01.01

Office Memorandum . UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

то :	J. E. Reeves, Director Office of Test Operations, SF00 / Mi	DATE	November 5,	1954
THROUCH: FROM :	Division of Military Applications Gordon M. Dunning, Health Physicist GMD Biophysics Branch, Division of Firlogy and Med	licine		407539
<b>SUBJECT</b>	REVIEW OF POLICIES FOR MPG			
symbol:	EMEP : CMC	main	v	

Attached are the draft forms of the <u>Policies of the Atomic Energy</u> <u>Commission Regarding Radiological Safety of the Public During</u> <u>Weapons Testing at the Nevada Proving Grounds</u> that we discussed several weeks ago. You will note there are only a few minor changes and that there have been adder two new sections (Policy III and Policy VI)

Since the policies are still in draft form I trust you will not mind reviewing them in carbon copy form. The Division of Biology and Medicine has reviewed the policies, and after incorporating recommendations from you and others, they will be rewritten in final form for Commission actions

ATTACHMENTS 2 (copy 4A of SE RET varaion

STANDARD FORM NO. 5



"COPET

- 7.7. pager 115/54

661227

# POLICIFS OF THE ATOMIC ENTROY COMMISSION HEADIGLOGICAL CAST TO OF THE PUBLIC DUELS FRADUC THE THE AT THE NEVALA PROVING SECONDS

CTTT B

ALCO

Gordon E. Dunring (1. Mae) ..

**\*** - ,

Ť





## INDER

Par Introduction
Policy I Svacuation
Folicy II Fersonnal Remaining Indoors
Folicy III Decontamination of Personnal
Policy IV Monitoring and Decontamination of Motor Vahiales
Folicy V Contamination of Water, Air, and Foodstuffs . 48
Folicy VI Routine Radiation Exposures
Appendix A contractor contractor e a serie a s
Appendix B
Appendix C
Appendix Demonstration and a state of the second state of the seco
Appendix B : ,
Appendix 7 :
Appendix G - a contact of the second state of
Appendix B c a c s a a s c c c c c s a s c a s a s
Appendix 3
Appendix I communication communications and a second se
Appendix La para sara con a sara a 75
Appendix New Andrew

DOELALO

)

J



11 1 84

...

#### U. FRODUCTO

The following policies were established after full consideration for the health and welfare of the public, both in terms of radiological exposure as well as possible hazards, hardships or inconveniences resulting from disruption of normal activities. They are considered to be sound guides to the Test Manager not only for protecting the health and welfare of the public but also in arriving at decisions that would be morally and legally defensible by the Atomic Energy Commission.

Two basic assumptions are made in this report:

- 1. It is the responsibility of the Division of Biology and Medicine to establish such policies for the Atomic Energy Commission as deemed necessary to protect the health and velfare of the general populace from consequences of vespons tests conducted at the Sevada Proving Grounds.
- 2. Although the Division of Biology and Medicine vill gladly give assistance and advice, the operational procedures adopted for meeting these policies shall be the responsibility of the Santa Ye Operations Office and the Test Managar, as directed by the Division of Military Applications.

The following policies do not apply to domestic or wild animals since levels of radiation which would be significant would have to be much higher than those specified herein.

de de



#### EMPROPINCY EXPOSURES

POLICY 3

#### Evacuation

#### Introduct.on

The decision to evacuate a community is a critical one for three principal reasons. One, presumably there might be a health hasard if the personnel were allowed to remain. Two, there is always an element of danger and/or hardship to personnel involved in such an emergency measure. Three, the evacuation of a size is community would seriously jeopardize the future use of the Nevada Proving Grounds and thus affect the country's weapons development proving.

It is recondered that externation discommetances may accompany any situation where conditions indicate evacuation as a mode of action. The size of the community, areas and accommodations available for the evacuees, means of transportation and routes of evacuation, disposition of ambulatory cases, protection of the property left behind, and many other factors may enter into the decision relative to evacuation. A blacket evaluation cannot be made in advance; each situation can be unique. The following criteria therefore are in suggested as guides/assessing the possible made indicate and the final decision must be made on the basis of all relevant factors known at the time.

<

DOFINO

### Criteria

# Table Is summarises the radiological criteris to be used in evaluating

the feasibility of evacuation.

## al Lak

## BADICLOCILAN CRITERIA FOR FRANCATING FEADIBILITY OF EVACUATION

Effective biological Dose" Calculated To Be Jelivered In A One Year Period Following Fallout

Up to 30 roentge is

30 to 50 reeatgens

المراجى المعنى

50 roentgens and in grows

Minimum Effective Biological Dose That Must Be Saved By Act Of Evacuation (Otherwise evacuation will not be indicated.)

(To evacuation indicated)

15 roentgens

(Evacuation indicated without regard to quantity of dose that might be saved)

•The "effective biological dose" in an estimate of a biological "damage" dose, taking into account the length of time for delivery of a given dose, and the reduction of dose due to (a) shielding afforded by buildings and (b) the process of what entry. The rationals for table Is is as follows: The total effective biological dose that would be received if evacuation were not ordered is obviously a determining factor. Another consideration is the fact that such an action as evacuation could be danderous to the individuals and could also possibly be detrimental to a very necessary mathemal effort of weapons development. One must then as:, "Just how much within a gained (radiation dose saved) by evacuation?" Estimates of these two variables are indicated in table Is, Thus, a populace may receive up to a calculated 30 roentgen effective biological dose in one year without indicating evacuation; from 30 to 50 roentgens, evacuation; and at 50 roentgens or higher evacuation would be indicated without regard to the possible savings for radiation dose.

It making a routh estimate of mailetion deses, one may calculate a theoretical maximum infinity gamma doute and then artitrarily divide by some much as #2# for an estimate of the selectually received. Whereas this may be satisfactor, as a first approximation, a more realistic estimate should be made, especially when dealing with deses that might constitute a health basard.

Due to the mecessity of making early measurements and decisions, it is to be expected that dosm-rate readings, taken with survey meters, will be the available evidence at the times of concern. Table To summarises the parameters considered in estimating an effective biological dose based on dose-rate readings.

At later times after fallout, better estimates of redistion doses received may be obtained from film badge readings or dosineters. If these film badges or docimpters are worn on personnal and the evidence of their use supports the view that the readings are a reasonably accurate account of the rediction dose received then the values recorded on the film budge or desincter may be accepted with a correction factor of Mu to account for the difference between the dose received by the film badge or designter (including backseatter) and that received at the tissue depth of five contineters. Table Ic may be used in estimating the effective biological does.

TLE #	Ir
(Presenting of the sector of the	

لأفس		7	<u>B.</u>	<u>C</u>	Brrective	Effective
		Film Badge Reading	Mislogical Factor	Film Badge or Dosimetor Correction	Biological Dose Pactor (Column B x C)	Biological Dose (Column A x D)
	From time of fallout until time of evacuation		1/1	3/4	3/4	
	From time of return to 15 days after initial fallout		3/4	3/2	1/2-	
	From 15 days until one year after initial fallout		2/3	3/4	1/2	

TOTAL

The value of 9/1t has been rounded off to 1/2.

DOE DOE ALC

Vermineren in **Frider** References States References On Hander References References References

9 11970

At later times after fallout, better estimates of radiation doess received may be obtained from film bedge readings or dominuters. If these film bedges or dominuters are worn on personnel and the evidence of their are supports the view that the readings are a reasonably accurate account of the radiation does received then the values recorded on the film bedge or dominuter may be accepted with a correction factor of 3/4 to account for the difference between the dose received by the film bedge or dominuters. Table is may be used in estimating the effective biological dase.

TAP	IC
and the second se	and the second s

	Ă.	<u>B. C.</u>		<u>D.</u> Effective	<u>I.</u> Effective	
	Film Badge Reading	Biological Factor	<b>Film Bedge</b> or Designter Correction	Dose Factor (Column E $\frac{x C}{x}$	Column A	
From time of fallout until time of evacuation		1/2	3/4	3/4		
From time of return to 15 days after initial fallout		3,2	<b>9</b> /4	1/2		
From 15 days until one year after initial fallout		8/3	972	1/2		

TOTLL

The value of 9/16 has been rounded off to 1/2.

-

7 - JOE 1962

<u>Discussion of the Biological Factor</u> As longer periods of time are involved in the delivery of a given radiation dose, lasser biological effects may be expected. From the time of fallout antil the time of evacuation probably will be a matter of hours which has been considered essentially an instantaneous dose, i.e., the biological dose factor is 1/1. From the time evacuation could be accomplished to time of return probably would be a matter of days, so the biological factor has been estimated at 3/4. From 15 days after fallout until one year later is essentially a duration of one year, so the biological factor has been estimated at 3/4. From 15 days after fallout until one year later is essentially a duration of one year, so the biological factor has been estimated at 3/3. It will be acted there is no calculation after one year, because it is expected under actual conditions of radiological and weathering that probably no significant dose will be delivered after a years time.

It is recognized that the precise quantities suggested for the biological factor cannot be supported by conclusive evidence. It is reasonable to expect that the delivery of a given madiation dose over a period of many days will have less biological effectiveness than an instantaneous one (neglecting genetic effects) and that the extension of the period to essentially one year mould yield a still lower biological factor. One piece of supportive evidence is the work of Strandgrist<sup>#</sup> where I-ray doses to the skin were fractionated into equal daily mounts, and the biological effects compared to a one treatinguished straight lines. For example, the curve for skin necrosis indicated a ratio of 3000/2700 receiptes for a one line treatment versus 15 daily equally.

-Sievert, Folf H. The Tolerance was we the Prevention of Injuries Caused by Ionising Fadiations". As affin - States / of States 19 y Vol. XX, No. 236. Aug. 1947

+.0E (2.J

fractionated doses. Of course, daily radia this doses received from fallout are not equally fractionated no that the radio would be in the direction of unity. Day by day doses delivered from fallout from the 15th day to one year are more nearly equivalent than at early times (ig to the weathering factor). Strandgvist data do not extend heyron hill days and the te questionable to extrapolate his data in an attempt to derive a similar ratio as a one based on one year, since other uncertainties are so great, ises, effects of weathering as affecting the rate of doze delivery, etc. The ratio would presume by the familier from unity than for a 15-day period.

The skin is/relatively rayidly repaired organ and thus may tend to overemphasize the effects of fractionation when considering wole-body gamma doses.

Cronkite reports" "In the dog, with consist gamma rays, the dose that will kill 50 percent of the dops is a thirty-dappen in when delivered in a single dose at roug 1/15 mper minute is approximately 27 m. After this dose of radiation the animals recome ill with a period of 7 to 10 days and deaths occur detween the eight and twenty-fift day. Henorrhade, i forthoms, and profound anemia are prevalent. If the dose is decreased to 100 mper day give, over a fourteen- our period, the let all dose is increased to 600-000 m. Unfor both conditions, the animals die in approximately the same period of time with identical partifestations. If the exposure is dromped to 25 mper day give, over a fourteen-your period, the let all dose is then increased to well over 1200 m, and the simples and findings are changed." In the problem in such experior is in the evaluation of possibility

tent the animals may be virtually dead which the exposures are continued. This might be illustrated to experiments using the burn where the daily doses of 400, 200 and 100 roentpens given to three separate groups required 3600 to 4000, 2800 to 3200, and 2000 to 2600 total roentge is mespectively for 100 per cent lethality<sup>24</sup>.

- \* <u>Kedical Aspects of Radiological Defense</u>. Gronkite, N.F., Lecture to Federal Civil Defense Administration, Regional Conference of Nort eastern States of Radiological and Chemical Pefense, New York City, October 27, 1983.
- ICLA-295. Festionue of the Purro un 1.0 m Mark Model Scale-Cody Game Fag Maduation. Hale, 1.J. et al. June 19, 1950, Wesland Scale

IN THE ALD

CET STATES

f(I)



Experimental data reported by Booter was anomarized below.

No. of	Dose per	Dose per	Dirvival	Total Dose
Days	Dav (r)	Reet (r)	Time (Kis)	
<b>20</b>	<b>10</b>	60	<b>7</b> 2	1140
10		3	83	2903

2000,

764 1

Unfortunately normal survival times were not given for were the ages of the animala. ( do qu)

Flair## has take, the two points from Foche's data, inserted these into tis (Blair's) equation relating reparable and irreparable damage. The ratio of instantaneous dose to 15 day dose 3 a 350/250 or 0.82, and for 4 no the dose a sut 525/350 or 0.5%

Blair sugges a first The points are the few to determine the constants (of the equation) will also accurace both monitor at least the in the proper range, " However, is constants of bis of blue have checked well with more extensive data on other minute. His equal one indicate that the rate of the (It the syper of momod of 1. 1. 1. recovery of report it indury, is fasteril is the mode, a out one-salf as fast in the rat and about one-seventh as fast to the gid as pig and dog, but as Blair pointed put the reaction of the dog is more representative of the larger, longer-lived anirals.

