

T



UNC / TARED

RESIDUAL CONTAMINATION OF PLANTS, ANIMALS, SOIL, AND WATER OF THE MARSHALL ISLANDS ONE YEAR FOLLOWING OPERATION CASTLE FALL-OUT

Research and Development Report USNRDL-454 NS 081-001 NM 006-015.04

12 August 1955

by

R. W. Rinehart S. H. Cohn J. A. Seiler W. H. Shipman J. K. Gong

Effects of Atomic Weapons

Technical Objective AW-7

Chemical Technology Division E.R. Tompkins, Head

Biological and Medical Sciences Division Captain A. R. Behnke, (MC) USN, Acting Head

Scientific Director P.C. Tompkins Commanding Officer and Director Captain R. A. Hinners, USN

U. S. NAVAL RADIOLOGICAL DEFENSE LABORATORY San Francisco 24, California

DOE ARCHIVES 02 370

ABSTRACT

The amount and distribution of radioactive material remaining on several atolls and incorporated into the flora and fauna of the Marshall Islands was determined one year after their contamination by fallout from the March 1, 1954 nuclear detonation of Operation Castle.

Significant amounts of radioactive contamination were found in animals, food plants, water and soil samples. The highest concentrations of internally deposited activity were found in marine specimens taken from the northern Rongelap lagoon. Most of the activity in the marine specimens was contributed by Zr^{95} -Nb⁹⁵ and Ru¹⁰⁶-Rh¹⁰⁵. No fractionation of Sr⁸⁹-Sr⁹⁰ occurred in the tissue of the fish analyzed.

Residual soil contamination was confined to the top several inches of soil, with movement indicated down to the lens water. ちんとう

The major radionuclide found in the tissues of land animals and plants was Cs^{137} . The island soil and lagoon water were contaminated principally by the rare earth elements, Ru^{106} -Rh¹⁰⁶ and Zr^{95} -Nb⁹⁵. The amount of activity in the specimens analyzed was generally proportional to the external gamma reading in each of the areas.



370

ii



SUMMARY

Problem

The problem was to determine the amount and distribution of radioactive material remaining on several atolls and incorporated into the flora and fauna of the Marshall Islands one year after their contamination by fallout from the March 1, 1954 nuclear detonation of Operation CASTLE.

Findings

Significant amounts of radioactive contamination were found in the Marshall Island animals, food plants, water and soil samples. The highest concentrations of internally deposited activity were found in marine specimens taken from the northern Rongelap lagoon. Most of the activity in the marine specimens was contributed by Zr^{95} -Nb⁹⁵ and Ru¹⁰⁶-Rh¹⁰⁶. No fractionation of Sr⁸⁹-Sr⁹⁰ occurred in the tissue of the fish analyzed.

Residual soil contamination was confined to the top several inches of soil, with movement indicated down to the lens water.

The major radionuclide found in the tissues of land animals and plants was Cs^{137} . The island soil and lagoon water were contaminated principally by the rare earth elements, Ru^{106} - Rh^{106} and Zr^{95} - Nb^{95} . The amount of activity in the specimens analyzed was generally proportional to the external gamma reading in each of the areas.

DOE ARCHIVES

iii

370

ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION

This is the final report of the Atoll Resurvey Project. The resurvey was made by this Laboratory together with Applied Fisheries Laboratory of the University of Washington, under the joint sponsorship of the Bureau of Ships and the Atomic Energy Commission, Bureau of Ships Project Number NS 081-001 and Bureau of Medicine and Surgery Project Number NM 006-015.04, Technical Objective AW-7.

The work was done jointly by the Chemical Technology and the Biological and Medical Sciences Divisions of this Laboratory.

iv

370

05

DOE ARCHINES

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The following men assisted in the analytical work described in this report:

J.A. Boyle, HN

G.A. Marquardt, HM1 (SS)

C.F. Vaught, HMC (SS)

H.R. Gleason, HM1

W.L. Milne

Sample collection was expedited by the work of the following personnel on field trips:

P.E. Zigman E.C. Evans III LTJG G.M. Neuffer

W.G. Murray

The authors gratefully acknowledge the help of all the individuals who assisted with the preparations for the field trips.

Dr. E.R. Tompkins was concerned with administrative and logistic support during the initial phase of the project.

6.)

OCT ARCELIVE

Mr. Jarvis Todd assisted in the preparation of this report.



| ABSTRACT . | |
|-------------|--|
| SUMMARY . | iii |
| ADMINISTRAT | IVE INFORMATION iv |
| ACKNOWLED | MENTS v |
| CHAPTER 1 | INTRODUCTION 1 1.1 Objectives |
| CHAPTER 2 | GROSS ACTIVITY IN PLANTS, SOIL, CORAL,ALGAE, AND WATER2.1Procedures at the Laboratory32.2Results and Discussion42.2.1Major Foods2.2.2Miscellaneous Plant Samples52.2.3Soil72.2.4Coral, Lagoon-Bottom Silt, and Algae11 |
| CHAPTER 3 | NATURE OF THE RESIDUAL CONTAMINATION INPLANTS, SOIL, CORAL, LAGOON-BOTTOM SILT,AND WATER |
| CHAPTER 4 | INTERNAL CONTAMINATION OF FISH, MARINE SPECIMENS, AND BIRDS |

DELT ETCLATION

and and Draw 1980

vi



| CHAPTER 5 | GAMMA-DOSE RATES |
|------------|--|
| | 5.1 Results and Discussion |
| | 5.1.1 General Surveys \ldots \ldots 23 |
| | 5.1.2 Surveys at Specific Locations 24 |
| CHAPTER 6 | SUMMARY |
| | 6.1 Conclusions |
| | 6.2 Comments on Future Work |
| REFERENCES | 5 • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • |

vii





TABLES

منتشر می در در است. ۲۰۰۰ میلی در منابع میلیم میلیم ۲۰۰۰ میلی میلیم در منتقد است.

· • 2 • •

| 2.1 | Summary of Gross Beta Activity in Major Plant Foods | 4 |
|-----|---|----|
| 2.2 | Summary of Gross Beta Activity in Miscellaneous Plant Samples • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • | 6 |
| 2.3 | Summary of Beta Activity in Gross Samples of Soil | 7 |
| 2.4 | Beta Activity in Soil Samples Taken from Exposed Profiles | 8 |
| 2.5 | Beta Activity in Core Samples of Soil | 9 |
| 2.6 | Gross Beta Activity in Coral and Algae | 10 |
| 2.7 | Summary of Gross Beta Activity in Water | 11 |
| 3.1 | Radiochemical Composition of Residual Contamination | 14 |
| 4.1 | Summary of Beta and Gamma Activity Concentration in Fish and Marine Invertebrates | 16 |
| 4.2 | Distribution of Gross Beta and Gamma Activity in Tissues of Large Fish •••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••• | 18 |
| 4.3 | Summary of the Gross Beta and Gamma Activity in Small Fish and Marine Invertebrates | 19 |
| 4.4 | Summary of the Gross Beta and Gamma Activity in Birds and Fowl | 20 |
| 4:5 | Radiochemical Analysis of Fish and Chicken | 22 |
| 5.1 | Average Gamma-Dose Rates on Islands | 23 |
| 5.2 | Gamma-Dose Rates at Specific Locations on Islands | 25 |



Brook No in

09

1

370

viii

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

As a result of a nuclear detonation in Operation CASTLE, several atolls in the Marshall Islands were accidentally contaminated by radioactive fall-out to such an extent that evacuation of the inhabitants was necessary.¹ Plants, animals, water, and soil were collected from the islands one month after the fall-out occurred. The animals not sacrificed at the site were sent to this laboratory and radiochemical analysis was made of their tissues to provide information on the internal radiation hazard. A report² of this study has been published.

As a follow-up to the original study a resurvey of the contaminated Marshall Islands was undertaken one year after the fall-out. Radiochemical analysis of food plants, fish, water, soil, coral, algae, and birds was made to determine the nature and extent of the internal and external radiation hazard created by the residual contamination on the islands. A gamma dose-rate survey was conducted to determine the external radiation hazard extant. Such data were necessary to determine the possibility of re-occupying the islands. The present report presents the data obtained from the resurvey of the contaminated islands.

1.1 OBJECTIVES

This work was named the Atoll Resurvey Project, entitled "Followup Determination of the Extent and Distribution of Fall-out Contamination on Rongelap and Other Atolls in the Marshall Group." Its specific objectives were:

a. To provide data upon which a decision can be based as to when the evacuated islands may be safely re-occupied by their former inhabitants.

b. To provide information about distribution of the residual contamination on a land area which had been heavily contaminated by fall-out.

- 1 -

370



CHAPTER 2

GROSS ACTIVITY IN PLANTS, SOIL, CORAL, ALGAE, AND WATER

At the time of their collection in the field, the representative plant, soil, coral, and algae samples were placed in individual plastic bags for shipment to this laboratory. Water samples were collected in 1-liter polyethylene bottles.

