to do is bring EPA in 1871 and ask them. We did that in July, but this is a year 1872 later.

We have a new EPA. Maybe the new EPA will come to a different conclusion. I don't know. Do you want to express 1874 1875 an opinion as to whether or not these people ought to go 1876 back to Enjebi? You would rather not do it.

1877 Dr. Wachholz, would you like to express an opinion? Dr. WACHHOLZ. If I had an option, I would prefer not to. 1878 1879 (Laughter.)

Mr. YATES. Suppose you sat where Dr. Brill sits and Dr. 1880 1881 Bender and the others.

1882 Dr. WACHHOLZ. May I comment on some of the previous 1883 testimony?

Mr. YATES. Sure you may. 1884

1873

1985

Dr. WACHHOLZ. We received a copy of their testimony during the lunch hour, so I have not had an opportunity to 1886 look at it other than superficial plance through it. 1887

Just from what they said, I don't think we have any 1888 1889 significant disagreement in terms of the actual risk

1899

1900

1901

1902

1903

1904

1905

1909

1910

1911

1912

1913

1914

1890 involved.

I think part of the question is, will the decision be 1892 based upon the doses which are estimated and projected for 1893 upon the potential risk that is involved?

Clearly, there is no standard for risk; what is a risk is obviously a very personal thing.

1896 What is acceptable to you may not be acceptable to me, and 1897 vice versa.

1898 Mr. YATES. I know.

Dr. WACHHOLZ. In terms of standards, there is a Federal FOR THE MAXIMUM Exposed INDIVIDUAL. standard of 500 millirem per year. In this very superficial look at the table they have here, they have taken the 30-year accumulated dose and divided by 30 to get an annual average.

Correct me if I am incorrect.

Dr. BENDER. Absolutely right.

Dr. WACHHOLZ. On the other hand, our laboratory people
1907 have gone through the dose which people are likely to
1908 receive on a year-by-year basis.

In some years, it is much lower than this; in some years, it is considerably higher than this. I think, and I am projecting in an area that we really don't have responsibility for since we are essentially in the dose prediction business--

Mr. YATES. Would you expect the earlier years to have

- 1915 the highest dose, or later ones? NEITHER. 1916 Dr. WACHHOLZ. No. In fact, I would suspect the peak years to be after the food plants and crops and coconut 1917 1918 trees begin maturing, which I assume would be six, eight, 10 1919 years or longer. 1920 Mr. YATES. Why would you expect that if, in fact, the 1921 earth is clear and there won't be transmission through the coconuts and the other foods? 1922 1923 Dr. WACHHOLZ. I don't think anyone has indicated that 1924 there would be no transmission, because, in fact, the soil 1925 is not totally free of radionuclides. No, sir. I don't 1926 think anyone--1927 Mr. YATES. I had the wrong impression, then. 1928 Dr. BENDER. Perhaps I can clarify that. If we gave you 1929 that impression, we didn't intend to. Clearly, everyone 1930 agrees there is radioactivity present. And that when the trees are planted, or other things, it will get into them. 1931 1932 Mr. YATES. Yes. Dr. BENDER. The amount of radionuclides will decrease 1933 with increasing time simply because, by decay--1934 1935 Mr. YATES. You two are in disagreement? Dr. BENDER. No, we are not. 1936
- pr. WACHHOLZ. I don't think so. I think it is a little
 bit of apples and oranges. In terms of radioactive decay of
 the radionuclides, this is a physical constant.

1949

1950

1951

1952

1953

1954

1955

1956

1957

1958

1959

1960

1961

1962

1964

Clearly, what is there now, just 1940 radionuclides (half will be there 30 years from now 1941

1942 Dr. BENDER. Yes.

Dr. WACHHOLZ. But I think what I said is that because of 1943 1944 the maturation of food crops in eight or 10 years, the 1945 people will have access to and ingest foods containing 1946 higher quantities of radionuclides than would be the case 1947 immediately, when all of their food presumably would be 1948 imported from outside.

Dr. BENDER. May I call the Chairman's attention to Table 29 of the new Livermore dose reassessment?