-1770-201 O'servations on jopolations of the dramatic formula formula I radiation. Some, F.E. 1927. Burbassified.

1.17

Exacussion of the Attendation and Reathering Factor. From the time of fallout until the time of evac ation it is expected that personnal will be kept indoors. (See Policy I.) Eajor losses due to waathe dog can not be relied upon during this period, so that the estimated factor is 1/2. From the time evacuation would have been accomplished until the time of estimated return it is assumed that personnel will be hadowrs about half of each 24 hours and that Bajor losses due to weathering can not be relied open. The over-all factor is thus 3/4.

The same reaso dog applies to the tolid period of time, i.e., from assumed time of return to 15 dece after fallost.

From 15 days after fallout until one year later it is estimated that the attenuation due to culldidge and the effects of west erips will yeild an overall factor of 1/2.

Dose rate readings have been taken with servery matters outside and inside of houses around the Hevada Proving Grounds after fallout occurred. The ratio of readings varied with the type of construction of the house and with the location within the building. Generally, the ratio of readings outside to inside a frame house was about 2/1 with a mover at greater difference for many construction. A limited run er of the house were placed outside and i side of size houses during fue her-Shapper and also Ups of -fronthole. In the first case, the difference in total closes was again 2 to 1 or greater but during Upshot-Know ele only about a 201 Afference was moted. In fact, in one case during the ofference to solve on the large i side read higher than outside. The difference is to all affer is side read higher than outside. The difference is to solve on methal data will have to be investigated during from the top of the bad solve a will have to be investigated during from the solves.

The very nature of the weathering factor makes this a difficult parameter to evaluate. The probability of occurrence of precipitation and/or winds and to what degree has he is estimated as well as their affects on radiation levels. Leaching effects were studied or sails a out 130 miles from ground sero where fallout lad occurred during The ot-Inoticle. Dose rate readings were insignifica the lower than those predicted by radiological decay according to  $t^{-1}$ , after a period of more that one prane. One example of the effects of winds white was a served during Spate -Knothole. The fallout from the Ears. 17, 1953 deta attion was in a long narrow rattern to the east of ground sero. The second day after fallout a matter strong surface wind flew almost at right angles across the area, for a cost a period of a day. Lose rate readings were taken on the first and front days at the same locations and t en were convered. With fourth day done mates were less, by factors of three to six, than 1 one to be expected from the first days readings, lased on rate of decay of t-2.2. (Other fallout measurements indicated that the rate of decay of this fallout material sea not significantly different

dinter.

£100

11

ţ

from  $t^{-1,2}$ .) Because of the physical conditions described above, these reductions in contaminations probably are last to suppor limit to be expected from wind.

## O craticoal Peasibility of Criteria

It is not the intent here to discuss or enablenal procedures, but it should be indicated that the computing of radiation doses as recommended in Policy I is a not the difficult task. If one assumes a  $t^{-1.2}$  rate of decay as a first at monomation, from a width draw of dose rates versus times after detoustoon own be constructed that will represent a 30 roentgen effective biological door for one year. At additional family of curves can be made that will provide the answers in the parameters of howmuch time would be available before evaluation and of the door to provide for a savings of at least U roenty ens.

The highest wole-'ody gamma dose recorded for any locality where personnel were present outside the Nevada Proving Grounds was at Riverside Cabins, Nevada (about 15 people) following and number seven of Tumbler-Snapper. The maximum theoretical infinity gamma dose was estimated to be 12-15 roentgens;

区

VULIALI

## POLIC: II

Personnel Remaining Indoors

and the second

- A. Then the gamma dose rate reading as measured by a survey meter held three fest a over the ground reaches the values given in table II at the times indicated, it is recommended that personnel shall be requested to remain indoors wit windows and doors closed.
- B. In the event that if are le convincing evidence that the radiation levels given in the talls will be reached, it is recommended that personel be requested to remain indoors "FOF" the fallout occurs or before the radiation levels equal those in the table.

Are	o Pallout	Gamma Dose Sates At Time of Fallout
1	hour	(mr/.r) 2000
2	hours	1000
3	t	6ő <b>7</b>
4	*	500
5	*	400
t	*	333
. 8	<u>ب</u>	250
30	ĝei	200
12	¥as	167
21		83

1) /PAC

Release from this meatricity, and too plath be made on the lasis of

further evaluation of the rattal great a settle a.



- C. It is recommended that people who had meen out-of-doors during failout of the above magnitude or greater be advised to change clothing and to tathe. The clot ing may be cleaned is normal means. While bathing, special attention should be paid to be hair and any exposed parts of the body.
- D. In the event that the monitoring takes place AFTT the fallout has occurred, and extrapolation of the dose rate readings equals or exceeds those in table II at the estimated time of fallout, then it is recommended that the same advice he given as in the preceding paragram.

## POLIC II

## Personnel Remaining Indoors

#### DISCRETO!

The action of requesting personnel to remain indoors is predicated on the principle that the radiation levels are below those established for evacuation and that this action could reduce the amount of contamination of personnel and reduce somewhat the whole-body gamma dose. (See Appendix A for estimates of reduction in w ole-body gamma dose.) The actual "savings" healthwise have to be balanced against possible adverse provid reaction.

The principal gain in requesting permited to remain indoors is to prevent or reduce the anomal of alonic decise that may actually fall on the bod, or clothing. Since the peak of falls here allo occurs a only after the start of fallent, it is important for the terminal decisions and actions be

CONT Pr



taken. Thus, by necessity, the most practical criteria upon which to base a decision are gamma dose rate readings, which are in turn related to the amount of fallout.

### Beta Dose To Skin

The most immediate solution might be to establish lower permitt[ed dose rate levels at later times after detonation. However, if a series of dose rates are established for increasing times after detonation so that their relationship follows  $t^{-1.2}$ , then the doses delivered in X hours (before the material is vashed off) will be greater for earlier times after detonation. If one were sure of the time that the fallout material was to remain in place, then a scale of dose rates versus time after detonation could be made to yield the same total dose over the X hours. Since there is obviously no set time period for duration of contact that would be valid for all eases, one might assume the worst case where the material remains in place until its activity has decayed to an insignificant level. Dose rates could then be approximated, to yield a given infinity dose, by:

> D = 54t where: D = infinity dose A = dose rate at time =t=.

If the above discussion is accepted, then the remaining question is to set the infinity dose Here, we must be clear that whereas the measurements taken by the monitors, and the data upon which action will be decided will be gamma dose rate readings, the point of principal concern is the beta dose delivered to the basal layer of the epidermis (assumed as 7 milligrams per square continueter). The ratio of emission of beta to gamma is a function of

47.48

 $\alpha$ 

X JAID

time after detonation and follows no signed relationship. Further, this ratio at any given time after detonation has not been firmly astallished. One report<sup>#</sup> suggests the following data:

Mar after detonation	Bota/Garma
72 hours	57/2
168 hours	1567

These data were obtained from a cloud sample, rather than actual fallout material, and were a measure of surface dowe cone plaque using a "dominetertype teta-ray surface indisation chamber."

The method of collection suggests the problemity that the thickness of material on the plaques may be lies that to be expected from the amount of fallout that would be of concern when estimating provalilities of beta burns. This would be af concern when estimating provalilities of beta burns. This would be affected significant distribution of the ustas influencian the relations may do not distribute of a higher value for the plaques.

Another report<sup>1</sup> indicates a bela is game raise of 130 to 1 based on theoretical computations. A third report<sup>2</sup> suggests a radically lower ratios however, there may be none doubt as to this conclusions since the ionisation chanker used to measure gammas only, and a wall totokness of 1 mm of takelite which "... excluded a small part of the total summa dose present, as well as a large, but unknow , fraction of the beta." (The range of 0.35 May betas is about 100 mg/cm<sup>2</sup> or approximatel; 1 mm of balelite.) For our discussion here, we will assume a <u>surface</u> beta to gam a ratio of 150 to 1.

In estimating the lets dose to the hasal haver of the epidermis, or may

refer to the work of Centiques<sup>3</sup>. He expand the school Diester Frite pics \*EL-20. Scientific Director's Report, Annex 2.2. "Direparentland of Survey-reter and". 1 "An Estimate of the felal we Casard of Seta and Director Casard Station from Pission Fromer.".

Sullivan, William H. N.E., April 1949. CHEENER (AND 2 U.P-37. Project 4.7 "Carzo- Ha Fatho de Ste Differio Contarinated Arca". June 19 1. CONFILENTIAL-PRETAINTY.

3 "Effect of Beta Fals on the Most #s & Furnity, Telensity, Intensity, and Diratto of Facilities". Security cases the prove of the Most Wol. 1, Mo. 2. Summer 1999.

FIEIALO

to plaques containing different radioisotopes. Pertinent data are abstracted as follows:

Isotope	Energy	Surface Dose Required to Produce Recognisable Transepidermal Injury (Roentgen-equivalent- beta)	Estimated Amount of Radiation That Pene- trated Skin to A Depth of 0.09 mm. (reb)
Ittrium <sup>91</sup>	1.53	1,500	1,200
Strontium <sup>90</sup> Yttrium <sup>90</sup>	0.61	1,500	1,400

The average maximum energy of the beta particles from fallout material varies with time but will be assumed to be roughly comparable, in respect to depth dose, to 1ttrium<sup>91</sup> or  $3r^{90}-T^{90}$ . Since the gamma dose at a depth of 7 mg/cm<sup>2</sup> would not be significantly different from the surface gamma dose, the ratio of 13.0 to 3 for beta-gamma will be assumed at the basal layer of the epidermis.

[One experiment with sheep, using  $\delta r^{90}$ -T90 plaques, showed that 2500 reps at the plaques' surface produced mineration in one but not another of two sheep." On the other hand, 1000 rade delivered to tissue depth of 7 mg/cm<sup>2</sup> from a P<sup>32</sup> one inch diameter disk (type of animal not stated) produced tanning, prolonged arythems and desquamation.\*\*)

It is to be remembered that the above discussion was first based on <u>surface</u> gamma dose rates whereas the monitors will be making their gamma

"Comparative Study of Experimentally Produced Beta Lesions and Skin Lesions in Utah Range Sheep". Lushbaugh, C.E., Spalding, J.F. and Hals, D.B. LASL Sovember 30, 1953 (UNCLASSIFIED). "HW-33068 A status report. Sept. 15, 1954 (CONFIDENTIAL).

MELE pli

measurements at a height of three feet. Fast field experience has indicated that the gamma reading from ionization-type survey meters at ground level is about 50% higher than at three feet. Therefore if it be assumed that a ground level gamma reading of a survey meter is equivalent to a surface dose rate, the ratio of beta dose rate at 7  $xg/cm^2$  to gamma dose rate at three feet is about 200 to 1.

Another approach to estimating the ratio of beta dose rate at 7 mg/cm<sup>2</sup> to gamma dose rate at three feat is as follows. Assuming a uniform distribution of 1.0 megacurie per square mile of gamma activity, the dose rate reading from an infinite field is about 4 1 roentgens/hour.\* Calculations given in appendix B indicate that a like concentration of fallout material will produce about 430 reps/hour at 7 mg/cm<sup>2</sup>. This suggests a beta to gamma ratio of about 100 to 1 which is about a factor of two lower than the first approach. Added support to this latter method of estimating beta doses is found in appendix C.

Such considerations may be fraught with pitfalls. For example, the above discussion implies a uniform distribution of falbut material. Obviously, this is not correct but how far this deviates from the facts and to what extent this influences the results is difficult to assess. Calculations indicate that the production of recognizable beta burns from a single particle requires a high specific activity. (See Policy III for discussion.) well It may/be, however, that the particles of fallout are alose enough to have overlapping of redistion fields and thus require significantly lover apecific activity of the particles to produce beta burns. This hypothesis \*\*Effects of Atomic "seapons". 1950

Contraction of the second



has support in that even the most superficial beta burns of the natives exposed to fallout following the March 1, 1954 detonation showed a general area affected rather than small individual spots. On the other hand, the outtle and horses exposed near the Hevada Proving Grounds showed burns over areas only about the size of a quarter. Even though these may not have been produced by single particles, they do represent less of an area effect than suggested for the natives. Also, redicentographs of the fallout in areas outside the HFG suggest the securrence of individual particles with non-overlapping of rediction fields. Novever, in nearby areas where the fallout was relatively heavy, there was a definite overlapping of the fields.

WITH OUR PRESENT KNOWLEDGE IT SHOULD BE STATED THAT DUE TO THE PARTICULATE MATURE OF FALLOUT IT WOULD BOT BE POSSIBLE TO ESTABLISH REASONABLE AND OPERATIONALLY WORKABLE CRITERIA THAT AT THE SAME TIME WOULD GUARANTEE THAT THERE <u>REVER</u> WOULD BE AN OCCURRENCE OF A BETA BURN.