2.1 PROCEDURES AT THE LABORATORY

The edible portions of the food plants were separated from the inedible portions with every precaution being taken to ensure a low probability of cross-contamination. Weighed samples of the food were dried at 110° C and then ashed in a muffle furnace at 500° C. The ash was transferred to tared aluminum planchets, weighed, and prepared for counting. Samples of supporting plant systems and grass were prepared in the same fashion.

Fresh water samples were acidified and evaporated to dryness. The residue was taken up in water and the slurry transferred to a planchet for drying, weighing, and counting. The radioactivity was isolated from ocean water samples by (a) buffering with NH_4Cl to hold magnesium in solution, and (b) precipitating the natural calcium with Na_2CO_3 . The resultingflocculation was allowed to settle overnight and the bulk of the supernatant was removed by suction or decantation. The remaining slurry was transferred to lustroid tubes and centrifuged. The precipitate was washed once with water, transferred to an aluminum planchet, and dried at $110^{\circ}C$. Analysis of the supernatants from the more active samples showed that recovery of activity by precipitation ran from 80 to 90 per cent.

Soil and lagoon-bottom silt samples were dried and mounted in aluminum planchets for counting. Coral and algae samples were dried overnight at 110°C, ashed for 24 hr at 500°C, pulverized, and mounted in aluminum planchets.

After mounting, the samples were counted with a gas-flow proportional counter at 26 per cent geometry as determined with a U_3O_8 standard or with a 1.9 mg/sq cm, end-window, G-M tube and scaler at 14 per cent geometry as determined with a U_3O_8 standard. Absorption and scattering corrections were determined empirically by counting varying weights of individual samples and extrapolating the specific

- 3 -

370

activities to zero sample weight. Absorption curves on all samples showed negligible amounts of K^{40} betas as compared to the gross contamination.

2.2 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

2.2.1 Major Foods

The gross beta activities found in the major food items are summarized in Table 2.1. The data are presented on the basis of wet weight of sample. The prevalence of soft beta emission in many of the food samples necessitates rather large corrections for self-absorption but no significant errors are introduced through the correction procedure.

| So | шсе | | Average Activi | ty (μc/g x 10 ⁶ | (a) or µc/cc | x 10 ⁶) | |
|----------|----------|-----------|----------------|----------------------------|-----------------|---------------------|------|
| 4 4 - 11 | | | | | | Coco | nut |
| Aton | Island | Arrowroot | Breadfruit | Pandanus | Papaya | Meat | Milk |
| Likiep | Likiep | 4.0 | 9.1 | 5.7 | 3.6 | 2,5 | 3.0 |
| Utirik | Utirik | 16 | 3.4 | 5.0 | 9.0 | 2.3 | 2.6 |
| Rongelap | Rongelap | 15 | | 28 | 27 | 9.8 | 9.6 |
| Rongelap | Busch | 68 | | 13 | | 8.0 | 11 |
| Rongelap | Eniaetok | 80 | | 34 | | 12 | 12 |
| Rongelap | Labaredj | 36 | | | | 13 | 13 |
| Rongelap | Kabelle | 40 | | 130 | | 16 | 12 |
| Rongelap | Lukuen | | | | | 18 | 16 |
| Rongelap | Gejen | 130 | | | | 72 | 25 |
| Rongelap | Lomuilal | 180 | | | | 19 | 30 |
| Bikar | Bikar | | | | | 5.9 | 5.0 |
| Rongerik | Eniwetak | | | | | 7.8 | 9.4 |

TABLE 2.1

Summary of Gross Beta Activity in Major Plant Foods

(a) Wet weight

A number of coconut samples were collected because of their importance as a food source. Three stages of growth are represented: young green coconuts, the milk of which is drunk; copra stage nuts prized for food; and sprouting coconuts which yield highly palatable meat. In general, the activity appears to be higher in the more mature

-4-

370

coconuts. Wide variation in levels among samples from the same island can probably be accounted for in terms of age of the nut, age of the tree, humus content and pH of the soil in which the tree grows, and a number of less important factors such as depth of island profile and density and type of plant growth around the coconut tree.

Since arrowroot grows in the contaminated soil, most of the factors affecting coconut uptake had little influence on the arrowroot uptake. For this reason the arrowroot samples showed relatively little variation among specimens. Also, the growing season of arrowroot had apparently ended and only mature corms could be obtained thereby specifying the development stage of this food material.

Since pandanus and breadfruit trees bore very little fruit at the time of the survey only sketchy sampling was possible. Both of these trees tend to shade out competing plants and develop fruit rather rapidly. Thus, soil variation was the main factor causing differences in uptake of activity for samples from the same area. As expected, less variation was found in the pandanus and breadfruit than in coconuts but more than in arrowroot samples. Papayas were found only near native habitations and apparently were cultivated to a greater degree than the other major food plants. This resulted in a system comparable to the pandanus and breadfruit.

2.2.2 Miscellaneous Plant Samples

A summary of the gross beta activities found in miscellaneous plant samples is contained in Table 2.2. Data in this table are on the basis of wet weight.

The grass samples are of general interest because of their similarity to the forage crops and cereal grains responsible for the major portion of the world's food supply. Likiep, Utirik, and Bikar samples indicate that grass may act as a sensitive indicator for radioactivity available to plant uptake. The age of the grass and the soil characteristics are probably responsible for the wide range of activities observed for samples from the same island.

Plant trunk and foliage samples indicate a considerable movement of activity into the plant system, as was forecast by the presence of activity in coconut tree sap run during the course of the original study.² The coconut tree system is especially interesting since the total activity represented by the fruit is a small fraction of that which is residual in the remainder of the plant. It is unfortunate that the survey was made when coconut tree sap ("Jugaroo") was virtually unobtainable even by native Marshallese. [•] Use of this material as food for infants makes it merit study from a contamination standpoint. DOR ARCHIVER

Native Marshallese were included in the survey teams.