This is page 50 and 51. This gives for various scenarios and locations, and for bone marrow and whole body and so forth, the dose estimates for the maximum year, and the year in which the maximum is estimated to occur.

As Dr. Wachholz has said, the reason it is nine or 10 years in the future for Enjebi, which you will note it is not for some of the southern islands, is simply that, if we plant coconut trees now, no coconuts will be mature or eaten for perhaps eight years.

It is those coconuts which will contain the greatest amount of radionuclides. Coconuts maturing thereafter will presumably contain decreasing amounts, according, mainly, to 1963 the half-life of the isotope involved.

But this has all been taken into consideration.

1965 Mr. YATES. Under Enjebi, you are up to 500.

Dr. BENDER. In the maximum year, yes, sir, but not 1966

1967 substantially above it. I think that is an important point.

1968 In the minimum years out of these 30, it will be very

1969 substantially less.

1970

1971

1972

1973

1974

1975

1977

1978

1979

1980

1981

1984

1985

1986

1987

1988

1989

Mr. MITCHELL. In any case, part of the assumption is that the island will receive reasonable support from the outside world, so the diet, 60 percent imported foods, 40 percent local, can be maintained.

Mr. YATES. What is reasonable support?

Mr. MITCHELL. Well, it means a field trip vessel. I 1976 would say every couple of months. John, what would you say? How often should a field trip vessel call upon the island in order for them to maintain the diet that they prefer? Once a month.

Mr. YATES. Okay, Dr. Wachholz. I stopped you in midflight. Go ahead.

1982 Dr. WACHHOLZ. I am not sure where the flight was at the 1983 moment.

Mr. YATES. I think you were talking about the peaking.

Dr. WACHHOLZ. I was saying we have a Federal standard for the dose, but we don't for risk. In terms of what is applicable and what is not, we would defer to the Environmental Protection Agency as to how the doses that we have calculated compare with those standards.

1990 We have put in these books, the ones we presented to the 1991 Enewetak and Bikini peoples in the last two years, the 1992 number 500, so that they are aware of the fact that there is not only U.S. A but an international standard that is 1993 1994 acceptable. 1995 In terms of any variance from that, in terms of how this 1996 relates to their situation and so one we would defer to the 1997 EPA. 1998 Mr. MURTHA. What if you didn't eat the coconuts, what if they didn't plant coconuts now? How much less would the 1999 2000 radiation level be, say they plant them 10 years from now? 2001 Dr. WACHHOLZ. If I can break your question into two parts, start with they don't plant coconuts, period. 2002 2003 First of all, I don't know how realistic that is, and I am 2004 not in a position to make a comment on that. 2005 Secondly, certainly the dose would be less to some extent, 2006 and I would just be guessing if I mentioned a specific 2007 number. 2008 Mr. MURTHA. But you said at a peak period the coconuts 2009 were going to be one of the major reasons that you would 2010 have this. 2011 Dr. WACHHOLZ. Right. 2012 Mr. MITCHELL. Mr. Murtha --Mr. YATES. Well, wait a minute. Is there something the 2013

2014

Doctor said you don't agree with?

Dr. BENDER. It might have been misleading. It seems 2015 2016 clear, if you don't plant the coconuts, then you will not 2017 receive any of the dose attributable to eating coconuts in the future. 2018 2019 If you plant them later, when they mature, there will be less radioactivities. 2020 2021 Mr. YATES. What is Paradise without coconuts? You are going to have to plant them, aren't you? 2022 2023 Dr. BENDER. We have suggested in conferences with the 2024 then Under Secretary of Interior, Mr. Green--2025 Mr. YATES. We had our experience with Bikini, where they were told not to eat the coconuts. And, apparently, 2726 2027 coconuts are like candy to kids to the people out there. 2028 Coconuts is one of their staples, and they will eat it. Dr. BENDER. I can't comment too much on Bikini except to 2029 2030 say that my understanding is that the reason so many coconuts were eaten is basically the failure of supply, and 2031 not just a matter of a few weeks, but a matter of many 2032 2033 months. Mr. YATES. I think that was present there, too, yes. 2034 Dr. BENDER. We suggested to Mr. Green at one point, I 2035 think it was Mr. Green, the possibility of building houses 2036 at Enjebi, and planting the coconuts, and agreeing that the 2037 trees would be removed and replanted if it turned out in 2038

eight years, or whenever the appropriate time is, that they

•

2039

2046

2049

2050

2051

2052

2053

2054

2055

2057

2058

2059

2060

2061

2062

2040 were, in fact, too radioactive to allow the guides to be 2041 met.