If one were to accept the assumed beta to gamma dose rates of about 100-200 to 1 (measured under the conditions given above), this might mean an infinity beta dose of 1000-2000 reps to the basal layer of the epidermis when the whole body infinity gamma dose was 10 rountgens. Of course, the fallout material may be removed before the infinity dose is delivered; yet, on the other hand, it is not improbable that it could remain in the hair for essentially this length of time. In the case of a one-hour fallout, almost one half of the dose would be delivered in the next 24 hours.

The efficiency of a surface for collecting and holding the fallout material is important. It is not surprising that the highest dose rate readings as well as biological effects were noted on the hair of the natives and also on parts of the exposed body where perspiration was present. Further, it was observed that even one layer of light cotton material was sufficient to protect against bets akin damage in most cases. This was due probably not to the relatively small attenuation of the betas by the elothing but rather to the physical situation of holding the radioactive material at some distance from the akin, which effect would be relatively large.

An added consideration is the possibility of high beta doses delivered to personnel from the falbut material lying on the ground and other surfaces. If the highest degree of contamination considered under this policy is safe when in direct contact with the skin, then the beta dose from an equally contaminated ground will not be hasardous. (See Policy III for discussion on unequal contamination on personnel.) However, it is true that the contamination may exceed the amount to deliver dose rates given in table II and yet not be great enough to consider evacuation. Some personnel may not go indoors and these who did will eventually be released from this restrictive action are then may walk around in a relatively highly contaminated area. Because of the more limited range of the beta, the location of greatest concern is the lover logs.

One report estimates a beta to gauna dose rate ratio of about 75 to 1 at 10 centimeters above the ground.\*\* Under Folicy I it was recom-

and the second second second

<sup>\*</sup> ITE-923. Study of Response of Human Beings Accidentally Exposed to Significant Pellout Radiation, Crockite, E. P., et a 1. Hay 1954.

<sup>\*\*</sup> aD-95(H) in Estimate of the Roletive Hazard of Esta and Gamma Radiation from Fission Freducts, Condit, Estay Sympon J.E. and Luch, W.A.S. MEDL 1949 (UNCLASSIFIC)

SECTOR

mended that consideration be given to evacuation when the gamma dose rate reading at three fost was, for example, about 6.2 r/hr at E/3 hours. Boughly, this would correspond to about 450 repa/hr of beta at 10 centimeters. Of course, this activity decays and also it is presumed that personnel would be sent indoors, at least for a few hours. On the other hand, it strongly suggests that biologically significant doses may be delivered to the fest if not protected. Skin lecions were frequent on the bare feet of the natives evacuated during CASTLE. This probably was a combination of beta dose from material on the ground and from that souffed up over the bare fost and then alinging to the skin. (No lesions were observed on the bottom of the feet, undoubtedly due to the thick epidermis  $\mathfrak{J}^{ard}$  , bto the produce buty of at the material of a rest offick to the day to resting). It would be expected that normal slosed-type footwear (as compared to open sandals) would afford adequate protection to the fest from such high bets doses as discussed here. There is still no guarantee that beta radiation from material on the ground will not deliver significant biological doses to the ankles and parkage lover legs, after personnel are released from staying indoors. For example, if the beta dose at 10 centingtors above the ground is 460 reps/hr at E43 hours, it would be about 190 repair three hours later and 190 reps/ur six hours later.

One further possibility is the accumulation of radioactive material around the ankles and lover legs resulting from normal walking about the area. This is discussed under Folicy IT



## Data On Human Exposures

The work of Hemriques<sup>1</sup> suggests that at the d epth of 0.09 mm in living porcine skin (maximum thickness of e pidermis) that "1400  $\neq$  300 roomtgen-equivalent-bets" (delivered over short periods of time so that they may be assumed to be instantaneous) is required to produce recognisable transspidermal injury. The curve of biological damage rises rather sharply so that at a dose of just under 2000 rep (at 0.09 mm), the epidermis may be expected to exfoliate and in the majority of cases go on to develop chronic radiation dermatitis persisting for months.

The preceding discussion suggests what, using the gamma dose rates listed in the criteria under this Policy, which are based on an estimated 10 rowntgen infinity gamma dose, as high as 2,000 reps might be deliveredto the basal layer of the epidermis over a period of time covered by the lifetime of the radioactive material.

There have been instances where the calculated infinity gamma dose in areas where personnal were present around the Nevada Proving Grounds have reached 12-15 roomtgens but there have been no known cases of beta burns in these areas. The number of persons involved in these areas of highest contamination was relatively small, perhaps a few dosen, and with an observed duration of fallout of about one hour it is possible that they were not in a position to receive the full fallout. Likewise, minute areas of the skin may have been so affected yet not detected or reported. In other areas encompassing some 2,000 people the infinity gamma dose was about eight roomtgens and no instances of beta injury appeared.

1 <u>or.cit</u>.



<u> 1757 (</u>

The estimated whole-body gamma dose to natives evacuated from the island of Utirik following the March 1, 1954 detonation at the Pacific Proving Orjeund was about 15 roentgens for a period of about three days, but no beta burns appeared. It is fair to assume here that direct contanination took place due to their mode of living including housing that was quite open to air currents. Gamma dore rate readings were taken over the bodies of the natives at about H plus 78 hours both on the beach and after boarding the ship. On the beach the personnel readings averaged about 20 mr/hr gamma (but this probably included some contribution from the ground contamination), and after wading throng the surf and boarding the ship the levels average 7 mr/hr gamma.

The LE native- on Sife Island, Atlingings Atell, received an estimated whole-body garza dose of 75 rountgens in about two and a quarter days. Of these, 14 later experienced slight beta burns, 2, moderate burns, and none showed epiletion.

In the case of the Rongela; natives, the estimated whole-body dose was about 150 roentgens in about two days. All 64 natives later experienced bets burns to some degree from slight to severe and over half of the natives showed epilation from slight to severe.

\*\*\* tus

The 16 natives from Rongelap evacuated directly by air to Evajalein had personnel gamma dose-rate lavels generally 80 to 100 mm/hr although one was as high as 640 mm/hr and one as New as 10 mm/hr (at H plus about 55 hours). The remaining 48 natives evacuated by ship were reported to have personnel remaining that "averaged" bi sonfor before decontamination.

1 CLEASE AN

The picture is further confused because some of the natives had bathed and some had not before the arrival of the evacuation team.

Most of the 28 U.S. Service personnel stationed on Enivetak Island, Rongerik Atoll, received about 40-50 roentgens, based on film badge readings. Three members of the group who were located for part of the time in another section of the island wars estimated to have received somewhat higher doses. Seventeen of the 28 personnel showed only slight superficial lasions with one questionable case of epilation. It should be pointed out that the personnal were in seta, buildings during some of the fallout time and for most of the time thereafter until evacuation. This reduced the direct contamination as well as the whole-body gamma dose. A film badge hanging on the center pole of a tent at one end of the island read 95 roentgens. Calculations based on dose rate readings at another part of the island indicated somewhat lower doses, if personnel had remained in the open for the period of time from fallout (about H plus 7.5 hours) to evacuation (at about H plus 3. hours). Upon arrival at Everyalein one personnal genue dose rate reading was as high as 250 mr/hr at about H plus 35 hours,

The above data do suggest that there say be possible a rough bracketing of gamma-beta doses versus beta hurns. On the one hand, the natives from Utirik received an estimated whole-body gamma dose of 15 roentgens and showed no evidence of beta burns. On the other hand, the natives on Sifo Island, Ailinginas Atoll, received about an estimated whole-body gamma dose of 75 roentgen: with the personnal showing slight

Contractors and the second s



burns, 2, moderate burns, 2, no burns, 3 with moderate epilation, and 15 with no epilation. In addition, Hongelap natives received 150 rountgens whole-body gamma dose, and about 90% showed sums degree of lesions and 56% some degree of epilation.

It is to be recalled that: (a) the natives probably were out-ofdoors and received the full fallout, (b) the oily hair, semi-maked perspiring bodies including bare feet, and hack of bathing for most would tend to collect and hold the fallout material, (c) the time of delivery of essentially all of the doses was two to three days. Further, it may be speculated that the fallout on the more distant island of Utirik (about 300 statute miles) would consist of smaller particles and also perhaps lesser possibility of overlapping of radiation fields from these particles.

Some of the relevant data are summarised in table II. Due to the uncertainty of the degree of exposure of personnel on Bongerik to the direct fallout, this group is not included. It is to be immediately emphasised that any comparisons made or implied in the table are at the most only semiquantitative. Table II will be referred to in Policies III and IV but is included here as a summary of the data discussed above.

### Data On Animal Exposures

The data on animal exposures are less firm than those for humans. Unmistakable beta burns occurred on eattle at Alamogordo in July 1945, on cattle at the Boyada Proving Grounds in spring 1952, and on horses in

		145	$\bigcirc$				<b>4</b> ;	
				TABLE II				
I	II Estimated	III Best Keti- mate of Whole-body	I▼	V	Best En of the the gro Reading Both at	tingte of A Islands (ta yund) and of ys) after Re Approximat	VI hverage sken at Native movel f tely Sen	Dose Rates (ar/hr) three fest above a (Personnel rom Radiation Field. a Time.
location	Fallout	(Rosutrena)	Effects	Personnel Reading	Inland	Personnel	Ret 10	ADDERE, TIME
Rongelar	5 <u>1</u> h <b>rs</b>	150	iesions: 6 None 19 Dight 22 Moderate 17 Severe Epilation: 23 None 11 Slight 11 Hoderate 14 Severe	<ul> <li>Majority: 30-100mr/hr At H/54 hrs</li> <li>b. Average: 60 mr/hr At H/50 hrs</li> <li>Corrected Average: 50 mr/hr</li> </ul>	1300	80	16/1	16/50 km
allinginas	54 hrs	анконтонцијана ка <b>лицијана и на</b> ко <b>Т</b>	Locions: 2 None 14 Slight (vory sup- erficial) Enilation: 15 None 3 Moderate	Average: 40 mr/hr At 11/52 hrs Corrected Average: 53 mr/hr <sup>3</sup>	410	ς τ	a/1	¥52 hrs
Utirik	16-18 hrs	15	<u>Lesions:</u> None <u>Apilation:</u> None	Average: 20 mr/hr Assumed: 15 mr/hr At 1:4784	110	15	7/1	H¢78 hrs

1 16 natives evacuated by air to Kwajalein and conitored upon arrival.

" " UGS Fhilip and monitored aboard the ship. Data suggest meter meadings law by about 50% 2 48 \* since natives from same island read 80-100 mr/hr at Kwajalein some four hours later with calibrated meters.

3 40 mr/hr corrected to 60 mr/hr according to information in footnote 2. Report did not indicate range of values arong individuals nor at different parts of body.

4 Heading taken by monitors from the REMEMAN on the Utirik beach where there may have been some contribution to dose rates from land. After wading to ship, average personnel readings were 7 mr/hr.

K 12

Å



spring 1953. (The skin damage observed on sheep in the spring 1953 was not established to be bets burns,) However, the exact positions of the animals in relation to known amounts of fallout are not elser.

\*

Following the last detonation of the spring 1952 series at the Hevada Proving Grounds, about one half of a herd of 150 head of cattle were found to have evidence of beta burns. They were thought to have been 15-20 miles from ground zero in Kawich Valley to the northeast and to have been exposed to fallout from the last detonation. (14 ET on a 300 foot tower) Highest dose rate readings taken along a dirt road running lengthwise through this valley, integrated to 75-100 infinity gamma doses.

During Upshot-Enothole, 16 horses showed skin lesions over the back and eye damage was noted in a few. The best evidence indicated that the horses were some 8-10 miles to the east of ground zero on 17 March 1954, where the fallout occurred from the first detonation (16 KT on a 300 foot tower). Rediation levels in this area are not known with certainty but the fallout occurred in a narrow band and was carried by relatively high velocity winds so that it probably fell on the horses at a time less than one hour. If so, probably more than one-half of the infinity dose was delivered during the next day.

## Operational Teacibility

Under the criteria recommended in Palicy II, there would have been two occasions in the past where personnal would have been requested to

remain indoors. Once was at Lincoln Hime following the second detonation of Upshot-Enothole where they were so requested to remain indoors for two hours and the other occasion would have been at Riverside Cabins (population about 15) following the minth detonation of the same series. The done rate reading at Lincoln Mine was 580 mm/hr at E/2. In the case of Riverside Cabins, however, the radiological conditions were not ascertained until after the fallout had occurred. The maximum infinity gamma dose in the latter case was 12-13 roomigens.

Personnel were requested to remain indoors (for about two hours) following the minth detonation of Upshot-Knothole. The highest dose rate reading was 320 mr/hr at H plus 4.5 hours. This is less than the current recommendations.

