ACUTE AND CHRONIC INTAKES OF FALLOUT RADIONUCLIDES BY MARSHALLESE FROM NUCLEAR WEAPONS TESTING AT BIKINI AND ENEWETAK AND RELATED INTERNAL RADIATION DOSES

Steven L. Simon,* André Bouville,* Dunstana Melo,* Harold L. Beck,[†] and Robert M. Weinstock[‡]

Abstract—Annual internal radiation doses resulting from both acute and chronic intakes of all important dose-contributing radionuclides occurring in fallout from nuclear weapons testing at Bikini and Enewetak from 1946 through 1958 have been estimated for the residents living on all atolls and separate reef islands of the Marshall Islands. Internal radiation absorbed doses to the tissues most at risk to cancer induction (red bone marrow, thyroid, stomach, and colon) have been estimated for representative persons of all population communities for all birth years from 1929 through 1968, and for all years of exposure from 1948 through 1970. The acute intake estimates rely on a model using, as its basis, historical urine bioassay data, for members of the Rongelap Island and Ailinginae communities as well as for Rongerik residents. The model also utilizes fallout times of arrival and radionuclide deposition densities estimated for all tests and all atolls. Acute intakes of 63 radionuclides were estimated for the populations of the 20 inhabited atolls and for the communities that were relocated during the testing years for reasons of safety and decontamination. The model used for chronic intake estimates is based on reported whole-body, urine, and blood counting data for residents of Utrik and Rongelap. Dose conversion coefficients relating intake to organ absorbed dose were developed using internationally accepted models but specifically tailored for intakes of particulate fallout by consideration of literature-based evidence to choose the most appropriate alimentary tract absorption fraction (f_1) values. Dose estimates were much higher for the thyroid gland than for red marrow, stomach wall, or colon. The highest thyroid doses to adults were about 7.600 mGy for the people exposed on Rongelap; thyroid doses to adults were much lower, by a factor of 100 or more, for the people exposed on the populated atolls of Kwajalein and Majuro. The estimates of radionuclide intake and internal radiation dose to the Marshallese that are presented in this paper are the most complete

(Manuscript accepted 5 May 2010)

0017-9078/10/0

Copyright © 2010 Health Physics Society

DOI: 10.1097/HP.0b013e3181dc4e51

available anywhere and were used to make projections of lifetime cancer risks to the exposed populations, which are presented in a companion paper in this volume. Health Phys. 99(2):157–200; 2010

Key words: dose, internal; fallout; Marshall Islands; nuclear weapons

INTRODUCTION

INTERNAL RADIATION doses to residents of the Marshall Islands during the years of nuclear testing at Bikini and Enewetak (1946–1958), as well as in later years, were a consequence of inadvertent intake of radioactive materials from nuclear tests that were deposited as fallout. Doses were received both from acute intakes, i.e., those intakes occurring at the time of fallout or immediately afterwards, and from chronic intakes of residual radioactivity in the environment, i.e., intakes occurring continuously for many years after deposition. But deriving and understanding the true range of organ doses received by the Marshallese specific to each nuclear test and at each atoll of residence has remained an unmet challenge for many years. Understanding radiation doses to the Marshallese is important for several reasons that include providing to the Marshallese a complete account of the radiation doses they received and the related health consequences, increasing our overall understanding of the health impact of nuclear testing conducted in the past, and increasing our understanding and ability to prepare against fallout events in the future.

A companion paper addresses external doses received by representative persons in the Marshall Islands from nuclear testing (Bouville et al. 2010). This paper addresses internal doses. The sum of the internal and external doses (Simon et al. 2010), when estimated as age-specific annual doses at each atoll, can be used to predict the excess cancer burden that resulted from the

^{*} Division of Cancer Epidemiology and Genetics, National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD 20892; [†] New York City, NY (retried from U.S. DOE); [‡] Consultant, Bethesda, MD.

For correspondence contact: Steven L. Simon, National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, 6120 Executive Blvd., Bethesda, MD 20892, or email at ssimon@mail.nih.gov.

exposures. The subject of cancer risks is addressed in a companion paper by Land et al. (2010).

We have attempted to collect and use the available data and information to conduct a dose reconstruction in a manner we believe to be relatively free of intentional biases. To accomplish that, in a companion paper (Beck et al. 2010), we estimated the deposition densities of 63 fallout radionuclides determined to have contributed over 99% of the acute internal dose at all 32 inhabited and uninhabitated atolls of the Marshall Islands, excluding Bikini and Enewetak Atolls where the tests were conducted, and developed a method to estimate acute and chronic intakes of radioactive materials from the nuclear tests for representative persons of various age groups at all inhabited atolls and the related doses to four organs. Acute intakes took place during the period of the time the fallout was being deposited at each atoll (if during the day) or shortly afterwards if the fallout arrived at night. The assumption was made that acute intakes were primarily the result of eating superficially-contaminated food, using contaminated eating utensils, ingesting contamination deposited on the hands and face, and to a lesser degree, drinking contaminated water (Lessard et al. 1985). Following the deposition of radionuclides on the ground, protracted or chronic intakes took place by ingestion but at rates much smaller than those due to the acute intakes. The environmental pathways resulting in chronic intakes are substantially different from the direct deposition of fallout on ground surfaces and materials accounting for acute intakes. Chronic intakes among Marshallese were primarily a result of consumption of seafood and of locally grown terrestrial foodstuffs and, to a lesser degree, inadvertent consumption of soil (Simon 1998; NCRP 1999).

Doses estimated in this work are atoll and age-group annual and lifetime radiation absorbed doses (Gy) to four organs, red bone marrow (RBM), thyroid gland, stomach wall, and colon wall, and presented as best estimates and with 90% uncertainty ranges. Doses pertaining to representative persons residing at every inhabited atoll and for all relevant birth years have been estimated for the analysis of cancer risk (Land et al. 2010). In this paper we present the dosimetric findings for four communities (Majuro, Kwajalein, Utrik, and Rongelap) that represent the overall range of doses received across the Marshall Islands as well as represent the populations of the two atolls with the largest number of residents (Majuro, the capital and largest population center, and Kwajalein, home to a U.S. military base and the second largest population center).

As far as we know, there are no publications in the peer-reviewed literature on internal doses to all the Marshallese from fallout on a yearly basis from 1948 through 1970. Previous reports focused primarily on August 2010, Volume 99, Number 2

doses to the most exposed populations in the northern Marshall Islands immediately downwind from the 1954 Bravo test (James 1964; Lessard et al. 1984, 1985). Much of the earlier work was reported in a special issue of *Health Physics* (Simon and Vetter 1997) and focused on monitoring of the most impacted islands and people, developing land remediation strategies, and assessing contemporary and possible future doses that might be received by inhabitants of certain atolls of the northern Marshall Islands. However, to our knowledge, no analysis has ever been completed on the intakes and internal doses from all fallout radionuclides, from all tests, and at all inhabited atolls. The primary goal of this publication and the companion papers was to carry out a comprehensive dose assessment and cancer risk projection.

Historical context

Of all the Pacific nuclear tests, the 1954 Castle Bravo test at Bikini Atoll caused the most serious exposures. Following the Bravo detonation on 1 March 1954, heavy early fallout was unexpectedly deposited on nearby atolls in the Marshall Islands to the east of Bikini beginning at about 4 h post-detonation and resulting in moderate to high radiation exposures to small groups of Marshallese and Americans living or staying on those atolls: 64 Marshallese on Rongelap, 18 Marshallese from Rongelap staying on Sifo Island in Ailinginae Atoll, 159 Marshallese on Utrik Atoll, 28 military weather observers on Rongerik Atoll, and 23 sailors on the Japanese fishing vessel, the Lucky Dragon (see Cronkite et al. 1997 and Simon 1997 for additional history). The magnitudes of internal doses received by the thyroid gland of the Marshallese and American weather servicemen were not completely understood at the time of the Bravo test, primarily because there was little experience at estimating the many factors that are important to the determination of radiation dose, e.g., fission yields, atmospheric dispersion and deposition-related factors, quantitative understanding of modes of intake (inhalation vs. ingestion), solubility of different nuclides, doses received per unit activity intake of each radioiodine, etc.

The earliest estimates of internal dose to the highly exposed Rongelap and Ailinginae populations were in a Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory (LASL) memo to the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission (USAEC) (Harris 1954). In that document, a summary of measurements of urinary excretion of ¹³¹I and several other nuclides were reported from population pooled urine samples collected from adults at 16, 17, and 19 d post-detonation. Later, James (1964) estimated thyroid doses to Rongelap children based on the LASL excretion data (Harris 1954), though James mistakenly reported that the LASL pooled urine sample contained 20.1% (by volume) from ages

5–16 y and 4.8% from ages <5 y (Harris et al. 2010). Lessard et al. (1985) made the first detailed and methodologically traceable estimates of internal and external doses to the Rongelap and Ailinginae groups using the excretion data of Harris (1954) and other information, in particular, life style information on the Marshallese summarized by Sharp and Chapman (1957). Other investigators, primarily from the medical and health research community, later cited the estimates of Lessard et al. (1985), as that analysis was the most thorough at that time and the best documented. All of the aforementioned dose assessment reports mistakenly assumed that the LASL pooled urine samples included urine from children (Harris et al. 2010).

In 2004, the National Cancer Institute (NCI) estimated for the first time external and internal doses to residents of all atolls from all nuclear tests conducted in the Marshall Islands (DCEG 2004). However, in that analysis, many simplifying assumptions were made and the dose estimates were conservative so as not to underestimate the cancer risks. This publication and its companion papers (Ibrahim et al. 2010; Beck et al. 2010; Bouville et al. 2010; Moroz et al. 2010; Harris et al. 2010; Land et al. 2010) provide a comprehensive description of an improved analysis and provide complete descriptions of methodologies used, as well as the findings. Simon et al. (2010) summarizes the main findings of all these papers and also provides tables of relevant data on tests, radionuclides, etc., used in all the papers.

METHODS

The methods described in this section are those used to estimate: (1) the acute intakes that took place during the period of time when fallout was being deposited at each atoll or soon afterwards; (2) the chronic intakes due to the consumption of local aquatic and terrestrial foodstuffs internally contaminated with long-lived radionuclides; (3) the annual and lifetime organ doses per unit acute intake; and (4) the annual and lifetime organ doses per unit chronic intake.

Twenty-six population groups are considered in this work; they include the permanent residents of each of the 20 atolls and reef islands (Ailinglaplap, Ailuk, Arno, Aur, Ebon, Jaluit, Kwajalein, Lae, Lib Island, Likiep, Majuro, Maloelap, Mejit Island, Mili, Namorik, Namu, Ujae, Ujelang, Wotho, and Wotje) that were inhabited during the 1948–1962 testing period as well as six of the seven communities or groups that were evacuated or not resident on their home atoll during at least part of the testing period [Ailinginae, Bikini, Rongelap (two groups), Rongerik, and Utrik]. The seventh population group consists of the people who were evacuated from Enewetak to Ujelang before the testing period; they are considered here to be permanent residents of Ujelang.

As indicated in Beck et al. (2010), it is estimated that 20 nuclear tests deposited fallout of any consequence in the Marshall Islands: Yoke in 1948; Dog and Item in 1951; Mike and King in 1952; Bravo, Romeo, Koon, Union, Yankee, and Nectar in 1954; Zuni, Flathead, and Tewa in 1956; Cactus, Fir, Koa, Maple, Redwood, and Cedar in 1958. Acute intakes and corresponding doses have been estimated for each of the 20 tests, the characteristics of which are presented in Simon et al. (2010, Table 1). For the determination of the internal doses from chronic intakes among atoll population groups that were not evacuated, the cumulative deposition from all tests in each year was used for the intake calculation.

Sixty-three radionuclides listed in Simon et al. (2010, Table 4) have been considered in the estimation of acute intakes and their corresponding doses. This group of radionuclides was chosen based on screening estimates, using conservative ingestion dose factors, to collectively have contributed at least 98% of the dose to the organs of concern. These screening calculations were based on the relative deposition factors published by Hicks (1981, 1984). Five long-lived radionuclides (55Fe, ⁶⁰Co, ⁶⁵Zn, ⁹⁰Sr, and ¹³⁷Cs), which were detected in whole-body and bioassay measurements conducted several years after the Bravo test in 1954, were considered for the estimation of chronic intakes and corresponding doses. In addition, acute and chronic intakes of ²³⁹⁺²⁴⁰Pu were crudely estimated based on retrospective measurements of cumulative Pu in soil samples. The depositions of ²³⁹Pu and ²⁴⁰Pu for specific tests, relative to ¹³⁷Cs or any other radionuclide, were not reported by Hicks (1984) as that information is still classified. Intakes of all above radionuclides were estimated for typical (representative) children subdivided into 5 age groups (≤ 1 y, 1–2 y, 3-7 y, 8-12 y, 13-17 y), as well as for representative adults. The estimated radionuclide intakes were used as the basis for estimating organ doses.