2042 I think that the people's representatives agreed to that 2043 at that meeting.

2044 Mr. MURTHA. How much less radiation would there be if 2045 they didn't eat the coconuts?

Dr. BRILL. It is a factor of two. If you look at the 2047 table you just were looking at, Table 29, on page 50, and 2048 you look at the doses for imports available and unavailable for the same population group for Enjebi Janet, it is 509 whole body millirems per year in the year of the highest does, if they eat native foods.

It is one-half of that, 277, if imports are available. So, without the trees, you cut the dose by a factor of two.

Mr. MITCHELL. I think it is even less than that. I think the dose with imported foods still includes 2056 consumption of some local foods.

Let me just try this. Absent the food web problem, which is what we are concerned with here, isn't it correct that the dose from terrestrial sources is very small, is really not a matter of any concern at all? Isn't that a fact?

Mr. YATES. I didn't hear that answer.

Mr. DEAL. It is very small. Cesium levels--

Mr. YATES. You better say that loudly so the reporter 2063 2064 can hear you.

Mr. DEAL. I am almost certain, Mr. Chairman, the 2065 2066 external dose levels would not bring you up to the standard. 2067 It has to come from the food they eat to bring it up. 2068 Dr. BENDER. In fact, sir, the local natural background 2069 is low enough so that, if one accepts the external component 2070 of dose as estimated in this dose reassessment, the sum of 2071 the two is still less than, for example, living in Denver. 2072 Mr. YATES. Well, we better get the expert from EPA in 2073 and find out how EPA feels. And we better find out from the Secretary's office how the Secretary feels before we do 2074 2075 anything on this thing. 2076 I can sympathize with the desire of you people to go home. 2077 But I must say, I dislike, as much as these gentlemen do, giving an affirmative answer to what may result. 2078 Is there anything else we ought to put into the record? 2079 Mr. MITCHELL. No, I think we have everything, can submit 2080 anything. Do we have any more time at all? 2081 Mr. YATES. Did your witnesses want to say something? 2082 Mr. MITCHELL. Are we winding up at this point? 2083 Mr. YATES. I think so. 2084 D1. BRILL. Could I make a comment about the risk 2085 2386 estimates? Mr. YATES. Sure you may, Doctor. 2087 Dr. BRILL. Dr. Bender and I spent approximately two 2088

years with the National Academy of Science's recent

2089

NAME: HAP133080

PAGE 89

2090 reviewing of radiation effects, he from the genetic 2091 standpoint, and I with the Somatics Committee.

Then the two of these committees got together to revise and come to a consensus.

Over the years, since the last BEIR Committee report in 1972, the risk estimates have decreased by a factor of two as a result of the recent reappraisal.

I would submit that perhaps the EPA and other groups that looked at the radiation doses and potential consequences, maybe three, four, five, 10 years ago when they planned the resettlement and clean-up, were looking at a factor of two higher in risk.

So they might want to reconsider the risks associated with the levels they have been able to achieve, which are much lowe than they had anticipated.

The second thing is that the newest BEIR Committee, in its reappraisal, developed two models, one which is the linear model, which is the one all our high-risk estimates come from, which was put forth as the upper limit on credible risks; and a lower-risk model, the linear quadratic, which falls in between the high and the low models, and also is most consistent with radiobiological evidence.

So I think that was called the best estimates. If you look at the situation for the Enjebi population, the risk estimates in terms of the numbers of added cancers

throughout the life span of the population, assuming they
can go back and are exposed to the doses that we both agree
upon, is between .15 and .99 added cases of cancer in the
lifetime of that population.

That assumes that these people go back at birth, and indeed, many of them are going back at ages considerably above birth, although I wouldn't want to guess their age.

So, therefore, the risk they would assume in their lifespan is less than this. So you are talking about a fraction of a case of cancer in the lifetime of the population, against the other kinds of risks one would quantify by their not going.