21

TOFE ALD

### POLICY 111

## Decontamination of Personnal

- A. Where it is not possible to monitor personnel outside of a general radistion field, it is recommended that an estimate be made of the degree of personnel contamination by determining the location of the individual st the time of fallout. In the event there is uncertainty as to the validity of such an estimate, the assumption will be made that the individual was out-of-doors. In those areas where the infinity gamma dose equals or exceeds 10 poentgens, it is recommended that the individual be advised to bathe and to change clothing.
- B.1. For personnel being monitored outside the general radiation field where personnel contamination exists over relatively large areas of the exposed body (one-balf square foot or more);

When the reading of a survey instrument, held with the center of the probe or center of the ionization chamber four inches from the center of the pontaminated area, equals or exceeds the values given in table III it is recommended that personnel CHALL be advised to bathe and to change clothing.

## TABLE ILL

GAMMA DOSE RATES AT TIMES AFTER DETOMATION WHEN DECONTAMINATION OF PERSON-NEL SHOULD BE RECONVENDED (Based on Bituation of Contamination Existing over\_Relatively Large Areas (one-half square foot or more) of the <u>Exposed</u>. Body/

Time After Detonation Contamination Occurred	Germa Dose Rates At Time of Conten- instion (mr/hr)
1 hour	200
2 hours	100
3 *	<b>6</b> 7
4 *	50
5 *	40
6 •	33
8 •	25
10 •	20
12 •	17
24	8

12.00





÷.,

Ţ

B.2. For personnel being monitored outside the general radiation field. Where personnel contamination exists over relatively small areas of the EXPOSED body (less than one-half a source foot):

> The recommended maximum values shall be one-half those given in table III. Monitoring of head, some, hands. lower less, and feet will be considered as coming under this category. Meshing may be limited only to the contaminated parts, and also a shange of clothing may not be indicated unless the rediction levels exceed those stated in part D below.

B.3. For personnel being monitored outside the general rediation field, and the contamination exists over only spots of EUPOSED body (about the size of a half dollar or less);

> The recommended maximum values shall be one-fifth those given in table III. Washing may be limited only to the contaminated parts, and also a change of clothing may not be indicated unless the rediation levels exceed those stated in part D below.

C. For personnel being monitored outside the general rediction field and the contamination sxists over any size area on the exterior surface of the alothing:

> The recommended values under these conditions will be price those riven in table III. The recommended action shall be to advice bothing and a phance of clothing.



D. When the general contamination of a community is of the degree to produce an estimated maximum theoretical infinity games doag of 20 KDENTHERS OF greater, personnel who have been out-of-doors at any time during the first two days and concerning revent in the area (as orposed to much an agt as walking only between a building and a yohicle) shall be advised to brush off the footwear (outdoors), to bathe and to change clothing as soon as possible after the final return indoors each day.

In addition, personnel who so out-of-doors for any length of time during the first two days after such a fallout shall be advised to wash their hands at least after the final ruturn indoors each day. and more frequently, if possible.

سۇ تۇتىمە

The sizes of areas and distances from the surfaces were selected independently of any of the information on the fallout on the natives discussed above and were estimates of areas of contamination and distances of monitoring that appeared to be reasonable estimates of these parameters. The close agreement between the gamma dose rate ratios based on theoretical considerations and those observed with the natives is circumstantial. For example, an equally contaminated area of three-inch radius would yield a theoretical nearly term gamma dose rate fime times less than the selected area of six-inch radius. In the case of the natives, however, it is believed that they were semi-naked, perspiring, and out-of-doors during the failout so that it is not unreasonable to expect relatively large areas of the body to be contaminated. In fact, this was noted when they were monitored. By their acts of walking eround during the period of fallout and sleeping on mats that were heavily contaminated it would seem possible that significant areas of the bodies of the Ailinginas and Utirik natives sould be as beavily contaminated as was the ground. (It is unknown if there were sufficient winds that might have raised the material from the ground to the body after fallout occurred.)

There is further uncertainty of what is meant by the monitor's report of "average" personnal readings. The dose rate readings in the hair are known to have been significantly higher than the rest of the body in most cases. It is unknown how these readings were "averaged".

Whereas these data certainly are not firm enough for one to place great confidence in the precise quantities of the retion of 7/1 or 8/1, they do

QUE / NM
radiation exposure whenever possible, bathing and a shange of elothing <u>micht</u> be suggested for situations where the whole-body infinity gamma dose was less than 10 rosutgens and <u>should</u> be recombended for areas where the exposure is higher.

#### Deta On Humans

In table II it was suggested that the relative average gamma dose rates from an infinity contaminated field at three fest above the ground compared to that on the natives measured by a survey meter held close to the body was:

 $\frac{110 \text{ mr/hr}}{15 \text{ mr/hr}} = 7/1 (\text{Utirik Atoli})$   $\frac{10 \text{ mr/hr}}{53 \text{ mr/hr}} = 8/1 (\text{Allinginas Atoli})$   $\frac{1300 \text{ mr/hr}}{80 \text{ mr/hr}} = 16/1 (\text{Rongelap Atolk})$ 

It is recognized there are many uncertainties in estimating such a relationship by this means. Even if one as unces the dose rate readings were taken accurately the factors involved, especially in relation to the amount of material collected and retained on the body, cartainly are not constant. The higher ratio at Rongelap Atoll might have been due to a physical phenomenon where the quantity of material falling per unit area was so great that it was not retained so completely on the body. Even if this explanation is accepted, there still remain many questions.

Theoretical considerations indicate a gamma dose rate ratio at three fest above an infinitely contaminated field to that at four inches from an equally contaminated field of six inclusions to be about 7/1. (See Appendix D.)

indicate the obvious fallagy of accepting a 10-roentgen infinity does based on gamma does rates measured on personnel sutside the radiation field. For example, the natives from Ailinginas showed personnel does rate readings that would approximate nine roentgens (gamma) in 2½ days and yet skin damage to some degree was evident in 14 out of 16 of the personnel. On the other hand, the natives from Stirik showed no mbin damage with an estimated 2.2 roentgens in 2½ days based on gamma dose rates measured on personnel. The uncertainty of these data was discussed under Policy II. They do suggest, however, that if the contamination of a relatively large area of the exposed body produces less than one roentgem infinite gamma dose as measured by a survey meter held four inches from the surface there is a large probability that beta burns will not result. (See also discussion under Policy II.)

#### Doses from Small Sources

When the same doze rate reading is produced at a given height above a surface from a smaller area, the amount of contamination per unit area is greater (other factors being equal). Therefore, it would seem desirable to reduce the recommended dose rate levels when relatively small areas are involved. It is recognized that radiation from another nearby spot may contribute to the survey meter reading when monitoring a small area on personnel, but this has not been taken into account, first because of the difficulty of establishing a prior appraisal of this variable factor and, second, whatever this contribution may be it will now become an added safety factor.

Of course, the problem is still complex because when considering smaller and smaller areas the eventual end point is a single particle.

71

- . FD

- ;

An estimate of beta doses at the surface of an imaginary sphere surrounding a fallout particle is given in Appendix E and an estimate of beta doses from a single particle required to produce recognisable arythems is presented in Appendix F. Calculations indicate that the specific activity of some individual particles found in fallout would be great enough to produce recognizable erythems if held in contact with the skin for less than one day, yet the gamma dose rate reading at 4 inches may be quite small. (See Appendix G.)

Additional information on dozes from individual particles has recently been reported\*. The particles found in and around Hanford consisted principally of three radioisotopes,  $Ru^{103}$ ,  $Ru^{104}$  and its daughter  $Rh^{106}$ . The data and calculations in Appendix H also strongly indicate that a single fallout particle could produce a recognizable crythema.

#### Contemination of Clothing

In the case of contamination of clothing, higher dose rates might be tolerated than those for exposed parts of the body. This was exemplified in the natives where no beta burns were observed under clothing of the most highly contaminated personnel. (This does not include such areas as under the vaist line where material apparently collected and was held in place.) On the other hand, very large increases in contamination should not be tolerated since it is possible for the clothing to be rearranged so as to bring the contaminated surface in contact with the skin. Further, it is not unlikely that one may rub his hands over his clothing and then through the the rate it could be held in place for relatively long periods of time. THE-33066. A statue report, Sept. 15, 195.

DOEING

#### Beta Exposure to the Hands

A further consideration is the beta dose to the hands resulting from handling objects contaminated with fallout material. Although some data are available on beta burns from handling radioactive objects, the conditions are so different from those associated with fallout that comparisons probably would not be valid.\*

If the above assumptions and salculations are correct concerning contamination of a general area from fallout, than the transfer of all the radioactive material to the hands from an object of equal area would not constitute a hazard. Thus, one might consider using as criteria for monitoring objects, the dose reading: given above for monitoring personnel outside the general radiation field.

No K However, the problem is more complex since the hands may come into contact with contaminated surfaces many times larger in area than the hands, with an undetermined percentage of activity being transferred to the hands. Of course, an added uncertainty is the frequency of washing of the hands and/or the rubbing <u>off</u> of the material from the hands.

Further, one might speculate that a given surface could have significantly higher contarination than the general area and that the handling of such a surface could constitute a greater r'sk. This might be true because of the greater amount of activity transferred to the hands or because of the doses delivered during the time of actually handling the object. The uncertainty of the percentage of transfer of material has been mentioned. One uncertainty in the second case is the length of time the object would be handled.

<sup>\*&</sup>quot;Bota Ray Burns of Huran Skin", En-oulton, et al. The Journal of the American Medical Association, 7. 122, 60-4. Sept. 24, 1949.



Based on calculations in Appendices 3 and D, when an object is held in a hand, a rough estimate of the ratio of dose rates of beta to the basal layer of the epidermis to that of the gamma reading on a survey meter held four inches every from an object two inches in radius (outside a general radiation field) is 2600-5210 to 1. (Appendix X) Thus, if this object were contaminated with the same activity per unit areas that would produce a 10-roentgen whole-body gamma dose from general contamination of the area, it would produce about 77 mr/hr gamma at four inches away at E/1 hours, and about 200-400 reps/hour at a depth of 7 mg/cm<sup>2</sup>.\* Since the palms of the hands have an approximate epidermal layer of about 40 mg/cm<sup>2</sup> the beta dose to the basal layer would be about 135-270 reps/hour. (The time of E/1 was salected to show about the highest magnitude of dose rates.) If one assumes that the decay is according to  $t^{-1.2}$ , then the total beta dose to the basal layer of the epidermis in the next 10 hours would be about 250-500 reps.

Whereas the above estimates do not indicate an alarming situation, a more serious problem may come when the contamination is just less than that where evacuation is indicated. For example, the contamination of the general area may be five or six times that used as an illustration in the preceding paragraph, without evacuation being recommended. Thus, beta dose rates from handling objects, especially in times soon after fallout, may be high enough to be a problem. A simple and expedient procedure to reduce this factor is frequent washing of the hands after handling objects that ware in the fallout.

<sup>&</sup>quot;These numbers agree fairly well with the computations in "Beta-contact Hazards Associated with Gamma-radiation Reacurements of Mixed Fission Froducts", Teresi, July, UCNNOL-302 (PCNRE CONTAL)

#### Bets Errosure to the Foot and Lover Lees

It was suggested in Policy II that normal closed-type footwear (as sompared to such as open sandals) would probably afford adequate protection against significant bota doses to the feet from fallout material on the ground. There is still the added problem if the material be scuffed up and aling to the ankles and lover legs. If there were a intervening clothing, or perhaps even with this stockings or socks, this might result in significant biological beta doses being delivered to these parts. For example, if the gamma dose rate reading at 8-3 hours were something less than an roantgens per hour, evacuation would not be indicated. However, for fallout material of the same concentration in contact with the skin the beta dose rate at 7 mg/cm<sup>2</sup> would be about 600 repa/hour. (See Appendix B.) Presumably, personnel would be kept indoors for a few hours but upon release the approximate beta dose rates at 7 mg/cm2 would be 260 mm/hr three hours later or 210 mm/hr six hours later. In addition, there is the variable factor of what concenfallout material may socurulate in the ankle region by walking around tration of M an area.

A concentration of fallout material on the ground that would result in about 20 roentgens maximum theoretical infinity gamma dose, if in c]entact with the skin would result in a beta dose rate to the basal layer of the skin of about 1/4 matrix those indicated in the previous paragraph.