Acute intakes

The methods used in this study for estimating acute intakes of fallout radionuclides were based on the following four steps: (1) estimation of the intake of ¹³¹I by adults on Rongelap, Ailinginae, and Rongerik following the Bravo test using historical bioassay data, (2) estimation of the intakes of 62 other radionuclides considered in addition to ¹³¹I (Simon et al. 2010, Table 4) by adults on Rongelap, Ailinginae, and Rongerik following the Bravo test, (3) estimation of the intakes of the 63 radionuclides by adults on all inhabited atolls following all of the 20 tests that were considered (Simon et al. 2010, Table 1), and (4) estimation of the intakes by children, relative to the intakes by adults.

Estimation of acute intake by adults of ¹³¹I at Rongelap, Ailinginae, and Rongerik following the Bravo test. The estimation of ¹³¹I intake by the highly exposed populations in this work, as well as previously in Lessard et al. (1985) and NCI (2004), was based on bioassay measurements of urine samples collected within 19 d of the Bravo test originally reported by Harris (1954) and described more fully in Harris et al. (2010). The bioassay data provided direct empirical evidence of the internal contamination following the event to a subset of the Marshall Islands population. Because of the lack of detailed information on the pathways of the acute intakes, the bioassay data were used as the basis for estimating intakes to adults at all atolls.

The basic calculation to estimate the average intake of ¹³¹I among the adults from whom a 24-h urine sample was collected, is shown in eqn (1):

$$\bar{Q} = \frac{CR \times K \times \bar{V}}{EF(t) \times \varepsilon_{\rm C}},\tag{1}$$

where

- \bar{Q} = acute intake of ¹³¹I intake (Bq, group average);
- CR = background adjusted count rate of ¹³¹I per mL of urine (c s⁻¹ mL⁻¹);
- K = correction factor corresponding to the radioactive decay of ¹³¹I between time of sampling and time of counting;
- \overline{V} = 24-h urine volume (mL) averaged over sampled population;
- EF(t) = urinary excretion fraction for ¹³¹I on day of sampling; and
 - $\varepsilon_{\rm C}$ = gamma detector counting efficiency (count per decay).

The calculation of radionuclide intakes for this study via eqn (1) depends on having relevant data for the Marshallese population. The data used in our calculations to determine the values of the acute intakes of 131 I are described in the Appendix.

Estimation of acute intakes by adults of radionuclides other than ¹³¹I at Rongelap, Ailinginae, and Rongerik following the Bravo test. Our estimates of the acute intake of radionuclides other than ¹³¹I by adults are based on: (1) an estimate of the time-of-intake (TOI), which is important for short-lived radionuclides due to the rapid change of their activity with time after the detonation, where TOI is derived from the corresponding value at the fallout time-of-arrival (TOA in h, provided in Beck et al. 2010), (2) the calculation of the ground deposition density (Bq m⁻²) at TOI of the radionuclides considered, and (3) a relationship between intake by adults and ground deposition density for any radionuclide following the Bravo test.

August 2010, Volume 99, Number 2

(1) Time-of-intake (TOI). It is assumed in this work that the acute intake at Rongelap following the Bravo test took place during the period of time that the fallout was being deposited. As a general rule of thumb, based on Nevada Test Site (NTS) fallout data (Quinn 1990), the duration of fallout is approximately equal to the TOA (h). While intake might occur at various times within that period, we made the simplifying assumption that the entire acute intake occurred slightly before midway in the period of deposition, i.e., TOI = TOA + $(0.4 \times TOA) = 1.4 \times TOA$. Selection of a point in time less than halfway during the period of fallout is appropriate as a central estimate since the rate of fallout deposition generally decreases with time. The estimated TOA at Rongelap for Bravo was 6 h post-detonation (Beck et al. 2010); the corresponding TOI, rounded to one significant figure, is estimated to be 8 h.

(2) Ground deposition density at TOI. In this work, as in Beck et al. (2010), the model and data reported by Hicks (1982, 1984) to describe the variation of the relative ground deposition densities of all radionuclides deposited in the fallout with time, t, after the detonation, were used to estimate the ground deposition densities at Rongelap, Ailinginae, and Rongerik at the TOIs following the Bravo test. The data of Hicks, termed here as normalized deposition factors or ND factors, relate the ground deposition density of each radionuclide at time t to the activity of a reference radionuclide at some reference time. In this work, we have chosen to use ¹³⁷Cs activity at 12 h post-detonation as the reference radionuclide and reference time to be consistent with the deposition results discussed in Beck et al. (2010) where it is shown that using ¹³⁷Cs as the reference allows comparisons of estimated deposition with contemporary soil analyses to validate the fallout estimates. Since the intakes of all radionuclides are based on the intake of ¹³¹I at Rongelap, this requires use of the normalized deposition of 131 I relative to 137 Cs as indicated below in eqn (2).

Hicks (1984) developed the nuclide-specific *ND* factors only at specific times post-detonation and for a limited set of fractionation ratios. For the purposes of this work, it was necessary to estimate the *ND* factors at times intermediate to the values Hicks provided (i.e., ~8 h for Rongelap, ~6 h for Ailinginae, and ~11 h for Rongerik). Using ¹³⁷Cs as the reference radionuclide for *ND* simplifies the interpolation over *t* since ¹³⁷Cs activity varies little with TOA, due to the long half-life of the radionuclide.

As described in Beck et al. (2010), it was also necessary to estimate the degree of fractionation and to modify the reported Hicks (1984) calculations to obtain *ND* estimates for these estimated fractionation ratios. The estimated fractionation ratios for Bravo for Rongelap, Ailinginae, and Rongerik were 1.4, 1.3, and 1.5, respectively (Beck et al. 2010).

(3) Relationship between ground deposition density and acute intake. The acute intake was assumed to be instantaneous and to be directly proportional to the ground deposition density of each radionuclide. Thus, the ratio of intake to ground deposition density, in all settings, was assumed to be independent of the radionuclide considered. The ratios of the intakes to ground deposition densities for any radionuclide were, thus, derived from the measured intakes of ¹³¹I and from the corresponding estimates of ground deposition density at Rongelap, Ailinginae, and Rongerik.

In summary, the average intakes, \overline{Q} (Bq), of any radionuclide, Z, other than ¹³¹I, by adults at Rongelap, Ailinginae, and Rongerik, were estimated by means of eqn (2):

$$\bar{Q}(Z)_{\text{Bravo}} = \bar{Q}(^{131}\text{I})_{\text{Bravo}} \times \frac{ND(Z)_{\text{Bravo}} \text{ at TOI}}{ND(^{131}\text{I})_{\text{Bravo}} \text{ at TOI}}.$$
(2)

Estimation of the intakes by adults of any radionuclide on any inhabited atoll following any test. The methodology used for Rongelap, Ailinginae, and Rongerik following the Bravo test was also used for all other tests and all other atolls. The intake of any radionuclide at any atoll was assumed to be proportional to the estimated deposition density of that radionuclide at that atoll, i.e., the pathways of acute intake were assumed to similar for all atolls and all tests. This simplifying assumption may not be strictly valid for atolls at large distances from the test site where fallout duration was much longer and particle sizes much smaller than at Rongelap. However, we believe that this model provides reasonable estimates of acute intake without any substantial bias at those atolls, though it is recognized that these estimates are more uncertain than the estimates of ¹³¹I intake following deposition of fallout at Rongelap, Ailinginae, and Rongerik from the Bravo test.

(1) Time of intake (TOI). Here again, we assumed that the acute intake at a given atoll following a given test occurred slightly before midway in the period of deposition, i.e., $TOI = 1.4 \times TOA$. Estimated TOIs for fallout from 20 tests for the 26 population groups residing at 25

atolls are presented in Table 1 as derived from estimated TOAs (Beck et al. 2010, Table 6). TOAs ranged from about 4 h for Bravo test fallout at Ailinginae to about 170 h for the most distant atolls and, thus, intakes there were assumed to have taken place at 6 h and 238 h post-detonation, respectively. As discussed in Beck et al. (2010), the fallout at distant atolls often occurred over extended periods and, therefore, the assumption that all of the intake took place at TOI may, in some cases, result in a slightly conservative estimate of intake for some radionuclides.

(2) Ground deposition density at TOI. As discussed above, in case of the Bravo test, the ND factors were calculated taking into account the degree of fractionation (Beck et al. 2010). The atom ratios of various nuclides released from the detonations of different nuclear weapons varied due to differences in fissile material and device construction (Hicks 1981). As shown in Beck et al. (2010), the ¹³¹I to ¹³⁷Cs ratio was quite insensitive to the particular test, even for non-thermonuclear compared to thermonuclear tests. Although many radionuclide ratios varied only slightly between the types of test (thermonuclear vs. non-thermonuclear), some of the radionuclide ratios differed significantly, reflecting the different fission yields for ²³⁹Pu fission compared to ²³⁸U fast fission. Most of the fission occurring in the thermonuclear tests was from fast fission of ²³⁸U (Glasstone and Dolan 1977). In this work, the radionuclide mixture for the Bravo test was used for deposition-density estimates for all thermonuclear tests, while for non-thermonuclear tests, the radionuclide mixture for the Tesla nuclear test, a typical ²³⁹Pu-fueled device tested at the NTS in 1955 (Hicks 1981), was taken to be representative of the non-thermonuclear tests conducted in the Marshall Islands (Beck et al. 2010). Regression equations as a function of time for the ND factors for all nuclides considered were developed and used to interpolate the values to specific times not provided by Hicks (1981, 1984), but needed for the estimated times of intake and for the assumed fractionation ratios. Note that because of the long half-life of ¹³⁷Cs and the short half-lives of its precursors, the ND values for ¹³⁷Cs activity can be considered to be constant and equal to unity over the range of TOAs and TOIs that were considered.

The ¹³⁷Cs deposition densities at TOI that were used to compute deposition from each test at each atoll from equations 3 and 4 described below were, therefore, taken directly from Table 7 in Beck et al. (2010).

(3) Relationship between ground deposition density and intake. As indicated above, the relationship between ground deposition density and intake, for a

Table 1. Assumed time-of-intake, TOI (h, post-detonation), of fallout from acute exposure, rounded to nearest whole hour, for the 26 population groups (see Table 2, Simon et al. 2010) and for the 20 tests with measurable deposition (see text).

Population group	Yoke	Dog	Item	Mike	King	Bravo	Romeo	Koon	Union	Yankee
Ailinginae ^a	_	_	_	_	_	6	_	_	_	_
Ailinglaplap	207		-	56	34	73	154	34	119	56
Ailuk	196	78	_	56	34	38	126	34	42	49
Arno	189			56	_	67	168	101	168	67
Aur	190	188		56	_	59	161	91	112	56
Bikini community ^b	50	_		98		78	202	119	238	154
Ebon	218		_	56	_	76	210	140	175	126
Enewetak community ^c	17	24	38	17	17	25	59	70	34	31
Jaluit	210	_		56	50	76	196	126	238	154
Kwajalein	50	91	-	56	28	56	140	25	76	42
Lae	225	92	_	56	28	56	126	34	76	56
Lib Island	217	102	_	56	34	84	154	31	84	56
Likiep	202	78		56	34	36	112	34	39	49
Majuro	192	_		56	50	67	140	101	168	67
Maloelap	185	178	_	56	34	59	154	84	126	56
Mejit Island	175	78		56	39	42	154	42	49	56
Mili	207	_		56	50	70	168	112	196	168
Namorik	213	_		56		78	196	140	147	182
Namu	213	195	_	56	34	70	154	34	84	56
Rongelap control group ^d	36	63	—	56	17	67 ^e	140 ^e	101 ^e	168 ^e	67 ^e
Rongelap Island community ^d	36	63	_	56	17	8	140^{f}	25^{f}	76 ^f	67 ^e
Rongerik ^g	_		_			11		_	_	
Ujae	227	95		56	34	52	126	34	34	56
Utrik community ^h	70	81		67	35	31	140^{i}	25^{i}	76 ⁱ	42^{i}
Wotho	42	88	_	56	17	18	126	34	63	49
Wotje	190	85	_	56	39	55	140	70	70	56

^a TOI for Rongelap Island community members temporarily on Sifo, Ailinginae.

