EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY 722 JACKSON PLACE, N. W. WASHINGTON, D. C. 20006

FEB 2 9 1972

MEMORANDUM FOR HEADS OF AGENCIES

- Subject: Continuing Effort to Improve Agency NEPA Procedures

The Council on Environmental Quality Revised Guidelines for the implementation of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) issued April 23, 1971, (36 Fed. Reg. 7724) called for your agency's issuance of NEPA procedures applying the Act's requirements to your particular programs. In virtually all cases you have now issued draft or final NEPA procedures for the relevant components of your agency and are operating under them.

Section-12(b) of the Council's Guidelines asked that you make a continuing assessment of your experience in the implementation of NEPA and comment to us in writing by December 1, 1971. Inorder to respond to those few agencies filing such comments and review general agency experience with NEPA, the staff of the Council, OMB and EPA held extensive review sessions with most Federal agencies in December. At these meetings a number of questions concerning implementation of NEPA were raised informally to which CEQ responded.

We recognize that a continuing effort must be made to improve agency NEPA procedures and have assigned a significant staff effort to monitoring your agency's impact statements with a view to identifying needs for such improvement. We have also invited public comment (36 Fed. Reg. 23666, Dec. 11, 1971) on your procedures as an aid to this improvement. In particular we are interested in finding ways of consolidating numbers of impact statements into fewer but broader and more meaningful reviews.

On the matter of applying the NEPA statutory language "major Federal actions significantly affecting the quality of the human environment" to your particular agency programs and pinpointing the precise timing of the NEPA review and interagency consultations called for, your agency procedures must provide the specifics within



the framework of the statute and our Guidelines. These procedures are important both in helping to identify the types of action on which impact statements are likely to be necessary and those where statements are not called for. Our staff is available to assist your staff in strengthening your procedures, particularly where you can supply us with the necessary information as to the nature of your activity, the extent of potential environmental impact, and the range of alternatives that ought reasonably to be considered. We expect to start meeting with staffs of individual agencies soon to review possibilities for improvement of procedures to implement NEPA.

We find that the courts are increasingly willing to sustain good faith agency efforts to comply with NEPA and will, from time to time, draw your attention to developments in this area. For the present I am asking our General Counsel to recirculate to your staff who serve as liaison with the Council on NEPA matters the informal guidance we have circulated since issuance of the Council's Revised Guidelines last April.

Russell E. Train

Chairman