- 5-

14

| IddateIdda | Likiep | | | | Averas | ge Activity Bla | (µc/g x and | 10 ⁶) (a) | | | | |
|--|--------------------|----------|---------|-------|----------|--------------------|----------------|-----------------------|--------|----------|-------|--------|
| | T. | Utirik R | ongelap | Busch | Enlaetok | Labaredj | Kabelle | Lukuen | Gejen | Lomuilal | Bikar | Eníwet |
| | Grass 20 | 400 | 3000 | 420 | 2800 | 5300 | 1900 | 2100 | 68,000 | 5600 | 180 | 400 |
| Coconut frond stem 140 Coconut shell 17 150 Coconut shell 73 110 8.4 Coconut spout 28 110 8.4 Sprouted coconut 28 740 8.4 roots 72 740 9 8.4 Scaevola leaf 120 100 290 6.7 6 Notocot ten 19 100 290 6.7 6 Arrowcot leaf 61 9 2.0 1.1 23 Pumpkin 2.0 35 1.1 1.1 23 23 Itimes 2.0 35 1.1 1.1 23 23 23 Itimes 2.0 35 1.1 20 23 23 Itimes 2.0 1.1 2.0 <td< td=""><td>Coconut leaf</td><td>1100</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>750</td><td>1800</td><td>670</td><td>,</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<> | Coconut leaf | 1100 | | | | 750 | 1800 | 6 70 | , | | | |
| Coconut shell 17 150 Coconut busk 1.7 1.5 53 73 110 8.4 Coconut sprout 28 110 8.4 9 9 Coconut sprout 28 110 8.4 9 9 Sprouted coconut 72 740 9 9 9 Tools 72 740 100 290 6.7 9 Scaevola leaf 72 740 120 100 290 6.7 9 Scaevola Trunk 10 20 120 100 290 6.7 9 Arrowcot teaf 19 100 35 11 100 23 23 Arrowcot leaf 61 11 100 35 11 12 11 12 11 12 12 12 12 12 13 140 11 11 12 13 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 | Coconut frond stem | | | | | | | 140 | | | | |
| Coconut husk 1.7 1.5 53 73 110 8.4 Coconut sprout 28 110 8.4 110 8.4 Sprouted coconut 28 110 8.4 9 Tools 72 740 9 9 roots 72 740 90 8.7 8 Scaevola leaf 120 100 290 8.7 8 Scaevola Trunk 19 9 23 23 23 Arrowtot item 19 9 23 23 23 23 Arrowtot leaf 61 9 35 11 23 23 Impkin 2.0 35 11 12 23 23 Taro 11 11 13 140 100 23 23 Taro 2.0 11 10 20 10 23 23 Taro 10 10 10 20 10 23 <td>Coconut shell</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>11</td> <td></td> <td>150</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> | Coconut shell | | | | | | 11 | | 150 | | | |
| Coconut sprout 28 110 Sprouted coconut 740 740 roots 72 740 roots 72 740 scaevola leaf 120 100 290 6.7 61 Scaevola Trunk 19 120 100 290 6.7 61 Arrowtot tem 19 23 23 23 23 23 23 Arrowtot leaf 61 9 35 23 24 24 24 24 24 | Coconut husk 1.7 | 1.5 | 53 | | | | 73 | | 110 | | 8.4 | |
| Sprouted coconut rous 72 740 rous rous 72 740 Scaevola leaf 120 100 290 6.7 6 Scaevola Trunk Section 19 Arrowcot atem 19 Arrowcot atem 20 Arrowcot leaf 61 Pumpkin 2.0 35 Limes 2.0 35 Limes 4.6 49 34 | Coconut sprout | | 28 | | | | 110 | | | | | |
| roots 72 740 Scaevola leaf .100 290 6.7 6 Scaevola Trunk Section 19 Arrowtoot atem 19 Arrowtoot atem 23 Arrowtoot atem 23 Arrowtoot atem 20 Limes 2.0 35 Limes 2.0 35 Limes 4.6 49 34 | Sprouted coconut | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Scaevola leaf 120 100 290 6.7 61 Scaevola Trunk Scaevola Trunk 23 23 23 Section 19 19 23 23 Arrowcost stem 19 23 23 23 Arrowcost leaf 61 20 35 23 Pumpkin 2.0 35 1.1 2.0 Taro 1.1 2.0 34 Banana 4.6 490 34 | roots | | 72 | | | | 740 | | | | | |
| Scaevola Trunk Scaevola Trunk Section Arrowroot stem Arrowroot leaf Arrowroot leaf Arrowroot leaf Arrowroot leaf Trownoot leaf Brites Taro Taro 1.1 Banana 4.6 Vines Scaevola Trunk 61 Binana 4.6 Vines Scaevola Trunk 61 Binana 4.6 Vines Scaevola Trunk 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 | Scaevola leaf | | | | • | | 120 | | 100 | 290 | 6.7 | 60 |
| Section23Arrowroot item19Arrowroot leaf61Arrowroot leaf61Pumpkin2.0Umbkin2.0Limes2.0Taro1.1Banana4.6Vines49034 | Scaevola Trunk | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Arrowtoot stem19Arrowtoot leaf61Arrowtoot leaf61Pumpkin2.0Bumpkin2.0Limes2.0Taro1.1Banana4.6Vines4.0Vines4.0Vines4.0Arrowton4.0< | Section | | | | | • | | | | | 53 | |
| Arrowroot leaf 61 Pumpkin 2.0 35 Pumpkin 2.0 35 Times 2.0 35 Taro 1.1 1.1 Banana 4.6 4.0 Vines 34 | Arrowroot stem | | 19 | | | | | | | | | |
| Pumpkin 2.0 35 Limes 2.0 1.1 Taro 1.1 1.1 Banana 4.6 490 34 | Arrowroot leaf | | 61 | | | | | | | | | |
| Limes 2.0 Taro 1.1 Banana 4.6 Vines 490 34 | Pumpkin 2.0 | | 35 | | | | | | | | | |
| Taro1.1Banana4.6Vines49034 | Limes 2.0 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Banana 4.6 Vines 4.6 490 34 | Taro 1.1 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Vines 490 34 | Banana 4.6 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Vines | | | | | | | | 490 | | | 340 |

TABLE 2.2

•

- 6 -

370

15

Arrowroot stems and leaves show a considerable amount of activity but the ratio of the activity in the supporting system to that in the edible part is much less than for coconuts. This is understandable when the relative amounts of fruit and supporting system in both instances are considered.

2.2.3 <u>Soil</u>

Exposed soil profile, tube coring, and gross samples were collected to describe the distribution of activity in the island profiles and especially in the areas of extensive food plant production.

A summary of the beta activity in gross samples of soil is given in Table 2.3. Table 2.4 presents data obtained from exposed soil profiles. The probability of cross-contamination in these samples was small.

TABLE 2.3

Summary of Beta Activity in Gross Samples of Soil

| | Number | Beta Activit | y $(\beta^{-}/\min/g)$ |
|------------------|----------------------|--------------|------------------------|
| Island | Number of Samples | - Depth | of Soil |
| · <u></u> | | .0 to 1 in. | 1 to 5 in. |
| Likiep | 1 | - 90 | |
| Utirik | 4 | 960 | 550 |
| Rongelap | 5 | 8,900 | 800 |
| Eniaetok | 2 | 48,000 | 640 |
| Labaredj | 3 | 85,000 | 1,300 |
| Kabelle | 6 | 96,000 | 3,100 |
| Gejen | 1 | 348,000 | 12,400 |
| Bikar | 1 | 8,400 | 90 |
| <u>Eniwe</u> tak | 1 | 12,000 | 240 |

- 7 -

DOS ARCHENSE

16

| Г | A | в | L | \mathbf{E} | 2 | .4 |
|---|---|---|---|--------------|---|----|
| | | | | | | |

Beta Activity in Soil Samples Taken From Exposed Soil Profiles

| Depth | Beta Activity (β ^{-/min/g}) | | | | | | | | |
|--------|---------------------------------------|----------|---------|---------|---------|--|--|--|--|
| Deptu | | | Island | | | | | | |
| (111.) | Rongelap | Labaredj | Kabelle | Kabelle | Kabelle | | | | |
| 0 to 1 | 12,400 | 130,000 | 72,000 | 93,000 | 97,000 | | | | |
| 3 | 1,500 | 380 | 6,800 | 2,900 | 440 | | | | |
| 6 | 110 | 950 | 1,700 | 400 | 130 | | | | |
| 9 | 140 | 770 | 130 | 2,300 | 240 | | | | |
| 12 | NDA (a) | 160 | 40 | 580 | 140 | | | | |
| 18 | 70 | 120 | 70 | 70 | 90 | | | | |
| 24 | | 40 | 100 | 70 | NDA | | | | |
| 30 | | | • | NDA | | | | | |
| 36 | | | • • | 60 | | | | | |
| 40 | | | • | 40 | | | | | |

(a) No detectable activity

Table 2.5 summarizes the data derived from the tube coring samples. Cores were analyzed in 1-in. increments and while some movement of activity along the walls of the tube was probable the results for the most part agreed rather well with those obtained by the other sampling procedures.

A comparison of Tables 2.3, 2.4, and 2.5 indicates that the coring technique falls down somewhat at high levels of activity although the apparent movement of activity may be real and may be a function of the soil particle size and not a mechanical cross-contamination.

The data in Table 2.5 show very definitely that the residual activity on the islands is contained primarily in the top several inches of soil and that movement is occurring. Data presented in Chapter 3 deal with the nature of the contamination in the environment and from them it can be deduced that fractionation takes place, with Ce¹⁴⁴-Pr¹⁴⁴ and Ru¹⁰⁶-Rh¹⁰⁶ making up much of the fixed contamination. The plant TYSE PARTY

- 8- .

TABLE 2.5

| | | | | Be | eta Activit | y (β ⁻ /mi | in/g) | | | |
|----------|-----------------|----------------|-------------|--------|--------------------|-----------------------|--------|--------|-----|-----|
| Island | No. of Cores | | | 1-in | . Increme | nt of Soil | Coring | | | |
| | | lst | 2nd | 3rd | 4th | 5th | 6th | 7th | 8th | 9th |
| Likiep | 1 | 140 | 40 | 40 | NDA ^(a) | NDA | | | | |
| Ütirik | 3 | 1,250 | 480 | 240 | 130 | 100 | 160 | 60 | 25 | |
| Rongelap | 4 | 6,600 | 2,100 | 570 | 420 | 230 | 160 | 200 | 150 | 50 |
| Busch | 1 | 10,800 | 7,100 | 7,200 | 6,400 | 6, 800 | | | | |
| Eniaetok | 1 | 57,000 | 24,000 | 4,300 | 12,000 | 26,000 | 12,000 | 11,000 | | |
| Labaredj | 1 | 42,000 | 33,000 | 29,000 | 23,000 | 19,000 | | | | |
| Kabelle | 3 | 43,000 | 30,000 | 10,000 | 3,600 | 2,000 | 2,300 | 180 | | |
| Lomuilal | 3 | 53,000 | 48,000 | 26,000 | 20,000 | 14,000 | 1,000 | | | |
| Gejen | 1 | 37 ,000 | 37,000 | 8,000 | 4,000 | 4,400 | 3,400 | | | |
| Lukuen | 2 | 35,000 | 40,000 | 13,000 | 10,500 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 4,700 | | |
| Bikar | 3 | 4,000 | 7 40 | 250 | 170 | 120 | 100 | 27 | | |
| Eniwetak | 2 | 16,000 | 7,500 | 3,000 | 2,000 | 1,800 | 1,100 | 160 | 100 | |

Beta Activity in Core Samples of Soil

(a) No detectable activity

uptake over a long period of time may be considerable since the root systems on the islands are uniformly distributed throughout the top 14 in. of the island profiles and are extremely dense. Very few roots were found below 14 in. and those that were noted appeared to be carrying large amounts of water from the fresh water lens to the mother plant. The large amounts of activity found in the plant systems negates any possibility that direct fall-out could be solely responsible for the contamination. The nature of the contamination in the plants shows that although Ce¹⁴⁴-Pr¹⁴⁴ and Ru¹⁰⁶-Rh¹⁰⁶ are firmly fixed in the soil they are readily taken up by the plant systems.