^b TOI for Yoke is for Kwajalein, all others for Bikini community are for Kili Island.

° All TOIs are for Ujelang.

^d ALL TOIs are for Rongelap Island except where noted.

^e TOI at Majuro.

f TOI at Kwajalein.

^g American military weather observers.

^h TOI at Utrik except where noted.

ⁱ TOI at Kwajalein.

given test and location, is assumed to be independent of the radionuclide considered because the intake, \bar{Q} (Bq), is assumed to be instantaneous and directly proportional to the ground deposition density, Dep (Bq m⁻²). Also, as discussed earlier, it is assumed in this work that the relationship between ground deposition, Dep, and intake, \bar{Q} , that was obtained for the Bravo test at Rongelap, holds for all other tests and locations as well. The intakes by adults of ¹³⁷Cs at atoll *i*, following test *j*, are calculated as follows:

$$\bar{Q}(^{137}\text{Cs}, i, j) = \bar{Q}(^{137}\text{Cs}, \text{Rongelap, Bravo})$$
$$\times \frac{Dep(^{137}\text{Cs}, i, j)}{Dep(^{137}\text{Cs}, \text{Rongelap, Bravo})}.$$
 (3)

Using the results from eqn (3), the intakes of any radionuclide, *Z*, other than 137 Cs, at atoll *i* from test *j*, are calculated as:

$$\overline{Q}(Z, i, j) = \overline{Q}(^{137}\text{Cs}, i, j) \times ND_{\text{TOI}}(Z, i, j).$$
(4)

Estimating acute radionuclide intakes for younger ages. As described in detail earlier, we have

relied upon bioassay data for adults to estimate acute intakes of ¹³¹I from Bravo at Rongelap and scaled those intakes to the varying ground deposition of ¹³⁷Cs from each nuclear test at each atoll to calculate intakes of all other radionuclides by adults. Acute intakes also have been estimated for younger aged persons classified into the five age groups considered by the International Commission on Radiological Protection (ICRP 1993), i.e., 0-1 y, 1-2 y, 3-7 y, 8-12 y, and 13-17 y. For estimating intakes by younger aged persons, we have relied upon a combination of bioassay measurements among persons younger than adult, reported by investigators at the Walter Reed Army Institute (Woodward et al. 1959) and the USAEC (1956), and various age-dependent parameters from the literature that are potentially related to internal contamination of the body. We directly compared the age dependence of the daily excretions (Bq, total beta activity) for young age groups (see Table A2 of Harris et al. 2010) to six different physiologically- and anatomically-related parameters including breathing rates (at rest and during light exercise), body mass, daily water requirements, basal metabolic rate,

Table 1. (Continued)

Population group	Nectar	Zuni	Flathead	Tewa	Cactus	Fir	Koa	Maple	Redwood	Cedar
Ailinginae ^a				_	_	_	_			
Ailinglaplap	168		71	_	_	179	_	_		
Ailuk	_	98	_	_	_	84		_	_	_
Arno	_		91		_	161			101	_
Aur			84		_	158			101	
Bikini community ^b	182		_		-	183		-	101	
Ebon	182		_		_	189			101	
Enewetak community ^c	112	70	31	59	25	76	105	63	91	42
Jaluit	182	_	84	_	_	176			101	_
Kwajalein	154	76	70		_	189		-	101	
Lae	126	84	60		_	197			101	_
Lib Island	126	_	63	_	_	192		_	101	_
Likiep	_	126	_	_	174	_		101		
Majuro	_	_	104		_	160	_	_	101	_
Maloelap	_	_	91	_	_	157			101	_
Mejit Island	_	_	98	_	_	84			101	_
Mili	_	_	126	_	_	165			101	_
Namorik	182	_	98		_	188	_	_	101	_
Namu	154	_	67	_	_	185			101	_
Rongelap control group ^d	_	_	104 ^e	—	_	76			102	17
Rongelap Island community ^d	_	_	104 ^e	_	-	76	_		102	17
Rongerik ^g	_		_	_	_	_		_	—	_
Ujae	119	84	60		_	_		_	101	
Utrik community"	154 ⁱ	126	140		-	76		-	_	
Wotho	112	70	92		_	92		-	_	17
Wotje	_	—	_	—	_	98		_	101	—

energy expenditure, and body surface area (ICRP 2002). For the ages younger than adult, we found that the age dependence of body surface area to be most similar to the age dependence of the reported bioassay data.

Our interpretation of body surface area as a surrogate index for scaling adult intakes to younger age groups is related to the concept that particulate contamination of the face and hands (whose area can be considered to be a constant fraction of the body surface at each age) was a major contributor to internal contamination. This would be particularly true for children, for whom hand to mouth contact is frequent. The age-dependent acute intakes, relative to adults, selected in this study are presented in Table 2.

For the youngest age group (<1 y), we assumed that there are two sources of intake: the consumption of mother's breast milk and the ingestion of fallout particles. The intake of a given radionuclide via mother's breast milk is the product of the mother's radionuclide intake, the fraction of the activity of each nuclide ingested by the mother that is transferred to breast milk ($F_{\rm bm}$), and the consumption rate of breast milk by the infant. We discuss the derivation of these factors in a later section. In addition to the intake of radionuclides via breast milk, we assumed infants (0-1 y of age) had direct ingestion of fallout equal to 10% of the adult intake (Table 2), since the body surface area of the infant is about 10% of that of the adult (ICRP 2002).

Chronic intakes

Chronic intakes of radionuclides that persisted in the environment for years after fallout deposition were also assessed. The environmental pathways resulting in chronic intake are substantially different from those of the acute

 Table 2. Assumed age dependence of acute radionuclide intake relative to adult intake.

Age category (y)	Acute intake relative to adult
<1	0.1 + breastfeeding
1 to <3	0.3
3 to <8	0.4
8 to <13	0.6
13 to <18	0.9
≥ 18	1

intakes and are primarily related to the consumption of seafood and of locally grown terrestrial foodstuffs internally contaminated with long-lived radionuclides as a result of root uptake, and, to a lesser degree, to the inadvertent consumption of soil (Simon 1998; NCRP 1999).

The available whole-body counting and bioassay measurements were used as a basis to estimate the chronic intakes. Those whole-body and bioassay measurements were made on the Rongelap and Utrik evacuees for years after they returned to their respective home atolls (Lessard et al. 1984). Those two atolls had been evacuated within about two days following the detonation of the Castle Bravo test on 1 March 1954. Rongelap and Utrik inhabitants were returned to their home atolls in June 1957 and June 1954, respectively (Simon et al. 2010, Table 3). During the first few weeks after their return and until the 1980's, a Brookhaven National Laboratory team regularly conducted measurements of whole-body activity of ¹³⁷Cs, ⁶⁰Co and ⁶⁵Zn, as well as urinary concentrations of ⁹⁰Sr. Measurements of 55Fe in blood were also performed but only once (Lessard et al. 1984).

The steps used to estimate the chronic intakes of radionuclides were: (1) estimation of the chronic intakes by Rongelap and Utrik adult evacuees due to the Bravo test, (2) estimation of the chronic intakes resulting from the Bravo test by adults of all other atolls, (3) estimation of the chronic intakes by adults resulting from tests other than Bravo, and (4) estimation of the chronic intakes by children.

Estimation of the chronic intakes by Rongelap and Utrik adult evacuees due to the Bravo test. Lessard et al. (1984) summarized the findings of the Brookhaven whole-body counting and bioassay program and estimated the ingestion rates of ⁵⁵Fe, ⁶⁰Co, ⁶⁵Zn, ⁹⁰Sr, and ¹³⁷Cs for the adult populations monitored when they returned to their atolls, and also provided data on the variation of the intake rates with time. Assuming implicitly that fallout from the Bravo test at Rongelap and Utrik was much more important than the fallout from all other tests, Lessard et al. (1984) used a single exponential relationship to model the decline of dietary activity intake during the entire period of time in which whole-body and bioassay measurements were made, i.e., from 1957 to 1981. The variation with time of the dietary intake rate, q, of radionuclide, Z, from test Bravo, at atoll, j, with time, t, (assuming no additional fallout) can, thus, be expressed as:

 $q(Z, \text{Bravo}, j, t) = q(Z, \text{Bravo}, j, \tau)$

$$\times e^{\{-[\lambda(Z)+k(Z,j)]\times(t-\tau)\}}$$
(5)

where

- $q(Z, \text{Bravo}, j, \tau) = \text{the dietary intake rate (Bq d^{-1})}$ of radionuclide Z from the Bravo test on the day of return to the atoll j;
 - τ = is the time (d) elapsed between the Bravo test and the return to the atoll, and *t* is greater than, or equal to, τ ;
 - $\lambda(Z)$ = the radioactive decay constant (d^{-1}) of radionuclide *Z*; and
 - k(Z, j) = the dietary removal constant (d⁻¹) of radionuclide Z at atoll *j*.

The values of $q(Z, Bravo, j, \tau)$ and k(Z, j) obtained by Lessard et al. (1984) are presented in Table 3. It is worthwhile noting that the uncertainties are large and the values of k for ⁶⁰Co and ⁶⁵Zn obtained for Rongelap were used for Utrik by Lessard et al. (1984), as well as in this work because of the paucity of relevant measurements on the Utrik residents. In fact, because many more measurements were made on the Rongelap evacuees than on the Utrik evacuees, only the results obtained for the Rongelap evacuees were used

Table 3. Values used to estimate chronic intakes for the populations of Rongelap and Utrik. Uncertainties correspond to one standard deviation (based on Lessard et al. 1984).

Radionuclide, Z	Atoll, j	Ingestion rate on day of return to the atoll, $q(Z, \text{Bravo}, j, \tau)$ (Bq d ⁻¹)	Radioactive decay constant, $\lambda(Z, j)$ (d ⁻¹)	Dietary removal rate, $k(Z, j) (d^{-1})$	Effective half-time of dietary removal, ln $2/[\lambda(Z, j)+k(Z, j)]$ (d)
⁵⁵ Fe	Rongelap	$1,700 \pm 930$	$7.1 imes 10^{-4}$	0^{a}	980
⁶⁰ Co	Rongelap	95 ± 32	$3.6 imes 10^{-4}$	$2.0 imes 10^{-3}$	290
⁶⁵ Zn	Rongelap	$1,300 \pm 940$	2.8×10^{-3}	1.3×10^{-3}	170
⁹⁰ Sr	Rongelap	2.1 ± 1.1	$6.6 imes 10^{-5}$	$1.7 imes10^{-4}$	2,900
¹³⁷ Cs	Rongelap	390 ± 130	6.3×10^{-5}	$2.0 imes10^{-4}$	2,600
⁵⁵ Fe	Utrik	$1,300 \pm 710$	7.1×10^{-4}	0	980
⁶⁰ Co	Utrik	130 ± 44	$3.6 imes 10^{-4}$	$2.0 imes 10^{-3b}$	290
⁶⁵ Zn	Utrik	$21,000 \pm 16,000$	$2.8 imes 10^{-3}$	$1.3 imes 10^{-3b}$	170
⁹⁰ Sr	Utrik	0.40 ± 0.30	$6.6 imes 10^{-5}$	$1.6 imes 10^{-4}$	3,100
¹³⁷ Cs	Utrik	210 ± 110	6.3×10^{-5}	$1.8 imes10^{-4}$	2,900

^a Assumed value.

^b Assumed to be the same as in Rongelap.

as a basis to estimate the chronic intakes for the residents of all other atolls, with the exception of Utrik.

The detection of substantial levels of ⁶⁵Zn in the bodies of the Rongelap and Utrik evacuees poses a dosimetric estimation problem since normalized deposition factors for ⁶⁵Zn were not reported by Hicks (1984). We assumed that ⁶⁵Zn was produced by neutron activation of weapons materials and of entrained sea water, admittedly in small amounts, and was, therefore, present in local and regional fallout. The ⁶⁵Zn was then apparently absorbed by phytoplankton and zooplankton and further concentrated by fish and other aquatic animals feeding on plankton in ocean and lagoon areas close to each atoll (Donaldson 1963[§]; Donaldson et al. 1997). The fact that most of the activity of plankton and fish in the mid-1950's was due to activation products (⁵⁵Fe, ⁵⁷Co, ⁶⁰Co, ⁶⁵Zn) seems to indicate the avidity of plankton and seafood for those elements (Welander 1958). On the other hand, 90Sr and 137Cs are mainly found in terrestrial foodstuffs contaminated as a result of root uptake.