So far in the clean-ups, there have been three deaths.

One associated with the engineers, an aspect of moving dirt,
and two associated with water, in the lagoon.

People are exposed to risks. I think the radiation risk here, when you are talking about a small fraction of a case, maybe is one of the smallest considerations.

Mr. YATES. Will we know until 20 or 25 years go by?

Dr. BRILL. These risk estimates are based upon the experience largely of the Japanese survivers we have followed now for over 30 years. So they are rather stable estimates. These are not those that a single committee has come up with.

They happen to agree very well with the United Nations'

2140 Committee meeting that involved representatives from all 2141 over the world, and summarized their effects in '77.

I think we are in pretty good agreement on the levels of 2143 risk. We are really talking about a fraction of a case.

2144 Mr. YATES. Why is Mr. deYoung so stubborn? Why are you 2145 so stubborn, Mr. deYoung?

Mr. DEYOUNG. I wouldn't say, Mr. Chairman, that I am stubborn. What I am saying is that when this particular aspect was delegated to Interior, we were given certain guidelines to follow.

Now, as I said, we are perfectly prepared to have EPA look - Mr. YATES. All right. You are right. We better call EPA in here and see what the guidelines are, so that Mr. deYoung has a different guideline if, indeed, there will be a different one established.

You want to give Dr. Bergman a chance.

2150

2151

2152

2153

2154

2155

2156

2157

2158

2159

2160

2161

2162

2163

2164

Mr. YATES. Okay, Dr. Bergman, I am not going to interpret your testimony without your having given it.

Dr. BERGMAN. I think I can say very briefly that I think that there is risk in allowing the displaced population to be displaced and to keep them displaced, particularly after they have considered the facts of their situation and decided they want to go back.

There is a lot of experience, a lot of it in this country, that there is considerable morbidity and mortality from

2165 people being forced out of their homes and having their 2166 lives disrupted.

The longer it goes on, the worse it gets. Suicide rates, accident rates and violence go very high with such populations.

A number of people who have had experiences like that, their death rates are very high, where the incidence of suicide among young people is many times the national and world averages.

Those risks are associated with displacement. I think that in the interests of reducing risk of cancer, genetic defects to zero, the risks of some of these other effects may be very high.

Mr. YATES. Did you quantify them?

Dr. BERGMAN. Very roughly on basis of comparison with other populations and other situations. But there are places in this country where similar experiences have occurred, and the rates of death by suicide and violence are greater by a factor of five to 10 in the younger population, which would mean that, if anything approaching that were to happen here, it might mean in a population of 500, somewhere on the order of five to 20 deaths.

Mr. YATES. So we are in trouble, then, aren't we? We are in trouble because, unless EPA has a psychiatrist to come in and comment on your statement, as well as Federal

2190 standards, we have to consider that factor as well, don't

- 2191 we?
- 2192 Dr. BERGMAN. I think it should be considered.
- 2193 Mr. MURTHA. Mr. Chairman.
- 2194] Mr. YATES. Mr. Murtha.
- 2195 Mr. MURTHA. Are we talking about experience? This is
- 2196 actually what is happening in this population, or are we
- 2197 talking about hypothesis?
- 2198 Dr. BERGMAN. This is what has happened in other
- 2199 populations with similar experiences.
- 2200 Mr. MURTHA. Why wouldn't it be happening now if they had
- 2201 been displaced--
- 2202 Dr. BERGMAN. I think it is happening.
- 2203 Mr. MURTHA. It is. But you have just not done a study
- 2204 of it, is that accurate?
- 2205 Dr. BERGMAN. That's right.
- 2206 Mr. YATES. So we are in further trouble now. We do
- 2207 have, staff reminds me, a letter dated August 23rd, 1979,
- 2208 addressed to Mr. deYoung's boss. She is your boss, isn't
- 2209 she, as I remember?
- 2210 Mr. DEYOUNG. Yes.
- 2211 Mr. YATES. That letter says, "Can the 1960 Federal
- 2212 guides by exceeded?'' And the answer is ''Yes.''
- The guide states the following: ''It is recommended that
- 2214 the Federal agencies apply these radiation protection guides

2219

2221

2223

2224

2226

2227

2228

2229

2231

2232

2236

2237

2215 with judgment and discretion to assure that reasonable 2216 probability is achieved in the attainment of the desired 2217 goal of protecting man from the undesirable effects of 2218 radiation.