- 9-

18



2.2.4 Coral, Lagoon-Bottom Silt, and Algae

The extent of contamination in the atoll waters adjacent to the islands was evaluated from samples of coral, lagoon-bottom silt, and algae. Numerous edible marine species exist in this area and their food chain is dependent primarily on the algae and coral. The gross beta activities in coral and algae are given in Table 2.6.

TABLE 2.6

| | Beta Activity (β ⁻ /min/g) | | | | | | |
|--------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------|----------|--|--|--|--|
| Island | Coral | A | lgae | | | | |
| | | Type ^(a) | Activity | | | | |
| Likiep | NDA ⁽⁵⁾ | | | | | | |
| Utirik | NDA | G | 120 | | | | |
| Rongelap | 290 | | | | | | |
| Eninetok | 790 | н | 400 | | | | |
| Enlactor | 3,400 | \$C | 34,000 | | | | |
| Labaredj | 860 | | | | | | |
| Kaballa | 300 | C | 16 900 | | | | |
| Rabelle | 320 | 6 | 10,000 | | | | |
| | 1,300 | | | | | | |
| Gejen | 1,140 . | н | 4,160 | | | | |
| | 3,260 | | | | | | |
| Bikar | 240 | G | . 3,500 | | | | |
| STUDI | 210 | 5 | 0,000 | | | | |

Gross Beta Activity in Coral and Algae

(a) G = green; H = Halimeda; SC = Sea Cucumber.

(b) No detectable activity.

Algae appear to concentrate activity to a much greater degree than coral. Much of the coral activity may even be due to algae which is lodged in small pores where it cannot be removed. The sea cucumber and green types of algae are much more efficient at concentrating activity than is the highly calcareous Halimeda type.

-10-

Four samples of lagoon-bottom silt from the northeast corner of Rongelap Atoll gave beta activity values ranging from 8,000 to 12,000 $\beta^{-}/min/g$. Activity was uniformly distributed to a depth of 6 to 7 in. Lagoon depths were 40, 55, 60, and 120 ft.

The data in Table 2.6 indicate a considerable reservoir of activity available for contaminating marine food species. Data presented in Chapter 4 confirm that this activity contaminates the food supply.

2.2.5 Water

Water samples were collected from cisterns, wells, tree boles, barrels, exposed soil profiles, and ocean and lagoon sides of the islands. The ocean and lagoon water samples were collected within 10 ft of the water line to evaluate the movement of activity from the islands into the surrounding waters. Exposed profiles and well water samples were selected to describe any movement of activity into the fresh water lens and the remainder of the samples were collected to evaluate the hazard from drinking the water.

Gross beta activities of the above samples are presented in Table 2.7. The scarcity of potable water is demonstrated by the few islands from which cistern water was obtainable.

| | S | ımmar | v of Gra | oss Beta | Acti | vitv in ' | Water | |
|----------|--------------------|---------------|----------|---------------------------------------|----------------------|------------|-----------|---------------------------------------|
| | <u></u> | | · | Beta Activit | y (β ⁻ /π | uin/liter) | <u></u> | |
| | | | | Source | es of Wa | ter | | |
| | 00 | ean | Cist | ern | | | | |
| Island | Lagoon Side | Ocean Side | Тор | Bottom | Well | Barrel | Tree Bole | Exposed Soi: Profile |
| Likiep | NDA ^(a) | NDA | 12 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | NDA | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| Utirik | 50 | NDA | 290 | 1,350 | 28 | | | |
| Rongelap | 80 | 330 | 6,300 | 16,000 | 430 | 44,000 | | |
| Busch | 36 | NDA | | | | | 14,000 | |
| Eniaetok | 460 | 260 | 23,000 | | | | | , |
| Labaredj | 7,700 | 56 | | | | | 8,100 | |
| Kabelle | 2,300 | 60 | | | | | | 15,000 |
| Lomuilal | 380 | 170 | | | | | | |
| Bikar | 37 | 28 | | | | | | |

(a) No detectable activity

Eniwetak

100

170

-11-

DOE BACKEVS

20

The ocean water data indicate that activity is being washed off the islands. It will be noted also that the levels of activity correlate with the gamma-dose rates of the islands. Such irregularities as do occur can be attributed to the ocean current movements around the islands. Lomuilal, for example, is in an exposed position and both the lagoon and ocean sides of the island are swept by strong currents. The generally higher levels of activity on the lagoon side of the islands can be explained by the same reasoning. Since the rainy season had ended at the time the sample was collected, the actual mechanism by which the active material was being moved was probably associated with the changing level of water line due to tides. The lower gamma-dose rates observed below the high tide mark would support this hypothesis.

The water from well's and exposed profiles represents the fresh water lens underlying the islands and Table 2.7 shows that they are contaminated. These data are of special interest since these lenses may be intermediate systems for transferring various nuclides from the soil to plants.

The cistern water and other potable water supplies of less importance show varying degrees of contamination depending on such factors as the cleanliness of the reservoir, the nature of the watershed areas, and the presence or absence of shielding trees. The higher levels of activity found in the bottoms of cisterns are to be expected and these data are included only for comparative purposes.



DORFACTOR

370

CHAPTER 3

NATURE OF THE RESIDUAL CONTAMINATION IN PLANTS, SOIL, CORAL, LAGOON-BOTTOM SILT, AND WATER

Evaluation of the residual contamination from the fall-out on the atoll islands was determined by study of the long-lived fission products. These long-lived nuclides present the greatest internal radiation hazard to human inhabitants of a contaminated area.

Radiochemical analyses for $Sr^{89,90}$, total rare earths, Zr^{95} , Ru^{106} , and Cs^{137} were performed as these fission products comprise the bulk of the activity remaining 16 months after the nuclear detonation.

3.1 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

STATE STORE CONSIDERATION

In Table 3.1 the relative contributions of the various nuclides are shown as percentages of the total activity.

The difference in composition of contamination in the edible coconut fractions and in the frond is to be noted, as are the similarity of coconut and pandanus contamination as well as the high Cs^{137} concentrations encountered in most food plants. An additional point of interest is the agreement of the soil composition with that predicted² from an analysis of Rongelap soil during Project 4.1. Rare earths and ruthenium are somewhat higher than predicted, indicating a washout of the other nuclides.

Arrowroot samples showed rather wide variation in composition which had not been expected 2 from consideration of the variables involved.

Rare earth nuclides and Ru¹⁰⁶ make up the bulk of the activity which remains fixed to coral island soil under the influence of tropical rains. Ground water and lagoon water values were similar to those of the soil. Lagoon-bottom silt gives very nearly the same nuclide distribution as soil and it appears that solubility may be a better criteria for predicting nuclide mobility through soil than complex formation with matrix components.

The high uptake of Cs^{137} by the edible portions of plant foods is probably the result of potassium deficiency in the soil and the utilization of cesium to replace needed potassium. A comparison of the coconut frond and edible coconut fractions illustrates their selectivity for individual nuclides.

-13-

370

| Radioche | mical Co | mpos | ition of Res | idual Con | tamination | 1 |
|---------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|
| | | Perc | entage of Total | Activity Obse | rved (a) | |
| Material | | | Radion | ıclides | | |
| | Sr ⁸⁹ | Sr ⁹⁰ | Rare Earths | Zr ⁹⁵ (b) | Ru ^{106 (b)} | Cs ¹³⁷ |
| Arrowroot | 1.3 | 5.9 | 3.0 | 0.5 | 7.8 | 80 |
| Breadfruit | NDA (c) | , 6.3 | 50 | 19 | NDA | 24 |
| Coconut Frond | 1.2 | 5.0 | 80 | 4.2 | 6.7 | 1.6 |
| Coconut Meat | NDA | NDA | 1.2 | NDA | NDA | 95 |
| Coconut Milk | NDA | NDA | 0.9 | NDA | NDA | 96 |
| Grass | 1.3 | 4.6 | 74 | 6.4 | 4.8 | 8.4 |
| Pandanus | 0.5 | 2,4 | 1.2 | • 0.2 | 0.6 | 95 |
| Papaya | 1.6 | 7.3 | 37 | . 31 | 12 | 11 |
| Coral | 3.2 | 14 | 67 | 10 | 4,5 | 1,1 |
| Soil | 0.8 | 2,2 | 73 | 0.1 | 23,3 | 1.1 |
| Lagoon Bottom | 1.1 | 5.0 | 82 | 0.2 | 13 | NDA |
| Cistern Water | 2.9 | 8.6 | 41 | 24 | 20 | 13 |
| Ground Water | 0.8 | 2,5 | 49 | 20 | 16 | 9.2 |
| Lagoon Water | 0.9 | 4.0 | 76 | 9.7 | 7.0 | 0.8 |

(a) Values as of 15 July 1955 (16 mos after the nuclear detonation).
(b) Nb⁹⁵ and Rh¹⁰⁶ may be calculated from the reported parent values.