Because most of the atolls were not evacuated and their populations not monitored, it is essential to estimate the variation of the dietary intake rate with time after the test. We assumed that the temporal variation of the dietary intake shown in eqn (5) also holds for the initial period of time of approximately three years, during which Rongelap was not inhabited and, therefore, no measurements were made. Eqn (5) can therefore be modified as:

q(Z, Bravo, Rongelap, t) = q(Z, Bravo, Rongelap, 0)

 $\times e^{\{-[\lambda(Z)+k(Z, \text{ Rongelap})] \times t\}} \quad (6)$

Using eqn (6), the radionuclide intake rates at the time of the Bravo test, q(Z, Bravo, Rongelap, 0), are estimated to be 3,900 Bq d⁻¹ for ⁵⁵Fe, 1,600 Bq d⁻¹ for ⁶⁰Co, 164,000 Bq d⁻¹ for ⁶⁵Zn, 2.8 Bq d⁻¹ for ⁹⁰Sr, and 540 Bq d⁻¹ for ¹³⁷Cs. Those "initial" intake rates are theoretical because it would have taken some time for the chronic intake pathways to become established since they involve contamination of the vegetation by root uptake and the contamination of seafood, and the populations of Rongelap and Utrik were evacuated within two days after the Bravo test before any significant chronic intake could occur.

As will be evidenced later, it is essential to establish a relationship between the "initial" intake rates (which are only available for Bravo at Rongelap and Utrik) and the ¹³⁷Cs deposition densities (which are available for all tests and all atolls). The ¹³⁷Cs deposition density for Bravo at Rongelap, estimated as 100 kBq m⁻² in Beck et al. (2010), cannot be used for that purpose because the results of the bioassay measurements conducted in 1957 among the Rongelap Island community were not only due to Bravo, but also, to some extent, to fallout at Rongelap from all other tests conducted in 1948, 1951, 1952, 1954, and 1956, in addition, to a small degree, to fallout at Kwajalein and Majuro from the tests conducted before or during the periods of residence of the evacuees at those atolls (Table 1, Simon et al. 2010). The environmental inventories of the long-lived radionuclides on Rongelap Atoll in 1957, the year when the whole-body and bioassay measurements were made, include contributions from all tests that resulted in measurable fallout on the atoll before that year. Taking ⁶⁵Zn as an example, we estimated that the inventory of that radionuclide at Rongelap in 1957 was mainly due to Bravo (73%), with only minor contributions from the other 1954 tests (15%) and from the 1956 tests (12%). Therefore, the ⁶⁵Zn wholebody contents measured in 1957 could also have been obtained if Bravo had led to a "theoretical" ¹³⁷Cs deposition density at Rongelap 1.4 times greater than what was estimated (100 kBq m^{-2} ; Table 7 of Beck et al. 2010) and if no other test had contributed to the ⁶⁵Zn whole-body contents measured in 1957 among the Rongelap Island community. In our calculations, we assumed that for each test, the "initial" intake rate of ⁶⁵Zn was proportional to the deposition density of ¹³⁷Cs. Taking into account that ⁶⁵Zn was heavily fractionated at Rongelap, the relationship between the initial intake rate of ⁶⁵Zn and the theoretical deposition density of ¹³⁷Cs can be expressed as:

$$q(^{65}$$
Zn, Bravo, Rongelap, 0) = $a(^{65}$ Zn)
 $\times K(^{65}$ Zn, Bravo, Rongelap)
 $\times Dep_{\text{the}}[^{137}$ Cs $(^{65}$ Zn), Bravo, Rongelap], (7)

where

$$q(^{65}\text{Zn}, \text{Bravo}, \text{Rongelap}, 0) = 164,000 \text{ Bq d}^{-1}$$

 $a(^{65}\text{Zn}) = \text{the ratio of the initial}$
dietary intake of ^{65}Zn ,
in Bq d⁻¹, and of the
deposition density of
 ^{137}Cs , in kBq m⁻², for
a reference level of
fractionation, *RV*, of
0.5;
 $K(^{65}\text{Zn}, \text{Bravo}, \text{Rongelap}) = 4.07$ is the degree of
fractionation of ^{65}Zn
relative to ^{137}Cs for
Bravo at Rongelap;**
and

[§] Donaldson LR. Evaluation of radioactivity in the marine environment of the Pacific Proving Ground. Conference on Nuclear Detonations and Marine Radioactivity, Kjeller, Norway, 16–21 September 1963.

^{**} This means that the 65 Zn to 137 Cs activity ratio at the time of fallout from Bravo was 4.07 times greater at Rongelap than at distant atolls.

$$Dep_{the}[^{137}Cs(^{65}Zn), Bravo,$$

Rongelap] = 140 kBq m⁻² is the "theoretical" deposition density of ¹³⁷Cs at Rongelap that would have occurred if only the test Bravo had contributed to the ⁶⁵Zn inventory in 1957.

Hence, $a({}^{65}Zn) = 290$ Bq d⁻¹ of ${}^{65}Zn$ per kBq m⁻² of ${}^{137}Cs$. It is important to note that the value of $a({}^{65}Zn)$ depends only on the radionuclide that is considered and that it is independent of the nuclear test and of the fallout location.

Similar calculations were carried out to relate the initial dietary intake rates and the theoretical ¹³⁷Cs deposition densities for the five considered radionuclides at Rongelap and Utrik. Results are presented in Table 4. Values of the dietary intakes at any time after the test Bravo could then be calculated using eqn (6).

Estimation of the chronic intakes resulting from the Bravo test by adults of all other atolls. Whole-body counting and or bioassay data similar to those available for the Rongelap and Utrik evacuees are not available for residents of any of the other 20 inhabited atolls. In this case, there is no need to calculate a modified ¹³⁷Cs deposition density because the populations were exposed to fallout from all tests at the same location. The general formulation that was used to derive the initial intake rate at atoll *j* from the ¹³⁷Cs deposition density at that atoll for the Bravo test is given in eqn (8):

$$q(Z, \text{Bravo}, j, 0) = a(Z) \times K(Z, \text{Bravo}, j)$$

 $\times Dep(^{137}\text{Cs}, \text{Bravo}, j).$ (8)

Values of the dietary intakes at each atoll and at any time after the Bravo test were calculated using eqn (6). We assumed that the variation of the dietary intake rates with time estimated for Rongelap held for all other atolls and that the relationship between ¹³⁷Cs deposition and "initial" intake rates was the same at Rongelap and at all other atolls.

The values of K(Z, Bravo, j) that were used in eqn (8) are shown in Table 4. They reflect the fractionation effects that have been estimated for the Bravo test. Isotopes of Fe, Co, and Zn are highly fractionated in comparison to ⁹⁰Sr, and even more so in comparison to ¹³⁷Cs. Consequently, the deposition densities of ⁵⁵Fe, ⁶⁰Co, and ⁶⁵Zn, relative to ¹³⁷Cs or ⁹⁰Sr, were much greater on atolls close to the detonation site (Rongelap, Utrik, Ailuk, Likiep, and Mejit), than on more distant atolls where an *R/V* ratio of 0.5 was systematically used.

Estimation of the chronic intakes by adults resulting from tests other than Bravo. Two of the radionuclides considered (90Sr and 137Cs) are fission products, the other three (55Fe, 60Co, and 65Zn) being activation products. The ND factors for ⁵⁵Fe and ⁶⁰Co were derived and reported by Hicks (1984) for only three of six Castle series tests; they show a wide variability from test to test as the activities produced depend on the specific materials used in the construction of each nuclear device. The ND factors for the other activation product, ⁶⁵Zn, were not reported for any of the tests. In the absence of relevant ND factors, two essential simplifications were made: (1) the variation of the dietary intake rates with time was assumed to be the same for all tests and all atolls as described by eqn (6); and (2) the "initial" intake rates of the long-lived radionuclides were assumed to be proportional to the ground deposition densities of ¹³⁷Cs as estimated in Beck et al. (2010) for each test and at each inhabited atoll, and were calculated by means of eqn (8) in which K(Z,i,j) is taken to be equal to unity. In that case, we assumed that there was no fractionation of fallout radionuclides for any test other than Bravo at any atoll.

Estimation of the chronic intakes by children. Based on a limited number of whole-body counting measurements on Rongelap evacuees, the ratios of the

Table 4. Parameter values used to relate the ¹³⁷Cs deposition density to the initial dietary intake rates after the Bravo test.

Radionuclide	$a(Z)^{a}$	$q(\mathbf{Z})^{\mathrm{b}}$	k(Z, Bravo, j)						
Z	$(Bq d^{-1} per kBq m^{-2})$	$(Bq d^{-1} per kBq m^{-2})$	Rongelap	Utrik	Ailuk	Likiep	Mejit	Other atolls	
⁵⁵ Fe	8.1	26	4.07	2.2	2.2	1.44	1.89	1.0	
⁶⁰ Co	3.2	3.0	4.07	2.2	2.2	1.44	1.89	1.0	
⁶⁵ Zn	290	560	4.07	2.2	2.2	1.44	1.89	1.0	
⁹⁰ Sr	0.013	0.013	1.45	1.1	1.2	1.0	1.05	1.0	
¹³⁷ Cs	3.0	7.7	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	

^a Applies to fallout from Bravo at all atolls, except for Utrik.

^b Applies only to fallout from Bravo at Utrik.

intake rates of ¹³⁷Cs by children compared to adults were 1.8 for children aged less than 3 y, 1.4 for children aged 3 to 7 y, and 0.9 for other children. We assumed that the same age dependency was applicable for estimating intakes of ⁹⁰Sr, which are, as for ¹³⁷Cs, mainly due to the consumption of internally contaminated terrestrial foodstuffs. However, the intakes of ⁶⁵Zn, ⁵⁵Fe, and ⁶⁰Co were due to the consumption of fish and other seafood. Using the consumption estimates for fish and other seafood provided by Robison and Phillips (1989) and the assumption that the activity intake was proportional to the amounts of seafood consumed, the age-dependent relative intakes of ⁶⁵Zn, ⁵⁵Fe, and ⁶⁰Co were 1 for adults, 0.9 for 15-y-old, 0.8 for 10-y-old, 0.6 for 5-y-old, 0.3 for 1-y-old, and 0.1 for newborn.

Dose calculations

Annual absorbed doses to RBM, thyroid, colon, and stomach wall have been estimated for the time period from 1948 through 1970 for representative individuals who were assumed to be alive in 1970. The methods used to estimate doses resulting from acute intakes and from chronic intakes will be considered in turn.

Annual doses from acute intakes. The method for calculating annual doses from acute intakes is simply the product of the acute average intake, \overline{Q} (Bq), of radionuclide *i* and the dose coefficient (Gy Bq⁻¹) for that radionuclide where the dose coefficient was specific to an interval of time after intake: either the remainder of the calendar year in which the intake occurred, or the full year in successive years:

$$D(o, i, y) = Q(i) \times DC(o, i, age, y), \qquad (9)$$

where

- D(o, i, y) = the dose (Gy) for organ o from radionuclide i in a specific year, y, after intake;
 - $\bar{Q}(i)$ = the average acute intake (Bq) of radionuclide *i*; and
- DC(o, i, age, y) = the annual dose coefficient (mGy Bq⁻¹) for organ *o* from radionuclide *i*, for age in a specific year, *y*, after intake.

The annual dose coefficients, which are the absorbed doses per unit activity intakes (mGy Bq⁻¹), have been estimated for six age groups (<1 y, 1–2 y, 3–7 y, 8–12 y, 13–17 y, 18+ y). Doses to the embryo and fetus have not been calculated as they are expected to have been much smaller than those received during the first year of life. For example, in the case of iodine, which has been relatively well studied, selective uptake of that element by the fetal

thyroid does not occur until the end of the 11^{th} week following conception when the fetal thyroid begins to function (ICRP 2001). This implies that the thyroid dose to the fetus per unit intake of ¹³¹I by the mother is a small fraction of the dose the infant would receive per unit intake after birth: ~0.001% at 5 wk development, 0.03% at 10 wk, 2% at 15 wk, 6% at 25 wk, and about 10% at 35 wk. In this work, the doses to the embryo and fetus are assumed to be very small and taken to be equal to zero.