#### POLICY IV

#### Monitoring and Decontamination of Motor Vehicles

- A. It is recommended that when the predicted fallout across a main highway will be equivalent to a 10 roentgen infinity gamme dose or higher, vehicles be held until after the actual fallout has essentially ceased. They should be then varned to proceed with windows and air vents closed and the cars should be monitored after passing through the contaminated area. When less than 10 roentgens, but still significant amounts of fallout are predicted across a highway, vehicles should be warned to proceed with windows and effer passing through the contaminated area. When less than 10 roentgens, but still significant amounts of fallout are predicted across a highway, vehicles should be warned to proceed with windows and air vents closed and should be monitored after passing through the contaminated area, Monitoring and varnings should be continued until there is reasonable belief that no or very few additional vehicles will exceed the values given in table IV, s.
- B. When the dose rate reading taken inside a vehicle, or taken over any exterior area that is readily accessible, equals or exceeds the values given in table IV.a. the vehicle shall be cleaned inside and outside. Exterior areas to be conitored should include the wheels and under parts of the fenders but not the under carriage. The survey mater should be held approximately four inches from any surface.

1 M

72. ...

DIFTATI



)

<u>ب</u>

----

- m

割(

. . . . . .

merte TEALO

# TA LE IV.A.

# Gamma Dose Rates at Times After Detonation When Decontamination of Motor Vehicles Should be Recommended

Tine	After Detonation	Garma Dose	Pates At Time of
of No	onitoring	Monitorin	
		1	mr/hr)
1	bour		1000
2	hours		500
3	•		333
Ł			225
5	•		200
6			167
8			125
10			100
12	•		83
24			42

Louis

# POLIC IV

# DISCUSION

#### Bonitoring and Decontamination of Motor Vehicles

1

In the past, fallout has occurred across highways in significant quantities. Table IV.b. below indicates some partiment data during Opshot-Knothole.

# TA 12 IV.5.

)	Shot Mumber (C'rono- logical)	Approx- imate Yield (K <sup>-</sup> )	Tower	Time of Fallout (Vrs)	Fatimated Dose Fata Reading of Hirtway at Time of Fallott (mr/hr)	Location	Approximate Distance From Ground Zero (Miles)
• •	1	17	3 <b>%</b> '	्रम	92(	30 miles south of Alamo on Hyw. #93	60
	1	17	•	2 3/4	<b>2</b> 04	1 mile morth of 31. Ceorge, Diah	130
····	6	<b>2</b> 8		5	32	Junction of B.S. H.w. 291 and Nevada Hyw.FLO	80
)	7	51		43	740	2: miles northw. C'endale, Bev.on E.w. 493	ક્ક
	7	र्घ	٠	7	1400	8 miles west of Mesquite, Nev. H w. 291	105
	9	32	*	2	1020	35 miles north Cleodale on H <i>r</i> w. \$93	50
	9	32	( <b>9</b> *	3 3/4	L27	St. George, Stah Now, #91	130

ng sa sa

1.1

Ĩ

Road blocks were established on Fishways 93 and 91 following shots muster seven and nine of Upshot-Knothole. The highest reading on a private sutomobile was 100 mm/hm (gamma) inside and 110 sm/hm outside at H plus  $\frac{1}{2}$ hours. About 75 cars were washed (roughly  $\frac{1}{2}$  of the total monitored). All of the cars that were washed except the one pentioned showe, had outside dose rate readings less than half of the tignest. The ratio of dose rate readings on the outside of the car to inside varied from unity to about  $\frac{1}{2}$ . Probably one of the important factors here is the difference between driving with windows and/or ventilators opened or closed. One bus read 250 mm/hm outside and average of 100 mm/hm inside with a high inside reading over the rear seat of lbC mm/hm & 3/4 hours.

Considering t a amount of time one normally spends is an automobile, these dose rates do not necessarily represe the health bazard in terms of gamma doses. What is probably a more limiting factor is the direct contamination one might acquire by rubbing against the outside of the car, especially when changing a tire.

It is assumed that monitoring will be accomplished outside a general radiation field. Theoretical calculations (Appendix D) indicate that gamma dose rate readings taken at four incres from a surface will be 51%, 42%, and 27% of these by a meter at three feet above an equally contaminated infinite field when the radii of contamination are respectively 3 feet, 2 feet, and 1 foot.

These data an post that when the grows done mate reading at four incres from a generally contaminated can be about one half that for an infinite place taken at three freet, the donne of contamination per unit

-

411.

د. مرجع الجرم م

\*



area will be about equal; and when the wheels are being monitored 1/3 to 1/4 of a gamma dose rate reading will represent equivalent contamination, (depending in ' gamma for the trade that the trade of the trade of the trade of the trade another factor to be considered is that the probability of collecting fallout material of the body from a generally contaminated area in which one lives is greater than from one's motion it. On the other hand, it has been noted in the past that significantle sign a mounts of contamination have been found of the times and under parts of fenders than on the remainder of the car. (Indoor tedly, this is a simple phenomenon of picking up the activity from the highway.) If one were to change a heavily contaminated time, significant ano mis of radioscrive material might accumulate on the hands, and later be tradeferred to the hair or eyes by a simple rubbing of the hands over those parts.

ير د سرد س A comparison might be made here between recommended maximum dose rates found on personel and the establishing of levels of activity for automobiles. There is one ofvious difference, however; in the first case the material is already on the person whole in the second case one has to introduce the factor of probability of the sfer of contamination (and to mat degree) from the car to the body.

The dose rates (measured as stated) in tails IV would represent a out equal contamination per unit area for a sam as for an infinite plane if the car were rather uniformly contaminated. If the activity were confined say principally to the times and under parts of the feeders, the dose rate readings might represent nearly twice the degree of contamination. One must weigh this condition with the probability Disk a time will be clarged before the

activity has decreased significantly.

A given dose rate reading inside a vehicle may represent less contamination per unit area due to the contribution of gamma radiation from the exterior of the vehicle. On the other hand, contamination within a vehicle would more probably be picked up by personnal than if it were on the outside. Further, it is recognized that significantly high concentrations of radioactive fallout may accumulate is such parts as the air filters of an automobile. Again, this has to be weighted against the probability that they will be handled before the activity has decreased to low levels plus the fact that it is relatively difficult to monitor such parts on a mass basis. The uncertainties present in estimating possiblehasards from vehicle contamination would not justify fine distinctions in monitoring the various parts. A thorough cleaning, inside and outside, would appear to be the best solution.

One of the obvious ways to avoid much of the problem discussed in Policy IV is to prevent vehicles entering an area during the time of fallout. This will not prevent the first vehicles passing through from picking up activity on the tires from the highway. It is believed, however, this will not constitute such a troublesome problem and past experience has indicated that the activity found on the tires noticeably decreased after several cars had passed over the highway. Further, if vehicles are not present in the fallout it will help reduce contamination of the passengers and of the insides of the vehicles.

CERTIE ....

DIELAN: A-

ł

#### Operational Feasibility

In the past, the criteria used for vashing cars has been 7 mr/hr, and at a later time 20 mr/hr (gamma), inside a vehicle. This resulted in vashing about 75 cars (roughly 1/E of the total monitored) following the seventh and minth detonations of Upshot-Knothole. Under the recommendations given in Policy IV, the bus mentioned above, but probably none of the cars, would have been vashed.

The data given in table NV.b. indicate that if these radiation levels given had been predicted before the fallout, Highways #91 and 93 would have been closed prior to the fallout from the seventh detonation and possibly highway #93 for the minth detonation.

Ser Street

19



### Contamination of Mater, Air, and Foodstuffs

In any area where the theoretical games infinity dose exceeds 10 roomtacms, adequate sampling of the vater, air, and foodstuffs should be made to excertain the conditions of possible contamination. Based on past data, however, it is not expected that under those conditions of fallout where the radiation levels are below those stipulated for possible evacuation, that the degree of contamination will be a health hazard. (Bor is it implied here that any level above this <u>does</u> constitute a serious contamination of water, air, or foodstuffs.) Therefore, <u>it is recommended</u> that no action be taken in regard to limiting intake except to advise the washing off of such exposed foods as leafy venetables when that action seems desirable.

#### DISCUSSION

#### Yster

Table VI.a. lists the six locations having the highest concentrations of fission products in water sources during Tumbler-Snapper, and for comparative purposes the estimated theoretical maximum gamma infinity doses.

DOE'ALO

#### TABLE VI. C.

Locality	Concentration(microcuries per milliliter extrapolat- ed to 3 days after detona- tion)	External Theoretical Maximum Whole-body Garma Infinity Dose (roentgens)
Virgin River Irrigation Canal, Nev	8.7 x 10-5	6.
Irrigation Ditch, 56 mi.no. of Pioche, Her	5.5 x 10-5	0.15
Lover Pahranagat Lake, Nev	1.2 x 10-6	2.
Virgin River at Hesquite, Nev	21.6 x 20-6	2.5
Bunkerville, Nev (tap water)	2 * 10-6	7.0
Crystal Springs, Nev (tap vater)		0.15

Due to weather and to attenuation of the gamma rays by buildings, the whole-body gamma dose estimated to have been actually delivered was probably eloser to one-half of the values abown.

The maximum permissible concentration of fission products in drinking vater is  $5 \times 10^{-3}$  pa/ml extrapolated to three days after detonation. This is considered a safe concentration for continuous consumption.

Whereas, the monitoring of water sources is of value for documentary purposes it should be recognized that the concentrations found may vary widely within small geographical areas and even at the same location at different times (taking into account radioactive decay). Thus, confidence cannot be placed in precise values. Table VI.a. suggests that even if one were to have stored up the water listed at Virgin River Irrigation Canal and subsisted entirely on this for a life ine, the concentration would be

about 58 times less than the maximum permissible amount. Normal factors of dilution by additional rainfall and/or by the influx of lesser contaminated  $\frac{1}{16} + \frac{1}{16} + \frac{1}{16}$  ground water would reduce the level of activity.

#### Air

Considerable affort has and is being made to evaluate hazards from airborne radioactive materials, including fission products. There are esrtainly many unanswered problems including the possible hazard from a single particle in the lunge. Despite the uncertainties and as yet incomplete analysis of the inhalation hazard, the preponderance of evidence today is that the external gamma hazard from fallout is the more limiting factor of the two<sup>\*</sup>. (However, see discussion on food contamination.)

During Upshot-Enothols quite complete data were collected of concentrations of airborne activity on about 150 occasions in some 40 different localities within 200 miles of the Nevada Proving Grounds, These included monitoring of all detonations. Histograms were made of air concentrations versus time after detonation for 30 occasions and estimates were made of doses to the lungs. These data for the five communities showing the highest air concentration are given in Table VI.b. The histogram for St. George (the high/24 hour average concentration of fallout ever measured in a populated area) is reproduced in Appendix J.

\*Ad Hoc Committee Vesting. Washington, D.C. January 20, 1954.

Shan

مة معد الم



#### TABLE TI.b.

-----

•

÷

The de the

Locality	24-bour Average Concentration (Elerocuries per cubic meter)	Dose to Lungs (13 weeks)Based on 20% Deposition and 100% Retention Thereafter (mrets)*	Theoretical Mari- mur Whole-body Gamma 13-week Dose (roentgens)
St. George, Stah			3.5
Lincoln Kine, Nev	4.0 x 10 <sup>-1</sup>	12	1.5
Mesquite, Nev	1.7 x 10 <sup>-1</sup>	23	1.0
Groom Mine, Nev	$3.4 \times 10^{-2}$	7	0.35
Piochs, Nev	2.0 x 10 <sup>-2</sup>	3	0.015

"The method used in estimating doses to the lungs is given in Appendix K. One assumption made was uniform distribution of radiation which, of course, is not entirely accurate

The criteria previously established by an Ad Hoc Jangle Peasibility Committee (Washington, D.C., July 13, 1951), for air concentrations was

"At a point of human habitation, the activity of radioactive particles in the atmosphere, averaged over a period of 24 hours, shall be limited to 100 microcuries per cubic meter of air (corresponding approximately to a ground level gamma intensity of 30 mr/hr.

"The 24-bour average radioactivity per cubic meter of air, due to suspended particles having diameters in the range 0 micron to 5.0 microns, shall not exceed 1/100 of the above; nor is it desirable that any individual particle in this size range have an activity greater than 10-2 microcuries calculated 4 hours after the blast."

In the January 20, 1954 meeting of the 4d Hoc Committee the basis for recommending the above air concentrations was discussed. Essentially, these criteria were selected by estimating the gamma dose that might be delivered by the pessing of a radioactive cloud. Since there are better

methods of estimating gamma doses and since there are uncertainties in evaluating the hasards of such transitory air concentrations as experienced from fallout, and since the preponderance of evidence from past unclear test series indicates that the external gamma hasard is more limiting than the inhalation one, it was recommended in the January 20, 1954 meeting to strike from the record the past recommendations for maximum permissible air concentrations. It was recommended that an air monitoring program be continued for documentary purposes and for whatever value the data might have in the future when new analyzes might to made in the light of additional knowledge.