(c) No detectable activity.

DOE ARCHIVE

-14-

370 23

1.00

CHAPTER 4

INTERNAL CONTAMINATION OF FISH, MARINE SPECIMENS, AND BIRDS

Fish and birds were collected from the following islands of the Rongelap Atoll: Rongelap, Gejen, Kabelle, and Labaredj. In addition, other animals were collected from Bikar, Likiep and Utirik Atolls and Eniwetak Island of the Rongerik Atoll. The majority of the marine specimens were collected in the lagoons off the shores of the islands. The larger fish were caught in the middle of the lagoon.

Most of the fish were collected after they were poisoned by a Rotenone solution dispersed in the shallow water. The birds (terns) were shot with a rifle. Each specimen was placed in a plastic bag and frozen. The frozen samples were transported to this laboratory.

4.1 LABORATORY PROCEDURES

A number of the large fish were completely separated into skeleton, muscle, gills, liver, and viscera. The remaining fish and marine invertebrates were analyzed whole.

All samples were dried at 100° C for 48 hr and ashed for 48 hr at 550° C. The ash was dissolved in 2N HCl and made up to volume. The gamma activity was counted in a deep-well, sodium iodide crystal, gamma scintillation counter; the beta activity was counted under a thin end-window, beta counter. The beta activity in each case was corrected for counter efficiency and mass absorption. The gamma and beta activity is recorded in " μ c(Co⁶⁰ equivalent)". This unit was derived from comparison with a Co⁶⁰ standard counted under identical conditions as the samples.

Radiochemical analysis were performed to determine the concentration of several radionuclides in a number of the specimens. The radiochemical techniques employed will be described in a forthcoming report.

DOE ARTINTE

24

370

-15-

| | S | | ctivity 8 | 5 5.6 | | | | | | ~ |
|--------------------------|------------|----------------------------------|---------------------|--|----------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|--|----------|
| | brate | ails | ר Ø | 19. | | | | | | • |
| | e Invertel | ч. Г. | No. of Specimens | 2 - (d) | | : | . 3.47 | | | |
| | farin | | X | 1.25 1.76 | | × | 0.35 | 0.19 | | |
| | and N |)(") Clams | Activi | 1.54 0.49 | | • | 0.12 | 0,39 | | |
| n, ars se smrt sal | n in Fish | Crabs and (| No. of Specimens | 4 0 | | | - · · · | 6 | al Hallat (Colorton) Hallat (Colorton) Allat (Colorton) Allat (Colorton) | |
| 4 • | ntratio | y Concen | مر ا | 1.58 0.94 | .21 | 8 | .01 | | | - |
| BLE | Conce | oactivit ^s ish (c) | Acti | .49 | .23 | .14 | .05 | | | - |
| 4 L | Activity C | Small P | Nc. of Specimens | 22 | 8 | Q | n | | | |
| rjt j | nma | | ≪ <u>⊲</u> | 1.2 0.33 | 0.26 | | 0.01 | | • | |
| i i s | nd Gar | (d) _{fish} (b) | Activi A | 0.22 | 0.23 | | 0.02 | | quivalent | |
| | of Beta a | l.arge F | No. of Specimens | с с | 63 | • | H | | ms of Co ⁶⁰ e | |
| • | Summary | • | Location | Rongelap Atoll North Lagoon South Lagoon | Rongerik Atoll Eniwetak | Utirik Atoll Uturik | Likiep Atoll Likiep | Bikar Atoll Bikar | (a) μc are in ter (b) >150 g. (c) <150 g. (d) No data take | A T TALE |

-16-

an an the said and the said and

25

4.2 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Significant amounts of beta and gamma activity were found in the tissues of the 65 fish and marine invertebrates collected (Tables 4.1, 4.2, and 4.3). The distribution of radioactivity in the tissues of the large fish (>150 g) collected in the Rongelap and Rongerik lagoons (Table 4.2) indicated that approximately 40 per cent of the activity was found in the skeleton. Muscle contained approximately 15 per cent of the total internal activity and the viscera contained approximately 20 per cent. One exception was a parrot fish from Eniwetak which had an unusually high activity in the viscera, probably associated with recent ingestion of a highly contaminated food source. The remainder of the activity was found on the skin and gills. The beta-to-gamma ratio was approximately 1:4 in most of the tissues analyzed. Physical and chemical analysis of one fish indicated that this high gamma-to-beta ratio was largely accounted for by the induced activity of Zn⁶⁵ and Fe⁵⁵ K-capture emitters. Further work on fish is in progress to see if this situation is a unique or generalized finding.

The total activity found in the terns collected on the various atolls (Table 4.4) was less by a factor of ten or more, than that of the corresponding fish populations. The activity of the terns collected from the Rongelap Atoll was higher than that of the terns from Rongerik Atoll and considerably higher than the terns from the Bikar Atoll.

The radioanalysis of a rooster from Rongelap Island (Table 4.4) indicated relatively high beta and gamma activity $(0.7 \ \mu c/whole animal)$. The ratio of beta activity to gamma activity was approximately one. The rooster roamed freely on the island and derived his activity from continuous ingestion of contaminated water and foodstuffs which had incorporated fission products. In comparison, chickens collected at one month post-detonation and removed from the contaminated area continued to show internally deposited activity in detectable amounts for a period of only about 6 months. In the rooster over 90 per cent of the total activity was found in the skeleton, 3.5 per cent in the muscle, and 2.3 per cent in the viscera. Only very small amounts of activity were found on the skin and feathers and even less in the lungs.

Considerable variation exists in the concentration of activity per weight of individual tissues as a function of the geographic location of the animals. In the Rongelap Atoll, for example, fish and invertebrates caught in the northern part of the lagoon contained, on the average, 3 to 4 times the amount of internally deposited fission products as that found in fish from the southern lagoon. This is consistent with the fact that the northern lagoon was exposed to higher concentrations of fall-out material.

-17-

DUE PARAT

26

TABLE 4.2

140 19 39.2 163 50 118 66 60 131 140 24.6 268 22.3 Viscera 8 X 82.0 16.7 21.0 45 207 34 13 2631 8 24 -Distribution of Gross Beta and Gamma Activity in Tissues of Large Fish (a) 3.4 7.7 2.7 3 3 $\frac{33}{51}$ 16 16 11 16 B X 3.26.3 3.5 2 7 8 8 9 c c 4 4 Θ r-Radioactivity ($\mu c \times 10^3/T$ issue)^(b) 106 18 62 30.4 308 37.5 271 313 298 50.7 111 $122 \\ 180$ 310 Bone 18 39 40.7 م ۳ 00 5,2 54.0 29 35 61 41 120 8 21.9 14.7 15.1 $\frac{15}{30}$ 119 93 104 44 78 94 89 8 B Nuscle 15.5 16.7 10.6 48 3 26 14 19 14 9 9 12 18 12.7 .8.3 9.239 26 16 69 36 69 54 24 \succ Skin 19.8 10.6 - 8 v 25 19 25 12 19 3.0 9 4 2 68 204 339 500 550 588 590 513 <u>339</u> 481 714 Total 272 64 168 112 69 96 96 Ø 84 53 113 196 Wet Weight 1450 230 840 1490 2170 1980 1880 503 391 497 597 (g) Percentage of Total Activity Percentage of Total Activity Orange Spots^(c) Percentage of Total Activity Rongelap Atoll, South Rongelap Atoll, North Flat Fish with Red Snapper Rongerik Atoll Fish 2 Pelargic Snappers Lutinius Grouper Mullet Parrot Average Average Average Southeast Eniwetak Lagoon Lagoon Island North Gejen

μc are in terms of Co⁶⁰ equivalent. >150 g.