For all age groups and all radionuclides considered, with the exception of the ¹³¹I intakes by adults, the dose coefficients are based on the biokinetic models recommended by ICRP (1996, 2004). The only parameter values that have been changed are those of the alimentary tract absorption fractions (f_1) , which have been taken from the review by Ibrahim et al. (2010), that are specifically related to the intakes of radionuclides in particulate fallout. An established computer code (Eckerman et al. 2006) was used to solve the ICRP biokinetic models and to provide annual dose coefficients for all organs and age groups. For any test, the first year annual dose coefficient was the dose per unit intake received from the date of the intake until the end of the calendar year (e.g., 365 d if the date of intake was 1 January, 306 d if the date of intake was 1 March, and 61 d if the date of intake was 1 November). The annual dose coefficients for the subsequent years were the doses per unit intake received during the full calendar years. This derivation, which influences the first year's estimated dose as well as estimated doses in subsequent years for radionuclides with long radioactive half-lives, is illustrated in Fig. 1, taking 90Sr as an example. The annual dose for the first year was highest for an intake assumed to have taken place on 1 January, was 15% less if the intake occurred on 1 March, and about 78% less if the intake occurred on 1 November. For the subsequent years, in comparison, the annual dose coefficient for 1 January intake was lower compared to the other dates (4% lower compared to 1 March and about 15% lower compared to 1 November).

For intakes of ¹³¹I by adults, the dose coefficients were derived based on the parameters of the biokinetic model presented in Fig. A1 for an average of the two preferred data sets of physiologic parameters (Table A1). The set of physiological parameters assigned as 2b (Table A1) assumed a fractional thyroid uptake about one-third greater than is usually assumed for populations with typical western diets (42% compared to 30%). In order to correct the thyroid mass for a greater than typical thyroid uptake (Zvonova 1989), we assumed the thyroid mass to be larger than the usual default assumptions by the same proportion. Hence, for our purposes, we assumed the adult thyroid mass to be 26 g compared to the 168



Fig. 1. Change of the dose rate as a function of time after a nuclear weapons test and its effect on the dose within a given calendar year using ⁹⁰Sr as an example; for test dates occurring later in the year, the dose delivered from the TOI to the end of the calendar year is smaller, while the doses delivered in subsequent years are greater; however, the lifetime dose remains the same.

August 2010, Volume 99, Number 2

Health Physics

usual 20 g assumption. These modestly larger thyroid masses were used in the derivation of the thyroid dose coefficients, consistent with findings by Zvonova (1989) and others. The dose coefficients due to ingestion of ¹³¹I are presented in Table 5. The dose coefficient derived for thyroid due to ingestion of ¹³¹I is about 10% higher for adults in our study, compared to the ICRP default dose coefficients. In addition to the thyroid mass differences, other differences in the kinetic parameters (Table A1) account for the small differences in the dose coefficients.

Special consideration was given to the calculation of the annual dose coefficients for infants as follows.

(1) As previously indicated, two sources of exposure were considered for infants: acute intake of deposited fallout and consumption of breast milk, contaminated as the result of acute intake of fallout by the mother. Assumptions we made to complete these calculations included:

- The mother's acute intake was calculated using eqn. (4);
- The fractions of radionuclides ingested by the mother that are transferred to the infant in breast milk were taken from ICRP Publication 95 (2004) for the radionuclides of 35 elements. For the 15 elements that are not considered in the ICRP report, the fractional transfer factors were estimated from a relationship we derived between the reported fractions transferred to the infant in breast milk (ICRP 2004) and the reported alimentary tract absorption fractions (f_1) in the mother as adopted by ICRP in its Publication 72 for the 35 elements with available data (ICRP 1996). The relationship, given in eqn (10), is illustrated in Fig. 2:

$$F_{\rm bm} = 0.0854 \times (f_1)^{1.081}.$$
 (10)

The estimated values of $F_{\rm bm}$ for the 15 elements not considered by ICRP (2004) are presented in Table 6.

Dose coefficient for ingestion of ¹³¹I (Gy Bq⁻¹) Red marrow Thyroid Stomach wall Colon Group Age (y) 5.3×10^{-1} < 1 $3.7 imes 10^{-6a}$ 3.5×10^{-9a} 3.0×10^{-9a} Marshallese $1.8 imes 10^{-10b}$ $1.2 imes 10^{-6b}$ $1.1 imes 10^{-9b}$ $9.8 imes 10^{-10b}$ Marshallese < 1 3.9×10^{-10} 3.6×10^{-6} 2.0×10^{-9} 1.7×10^{-9} Marshallese 1 to < 3 2.4×10^{-10} $9.8 imes 10^{-10}$ $7.0 imes 10^{-10}$ 2.1×10^{-6} Marshallese 3 to <8 $5.6 imes 10^{-10}$ $1.7 imes 10^{-10}$ 1.0×10^{-6} $2.8 imes 10^{-10}$ Marshallese 8 to < 13 $1.3 imes 10^{-10}$ $3.8 imes 10^{-10}$ 1.6×10^{-10} $6.7 imes 10^{-7}$ Marshallese 13 to <18 $1.1 imes 10^{-10}$ 4.7×10^{-7} 3.0×10^{-10} $1.2 imes 10^{-10}$ Marshallese ≥ 18 (adult) 9.8×10^{-11} 3.0×10^{-10} 4.3×10^{-7} 1.0×10^{-10} Military personnel^c ≥ 18 (adult) $1.0 imes 10^{-10}$ $3.0 imes 10^{-10}$ $1.2 imes 10^{-10}$ Public^d 4.3×10^{-7} ≥ 18 (adult)

Table 5. Absorbed dose per unit intake of ¹³¹I used to estimate organ absorbed dose to representative persons of six age groups of Marshallese plus military personnel from acute ingestion of radionuclides. ICRP (1996) values for the public are presented for comparison.

^a Dose coefficient for infants for direct ingestion of fallout (Gy Bq⁻¹ intake).

^b Dose coefficient for infants for ingestion of breast milk (Gy Bq⁻¹ of mother's intake).

^c Dose coefficients derived based on the physiological parameters presented in Table A1.

^d Dose coefficients for ingestion derived for adults in the general public (assuming physiologic and anthropometric characteristics of Western Europeans and North Americans) from ICRP (1996).



Fig. 2. Relationship between the fractions of elements ingested that are transferred to infants in breast milk (ICRP 2004) and f_1 values for the mother (ICRP 1996). Solid line is regression fit of eqn (10): $F_{\rm bm} = 0.0854 \times (f_1)^{1.081}$ (R² = 0.48).

Table 6. Predicted fraction of stable elements transferred to the infant in breast milk following maternal ingestion (prediction based on eqn 10, see Fig. 2).

Element	f_1 (mother)	Fraction transferred from mother to infant through breast milk
Cu	$5.0 imes 10^{-1}$	4.06×10^{-2}
As	$5.0 imes 10^{-1}$	4.02×10^{-2}
Br	$1.0 imes 10^{0}$	8.54×10^{-2}
Rb	$1.0 imes 10^{0}$	8.50×10^{-2}
Y	$1.0 imes 10^{-4}$	4.05×10^{-6}
Rh	$5.0 imes 10^{-2}$	3.35×10^{-3}
Pd	$5.0 imes 10^{-3}$	$2.77 imes 10^{-4}$
Cd	5.0×10^{-2}	3.33×10^{-3}
In	2.0×10^{-2}	1.24×10^{-3}
Sn	$2.0 imes 10^{-2}$	1.24×10^{-3}
La	$5.0 imes 10^{-4}$	2.31×10^{-5}
Pr	$5.0 imes 10^{-4}$	2.30×10^{-5}
Nd	5.0×10^{-4}	2.30×10^{-5}
Pm	5.0×10^{-4}	2.30×10^{-5}
Sm	$5.0 imes 10^{-4}$	2.30×10^{-5}

• The consumption rate of breast milk by infants was taken to 0.8 L d⁻¹ (ICRP 2004) during the first year of age and to cease afterwards (Levy et al. 1988; WHO 2009).

(2) For infants born in the year of a nuclear test, any definition of a "representative person" based on a single assumed date-of-birth (DOB) can lead to a biased dose estimate, depending on whether it is assumed the representative individual was born before or after fallout from the test occurred. Because the primary purpose of this dose and risk assessment is to predict the number of cancers that might occur among exposed Marshallese (Land et al. 2010), but without

significant bias (i.e., without significant under- or over-estimation), it is necessary to estimate organ doses without significant bias. Because actual persons might have been born on any day of the year, assuming any single *DOB* cannot adequately represent all persons.

To eliminate potential bias in doses due to choosing a single *DOB*, we define a metric of dose to best represent an entire birth cohort, i.e., all persons born within a single year at one atoll. This dose would, in effect, be an average over all the possible birth dates. While a birth-cohort averaged dose would not represent the dose to any single real person, it is the least biased estimator of dose to the cohort as a whole and, hence, is the best single predictor of total cancer risk among that group. Hence, we define a quantity termed the "birth-cohort average dose," *BCAD*, for the infant age category (i.e., birth to 1 y of age).

To estimate the *BCAD*, it is necessary to determine three quantities: (1) the dose (by organ, nuclide, age, location) for a person born before the estimated date of fallout deposition, (2) the proportion of a birth cohort on a single atoll that is born before the date of deposition, and (3) the proportion born afterwards. Assuming that people are equally likely to have been born on every day of the year, the proportions born before and after the date of deposition are easily computed. The proportion born before the date of deposition, termed $P_{\rm b}$, can be estimated as equal to the number of days from beginning of the year to the date of deposition divided by 365. Conversely, the proportion born after the date of deposition (termed $P_{\rm a}$) would equal $1 - P_{\rm b}$. Using these concepts, the *BCAD* is simply defined:

 $BCAD = P_{b} \times (Dose received if born before)$

 $+ P_{a} \times (\text{Dose received if born after})$

 $= P_{\rm b} \times (\text{Dose received if born before}) + P_{\rm a} \times 0$

 $= P_{\rm b} \times$ (Dose received if born before). (11)

It is important to note that for the years following the year of intake after a given test, the age of the representative person increased by increments of one year with each calendar year (for example, a person born at any time in 1954 was considered to be one year old from 1 January to 31 December 1955, two years old during all of 1956, and so on). However, in the calculation of the annual dose coefficients, we assumed that the metabolic and anatomic characteristics of the person did not change with time after intake.

Annual doses from chronic intakes. In this work, annual doses to RBM, thyroid, stomach, and

Health Physics

colon from chronic intakes for each of the 26 population groups considered were calculated as the products of the annual intakes and the annual dose coefficients developed for the purposes of this paper. As the doses result from the consumption of seafood and of terrestrial foodstuffs primarily contaminated through root uptake, the radioactive materials were assumed to be in soluble form and the alimentary tract absorption fractions (f_1 values) that we selected for the calculation of the annual dose coefficients were those recommended by the ICRP in its Publication 72 (ICRP 1996) for ingestion by members of the public, rather than for particulate fallout as used for acute intakes.

The calculation of the doses takes into account: (1) that for a given test giving rise to a given intake rate of a given radionuclide soon after the test, the annual intake of a person of a given age varies from year to year due to radioactive decay and environmental loss, (2) the dose for a given intake is delivered over several years, and (3) both the intakes and the dose coefficients varied as a function of age. The formulation shown in eqns (12a) through (12c) was used.

For the year of the test, called y1:

$$D(o, i, age, y1) = q(i, age, y1)$$

$$\times DC(o, i, age, y1)$$
 (12a)

For the following year, called y2:

$$D(o, i, age, y2) = q(i, age, y1) \times DC(o, i, age, y2) + q(i, age, y2) \times DC(o, i, age, y1) \quad (12b)$$

For the following year, called y3:

$$D(o, i, age, y3) = q(i, age, y1) \times DC(o, i, age, y3) + q(i, age, y2) \times DC(o, i, age, y2) + q(i, age, y3) \times DC(o, i, age, y1), (12c)$$

where

D = the absorbed dose (mGy);

i = the radionuclide under consideration;

age = the age at intake;

- o = one of the four organs considered (RBM, thyroid, stomach wall, or colon wall);
- q = the annual intake (Bq); and
- DC = the annual dose coefficient (mGy Bq⁻¹).

Given the large uncertainties in the annual intakes resulting from each test, we judged it sufficient to group the intakes from the tests that occurred in a given year and to assume that the summed intake was due to a single test that was detonated on 1 July of that year. This procedure was used for all population groups and for all years, with the August 2010, Volume 99, Number 2

exception of the year 1954 for the population groups that were evacuated as a result of the fallout from the Bravo test, which took place on 1 March 1954. In that case, the chronic dose calculation for the Bravo test was done separately from the calculation of the dose resulting from all other tests that took place in 1954.