· ·

` }

يترابع م

A further discussion of the single particle problem may be made. In arriving at the recommendation "... nor is it desirable that any individual particle in this size range have activity greater than  $10^{-2}$  microcuries calculated four hours after the blast" a computation was made that the average radiation dose from such a particle to a sphere one-half a millimeter in radius would be 365 reps." However, the conclusions may be misleading. In the case of a single particle, relatively large doses are delivered near the particle and small doses at a greater distance. Appendix L suggests one possible estimate of this phenomenon. The parameters involved here are many and difficult to evaluate. For example, how long will a particle remain in one place in the lung and what dose will be delivered during that time?

It has been suggested<sup>es</sup> that in the upper respiratory passage 20-micron diameter particles are the upper limit of size for deposition and that "Cilia

.......

<sup>\*</sup>Minutes, Meeting of Committee to Consider the Feasibility and Conditions For a Preliminary Radiologic Safety Shot for Jangle, L.A.S.L. Nay 21 and 22, 1951. \*#HW-33068. A status report. Sept. 15, 2051. (CONFIDENTIAL).

sweep 4 to 6 cycles per second. The probability of a particle remaining within one millimeter some for as much as one-half hour appears to be vanishing small. ... Protection will also be provided by the mucus lining which is itself removed several times an hour." Accepting the estimates above and the methods illustrated in appendices E and F, it may be computed that about  $\stackrel{2}{\gg}$  reps would be delivered to the surface of an imaginary stationary sphere one millimeter in radius by a 20 micron particle ( $\pm$  0.5 microcuries). Larger doses will be delivered closer to the particle but with the relatively rapid movement of the particle, it does not appear that large doses will be delivered to a great number of cells. Multiple exposures might occur from additional particles but again this risk is difficult to evaluate.

#### Lood

Considerable effort is being directed toward the study of contamination of food from fallout. One element of major concern is  $Sr^{90}$ . It has been estimated that if one ware to subsist entirely on food grown from ref 2iein fallout, one microcurie per square foot of  $Sr^{90}$ , (1,000 pounds of calcium per acre), that over a period of years there would accumulate in the human skeleton a body burden of one microcurbe of  $Sr^{90}$ . Soils taken from about in miles from the Newada Proving Grounds, now show a concentration

microcuries par square foot.

÷. ≠

\*\*\*

-1

of

LE AS

\*Irivate communication, I. A. Dean, U. 3. Department of Agriculture, Beltsville, Maryland, April 23, 3954

A STREET BY

(Although not of direct concern to the Mevada Proving Grounds, it is of interest to note that soils were collected from the Marshall Islands following the fallout in early March 1954. Appendix // summarises these data.)

Internet WH

A recent report<sup>®</sup> strongly suggests that contamination of leaf surfaces followed by either direct consumption or intake by way of milk is far more an important pathway of intake than by the soil-plant-animal symbe, at least for those times of year when plants may be in a state of growth to collect the fallout. Further analysis is being planned.

This same report" raises a new problem. Based on stated assumptions, the data presented indicates that doses to the thyroid from iodine radioisotopes may be a greater hasard than 3: Further, the report suggests that for these bines of your them intuke of fellout material may come by way of idely surfaces, the dose to the thyroid (delivered in a few weeks) may be many times prester than the theoretical maximum external dose. Additional evaluation will be given this problem.

Report on Gabriel, USAEC. Division of Biology and Medicine, Washington, D.C. July 1984 (1968)

----



### Boutine Rediction Exposures

The Micle-body same affective biological dose for eff-site populations should not except 3.9 rogateens over a period of one year. This total dose hav result from a single superne or series of exposures.

If integrations of dose rate readings are used in estimating the effective biological doses, then table V may be used.

#### TABLE !

VA

3/2

Miltiplication Factor Effective Biological Dose

Maximum theoretical radiation dose from time of failout to 15 days later.

<u>і</u>. -

TOTALE \_ ALLAND

Maximum theoretical radiation dose from 15th day to one year.

TOTAL: (best estimate of effective biological dome)

If film badges or dope meters are worn on personnel and the evidence of their use supports the view that the readings are a reasonably scourate account of the rediation dose received, than the values recorded on the film badge may be accepted with a correction factor of 3/4 to account for the difference between the dose received by the film bedges or dosimeters (including backscatter) and that received at a tissue depth of five contineters.

الاتر فالمغر

1953 the following recommendation was made,

"It is recommended, and found to be in conformity with the present principles of determining permissi is exposure limits, that for test operation personel the total body gamma exposure be limited to 3.9r in thirteen weeks, and that the same figure to applied to the off-site communities with the further qualification in the latter case that this is the total figure for the year. In general, this implies a single test sories in any given year."

Or the asis of t is recommendated and the reasoning discussed under Policy I, the criteria for estimating the whole body game effective biological dose are summarised in Talle V. It will be noted that the biological factor included under Policy D is emitted in Policy V. In the first case we are dealing with relatively fig: doses that way require energency measures with their attendant measure. It is a situation where one wishes to estimate all pertinent factors is evaluating radiation where one wishes to estimate all pertinent factors is evaluating radiation doses even though the may not be known with preciserness, before recommending an emergency action that may produce greater problems. In the case of Policy V one is concerned with relatively lower doses during rootine operations. It would be difficult to justify on the one hand the proposition that workly doses for general populations may be integrated and take lin a single exposure without penalty and on the other hand that a civen dose received over a period of a year may be administratively reduced because of viological repair. Therefore, the viological factor is emitted.

**Grace** 

- 0

DISTAN

The general effects of backscattering on measured radiation doses are fairly well established. Further, knowledge of depth (tissue)-dose surves has advanced to a quantitative state." Thus, there seems to be little doubt that a film badge or dosimeter worn on the purson will over-estimate the gamma radiation dose delivered at a depth of five continueters (assumed depth of blood forming organs). A major factor in determining this difference is the quality of radiation under consideration. One report\*\* dealing explicitly with radiation in a fallout field suggests a factor of about 3/4.

 Permissible Dose From External Sources of Ionising Radiation. Maticnal Bureau of Standards Handbook 59. September 24, 1954.
 W/T-El4. <u>Effective Energy of Residual Campa Radiation</u>. January 1954. CONFIDENTIAL.

≜\_ --**1** 

6,9



#### APPENDIX A

# Servie Estimation of Gamma Radiation Doces Saved by Remaining Indoors

#### KANFLI I

Assume 1	Time of fellout = 5/3 hrs Dose rate at 5/3 = 667 mr/hr	
Then:	Theoretical maximum dose from time of	•

\_\_\_\_\_

VEL-

Q41 4	fallout to three hours late:	1.30 r
	Savings by remaining indoors for three hours	0.65 r
	One year effective biological dose if	

personnel did <u>not</u> remain indoors during the three hours (based on same assumptions contained in section on evacuation ~5.0 r

Fer cent of one year effective biological dose saved by remaining indoors for the three hours -13%

#### EXAMPLE II

Time of fallout = H/3 hrs Dose rate at H/3 = 667 mr/1s	
Theoretical maximum dose from time of fallout to eight hours later	2. <b>3</b> 0 r
Savings by remaining indoors for eight bours	1.15 r
One year effective biological dose if personnel did <u>not</u> remain indoors during the eight hours (based on same assumptions contained in section on presention)	<u>ک</u> ا
Fer cant of one year effective biolog- ical dose saved by remaining indoors	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
	Time of fallout = H/3 hrs Dose rate at H/3 = 667 mr/hr Theoretical maximum dose from time of fallout to eight hours later Savings by remaining indoors for eight hours One year effective biological dose if personnel did <u>not</u> remain indoors during the eight hours (based on same assumptions contained in section on evacuation) For cont of one year effective biolog- ical dose saved by remaining indoors for the eight hours



# APPEN II E

#### CALCULATI NE

# Of Bota Ione Rate at Pepth of Seven Killigrams per Square Condingtor From a Tour Jourie.

Assume: 1.5 Key Peta (Bean energy = 0.5 Key) p = 10 cm<sup>2</sup>/gm
(Dis ass mes a single mass a sorption coefficient.) 1 = 10 e<sup>-µx</sup> were so muster of tetas at surface per cm2 per sec. ¥ = \* \* \* depth x n = mass a sorption coefficient x z distance (herth) under consideration  $\mathbf{R} = \underline{\mathbf{n}} \mathbf{x}_0 \mathbf{e}^{-\mathbf{n}} \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{y}}$ worren Roz dose rate at deuto 3 l I press sourg. of letse B = (10) No a- (10) (0.007) (0.5) - 7.3 EO B+ V/ET-Sec.  $B_0 = 3.7 \pm 104$ where C = activit in microcuries per cm<sup>2</sup> R = 8.65 x 1040 Her/ge-sec.  $E = (1.39 \times 10^{-1})(C) ergs/gm-sec$ 25.4 C reps / & 5.0 C made / / or

Example Assume:  $C = 80 \text{ pc/cm}^2$  (leta) F = 5.4.0 where:  $R = does rate at depth 7 ms/cm^2 in reps$  $<math>C = attivity/cm^2 in gc$  = (5.4)(80) = 137 reps/hror = 1000 rads/orCorparison eta Loss Mate (legn/r) at 7 Ms/cm<sup>2</sup> to Causa Dose Pate Mean per the Staffed to Steld at 5 ree

CT CSTO

1.1

Assume: 30  $\gamma c/cm^2$  (seta), equivalence 1 megacarte/set? ((arms))  $\frac{132}{+1} \approx 100$ 

Frot A ove the Distant

SOLINY

(

#### APPERDIX C

(Lorandia B)

-9.5x

# Experimental Data Versus Theoretical Calculations in Estimating Beta Doses

The following data are abstracted from experimental results, wherein a thin  $P^{32}$  source prepared by spaking a filter paper in a solution of phosphetes, allowing it to dry, and then measuring surface dose rates with a surface ionization chamber.\*

> 9.6 mg/cm2 Thickness of source 77.0 pc/cm<sup>2</sup> Activity of source 0.127 rep/sec Surface dose rate reps/hr 457

Dosage rate at depth of x centimeters

A. <u>Theoretically</u>

. . .

Using the equation from Appendix B

 $\mathbf{R} = \underline{\mu r_{oe}}^{\mathbf{r}_{oe}} \quad (\text{for } \mathbf{J}^{32})$ 

Substituting above data:  $R = \frac{9.5 \text{ Hoe}^{-(9.5)(0.007)}, 69}{2}$ = 7.0 C reps/hr Let C = 77 100/cm<sup>2</sup> Then R = 70 x 77 = 539 reps/hr at 7 mg/er<sup>2</sup> (F<sup>32</sup>)

Effects of External Beta Radiation, Stale, Farmond E. LoGrav-Hill Book Company. 1951.

B. Experimentally

The pai

 $R = 457 e^{-(9.5)(0.007)}$ = 427 reps/hr at 7 mg/cm<sup>2</sup> (P<sup>32</sup>)

The two above approaches are within 26% of each other. If one extrapolates the experimental data from a source of 9.6 mg/oz<sup>2</sup> to a thin source (for comparative purposes) the two methods are within 20%.

1. -



#### CALC'LATIONS

(enter of

1 11

#### Cama Dose Rate from a Field Six Inches in Radius and Chamber Four Inc.es & ove Surface

Dose rate of gamma from a point source  $r \neq 60F \quad \text{where} \quad r = r/hr$  C = activity is curies per square footF = average energy of gammas (Kev) $<math display="block">r = 60F 2 \quad \frac{K^{2}x}{hZ/x^{2}}$   $D = 19.6 \quad \text{is } \ln \frac{hZ/x^{2}}{hZ}$   $\frac{Fxamplei}{Leti \quad x = 1/2 \text{ foet}}$   $C = lo pc/cm^{2} \text{ or } 3.6 \times 10^{-2} \text{ o/r}^{2} \quad (gamma)$  F = 0.7 kev h = 1/3 foct  $E = (1 \cdot f)(3.6 \times 10^{-2})(0.7) \quad \text{is } \frac{(1/2)^{2}}{(1/3)^{2}}$ 

Comparison Gama Dose Fates From Infinite Plane at a Scight of Three Fest A ove the Ground to Area of Six Indu Saudus and Seight of Four Inches.

> Assume: 1 megacurie/mile<sup>2</sup>  $(3.6 \times 10^{-2} \text{ o}/\text{ft}^2)$

$$\frac{h_{-1}}{0.50} \frac{r/r}{r/r} = 7.3$$

----

CREEKS

ng **6**. St

#### APPENDIX E

# Estimate of Dose Delivered By A Sinels Particle of Fallout Material

Assume: a. Point source b. 0.5 New average bets energy c. /u = 10 en<sup>2</sup>/gm d. Rate of decay follows t<sup>-1.2</sup>

The dose delivered at the surface of an imaginary sphere at distance. R from a point source.