Name unknown. DOE ARCHIVES ତ ହ ତ

> 27 370

-18-



| | Т | AE | LE | 4 | • | 3 |
|--|---|----|----|---|---|---|
|--|---|----|----|---|---|---|

| Island and | No. of | Average Weight | Activity (µc | <u>x 103)(b)</u> |
|---------------------|-----------|----------------|--------------|--------------------|
| Specimen | Specimens | (g) | β | Υ |
| Rongelap Atoll | | | | |
| Rongelap - Fish | 7 | 72 | 10 | 64 |
| Crab | 2 | 50 | 12 | 30 |
| Clam (c) | 1 | 200 | 210 | 150 |
| Gejen - Fish | 8 | 59 | 27 | 105 |
| Crab | 1 ' | 30 | 13 | 42 |
| Coconut Crab(C) | 1 | 1008 | 223 | 321 |
| Snail(C) | 2 | 19 | 373 | 108 |
| Labaredj-Fish | 8 | 62 | 75 | 70 |
| Crab | 1 | 68 | 33 | 105 |
| Clam ^(c) | 1 | 9 | 21 | 13 |
| Kabelle - Fish | 7 | 33 | 19 | 68 |
| Coconut Crab(c) | 1 | 490 | 164 | 156 |
| Rongerik Atoll | | | • | |
| Eniwetak - Fish | 2 | .24 | 24 | 55 |
| Bikar Atoll | | | • | |
| Bikar - Crab | 1 | 50 | 20 | 7 |
| Clam ^(c) | 1 | 31 | 11 | 8 |
| Rat tail | 1 | _(d) | 0.4 | NDA ^(e) |
| Likiep Atoll | | | | |
| Likiep - Fish | 4 | 155 | 5 | 1 |
| Clam ^(c) | 1 | 230 | 27 | 80 |
| Utirik Atoll | | | | |
| Utirik - Fish | 4 | 82 | 2.1 | 3.5 |

Summary of the Gross Beta and Gamma Activity in

<150 g.

(a) μc are in terms of Co^{60} equivalent. **(**b)

Without shell. (:)

No data taken. (d)

No detectable activity. (e)

-19-

370 28

TOP ARCHIVEE



| | ΤА | BL | Æ | 4 | .4 |
|--|----|----|---|---|----|
|--|----|----|---|---|----|

| Island and | No. of | Wet Weight | Activity(µc | $x \ 10^4 / \text{Tissue}^{(a)}$ |
|----------------------|--------------------------------|------------|--------------|--|
| Specimen | Specimens | (g) | β | γγ |
| ongelap Atoll | | | | |
| ejen - Terns | 2 | 163 | | |
| Gut | | | 46 | 115 |
| Tibia | | | 10 | 10 |
| Carcass | | | 197 | 290 |
| | | | 253 | 415 |
| abelle - Terns | 2 1 | 184 | | |
| Gut | | | 13 | 9 |
| Tibia | | | 23 | NDA ^(b) |
| Muscle | | | 22 | 6 |
| Carcass | | | 242 | 133 |
| | | | 300 | 148 |
| arbaredj - Terns | 2 | 146 | | |
| Gut | | | 114 | 37 |
| Tibia | | | 29 | 4 |
| | | | 143 | 41 |
| ongelap - Rooster | 1 | 1140 | | |
| Skeleton | | 268 | 6 800 | 8270 |
| Muscle | | 434 | 260 | 120 |
| Viscera | | 64 | 166 | 51 |
| Liver | | 144 | 29 | 6 |
| Heart | | 15 | 8 | 2 |
| Skin | | 157 | 16 | 18 |
| Lung | | | 2 | 2 |
| . | | | 7281 | 8479 |
| ongerik Atoll | | | | |
| niwetax - Terns | 2 | _(c) | | |
| Gat | _ | | 10 | 9 |
| Tibia | | | 6 | NDA |
| Muscle | | | 33 | 14 |
| Carcass | | | 126 | 294 |
| | | | 175 | 317 |
| ikar Atoll | | | | - |
| ikar - Terns | 2 | 126 | | |
| Gut | - | | 9 | 3 |
| Tibia | | | 6 | 1 |
| Muscle | | | 40 | 14 |
| Catcass | | | 14 | 14 |
| 02.000 | | | 69 | 32 |
| a) µc are in terms o | f Co ⁶⁰ equivalent. | | | ······································ |
| b) No detectable ac | tívity. | | | - |
| c) No data taken. | | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| | | | | |

Summary of the Gross Beta and Gamma Activity in Birds and Fowl

-20-

29

the set will be a

Average external gamma readings of the northern and southern Rongelap islands were 5.8 and 0.7 mr/hr respectively. The fish caught off Eniwetak Island (0.7 mr/hr external gamma) and Utirik Island (0.14 mr/hr external gamma) contained the same average concentration of internal activity as the fish of the southern Rongelap lagoon. Likiep fish (0.04 mr/hr external gamma on the island) contained lower but still detectable amounts of internal radioactive contamination. The total activity in the smaller fish (<150 g) was in general somewhat higher per unit body weight than that of the large fish. Crabs, clams, and especially snails were found to incorporate radionuclides to a much greater extent per unit body weight than did the fish in the corresponding localities (Table 4.3).

A number of tissue samples of marine specimens and of the rooster were analyzed for the concentrations of individual radionuclides (Table 4.5). In muscle and viscera samples of the animais from Rongelap, Utirik, and Rongerik, Sr⁸⁹ contributes approximately 0.5 per cent of the total beta activity. Sr⁹⁰ is present in an approximately 1:1 ratio with Sr⁸⁹. Since the Hunter and Ballou calculations³ indicate that Sr⁸⁹ and Sr⁹⁰ each contribute about 2 per cent of the total beta activity at one year after fission, there does not appear to be any fractionation of radiostrontium into the soft tissues. As expected, most of the internally deposited radioactivity was found in the skeleton.

Tissues of a few marine specimens were analyzed for Cs¹³⁷ (37-year half-life) since this nuclide was present in high concentrations in water and coconut milk from this area. The tissues of the rooster and of the coconut crab contain significant amounts of Cs¹³⁷. A very high fraction of Cs^{137} activity was noted in the muscle of the rooster (40 per cent of the total beta). Further radioanalysis of marine specimens indicated that the rare earth group constituted a few per cent of the total beta activity. Ru¹⁰⁵-Rh¹⁰⁶ and Zr⁹⁵-Nb⁹⁵ contributed the largest percentage of the total beta activity.

Comparison of the fish and clams collected at one year post-detonation with those collected at one month post-detonation and analyzed 4 months post-detonation reveal the following differences. In the group collected at one month the concentration of internally deposited fission products was 5 to 10 times that of the fish collected at one year. The residual activity in the fish analyzed at 4 months post-detonation averaged 2.5 µc beta activity (Co⁶⁰ equivalent) and the beta-to-gamma ratio was 1:2. In the current analyses, fish of comparable size had a beta activity of approximately 0.1 µc and a beta-to-gamma ratio of 1:4. The largest fraction of the gross beta activity in fish collected at one month was contributed by material in the viscera and liver. Smaller but equal amounts of activity were found in the muscle and skeleton in these fish. In the fish collected at one year post-detonation, in contrast to the group collected earlier, about 50 per cent of the activity was incorporated into the skeleton with only about 10 DOE ARCHIVES per cent found in muscle.