FINDINGS

The primary purpose of the models and calculations described here were to estimate: (1) empirically-based acute intakes of ¹³¹I by adults among the Marshallese and American military weather observers on Rongerik using urine bioassay data, (2) acute intakes of ¹³¹I and 62 other radionuclides by representative Marshallese of six age groups from infancy to adulthood at all inhabited atolls from each of 20 nuclear tests (plus acute intakes by adult military weather observers on Rongerik at the time of Bravo), (3) chronic intakes of residual fallout radioactivity in the environment at all inhabited atolls during the years 1948 through 1970, and (4) internal doses to four tissues or organs (RBM, thyroid, stomach wall and colon wall) from all estimated intakes. The following sections describe findings from the intake models and dose calculations.

Acute intakes of ¹³¹I from urine samples

As a necessary step to estimating intakes of all the radionuclides considered in this analysis, by persons of all ages, we first derived empirically-based estimates of the intake of ¹³¹I by adults on three atolls where bioassay was conducted (Marshallese on Rongelap Island, Marshallese on Ailinginae, and American military weather observers on Rongerik) using data from Harris (1954) and Harris et al. (2010) via eqn (1). Four different average values of the ¹³¹I intake were estimated since urine samples from the Rongelap Island group were collected on two different days. The data used to estimate ¹³¹I intake, as well as the results of the calculations, are shown in Table 7. Estimated average intakes of ¹³¹I by adults on Rongelap Island and Ailinginae were about 3,600 and 1,300 kBq, respectively. Intakes of ¹³¹I by younger ages were assumed to have been smaller as described by the scaling factors discussed in the previous section and presented in Table 2. For the age groups 13-17 y, 8-12 y, 3-7 y, 1-2 y, and <1 y, the estimates of acute intake of ¹³¹I on Rongelap were 3,150, 2,100, 1,400, 1,050, and 1,400 Bq, respectively. Corresponding ¹³¹I acute intakes at Ailinginae were about 37% of the intakes at Rongelap. Only adults were on Rongerik at the time of the Bravo test; their intakes of ¹³¹I were about 1,700 kBg.

Estimates of acute intakes of ¹³¹I were converted to ¹³⁷Cs intakes for the purpose of estimating the intakes of

		Group	sampled (ID)	
Date of sampling	Marshallese adults on Rongelap Island (LA316R) 3/16/1954	Marshallese adults on Rongelap Island (LA317R) 3/17/1954	Marshallese adults on Sifo, Ailinginae (LA319S) 3/19/1954	American military weather observers on Rongerik (LA319A) 3/19/1954
Assumed time of intake (H+h)	8.4	8.4	5.6	11.2
Sampling to counting (d)	14	13	11	11
cps per 500 mL	70	76	33	20
Average 24-h urine production (mL d ⁻¹) for adults	427	448	385	1,072
Number of persons sampled for urine in pooled samples	35	31	15	9
Estimated excretion fraction on day of sampling (see text)	1.73×10^{-4}	1.63×10^{-4}	1.42×10^{-4}	1.85×10^{-4}
Average intake ¹³¹ I (adult, kBq)	3,310	3,680	1,320	1,710
¹³⁷ Cs deposition from Bravo (kBq m ⁻²)	100	100	32	67
¹³⁷ Cs intake (kBq)	2.9	3.2	1.2	1.4
¹³⁷ Cs intake per unit ¹³⁷ Cs deposited (kBq per kBq m ⁻²)	0.029	0.032	0.036	0.021
Uncertainty of ¹³⁷ Cs deposition (GSD)	1.5	1.5	1.8	2.0
Weighted average ¹³⁷ Cs intake per unit ¹³⁷ Cs deposited ^a	0.03	1 (Rongelap and Ailingi	nae)	0.021 (Rongerik)

Table 7. Parameters used to estimate ¹³¹I and ¹³⁷Cs intake among adults based on urine bioassay (Harris et al. 2010) following the Bravo test and ¹³⁷Cs intake per unit ¹³⁷Cs deposition.

^a Logarithms of ¹³⁷Cs intake per unit ¹³⁷Cs deposition inversely weighted by variance of ¹³⁷Cs deposition (see text).

¹³⁷Cs per unit of ¹³⁷Cs deposited. We calculated the intake of ¹³⁷Cs per unit deposition of ¹³⁷Cs separately for the pooled samples of adult urine collected from populations exposed to Bravo fallout on Rongelap (groups LA316R and LA317R, Table 7) and Ailinginae (LA319S) and weighted each by the relative precision of our estimates of Bravo ¹³⁷Cs deposited at the two atolls. For the three urine samplings (LA316R, LA317R, LA319S), our estimates of ¹³⁷Cs intake per unit deposition of ¹³⁷Cs were 0.029, 0.032, and 0.036 Bq per Bq m⁻² while the estimated uncertainties of the ¹³⁷Cs deposition at Rongelap and Ailinginae, expressed as geometric standard deviations (GSDs), were 1.5 and 1.8, respectively. Our best estimate of the ¹³⁷Cs intake per unit ¹³⁷Cs deposition was derived from a weighted average^{††} in consideration of the uncertainties of the ¹³⁷Cs deposition, and was found to be

$$\mu = \sum (x_i/\sigma_i^2) / \sum (1/\sigma_i^2),$$

0.031 Bq per Bq m⁻² (Table 7). This indicates that the fallout ingested by adults was approximately equal to the material deposited on 310 cm². Our evaluation of the likely exposure conditions agrees with those of Lessard et al. (1985) and suggests that particulate contamination of foods, utensils, hands and face, and to a lesser degree, drinking water, led to the internal contamination of adults.

As expected, our average estimate of intake per unit deposition for American military weather observers stationed on Rongerik was less than the average for the Marshallese since their lifestyle was less dependent on outdoor food preparation. Our estimate of intake for the military weather observers stationed on Rongerik was 0.021 Bq per Bq m⁻² (also based on bioassay) or about two-thirds of the intake per unit deposition experienced by the Marshallese on Rongelap. We interpret the estimated smaller intake per unit deposition of the Americans to be consistent with our belief that the military personnel took, at least, some precautions against ingestion of fallout particles. According to the records of Sharp and Chapman (1957), some of the military personnel worked indoors during the day though others continued to work outdoors. Hence, the

^{††} The logarithms of the point estimates of ¹³⁷Cs intake per unit ¹³⁷Cs deposition had associated multiplicative uncertainties (similar in form to geometric standard deviations). These estimates were used in a conventional inverse variance weighting method (see Bevington 1969) as follows:

Table 8. Estimated acute intakes (kBq) of 24 selected radionuclides by representative adults of four population groups from the Bravo test, the Castle (1954) series, which includes the Bravo test, and over all tests (Total). Doses for Utrik and Rongelap Island communities account for relocations. All nuclides are fission products unless otherwise noted. All values rounded to two significant digits.

		Majuro residents		Kwajalein residents				
Radionuclide	Bravo	Castle series	Total	Bravo	Castle series	Total		
⁵⁵ Fe ^a	1.0×10^{-3}	4.8×10^{-3}	5.6×10^{-3}	5.1×10^{-4}	$6.5 imes 10^{-3}$	9.7×10^{-3}		
⁸⁹ Sr	$1.2 imes 10^{0}$	$5.7 imes10^{0}$	$6.6 imes 10^{\circ}$	$6.2 imes 10^{-1}$	$7.9 imes 10^{0}$	$1.1 imes 10^1$		
⁹⁰ Sr	3.9×10^{-3}	$1.9 imes 10^{-2}$	2.2×10^{-2}	2.0×10^{-3}	2.5×10^{-2}	$3.8 imes 10^{-2}$		
⁹² Y	1.3×10^{-3}	1.5×10^{-3}	7.6×10^{-3}	6.1×10^{-3}	$5.6 imes 10^{0}$	$5.9 imes10^{0}$		
⁹³ Y	$8.5 imes 10^{-1}$	$1.1 imes10^{0}$	$1.8 imes 10^{0}$	9.2×10^{-1}	$3.3 imes 10^1$	$4.0 imes10^1$		
⁹⁵ Zr	$8.6 imes 10^{-1}$	$4.0 imes 10^{0}$	$4.7 imes 10^{0}$	4.3×10^{-1}	$5.5 imes 10^{\circ}$	$7.8 imes10^{0}$		
⁹⁹ Mo	$1.0 imes10^1$	$3.2 imes 10^1$	$3.8 imes 10^1$	$5.6 imes 10^{0}$	$7.2 imes10^1$	1.1×10^{2}		
103 Ru	2.6×10^{-3}	1.2×10^{-2}	5.5×10^{-2}	1.3×10^{-3}	1.7×10^{-2}	$6.1 imes 10^{0}$		
¹⁰⁶ Ru	$2.3 imes 10^{-1}$	$1.1 imes 10^{0}$	$1.3 imes 10^{\circ}$	$1.1 imes 10^{-1}$	$1.5 imes 10^{\circ}$	$2.2 imes 10^{0}$		
^{131m} Te	$1.5 imes 10^{0}$	$3.3 imes 10^{0}$	$4.2 imes 10^{0}$	$1.0 imes 10^{0}$	$1.5 imes10^1$	$2.2 imes 10^1$		
^{131}I	$7.6 imes 10^{0}$	$3.1 imes10^1$	$3.7 imes 10^{1}$	$4.0 imes 10^{0}$	$5.0 imes10^1$	$7.5 imes10^1$		
¹³² Te	$1.5 imes 10^1$	$4.9 imes10^1$	$5.9 imes 10^1$	$8.2 imes 10^{\circ}$	$1.0 imes 10^2$	$1.6 imes 10^{2}$		
^{132}I	$1.5 imes 10^1$	$5.1 imes10^1$	$6.1 imes 10^1$	$8.4 imes10^{0}$	$1.1 imes 10^2$	$1.6 imes 10^{2}$		
^{133}I	$1.5 imes10^1$	$2.6 imes10^1$	$3.4 imes 10^1$	$1.1 imes10^1$	$1.9 imes 10^{2}$	$2.7 imes 10^{2}$		
^{135}I	$3.6 imes 10^{-1}$	$4.1 imes 10^{-1}$	$8.4 imes 10^{-1}$	$5.7 imes 10^{-1}$	$4.6 imes10^1$	$5.2 imes 10^{1}$		
¹³⁷ Cs	1.2×10^{-2}	$5.4 imes 10^{-2}$	6.4×10^{-2}	5.8×10^{-3}	7.4×10^{-2}	$1.1 imes 10^{-1}$		
^{140}Ba	$5.4 imes 10^{0}$	$2.3 imes 10^1$	$2.7 imes 10^1$	$2.8 imes10^{0}$	$3.5 imes10^1$	$5.2 imes 10^{1}$		
^{140}La	$5.0 imes 10^{0}$	$2.7 imes10^1$	$3.1 imes 10^{1}$	2.3×10^{0}	$2.7 imes10^1$	$4.0 imes10^1$		
^{141}La	2.6×10^{-3}	$2.8 imes 10^{-3}$	1.2×10^{-2}	9.4×10^{-3}	$5.7 imes 10^{0}$	$6.1 imes 10^{0}$		
¹⁴¹ Ce	$2.2 imes 10^{\circ}$	$9.8 imes10^{0}$	$1.2 imes 10^1$	$1.1 imes 10^{0}$	$1.4 imes 10^1$	$2.0 imes 10^1$		
¹⁴³ Ce	$6.9 imes 10^{0}$	$1.6 imes10^1$	$2.0 imes 10^1$	4.4×10^{0}	$6.3 imes 10^{1}$	$9.1 imes 10^1$		
¹⁴⁴ Ce	1.1×10^{-1}	5.3×10^{-1}	6.2×10^{-1}	5.6×10^{-2}	7.2×10^{-1}	$1.1 imes 10^{\circ}$		
145 Pr	4.8×10^{-2}	5.5×10^{-2}	1.2×10^{-1}	8.8×10^{-2}	9.8×10^{0}	1.1×10^{1}		
²³⁹ Np ^a	$5.6 imes 10^{1}$	1.7×10^{2}	2.0×10^{2}	3.2×10^{1}	4.2×10^{2}	6.2×10^{2}		

^a Activation products.

individual weather observers likely received intakes of varied magnitude depending on their work activities during the hours that fallout was deposited.

Acute intakes of any radionuclide resulting from fallout from any test for representative residents of each atoll. Table 8 presents our estimates of acute intake for the 24 radionuclides contributing the largest doses to adults at four representative communities (Majuro residents, Kwajalein residents, Utrik community, and Rongelap Island community).^{‡‡} These four communities represent the range of exposures to fallout radioactivity from smallest to largest, both in terms of deposition on the ground (Fig. 2 of Simon et al. 2010), external dose, and internal dose from ingested radioactivity. Intakes presented in Table 8 are from the 1954 Bravo test, from the entire Castle series (all 1954 tests including Bravo), and cumulative over all tests. The intake estimates account for relocations of the Rongelap and Utrik populations. Because of the relocations of the Rongelap and Utrik communities following Bravo to the mid-latitude Kwajalein Atoll and the southern Majuro Atoll (Table 3, Simon et al. 2010), members of those communities did not receive all their intakes at their home atoll.