(1)  $\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{R}) = \frac{\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{R}}^{*}}{4\pi \mathbf{R}^{2}} \cdot \frac{\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{R}}^{*}}{\mathbf{gram}}$ where:  $\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{R}) = \text{dose delivered at the surface of an imaginary sphere at distance R$ imaginary sphere at distance R $<math>\mathbf{E} = \text{average emergy of beta particles}$ Substituting:  $\mathbf{n} = 10 \text{ cm}^{2}/\text{gram}$   $\mathbf{R} = 0.5 \text{ MeV},$ Then: (2)  $\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{R}) = 0.377$   $\frac{\mathbf{c}^{-10^{\frac{1}{2}}}}{\mathbf{p}^{2}}$   $\frac{\text{MeV}}{\text{gm-disintegret}}$   $\mathbf{r} = 0.5 \text{ MeV},$ Then: (2)  $\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{R}) = 0.377$   $\frac{\mathbf{c}^{-10^{\frac{1}{2}}}}{\mathbf{p}^{2}}$   $\frac{\text{MeV}}{\text{gm-disintegret}}$ 

(3.b.) 
$$K(R) = 10^{-6}$$
 10<sup>-6</sup> -10<sup>-6</sup> rilinads  
R<sup>-</sup> disintegration

Equation (3.a.) is plotted on the stached graph.

FOR FISSION PRODUCTS,

Light ...

4

where: A<sub>a</sub> = disintegrations per unit time at time "a" after detonati A<sub>1</sub> = disintegrations per unit time at one unit of time after detonation

"Rossi, H.H. and Fills, R.H. "Distribute: Beta Sources in Uniformly Absorbing Vedia" Nucleonic: July 1950, V. T. No. 5

C7.58 -02 26

1.5.2

Integrating equation (2),

$$(6.a.) \quad C = 5 h_1 \ (s_a^{-0.2} - s_b^{-0.2})$$

and

(6.b.) 
$$C = 5 \lambda_{a} t_{a}^{-1.2} (t_{a}^{-0.2} t_{b}^{-0.2})$$

Where: () = total number of disintegrations from time "a" to "b" t<sub>a</sub> = time after detonation t<sub>b</sub> = later time after detonation.

When the is infinite,

 $(7) \quad C_{\infty} = 5 A_{1} t$ 

DISC.

By the use of equations 3.a. or 3.b. and 6.b. one may compute an estimated dose at the surface of an imaginary sphere.

Of course, the problem is the determination of "t<sub>a</sub>" and "t<sub>b</sub>", i.e., how long after detonation will a radioactive particle appear in the lungs and how long will the particle remain in place. The first time (t<sub>a</sub>) is much easier to estimate than the later  $\{t_{b}\}_{i=1}^{n}$ 

(See text page 20)

CitTZSEP - warre PAPLN NU 340

Dose

EUGENE DIETZGEN CO.

- 6

10

5.1

- CE Î

......

1.23

2

ine less

.

0

	8					<b>† †</b>	11	1			t		1		•				1			1		• •	I	<b>†</b> †	+		+	11	+		+		#	F
	7		1	-							E	81	'n	A	i B	D	po	sI	5	E	ĻI	V	R	Ð	1	T	Ħ	z	31	H	-	CI				
	5							ţ			O	7	41	I,I	T.	40	ĘN	AF	t.	5	FH	E	U,	B	۲.	<b>•</b> -	<b>F</b> A	p	¢.	0	Ţ	Y Ş		-		Ł
	4												Ì	1	+	++	7	A.	4	74	Ļ	P	R	Ţ	cr	₽∔			t.	Ħ	1	1	1	+		
								ł			1							÷				-		1	-				ŧ		+			-	+-	$\vdash$
	3			Ť		Ħ				ŧ	İ	Ì	Ţ		Ι			Ť	İ		ł	T	ł	Ŧţ	ł	Ī			ţ	İ						
			T			F		Ī			Ĩ	Ī	1		Ţ						2				1				ļ							
	2				ii	i		1			1	1					1		ŝ											::		-	: :	:	:	
			F					÷				÷			÷	1 () 1	::		•				*		·				ł						÷	
			ł	LC	1	7					:	į			1			÷,				•				ļ			Į		-	1	+ -	-	: [	
-	•	++-	-	ΓĒ	1	Ħ	ŢĮ	-	H	11	1	1	1.		1		Ŧ	1	1	1	1	1	1	ŧŧ	1	ļŢ	11	Π	ł	H	Ŧ	Π	11	+	Ħ	
	87							1	ļ	11	1				+ +			Ī	1		-	1		t	+-	11		H	1-					İ	t	
	0	++			+	$\frac{1}{1}$	ł					-	1				1 1			Ŧ	÷				1		-	I +			-		+	+	$\frac{1}{1}$	_
	5	11	ţ.			ŤŤ	İ	Ì		11	Ī	İ	1				ÌI		1	Ī	1		1	Ì	-	11	11	İİ	1	Ħ	İ.	11	ŧ,	Ţ	İİ	
	4				Ĺİ.	t		ł			1		Ť		÷		1 1				ŝ		1	+ +	-				1-		-			-		
	з				¥.	H		-					1		-			-					÷		-								+	1		
			_				+	ł			-		-		-			-	•	:				•	-				-	ŧŧ	:			:		
	2		ī			Ł		÷		1	-	t	1		1		: :					1			-			-			-					
					::	÷ Ŋ		÷				1			-	•						8						: :	•	::	÷.	:	1	÷	: :	
1				1	-	3	Y	÷				ļ	÷		-		- 1												÷	: :	•	1				
1	1	Hi		F.				i.	T I	11	T	i	Ţ	i	Ŧ		I I	1	ł			1		1	Į	11	: 1	11	1	r. Ft	-1-		1	T	1 1	
4	9	++-			H	Ħ					Ŧ		Ţ		-		+								-	H	-		T		-		+		H	
	7				11	+ +					+	1	:	•••			11										1	1		† †		Ì	1	1		
ļ	5				İİ		11	1		÷ -	i.	1	÷	r I	i	1	11	Í	ŧ.	1	1	i		11	ţ		1		÷-	T T	- 1-	- +	Ŧ	÷	11	_
t a	4	11			H		1	1					Ţ		*				e.	: * : :		• •			1	ţţ	÷		1-		-ţ	1		+		-
6				11		İİ		t	:		N	. 1	i		والإلاحود	1	1							е н к к	-	÷.				t	Ī	1				
t a	5				•	Ì						N	2		1	i, t		8							;	. :					-			4	1	
e e	12				•				1	1		Parkey.	ľ															8			÷			i.	-	
目モ	}							ł	i		i i		÷.	1	i				1.11			1.14				÷,				÷.		1				
•						4			į.		ł		ł		5			1	i i			, -				, é								÷		
Ð	,			Ļ	2			1	1						1			t F	ł	, i									1	с. 5 т. т.			<u>.</u>		1	1.1
03	9			-	÷÷ ÷÷	1			1		Ĩ		1	ŧŻ.			N		2 2	, e		÷							1 1 1		1			ļ		
F-4	7			-	÷÷	-							1	Г  	· · · · ·											1			~		-		- - -	-		
	е т	L			1.									l (	1	ί e				5	_							1		1						i i
	,			1:	• •						÷		Ì	1	1	1 1 1 1		į		3		Ċ				• •		-	•		-	1	1 1 1 1	-		ĺ
	~		÷	:	~ ~	1			3		1	1	1		-					1								i.		1	-		`я 1.,			
	Э	р. н. С	•••		, i	1			į.,			. }	-	ŧ.	1				i.									x 3		1 1		1.00	i i i i i i		ŧ	
									: - I		ļ		-									: .							ł			: İ	1			
	~			•					i i		1		1		i				1	2						1	5							1	1.1	
		× ×		•					1.1				i.																-	2 7						•
			1		) =	5			. {		1		1						ţ		,					i i			~							
	.,			1	 						1		l.		1	11			ĺ.	٢.		i .		2	1			н 1 1	1			N			1	Ĺ
				1					1				1.00			ÌÌ				, ž	1	1		i				1 3	a			1			_	Ļ.
	6			÷,	: • ;			- 1 							1	t					-										1		1	1		
	4			•					ç ç	8		1. X	1	х т 1 з			4	÷	Ĩ					:					- Barreter	: ;		1		i i		-
	-4	1	* 8 - 0			on a sugar			i i			: }		• •	8			1	-	; `	ì				4							1 Contraction of the				-
	3	Į.,			с. т т				1			1		: 1 : 1														3				1				\$

C.C.

11 ÷

> : :

• -----

-----

Ì

:

•

: :

> •••••• •

II

1

+

1 1

----ł

.......

...... 

ŝ

-

..... .....

:....

.....

1

. + + + + - -

. . . .

.....

11 1

....

1

-----

..........

ī

1

• ÷ ÷

------+

-----------

-----

• •••••

1 T

-----

Ħ +

> Ţ 1

. .

0.3

.....

-

1

1

10

30E1843

#### APPENDIX ?

# Estimate of Beta Poses From A Single Farticle in the SKin. (Fossible Production of Recognizable Erytheme)

Let:  $t_a = 3$  hours (time particle is deposited on skin)  $t_b = 27$  hours (time particle is removed)

Assume: 200(reps = total dose required in one day to produce recognisable crythena 0.1 cm = radius of ineginary sphere within which cells must receive 2000 reps or larger.

According to Appendix E, 2.5 x  $10^{-7}$  reps, disintegration is delivered to surface of imaginary sphere 0.1 centimeter in radius

$$\frac{2.0 \times 10^3}{2.5 \times 10^{-7}} = 8 \times 10^9 \text{ disintegrations required}$$

$$C = 5\lambda_a t_a^{1/2} / t_a^{-1/2} - t_b^{-1/2} / t_c^{-1/2}$$

$$8 \times 10^9 = 5\lambda_a 31.2 / 3^{-0.2} - 2 / t_c^{-1/2} / t_c^{-1/2}$$

$$A_a = 1.55 \times 10^9 \text{ d/hr} \text{ or about}$$

$$12.0 \text{ po at } H \neq 3 \text{ hours}$$

Of course, the radius of the imaginary where selected will materially \_ affect the calculations. For example, a radius of 0.2 cm would require a particle of about 120 microcurtes at 5/3 hours to give the same dose.

45

Atha

-root ALD



# APPENTX O

# Estimate of Gamma Dose Fate at Four Inches From a Single Particle of Fellout Material

- ż

an far an

ý

- Assume: a. Assume gamma emergy is approximated by comparison with radium. b. A particle of 150 microcuries of beta activity or 75 microcuries of gamma activity. (See Appendix 3.)
- I = <u>2.4 ra</u> d<sup>2</sup> where: I = gamme dose rate (r/hr) d = centiasters
- Let:  $ma = 7.5 \times 10^{-2}$  $d = 10 \ cm$
- $I = \frac{(8.4)(7.5 \times 10^{-2})}{10^2}$ 
  - 20.063 mr/hr gamma dose sate at four inches

DOE ALD



#### APPENDIX H

Data and Calculations on Dasse from Single Facticles of Buthesium and of Fallout Faterial

- A. Comparison of beta energies from Ru<sup>103</sup> and Pu<sup>106</sup> stature to that from fission products.
  - Ru 103 0.3 Her beta (T = 42d) Ru 106 ~0.03 Her beta (T = 1.0y) Rh 106 3.55 Her beta (T = 30 m.)

Assume: Ru<sup>103</sup>/Ru<sup>106</sup> ratio of 0.75\*

#### To estimate mean average energy of betas from mixtures

Farta	Isotope	Variant Fnerry Beta	Clahted Maximus Energy Beter
1.0 1.33 1.33	Ru103 Ru106 Rb106	<b>೧.3</b> ೧ <b>.03</b> අ.55	0.3 0.04 <u>4.73</u> 5.07

<u>5.07</u> = 1.4 3.66

TOE ALC

Average energy  $\sim 0.5$  or roughly equivalent to thet account for fission products.

(Of course, the average energy of the betas is not the sole consideration. Whereas the average maximum energy of beta from fission products is assumed to be shout 1.5 MeV, the more energetic 3.55 MeV letas from Shlog will give higher does at greater depths.)

\*All of the data contained herein on rhethenium is contained in: HW-33068. A status report. Sept. 15, 1954.



B. Data on doses and effects from single particles of Rul03 and Rul06

			Þ
1.	Sise of particle:	هر تنه	120 12
	Activity of particles	1.1 🗩	11 /10
	Dose rate to 7 mg/cm 1	6,600 rads/hr	27,500 rads/hr
	Time dose delivered:	· ····································	~6 days

، باشر

2.	<u>Survey Dose Rate</u> (mrads/hr)•	Total Skin Dose (rads)*	Effects
	4 <b>00</b>	500,000	Sone visible
	750		Reddening
	2,500	~2,000,000	Desquametion
	11,000	~6,000,000	Tissue Destruction
	21,000	~7,000,000	Tissue Destruction 2 cm across 8 mm deep

- Ċ. 750 2 8.3 no estimated activity of particle producing reddening 90 effect in about 144 hours. The estimated size is 100 microns.
- D. (8.3)(144) = 1200 µe total activity accounted for in the 144 hours that the dose was delivered. (Assuming constant activity during the 144 hours)

\* 90 mrads/hr 2 1 me \*\* "total dose refers to the hot spot directly below the particle, and is valid only as to order of magnitude."