-21-

30

TABLE 4.5

| Intard Fish Weight (g) Tasue Activity-3, (d/m x 10 - 3) \mathbf{S}^2 0 Rate Bartha Calify Calify Sight Tasue Activity-3, (d/m x 10 - 3) \mathbf{S}^2 0 Rune Calify \mathbf{Z}^2 0 Rongetap Flart Fish 501 Useera 82 1.2 1.0 3.2 0.01 1.4.2 1.4.2 1.4.2 Rongetap Flart Fish 561 Useera 85 0.1 0.2 0.2 1.3 Rules, Rules 1.4.2 1.4.2 61 Rongetap Flart Fish 591 Muscle 1.5 0.1 0.1 0.2 1.3 1.4.2 61 Rod Eye Crab 301 Total Body 225 0.1 0.1 0.3 5.3 61 1.4.2 Rod Eye Crab 301 Total Body 225 0.1 0.3 1.4 1.0 1.4.2 5.3 61 1.4.2 Rougetap Kulter Clam 230 Total Body 231 1.1 0.3 2.3 | | | | | | Total Beta | | Percer | itage of 7 | rotal Beta | Activity | |
|--|----|------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------|-------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------|-------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------|
| Rongelap Rongelap Pelargic Sia Unit 3.2 0.07 Rongelap Pelargic 503 Viceria 82 1.2 1.0 3.2 0.07 Rongelap Pelargic 503 Viceria 82 0.1 0.1 0.1 1.3 Flat Fish 597 Muscle 20 0.2 0.2 1.3 1.4.2 61 Flat Fish 597 Visceria 585 0.1 0.1 1.8 1.4.2 61 Splder Snall 11 703 225 0.1 0.1 1.8 2.1 1.4.2 Splder Snall 11 703 126 1.1 0.8 1.1.3 2.1 8.3 65 Splder Snall 11 7 2.1 1.1.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 1.1.1 2.1 5.3 65 Splder Snall 11 7 20 1.2 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 1.1 | | Island | Fish | Weight (g) | Tissue | Activity (d/m x 10 ⁻³) | sr ⁸⁹ | sr ⁹⁰ | Rare Earths | Cs ¹³⁷ | Ru ¹⁰⁶ -Rh ¹⁰⁶ | zr ⁹⁵ |
| $ \begin{array}{c ccccc} \mbox{Rongelap} & \mbox{Full eff} & \mbox{Viscena} & \mbox{Rongelap} & \mbox{Full eff} & \mbox{Stapper} & \mbox{Stappe} & \mbox{Stapper} & $ | | Rongel | ap Atoll | | | | | | | | | |
| $ \begin{array}{c ccccc} \mbox{Rongelap} & \mbox{Perf} & \mbox{fill} & \mbox{fill} & \mbox{Flat} & \mbox{fill} $ | | | | | Viscera | 82 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 3.2 | 0.07 | | |
| $ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$ | | Rongelap | Pelargic ' | 503 | Gill | ę | 0.4 | 0.3 | 3.2 | | | |
| $ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$ | | Lagoon | Sna pper | | Muscle | 20 | 0.2 | 0.2 | - (a) | | | |
| $ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$ | | | | | Muscle | 40 | 0.6 | 0,5 | 5.6 | | | |
| $ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$ | | | Flat Fish | 597 | Viscera | 585 | 0.1 | 0,1 | 18 | | 14.2 | 61 |
| Gelen Coconu Crab 1008 Viscera 225 0.7 0.6 1.9 2.1 Splder Snall 11 Total Body 120 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 2.1 Splder Snall 11 Total Body 29 11.1 0.8 1.6 1.0 Red Eye Crab 30 Total Body 29 1.1 0.8 1.6 1.0 Red Eye Crab 30 Total Body 60 0.2 0.2 2.5 1.0 5.3 65 Inharedj Kilter Clam 230 Total Body 60 0.2 0.2 2.5 1.0 5.3 65 Rougelap ROOSTER 1140 Liver 7 2.0 1.0 0.2 2.5 1.1 1.0 5.3 65 Rougelap ROOSTER 1140 Liver 7 2.0 1.6 0.2 2.5 1.4 1.0 Uturk Junterfly Fish 185 Total Body 1 1.1 0.2 1.4 1.0 Uturk Junterfly Fish | | | | | Muscle | 175 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 1.3 | | | |
| GelenSpider Snail26Total Body12040.10.17.85.365Spider Snail11Total Body4220.1NDA(b)1.91.95.365Red Eye Crab30Total Body291.10.81.61.06.35.365LaharedjKiller Clam230Total Body600.20.22.55.36.3Muscle1140Viscera230.60.20.22.51.4NuscleapROOSTER1140Viscera230.60.21.41.0NuiscleapROOSTER1140Viscera230.60.21.41.0UttrikButterfly185Total Body11.10.9111.0UttrikButterfly1.85Total Body111.10.911Mascle70.80.20.20.20.20.0Kongerik Atoli1.85Total Body7Kongerik Atoli1.85Total Body70.88.20.04Kongerik Atoli0.80.90.20.20.20.20.30.04Kongerik Atoli1.85Total Body70.90.20.20.30.04Kongerik Atoli1.85Total Body1Kondat atknik(j) No detectrable activity0.20. | | | Coconut Crab | 1008 | Viscera | 225 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 1,9 | 2.1 | | |
| $\frac{\text{splder Snall}}{\text{Red Eye Crab}} 11 \text{Total Body} 422 0.1 \text{NDA}^{(D)} 1.9 5.3 5.3 \\ \text{Red Eye Crab} 30 \text{Total Body} 29 1.1 0.8 1.6 1.0 \\ \text{Red Eye Crab} 30 \text{Total Body} 60 0.2 0.2 2.5 \\ \text{Muscle} 11 0.8 1.6 1.0 \\ \text{Viscera} 23 0.6 0.5 1.4 \\ \text{Viscera} 23 0.6 0.5 1.4 1.0 \\ \text{Thia} 101 0.2 0.2 1.4 1.0 \\ \text{Red Erfly Fish} 185 \text{Total Body} 7 2.0 1.6 51 \\ \text{Utirk Atoll} 1.1 0.9 11 \\ \text{Utirk Atoll} 1.0 0.2 0.2 1.4 1.0 \\ \text{Utirk Atoll} 24 \text{Total Body} 1 1.1 0.9 1.1 \\ \text{Utirk Butterfly Fish} 185 \text{Total Body} 1 1.1 0.9 1.1 \\ \text{Rongerik Atoll} 20 \text{Muscle} 7 0.8 8.2 \\ \text{(s) No derectable activity} \end{array}$ | | Gejen | Spider Snail | 26 | Total Body | 1204 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 7.8 | | | |
| Red Eye Crab30Total Body291.10.81.61.0LabaredjKiller Clam230Total Body600.20.22.5RougelapROOSTER1140Liver11-240Viscera230.60.5144Skin121.31.00.20.21.4UtirikEel2472.01.64UtirikEel2472.01.64UtirikEel240.20.20.21.41.0UtirikEel241.10.20.21.41.0Rengerik Atoll2470.20.21.11.0Rengerik Atoll30Muscle70.88.20.04(b) No derectable activity.6.00.20.20.20.20.2(b) No derectable activity.1000.20.20.20.04 | | | Spider Snall | 11 | Total Body | 432 | 0.1 | (q) VDN | 1.9 | | 5.3 | 65 |
| Labaredj Killer Clam 230 Total Body 60 0.2 0.2 2.5 Rougelap ROOSTER 1140 Utver 23 0.6 0.5 14 4 Rougelap ROOSTER 1140 Utver 23 0.6 0.5 14 4 Skin 12 1.3 1.0 51 1.0 Tibia 101 0.2 0.2 1.4 1.0 Utitik Eel 24 1.0 Utitik Eel 24 1.0 1.1 0.9 11 Eriwetek Multet 230 Mascle 7 0.8 8.2 0.04 (a) No detectable activity. | | | Red Eye Crab | 30 | Total Body | 29 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 1.6 | 1.0 | - | |
| Rongelap ROOSTER 1140 Liver 21 \cdot 2 40 Viscera 23 0.6 0.5 14 \cdot 4 Skin 12 1.0 1.6 51 1.0 51 Tibla 101 0.2 0.2 1.4 1.0 Tibla 101 0.2 0.2 1.4 1.0 Utirik Eel 24 Total Body 1 1.1.1 0.9 11 Butterfly Fish 185 Total Body 7 \cdot 2.0 11 0.9 11 Eel 24 Total Body 7 \cdot 2.0 2 39 0.04 (i) No data taken. (b) No detertable activity. | | Labaredj | Killer Clam | 230 | Total Body | 6 0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 2.5 | | | |
| RongelapROOSTER1140Viscera230.60.514RongelapROOSTER1140Liver72.01.64Skin121.31.0511.051Tibla1010.20.20.21.41.0UtitikEel24Total Body11.10.911UtitikButterfly Fish185Total Body11.10.911Rongerik AtollEniwetakMuscle70.88.20.04(a) No data taken.(b) No detectable activity.(c) No detectable activity.0.20.20.20.04 | | | | | Muscle | 11 | | · | 62 | 40 | | |
| RougelapRooSTER1140Liver72.01.64Skin121.31.0511.051Tibla1010.20.21.41.0UtirikEel2.4Total Body11.10.911UtirikButterfly Fish185Total Body72.61.31.0Rongerik AtollButterfly185Total Body72.6 $\frac{1.1}{2}$ 2.9EulweinkMullet230Muscle70.8 $\frac{8.2}{0.3}$ 0.04(a) No data taken.(b) No detectable activity.1000.20.2390.04 | | | | | Viscera | 23 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 14 | | | |
| With Atoll Tibla121.051Tibla1010.20.21.41.0UtirikEel Butterfly Fish24Total Body11.10.911UtirikButterfly Fish185Total Body72221.41.0Rongerik AtollButterfly Fish185Total Body722222Rongerik AtollMuscle70.88.220.04(a) No detectable activity.(b) No detectable activity.0.20.2390.04 | | Dougelan | ROOSTER | 1140 | Liver | 7 | 2.0 | 1.6 | 4 | | | |
| Tibla 101 0.2 0.2 1.4 1.0 Utirik Atoll Utirik $\frac{\text{Utirik Atoll}}{\text{Butterfly Fish}}$ 24 Total Body 1 1.1 0.9 11 $\frac{\text{Rongerik Atoll}}{\text{Butterfly Fish}}$ 185 Total Body 7 | | Nungump | | | Skin | 12 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 51 | | | |
| Utrick Atoll 24 Total Body 1 1.1 0.9 11 Utrick Eel 24 Total Body 7 1 1.1 0.9 11 Butterfly Fish 185 Total Body 7 2 2 2 2 Rongerik Atoll Rongerik Atoll Muscle 7 0.8 8.2 2 Eviwetak Mullet 230 Viscera 100 0.2 39 0.04 (a) No detectable activity. (b) No detectable activity. 1 1 1 1 1 | | | | | Tibia | 101 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 1.4 | 1.0 | | |
| Utirik Eel 24 Total Body 1 1.1 0.9 11 Butterfly Fish 185 Total Body 7 | | Utit | rik Atoll | | | | | | | | | • |
| Utilik Butterfly Fish 185 Total Body 7 6.8 Rongerik Atoll Rongerik Atoll Muscle 7 0.8 8.2 Eujwetak Mullet 230 Muscle 7 0.2 39 0.04 (a) No data taken. (b) No derectable activity. | | | Eel | 24 | Total Body | 1 | 1.1 | 6*0 | 11 | | | |
| Rongerik AtollRongerik Atoll0.88.2EujwetakMulllet230Muscle70.88.2(a) No data taken.Visitera1000.20.2390.04(b) No detectable activity. | | Utirik | Butterfly Fish | 185 | Total Body | - | ı | l | ı | | | |
| Eujwerak Mullet 230 Muscle 7 0.6 0.2 39 0.04 (a) No data taken. (b) No detectable activity. | ĩ | Rong | erik Atoll | | | , t | c | | c a | | • | |
| (a) No data taken. (b) No detectable activity. | 0 | Eijwerak | Mullet | 230 | Muscle Viscera | 100 | 0.2 0.2 | 0.2 | 39 | 0.04 | | |
| | AB | (a) No da (b) No de | ta taken. teetable activity. | | | | | | | | | |
| | E | | | | | | | | | | | • |
| | VE | | | | | - | - | | | | | |

31 370

المستقدر ، رائم آثر زمر الع

in the

CHAPTER 5

GAMMA-DOSE RATES

Gamma-dose rates at 3 ft above ground were determined with AN/PDR 27 C survey meters.