''The guides may be exceeded only after the Federal agency 2220 having jurisdiction over the matter has carefully considered the reasons for doing so in light of the recommendations in 2222 this paper.''

So it is up to Interior. EPA says, sure, we have guides. It is up to you to decide whether or not the danger exists. 2225 So it is now been kicked back to the Secretary, hasn't it? So we have to get shold of Mr. Watt. So that is the state of the record.

Did anybody want to say anything else?

All right, we bid you God speed on your voyage home and 2230 will try to do our best by your clients.

Mr. MITCHELL. Thank you very much.

Mr. YATES. We recognize the fact that they want to go 2233 home, and I can appreciate that.

2234 It is a very difficult decision. We will do our best. 2235 Thank you very much.

Mr. MITCHELL. We will be happy to bring these people back again with staff, or whatever.

Mr. YATES. Well, we are grateful for your records. We 2238 2239 are grateful for the testimony they gave us.

We are grateful for the psychiatry and the sociology that
must be a necessary part of this. We will see if EPA, or
the Secretary, has any comments upon the psychiatrist's
testimony.

Mr. MITCHELL. Thank you very, very much for taking so
much time with us.

(Whereupon, at 2:13 p.m., the subcommittee was adjourned.)

2247

	7	* *	SPEAKER	LISTI	NG * *	*
RPTRKNOWL	ES		1, 4	49		
MURTHA			3,	5,	6.	7,
8,	9,	12,			16,	
					24,	
26,	27,	28,			35,	
38,	42,	43,			48,	
61,	85,	87,	93			
WACHHOLZ					5,	
			25,	53,	80,	81,
82,	83,	84,	85			
DEYOUNG			3,			
			26,			
71,	72,	73,	74,	79,	91,	93
GOTTLIEB			4			
DEAL			8,	78,	87,	88
HIGH			12,		15,	16.
17,	18,	19,	27,	28		
BURR			24,	25		
WEISGALL			30,	31,	35,	37,
38,	42,	43				
BALOS			30			
MITCHELL					48,	
51,					56,	
			62,			
66,					74,	
	80,	84,	85,	87,	88,	94,
95						
JOHN			46			
YATES			49,	5 Q 🛴	51,	53,
	56,	57,	58,	59,	60,	61,
	63,	64,	65,	66,	67,	68,
	71,	72,	73,	74,	75,	76,
	78,	79,	80,	81,	82,	84,
	86,	87,	88,	90,	91,	92,
93,	94					

BENDER			53,	56,	57.	58,
59,	62,	68,	76,	81,	82,	83,
84,	86,	88				
BERGMAN			56,	91.	92,	93
SILK 67			59,	64,	65,	66,
BETTENB	ERG		71	·		
BRILL			76,	87,	88,	90

Į.

STATEMENTS OF:

,

	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		
	*		I	N	D	Ε	X		0	F		I	N	S	E	R	T	S		*		
	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		
INSERT N	JME	E	₹ :																			
******	* * (10:	1M 3	[]]	E		ENS	E	ł T	* 2			k * 1	k * x	k		_		_			
																	P	A G	Ε.	• •	42	
******	k *	C	m	117	TI	ΕE	I	(5)	R:	,	k * 1	k * 1	k * 1	k * 2	k *							
																	P	A G	E.		43	
******	k *	I)	451	ERI	r '	1Ъ-	- 1	* 1	k a k 2	* * *	K * 1	k ak a	K									
																	P	A G	Ε.		45	
******	* *	I)	151	ERT	r 2	2 - '	1 *	I * 1	* * 3	K 7K 7	* * 1	k 🕸										
																	P	R G	Ε.		50	
******	* *	C	IMC	1I 7	TI	ΕE	I	121	R	r :	k * 1	K 🗱 1	**	* * 3	* *						_	
																	P	A G	Ε.		70	