Depending on the half-life of the radionuclide and the transit time of fallout from the test site to the southern atolls, the southern atolls (represented here by Majuro) had acute intakes estimated to be 0.01% to 2% of those received by the more highly exposed Rongelap population. In terms of absolute activity ingested among adult residents of these four atolls, Majuro residents would have had the lowest intake. For example, adult Majuro residents would have had about 6% and 9% of the ¹³¹I and ¹³⁷Cs intake (cumulative over all tests), respectively, of adult Utrik community members, and about 1%, and 2%, respectively, of the intakes of adult Rongelap Island community members.

Chronic intakes

Annual intakes of the five long-lived radionuclides (⁵⁵Fe, ⁶⁰Co, ⁶⁵Zn, ⁹⁰Sr, ¹³⁷Cs) giving the largest doses were calculated for the 26 population groups considered in this study for the years 1948 to 1970. Cumulative

^{‡‡} Note to reader: A distinction is made in this paper between "residents" of either Majuro and Kwajalein and "community members" of Rongelap Island or Utrik Atoll. In the former case, we are referring to anyone living permanently on those atolls during the testing period. In the latter case, we are referring to the entire group of persons exposed on either Rongelap Island or Utrik Atoll and who were members of the group relocated from each of those atolls.

		Utrik community		Rongelap Island community				
Radionuclide	Bravo	Castle series	Total	Bravo	Castle series	Total		
⁵⁵ Fe ^a	1.3×10^{-1}	1.3×10^{-1}	1.3×10^{-1}	1.1×10^{0}	1.1×10^{0}	1.1×10^{0}		
⁸⁹ Sr	$7.5 imes 10^1$	$8.3 imes 10^1$	$8.4 imes10^1$	6.2×10^{2}	6.2×10^{2}	6.3×10^{2}		
⁹⁰ Sr	$2.5 imes 10^{-1}$	$2.7 imes 10^{-1}$	$2.8 imes 10^{-1}$	$1.6 imes 10^{\circ}$	$1.6 imes 10^{0}$	$1.6 imes 10^{0}$		
^{92}Y	$2.0 imes 10^2$	$2.1 imes 10^2$	$2.1 imes 10^2$	$6.9 imes 10^4$	$6.9 imes10^4$	$6.9 imes10^4$		
⁹³ Y	1.3×10^{3}	1.3×10^{3}	1.3×10^{3}	$5.3 imes 10^4$	$5.3 imes10^4$	$5.3 imes10^4$		
⁹⁵ Zr	$1.1 imes 10^2$	$1.1 imes 10^2$	$1.1 imes 10^2$	1.0×10^{3}	$1.0 imes 10^{3}$	1.0×10^{3}		
⁹⁹ Mo	$1.8 imes 10^{3}$	$1.9 imes 10^{3}$	$1.9 imes 10^{3}$	$2.0 imes10^4$	$2.0 imes10^4$	$2.0 imes10^4$		
103 Ru	1.7×10^{-1}	$1.8 imes10^{-1}$	$8.8 imes10^{-1}$	$1.1 imes 10^{0}$	$1.1 imes 10^{\circ}$	$5.1 imes 10^{0}$		
106 Ru	$1.4 imes10^1$	$1.6 imes10^1$	$1.6 imes10^1$	$8.9 imes10^1$	$9.0 imes10^1$	$9.1 imes10^1$		
^{131m} Te	$2.3 imes 10^{2}$	$2.4 imes 10^2$	$2.5 imes 10^2$	$2.5 imes 10^{3}$	2.5×10^{3}	$2.5 imes 10^3$		
^{131}I	$5.4 imes 10^{2}$	$5.9 imes 10^2$	$5.9 imes 10^{2}$	3.6×10^{3}	3.6×10^{3}	3.7×10^{3}		
¹³² Te	1.3×10^{3}	1.4×10^{3}	$1.4 imes 10^{3}$	$9.9 imes 10^{3}$	$9.9 imes 10^{3}$	$9.9 imes10^3$		
^{132}I	1.3×10^{3}	1.4×10^{3}	$1.4 imes 10^{3}$	$1.0 imes10^4$	$1.0 imes10^4$	$1.0 imes10^4$		
^{133}I	3.2×10^{3}	3.4×10^{3}	3.4×10^{3}	$4.4 imes10^4$	$4.4 imes 10^4$	$4.4 imes10^4$		
^{135}I	$9.6 imes 10^{2}$	$1.0 imes 10^{3}$	$1.0 imes 10^3$	$6.1 imes10^4$	$6.1 imes 10^4$	$6.1 imes10^4$		
¹³⁷ Cs	$6.6 imes 10^{-1}$	$7.3 imes 10^{-1}$	$7.4 imes 10^{-1}$	3.1×10^{0}	3.2×10^{0}	$3.2 imes 10^{\circ}$		
140 Ba	4.3×10^{2}	$4.6 imes 10^{2}$	$4.7 imes 10^{2}$	3.2×10^{3}	3.2×10^{3}	3.2×10^{3}		
¹⁴⁰ La	$2.3 imes 10^{2}$	$2.6 imes 10^2$	$2.6 imes 10^2$	$5.7 imes 10^{2}$	$5.8 imes 10^2$	$5.9 imes10^2$		
141 La	$1.6 imes 10^2$	$1.6 imes 10^{2}$	$1.6 imes10^2$	$6.7 imes10^4$	$6.7 imes10^4$	$6.7 imes10^4$		
141 Ce	$2.1 imes 10^2$	$2.2 imes 10^2$	$2.2 imes 10^2$	$1.2 imes 10^3$	1.2×10^{3}	$1.2 imes 10^3$		
¹⁴³ Ce	1.9×10^{3}	1.9×10^{3}	1.9×10^{3}	$2.7 imes10^4$	$2.7 imes 10^{4}$	$2.7 imes10^4$		
¹⁴⁴ Ce	$1.4 imes10^1$	$1.5 imes 10^1$	$1.5 imes10^1$	1.2×10^{2}	1.2×10^{2}	$1.2 imes 10^2$		
¹⁴⁵ Ce	4.1×10^{2}	$4.2 imes 10^{2}$	4.2×10^{2}	$4.8 imes10^4$	$4.8 imes10^4$	$4.8 imes10^4$		
²³⁹ Np ^a	$1.1 imes 10^4$	$1.1 imes 10^4$	$1.1 imes 10^4$	1.3×10^{5}	1.3×10^{5}	1.3×10^{5}		

intakes were obtained as sums of estimated annual intakes. Results of estimation of cumulative intakes by Majuro residents, Kwajalein residents, the Utrik community, and the Rongelap Island community are presented in Table 9. The cumulative intakes of long-lived radionuclides had roughly the same geographic pattern (in terms of relative intakes between atolls) as for the acute intakes. For example, adults on Majuro would have had about 3% and 2% of the chronic ¹³⁷Cs intakes of those experienced by Utrik and Rongelap community members, respectively.

While the geographic pattern of chronic uptakes among atolls was similar to that for acute intakes, the chronic intakes were much greater than the acute intakes of the same radionuclides. This phenomenon is a result of the relatively long residence times of certain radionculides in the environment. For example, at Majuro, the acute intake of ⁹⁰Sr by adults from all tests was 0.022 kBq (Table 8) compared to the chronic intake of 0.081 kBq (Table 9), indicating chronic intake was close to four times greater. Even more indicative of differences in intake according to the mode of intake was the difference for ¹³⁷Cs. At Majuro, the acute intake of ¹³⁷Cs by adults from all tests was 0.064 kBq compared to the chronic intake of 18 kBq, indicating chronic intake. In the case

Table 9. Estimated cumulative chronic intakes (kBq) of the long-lived radionuclides considered in this study by representative adults of four population groups from the Bravo test (1 March 1954), the Castle (1954) series that includes the Bravo test, and over all tests considered. All values rounded to two significant digits.

	Majuro residents			Kwajalein residents			Utrik community			Rongelap Island community		
Radionuclide	Bravo test	Castle series	Total over all tests	Bravo test	Castle series	Total over all tests	Bravo test	Castle series	Total over all tests	Bravo test	Castle series	Total over all tests
⁵⁵ Fe	4.2	20	23	2.1	26	40	1,600	1,800	1,900	1,900	2,300	2,300
⁶⁰ Co	0.50	2.3	2.7	0.26	3.1	4.9	46	53	55	32	38	42
⁶⁵ Zn	27	120	150	14	170	250	4,300	5,100	5,300	230	280	490
⁹⁰ Sr	0.015	0.068	0.081	0.0075	0.092	0.14	0.94	1.2	1.3	3.9	5.8	5.9
¹³⁷ Cs	3.3	16	18	1.7	21	31	460	620	640	540	1,020	1,040

of 137 Cs, its continuous movement into coconuts and other fruits via root uptake, as a result of low 40 K concentrations in the soil (Simon et al. 2002), leads to much larger intakes over the successive years after fallout.

Absorbed doses

Annual absorbed doses to RBM, thyroid, stomach wall, and colon were estimated for the 26 population groups for the time period from 1948 to 1970. Cumulative doses over that time period were estimated as well. Four population groups have been selected to illustrate the magnitude and the range of dose over the entire territory of the Marshall Islands. Doses from acute and chronic intakes will be discussed in turn.

Doses from acute intakes. Estimation of doses from the acute intakes used dose coefficients as described that were derived from accepted international biokinetic models and adjusted for f_1 values specific for radionuclides ingested in fallout particles (see Ibrahim et al. 2010). Annual doses from acute intakes at each atoll varied primarily according to the amount of deposition from the tests conducted in a given year. Fig. 3a to 3d illustrates the annual organ dose (mGy) to two tissues (RBM and thyroid) for three different birth years (1930 or before, 1953, and 1957) at Majuro, Kwajalein, and for the Utrik and Rongelap Island community members (after accounting for their relocations). Similarly, Table 10 presents cumulative doses (mGy) to each of four tissues for all birth years from 1931 (or before) through 1958. Since it is the intent of this work to estimate doses for representative persons, BCAD is reported for the years in which tests occurred.

The cumulative doses to individual organs are a sum not only over all tests but a sum over all 63 radionuclides. Table 11 presents a summary of the radionuclides which were estimated to be the ten largest contributors to total internal dose from acute intakes for each of the four tissues and for each of the four population groups (Majuro residents, Kwajalein residents, Utrik community, and Rongelap Island community). For the dose to RBM, stomach wall, and colon wall, 239Np was one of the five most important nuclides regardless of the atoll. Other important nuclides for RBM were ¹³²Te, ¹⁴⁰Ba and ⁹⁹Mo. For the stomach wall, the short-lived radioiodines and radiotelluriums ¹³²I, ¹³³I, and ¹³²Te were important at Majuro and Kwajalein while 92Y and 93Y were most important at Rongelap. For the thyroid gland, the radioiodines and radiotelluriums easily gave the largest doses though ¹³³I was the largest contributor at Rongelap and Utrik compared to ¹³¹I at Kwajalein and Majuro. All of the radionuclides listed in Table 11 are short-lived, the

longest half-life being 51 days for ⁸⁹Sr. Therefore, most of the internal dose resulting from acute intakes was delivered during the year of the test.

August 2010, Volume 99, Number 2

Among the radionuclides considered, there were six radionuclides with half-lives of about 1 y or longer. When the biological half-time of residence in the body was longer than 1 y, the dose from acute intake was delivered over several years. This is, for example, the case for ⁹⁰Sr, with a physical half-life of 29 y and a biological half-time of residence in the body of about 20 y. Tables 12 and 13 present the absorbed dose coefficients to age 70 y for a 1-y-old child and for an adult for the six radionuclides with long radioactive half-lives as derived for the Bravo test (1 March 1954). The tables also present the percentage of the dose delivered in each of the first five years after intake. For both ages, about 100% of the dose is delivered to the colon wall and to the stomach wall in the first year. For the systemic organs, RBM and thyroid, a large fraction of the dose is delivered in the first year, but a significant fraction of the dose is also received in subsequent years due to the biological retention of the radionuclide in the body.

Doses from chronic intakes. Annual doses to RBM, thyroid, stomach wall, and colon were calculated for chronic intakes of long-lived radionuclides for the 26 population groups over the years 1948 to 1970. Fig. 4 compares annual doses to thyroid from chronic intakes during the years 1948 through 1958 for three different birth years: 1930 (and earlier), 1953, and 1957.