Specific locations which had been monitored on earlier surveys were resurveyed whenever they could be located. General surveys were run on all islands. A linearity calibration was carried out on the instruments with a 93.53-mg Ra source.^{*} Low intensity Cs¹³⁷ standards were carried in the field in order to maintain a continual check on the behavior of the instruments.

5.1 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

5.1.1. General Surveys

Table 5.1 contains the gamma-dose rates found on the islands surveyed. These data are reported as of 11 months post-detonation.

| Average | Gamma-dose Rat | es on Islands |
|----------|----------------|------------------------------------|
| Atoll | Island | Gamma-dose Rate (mr/hr at 3 ft) |
| Likiep | Likiep | 0.04 |
| Utirík | Utirik | 0.14 |
| Bikar | Elkar | 0.27 |
| Rougerik | Eniwetak | 0.7 |
| Rongelap | Rongelap | 0.7 |
| Rongelap | Busch | 0.8 |
| Rongelap | Enlaetok | 2.4 |
| Rongelap | Labaredj | 3.0 |
| Rongelap | Kabelle | 4.2 |
| Rongelap | Lukuen | 4.8 |
| Rongelap | Gejen | 5.4 |
| Rongelap | Lomuilal | 5.8 |

TABLE 5.1

• Made available by the Radiological Safety Section, Atomic Energy Commission, at the Pacific Proving Grounds.

-23-

Dell' parter

370

Photodosimetry data • on Rongelap and Kabelle islands are in good agreement with the values reported herein. In general, it was found that gamma-dose rates were uniform over any individual island. Such variations as occurred appeared to be associated with distinct features of the islands such as Marshallese living areas with little organic covering, wide roads, shifting sand dunes, and tidal washes.

5.1.2 Surveys at Specific Locations

Table 5.2 presents readings taken at various specific locations on the islands. In general, most of the specific locations had been set up in the living areas by earlier survey teams and the levels are lower than those encountered over the major portion of the islands.

DOE ARCHIVES

370

33

Furnished by Mr. R.L. Taylor, Atomic Energy Commission Radiological Safety Representative.

-24-



TABLE 5.2

| Gamma-dose | Rates | at | Specific | Locations | on | Islands |
|------------|-------|----|----------|-----------|----|---------|
|------------|-------|----|----------|-----------|----|---------|

| Island | Specific Location | Gamma-dose Rate (mr/hr at 3 ft) |
|----------|---|------------------------------------|
| Utirik | Stake 100 ft westward from southwest corner of church (in grove) | 0.2 |
| | Wood enclosure 30 yd inland from cemetery | 0.6 |
| Rongelap | West side of flagpole, center of northern village | 0.5 |
| | Central cistern, 200 yd W of flagpole | 0.5 |
| | Roof, southern cistern, 350 yd W of flagpole | 0.5 |
| | Northern cistern, opposite flagpole | 0.4 |
| | Cistern 150 yd E of flagpole | 0.7 |
| | Southernmost cistern of northern village | 0.5 |
| | Road Marker XV at Cistern 100 yd S of burned church | 0.4 |
| Busch | Stake 50 yd from beach, center of path in coconut grove | 0.8 |
| Eniaetok | Two stakes at 100 yd from beach just north of west peninsula | 1.8 |
| Kabelle | Stake painted yellow, at high-tide line, west shore | 3.1 |
| Lukuen | Stake painted yellow, at high-tide line, southwest corner of island | 4.8 |
| Gejen | Stake painted yellow, at high-tide line, near west coconut trees | 3.6 |
| Lomuilal | Stake painted yellow, at high-tide line, south end of island | .5.8 |
| | Living area, mess hall interior | 0.25 |
| | Living area, hospital interior | 0.3 |
| Eniwetak | Living area, walk from hospital to mess | 0.5 |
| | Living area, store room (behind mess) | 0.3 |
| | Living area, exterior store room tent | 0.3 |
| | Living area, general area exterior | 0.4 |
| | Weather station, exterior areas, local | 0.5 |
| | Weather station, interior all tents | 0.4 |
| | Weather station, interior all buildings | 0.4 |
| | Army site, general area | 0.4 |
| · | Army site, interior tents | 0.4 |
| | Army site, adjacent to trailer position | 0.4 |



370

34

-25-



CHAPTER 6

SUMMARY

6.1 CONCLUSIONS

Significant amounts of beta and gamma activity were found in the tissues of Marshall Island fish and marine invertebrates collected one year following exposure to the fall-out from Operation CASTLE. The highest concentrations of internally deposited activity was found in marine specimens taken from the northern Rongelap lagoon; lower concentrations of internal activity were found in specimens from the southern lagoon. The crabs, clams, and snails contained considerably higher concentrations of radionuclides than were found in the fish from the same area. Most of the activity in the marine specimens was contributed by Zr^{95} -Nb⁹⁵ and Ru¹⁰⁶-Rh¹⁰⁶. There was no fractionation of Sr⁸⁹-Sr⁹⁰ in the tissue of the fish analyzed.

Residual soil contamination was primarily contained in the top several inches of soil with movement indicated down to the lens water. The activity is being slowly leached off the islands by ocean tides. The major radionuclide found in the land food plants and in the tissues of land animals was Cs^{137} . The lagoon environment contained principally rare earth group elements, Ru^{106} - Rh^{106} , and Zr^{95} - Nb^{95} .

Radioactivity was found in all food plants on the contaminated islands. Supporting plant systems also contained a large reservoir of activity available for future incorporation into the plants.

The amount of activity in the specimens analyzed was generally proportional to the external gamma reading in each of the areas.

6.2 COMMENTS ON FUTURE WORK

In the event that future work is carried out along the lines initiated during this project the following suggestion may be helpful.

-27-

201 La Carrie

370

Sampling of coconut tree sap, pandanus, and breadfruit would be greatly expedited by scheduling the major survey during the end of the rainy season, preferably in November. This would also allow a better study of the effect of rainfall on the leaching of activity from the soil into the lens water and from there into the lagoon or ocean.

Studies on the movement of activity into the supporting plant systems might be broadened to forecast the transfer of more hazardous nuclides into reproductive fractions of the plants.

Approved by:

P.C. TOMPKINS Scientific Director



370

37

-28-

RÉFERENCES

- Cronkite, E. P., et al., Study of Response of Human Beings Accidentally Exposed to Significant Fallout Radiation, Naval Medical Research Institution and Naval Radiological Defense Laboratory, Operation CASTLE Project 4.1 Final Report No. WT-923 (Confidential) October 1954. Also, J. <u>Am. Med. Assoc.</u> 159:430 (1955).
- Cohn, S. H., et al., Study of the Internal Radioactive Contamination of Human Beings and Animals and Contamination of the Environment Resulting From Fall-out in Operation CASTLE, Naval Medical Research Institution and Naval Radiological Defense Laboratory, Operation CASTLE Project 4.1 Addendum Report No. WT-936, (Confidential) 1955.
- 3. Hunter, H.F., and Ballou, N.E. Fission Product Decay Rates, Nucleonics, Vol. 9, No. 5, pp c-2 to c-7, November 1951.



-29-



Make Copy far our filere Send Copy to Bob Miltonburg BNL

Department of Energy Technical Information Center P.O. Box 62 Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37830

AI-1

17

10-30-81

Dear Requestor:

The enclosed material is in response to your telephone request to

Dorothy PriceElizabeth Carte

Faye Horn

Elizabeth Carter Ray Oxendine Mary GlovierDorothy Eldridge

Ray Oxendine

/_7 Judy Phillips
_/ Katherine Hensley

□ Kate Piper

Customer Services Division

Enclosures:

DOT AFOFFITE