\* :

E. What specific activity of a particle of fallout would be required to deliver the same dose in the same length of time?

The answer to this question depends upon the time after detonation that the particle comes in contact with the skin. Assuming this time to be H-3 hours, the specific activity would have to be about 150 pc for the same size particle.

Since the particle may be washed off before six days have expired, one may consider the problem another way. What must be the specific activity of a particle at B/3 hours to deliver this dose in the next 24 hours?

According to Strandquist (p.  $\underline{\mathbb{R}}$ ), only about 70% of a six day dose need be delivered in one day to produce the same effect (arythema). Accepting this, then a particle with about the same activity (160 me) at H $\neq$  3 hours would be sufficient to deliver an erythema dose in one day.



Dor pri

1

- Internet
The following data are reported for single particles collected during Upshot-Knothole\* and Tumbler-"napper\*\*.

size of Protists	Activity Extrapolated	
(n)	() () () () () () () () () () () () () (	Distance from Ground Zere (miles)
	3,000	45
	200	130
1,626 x 924	900	10
9 <b>19</b>	480	11
723	350	14.7
714	400	10.
555	140	14.7
387	250	14.7
234	47	14.7
115	4,2	95
81	3.0	14.7
20	0.5	

1

÷

It is not intended have to imply these are the maximum specific activities per particle that existed or could exist. The data at 14.7 miles are reported to show the wide range of specific activity that may occur at one locality.

"WT-811. "Distribution and Characteristics of Fallout at Distances Greater than 10 Miles from Ground Zero, March and April 1953", Rainey, C.T., et al. (SECRET) and LA-1685. ""UCLA-243. "Preliminary Study of Off-site Airborne Radioactive Materials, Nevada Proving Grounds". February 1953 (SECRET) and LA-1685. """Data from estimations bjased on radioautograph sethods

. 20

20611-11

not "



#### AFTENDIA 1

### Estimation of Ratio of Surface Beta Dose Rate to Gamma Dose Rate at Four Inches from an Object Two Inches in Radius

Gamma dose rate readings at four inches distance from a plane surface two inches in radius, is 1/40 that from an equally contaminated infinite field. (See Appendix D.)

Assume an object having a two-inch radius is contaminated on all sides (but not necessarily uniformly) so that the gamma dose rate is  $l_2^4$  times that from an equally contaminated surface whose area is equivalent to the major cross-sectional plane of the object. The fraction given in paragraph one now becomes about 1/27. Further assume a 100/1 ratio for beta surface dose rate to gamma dose rate at three feet above an infinite field. Then, the beta surface dose rate to gamma dose rate at four inches will be 2700/1.

DOLAD

	۱ 9	10	11		11	ŧŧĪ		l i i	[		11	Ţ			. <b>* .</b>	1.1	4	• <u> </u>		11		Ţ		T	111	I	111	
	8		+				1		- 11 - 11	+ ;			•	ť.						+		-		+		+		
	6		1	1		¢q	FÇ.E.	TE	ATI	0¥	ş. (	¢₽	FL	LL	-QU		AT	FR	IAI	1	F	H	R					I
	5		-			- + -		Ì		4	•				: : •••	1		E A	DA E		trat l	-						_
	4		-	_					-	4. 		+ - † -;-		н 	- <b>5</b> A :]:	INI	- <b>G</b>	EO:	nui		UT'					-		
	3			-	• • •		1										HA	Y	19	1	95							
							-					I		ŧ	TU	UT UT	ATD.	4.0	TR 1	P.a	P		-				: :::	· .
	2				<u>.</u>			1 ∔						3	. <b>* *</b>			Ť	MET	'EH	.)							
			ŧ÷.	-				i -	. 1				÷				•••					-		-				Ξ
								•		• •	* * * *	: ŧ						Ţ				-						
			1			1.		•		::		: :				1:1	::	: :		11	• • •	1	<u>++</u> +;					
	9	1	1-			1.		₹ ₹	- + + 1 +		-	1	•	* * 		<b>†</b>				1:						-+		
	8 7	<u> </u>				1.		•				1				1 -	÷.	• • • •	171	-	-			-	÷	+		
	6					+	•					. L	•	<del>. 1</del>		<u> </u>	÷	-						-			: 	-
	5	1		-	• ••)	ŧ	÷			1.5	•											-				- 1		
	4	+	+					1				•				<b> </b>	• •	Ŧ						_				-
	3	1				1	~	1 . 1				н Т. 11. 11.					- : 	- 										
			-			1.		Į		•		;											: 					_
	2	<u> </u>				Į.		•	-			-			-			<u>.</u>			::							
						ŧ.											:::	: :										
			• • • •			Ŧ									1		•••	•				+	• • •		•••			
	1	1.	-l			Ŧ.							÷	1				•							•••			: - <del>-</del>
	5 8		<b>,</b>			Ŧ					: (		2 2 - 5					•			-	÷						
	1	+	-			Ŧ								1		Ì		• •	11	-								
	۲	+	i				1							1				• •								<u> </u>	:	
ch.	• <u> </u>		1						; v						-		1	* * 1	- 			÷						
the in	٤		-											ł.			-	•			$\frac{1}{2}$							
- 10 - 10	3	+	•			ł					1						×į	× '	-	-	÷ -	<u>i</u>						
×Į			Ì	• * *			с.		• *		<u>.</u>						r	÷							2.			
Cycle	2	+				Ŧ		•					;			÷		- 7	$\pm$		÷							
kc, <b>k</b>			ļ			4			!		i.								ŧ								-	
id her bet		ŀ	:		×	Ŧ			, į		з"х 2		r.				1		1		t.	1	1					
l offe	I	<u> </u> 1(	f <sup>2</sup>			ļ	1 - 1						ł					i					·			• • •		
- E-2	9 <u> </u>	1			ere Frig	Ī	•					1						. 1		+	i t	i.						
-	7 <u> </u>	+	-		-	ŧ								1					1			- 		•••	-	<b>†</b>		·
	° 5					T						11.		Ì.			ŝ	1 1 1 3										
	4		Ŧ		-	J													•				•				-	
		1			н 1				1						1 .					· · · ·							i.	
	3	<b></b>			r							1									1		Ϊ.	· · ·	<b>L</b>			
		÷		•			-							÷.		1.					11				-			
			1								- 											Ì						
										111	1 1 1 -							1	ι.			-			•			
150	1		~			Í	, i				1 :	Í		j.				1 -	1.	1		1		1	ĩ			
DE PL	I	11	-3		<u>ц.</u> О	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$			<u>``</u>	- 9	1		ی الانت کار است س			<u>_</u>			1	_ ف	1		•		
/					5	ŝ.	- 124)		1.	ار را		È			j. Podr		1	L	1	( <sup>1</sup> )		- C.	×.	4-			t	1
					د. مەلىرە سىكى يەنىيە	-						ъ.	12		Inti drindiniu c f d		22	alitica de seis										-

(

#### APPENDIX K

# Method Used in Estimating Doses to the Lungs from Inhalation of Fallout Material

#### Assumptions

The following assumptions are made in estimating radiation doses to the lungs.

- A. Twenty per cent of the inhaled activity is deposited.
- B. There will be no elimination of particles during their radioactive lifetimes. There is uncertainty as to the biological half-life of particles in the lungs. In those communities showing the highest concentrations of fallout, the peak of airborne material (which accounted for the greatest percentage of total fallout) occurred only a few hours after detonation. If one assumes a radiological decay according to  $t^{-1.2}$  and a biological half-life of say 30 days, tho omission of biological half-life would not affect seriously the computed total dose.

1

- C. All of the activity is associated with particles in the respirable range of sizes. Past date from cascade impactors indicate that about 90% of the activity is associated with particles 5 microns or less in the communities surrounding the Hervada Proving Grounds.
- D. The lungs are uniformly irradiated.
- E. The weight of the lungs is 900 grass.
- F. An individual inhales 20 cubic maters per 24 hours.



ł

A 1

G. The average beta energy is 0.5 Hev.

H. The gamma dose is negligible compared to the beta dose.

Data At St. George. Utab

I.	<u> II.</u>	III.	IT_	Y.	<u>YI.</u>			
(Jr****	(m <sup>4</sup> ) <u>Duration</u>	<u>Approximate</u> <u>Midroint</u> after Detonation		Col.II times Col.IV times 0.834)	De Retained (Col.V times 0.2)			
0610 - 1130	4.3 hrs	3 bre	4.17	15.	3.0			
1130 - 1445	3.2 hrs	8 ars	2,38	6,3	1,26			
1445 - 1845	4.0 hrs	11.5 hrs	6.3 x 10 <sup>-1</sup>	2.1	0.63			
1845 - 2300	4.2 hrs	15.8 hrs	4.4 1 10-2	1,50	0.5			
2 <b>300 - 0635</b>	7.5 hrs	21.5 hrs	1.4 = 10-2	ാ <b>.ം87</b>	0.02			
0635 - 1835	12.0 hrs	31.5 hrs	1.4 = .0-2	0.239	0.03			

#Assumed

# Sample Calculations

$$D = 54t_{a}^{1.2} \int_{t_{a}}^{t_{a}^{-0.2}} - t_{b}^{-0.2} \int_{-0.2}^{t_{a}^{-0.2}}$$
  
Let:  $t_{a} = 3$  hours  
 $t_{b}^{a} = 2184$  hours (13 weeks)  
 $A^{b} = 3$  ns  
$$D = (5)(3 \ge 2.22 \ge 10^{6} \ge 60)(3)^{1.2} \int_{-0.2}^{t_{a}^{-0.2}} - 2184^{-0} \int_{-0.2}^{2}$$
  
 $= 4.4 \ge 10^{9}$  disintegrations from 3rd hour to 13th week.

Assume:  $\mathbf{E} = 0.5$  HeV  $(4.4 \times 10^9)(0.5)(1.6 \times 10^{-6})(\frac{1}{900})(\frac{1}{95}) = 4.2 \times 10^{-2}$  reps 42 streps

Total Lung Dose for 13 weeks: -- 125 mmeps



DOS'NO

# PPENDIA L

# Estimate of Dose at Surface of Imaginary Subere One Hillimeter in Radius

Assume: Average activity for 30 minutes is 0.5 me at R/3 to R/3 hours (See reference Appendix H.) Then: 0.5 x 2.2 x 10<sup>6</sup> x 30 = 3.3 x 10<sup>7</sup> disintegrations/30 minutes. At surface of imaginary sphere 1.0 mm in radius the dose rate is 2.52 x 10<sup>-4</sup>  $\frac{\text{Erebs}}{\text{disintegration}}$  (See Appendix E) (3.3 x 10<sup>7</sup>)(2.52 x 10<sup>-4</sup>) = 8.3 x 10<sup>3</sup> mreps/30 minutes. = 8 x [eps/30 minutes



4.4

ŧ

14





# Estimate of Sr In Soils of Pacific Islands

	1	11	III
Location	Total Activity (uc/ft2) (Keesured)	Gr <sup>89</sup> -Gr90 (uc/ft <sup>2</sup> ) (Heasured)	Rough Estimate External Infinity , Gamma Dose (roentgens)
Likiep <sup>e</sup>	1.2320-1	8.7x10-1	4
Jemo	3.0x10-2	1.210-4	4
Alluk	ان در الاست میں میں ا	3.8x10-2	12
Mejuit	ing sig Lake of Mark	2-8x10-1	8
Ormed	3.220-1	1,1210	4
Kaven	1.6x10 <sup>-1</sup>	4.2x10-)	2
Wathe	7.8x10-2	1.3x10 <sup>-1</sup>	0.5
Rongelap (Northern)	č <b>≙</b> 0	11 - <b>14</b>	500
(Central)	45.0	5.5x10 <sup>-1</sup>	500
(1 mi.W.Village)	<u>*</u> 0	5.3-13-1	500
(So. Cistern)	4.5	9.2210-1	500
Eriirippu	230,0	12 5	4,,500
Enivetok	50.0	32	2,500
Kabelle	200.0	4.9	3,300
Utirik	53.0	9.5x10-2	60
Bikar	3.3	4.4210-1	250
Enivetak	<i>:</i> * <b>.</b> ≎	6.6210-1	400
3110	6.1x10 <sup>-1</sup>	9. 6x10**	170
Seen**			7,800

1



DOF/ALD