Cumulative doses were obtained as sums of annual doses. Cumulative doses were a function of birth year with the largest cumulative doses estimated for persons born in the years 1950 through 1956. The cumulative dose estimates for Majuro residents, Kwajalein residents, the Utrik community, and the Rongelap Island community are presented in Table 14.

The doses from chronic intakes show the same geographical and temporal pattern as the doses resulting from acute intakes. However, because of the absence of short-lived iodine isotopes in the radionuclides that are important to the thyroid doses from acute intakes, the thyroid doses from protracted intakes are not much greater than the doses to other organs and tissues.

Similar to the situation for acute intakes, a few radionuclides contributed most of the organ absorbed dose from chronic intakes. Table 15 presents a ranking of those five radionuclides (⁵⁵Fe, ⁶⁰Co, ⁶⁵Zn, ⁹⁰Sr, and ¹³⁷Cs). For all organs and for all four of the atoll and population groups discussed, ¹³⁷Cs was either the first or second most important contributor to dose. For the Rongelap



Figure 3. (a) Annual doses (mGy) to red bone marrow (RBM) and thyroid due to acute intake of fallout for Majuro residents born in doses intermediate in magnitude to those shown. Note different y-axis scaling of each panel and break in y-axis between 0.0008 and 0.08 (left panel) and between 3 and 12 (right panel); (b) Annual doses (mGy) to RBM and thyroid due to acute intake of fallout for Kwajalein residents born in three different years: 1930 or before, 1953, and 1957. Persons born in years intermediate to those shown would have received annual doses intermediate in magnitude to those shown. Note different y-axis scaling of each panel and break in y-axis between 0.06 and 0.12 (left panel) and between 15 and 40 (right panel); (c) Annual doses (mGy) to RBM and thyroid due to acute intake of fallout for Utrik community members born in three different years: 1930 or before, 1953, and 1957. Persons born in years intermediate to those shown would have received annual doses intermediate in magnitude to those shown. Note different y-axis scaling of each panel and break in y-axis between 0.02 and 2.0 (left panel) and between 8 and 700 (right panel); (d) Annual doses (mGy) to RBM and thyroid due to acute intake of fallout for Rongelap Island community members born in three different years: 1930 or before, 953, and 1957. Persons born in years intermediate to those shown would have received annual doses intermediate in magnitude to those hree different years: 1930 or before, 1953, and 1957. Persons born in years intermediate to those shown would have received annual shown. Note different y-axis scaling of each panel and break in y-axis between 0.03 and 24 (left panel) and between 15 and 3,000 (right panel).

Table 10. Cumulative radiation absorbed doses (mGy) to four organs of representative persons by birth year (<1931 to 1958) from acute intakes of fallout (all values rounded to two significant digits). Doses for Utrik and Rongelap Island communities account for relocations. Dose in year of tests is birth-cohort averaged dose (*BCAD*).

		Majuro	residents			Kwajaleii	n residents	
Birth year	RBM	Thyroid	Stomach	Colon	RBM	Thyroid	Stomach	Colon
<1931	0.11	22	0.32	4.4	0.25	66	1.1	12
1931	0.11	22	0.32	4.4	0.27	70	1.1	12
1932	0.11	22	0.32	4.4	0.27	70	1.1	12
1933	0.11	22	0.32	4.4	0.27	70	1.1	12
1934	0.11	22	0.32	4.4	0.27	70	1.1	12
1935	0.11	23	0.33	4.4	0.27	71	1.1	13
1936	0.11	23	0.33	4.4	0.26	71	1.1	13
1937	0.16	28	0.37	4.8	0.34	85	1.2	14
1938	0.16	28	0.37	4.8	0.34	85	1.2	14
1939	0.16	29	0.37	4.8	0.34	86	1.2	14
1940	0.16	29	0.37	4.9	0.34	86	1.2	14
1941	0.16	29	0.37	4.9	0.34	94	1.3	15
1942	0.13	30	0.36	5.6	0.29	96	1.3	16
1943	0.13	30	0.36	5.6	0.29	96	1.3	16
1944	0.13	30	0.36	5.7	0.29	96	1.3	16
1945	0.13	31	0.37	5.7	0.29	98	1.3	16
1946	0.13	31	0.37	5.7	0.30	110	1.4	18
1947	0.11	40	0.41	6.3	0.27	130	1.5	20
1948	0.11	40	0.41	6.3	0.27	120	1.3	15
1949	0.11	41	0.41	6.3	0.20	99	1.1	14
1950	0.11	42	0.43	6.6	0.20	100	1.1	14
1951	0.11	42	0.43	6.6	0.20	100	1.1	14
1952	0.16	55	0.60	8.6	0.28	130	1.6	19
1953	0.13	49	0.54	8.3	0.25	130	1.5	19
1954	0.12	20	0.17	1.6	0.24	64	0.63	4.2
1955	0.012	4.3	0.047	0.74	0.024	9.8	0.11	1.7
1956	0.019	3.2	0.027	0.24	0.035	6.9	0.061	0.44
1957	0.0021	0.68	0.0067	0.11	0.0028	0.91	0.0081	0.13
1958	0.0030	0.37	0.0025	0.021	0.0042	0.50	0.0031	0.026

Island community, ¹³⁷Cs was the most important contributor to the chronic dose, whereas ⁶⁵Zn was the largest contributor to dose for the Kwajalein residents, the Majuro residents, and the Utrik community.

DISCUSSION

Comparison of estimated intakes and doses to other published values

There are few estimates in the literature of radiation doses to the Marshallese from nuclear testing that can be compared to the estimates provided here. Lessard et al. (1984, 1985) reported on chronic intakes and doses, and acute intakes and doses, respectively; however, both reports only apply to the Rongelap and Utrik populations and the acute doses were only from the Bravo test. Goetz et al. (1987) reported on acute exposures to the military weather observers on Rongerik exposed to Bravo fallout. No publications known to us have reported acute intakes and doses or chronic intakes and doses for population on atolls other than Rongelap and Utrik. For this reason, the comparisons that can be made with literature data are very limited.

Because the intake estimates for ¹³¹I and other short-lived radioiodines and radiotelluriums were based

on Lessard et al. (1985) and in this work on the same bioassay data (Harris 1954), the estimates are close. Differences in estimated intakes for ¹³¹I are a result of different assumptions on the TOIs and the excretion fraction on the day of sampling which must be derived from a specific biokinetic model. For the populations on Rongelap and Ailinginae, our estimates of intake of ¹³¹I were similar to those of Lessard et al. (1985), though were about three times greater than those suggested by Goetz et al. (1987) for Rongerik (Table 16; also see Table 6, Harris et al. 2010). Differences in estimates of intakes of radionuclides other than ¹³¹I (Table 16) are due to differences in the assumed TOI and in the yield of other nuclides relative to ¹³¹I. As discussed, we used ratios of nuclides from the work of Hicks (1984) with small adjustments for fractionation.

In terms of estimated doses, at Rongelap and Ailinginae, our estimates (Table 17) of absorbed dose to the thyroid from acute intake of ¹³¹I from Bravo were similar to those of Lessard et al. (1985), but about four times greater for Rongerik compared to those reported by Goetz et al. (1987). Small differences could have been due to a variety of factors, e.g., the dose conversion coefficients. In our case, the dose conversion coefficients

Table 10. (Continued)

		Utrik co	ommunity			Rongelap Isla	and community	7
Birth Year	RBM	Thyroid	Stomach	Colon	RBM	Thyroid	Stomach	Colon
<1931	2.3	740	16	180	25	7,600	530	2,800
1931	2.3	740	16	180	25	7,600	530	2,800
1932	2.3	740	16	180	25	7,600	530	2,800
1933	2.3	740	16	180	25	7,600	530	2,800
1934	2.3	740	16	180	25	7,600	530	2,800
1935	2.3	740	16	180	25	7,600	530	2,800
1936	2.3	740	16	180	25	7,600	530	2,800
1937	2.8	870	18	200	30	9,700	610	3,100
1938	2.8	870	18	200	30	9,700	610	3,100
1939	2.8	870	18	200	30	9,700	610	3,100
1940	2.8	870	18	200	30	9,700	610	3,100
1941	2.8	870	18	200	30	9,700	610	3,100
1942	2.5	900	18	230	27	10,000	600	3,700
1943	2.5	900	18	230	27	10,000	600	3,700
1944	2.5	900	18	230	27	10,000	600	3,700
1945	2.5	900	18	230	27	10,000	600	3,700
1946	2.5	900	18	230	27	10,000	600	3,700
1947	2.3	1,300	21	260	25	15,000	690	4,100
1948	2.3	1,300	21	260	25	15,000	690	4,100
1949	2.3	1,300	21	260	25	15,000	690	4,100
1950	2.3	1,300	21	260	25	15,000	690	4,100
1951	2.3	1,300	21	260	25	15,000	690	4,100
1952	2.9	1,800	31	380	31	20,000	1,100	6,100
1953	2.9	1,800	31	380	31	20,000	1,100	6,100
1954	1.8	470	5.7	32	16	5,100	150	480
1955	0.017	6.4	0.068	1.0	0.032	12	0.14	2.0
1956	0.024	7.2	0.081	1.1	0.046	14	0.17	2.1
1957	0.015	6.1	0.073	1.1	0.029	12	0.15	2.0
1958	0.019	3.3	0.029	0.19	0.039	7.2	0.067	0.38

were derived from the same thyroid biokinetic model and sets of parameter values used to derive the excretion fractions.

Contribution of other radionuclides to the internal doses

The 63 radionuclides that have been considered for the estimation of the doses from acute intakes have been selected among those that were systematically reported by Hicks (1984) for all tests, while the five radionuclides considered in the estimation of the doses from chronic intakes are those that were measured in whole-body or from bioassay measurements performed within a few years after the Bravo test. In addition, there are several radionuclides that deserve mention:

²³⁹Pu and ²⁴⁰Pu: The normalized deposition densities of ²³⁹Pu and ²⁴⁰Pu for specific tests were not reported by Hicks (1984) as that information is classified. However, ²³⁹⁺²⁴⁰Pu concentrations in the top layer of soil (0–5 cm) were measured in soil samples collected in 1978 by Robison et al. (1982) and in 1991–1993 by Simon and Graham (Simon and Graham 1997; Simon et al. 1999). In order to estimate the ²³⁹⁺²⁴⁰Pu deposition density at the time of fallout, it was assumed that:

(1) all of the ²³⁹⁺²⁴⁰Pu fallout occurred at the time of large tests in 1954, (2) the deposited activity migrated relatively rapidly downwards from the top layer of soil during the first year after deposition, then decreased much more gradually with time as the activity became fixed in the soil matrix, (3) the measurements included the contribution from $^{239+240}$ Pu in global fallout, estimated as 0.24 Bq kg⁻¹, and assumed to have all been deposited in 1962, and (4) the average density of the top layer of coral-based soil was 1.0 g cm^{-3} . The deposition density of ²³⁹⁺²⁴⁰Pu from all Pacific tests, assumed to have occurred in 1954, and the variation with time after fallout of the concentration of ²³⁹⁺²⁴⁰Pu in the top level of soil (0-5 cm) are presented in Table 18 for all atolls and reef islands of the Marshall Islands except the test site atolls. Crude estimates of the doses due to acute intakes were obtained using: (1) the deposition densities presented in Table 18; (2) the relationship of 0.031 Bq intake per Bq m⁻² deposited obtained for ¹³⁷Cs at Rongelap for the test Bravo, and (3) the committed dose coefficients recommended by ICRP (1996). The doses to bone marrow were much greater than those for the other three organs and tissues that we considered. The highest doses to RBM were

Table 11. Radionuclides giving the largest organ doses (mGy) from the Bravo test (1954) to adults of four population groups (Majuro residents, Kwajalein residents, Utrik community, and Rongelap Island community) from acute intakes of fallout radionuclides and cumulative percentage of total dose resulting from acute intakes of all 63 nuclides considered. Utrik and Rongelap Island community doses account for relocations. All values rounded to two significant digits.

	Ν	lajuro res	idents	K	wajalein ro	esidents	ι	Jtrik comr	nunity	Rongel	ap Island	community
Organ and rank ^a	Nuclide	Dose (mGy)	Cumulative % of organ dose ^b	Nuclide	Dose (mGy)	Cumulative % of organ dose	Nuclide	Dose (mGy)	Cumulative % of organ dose	Nuclide	Dose (mGy)	Cumulative % of organ dose
Colon												
1	²³⁹ Np	0.34	31	²³⁹ Np	0.19	32	²³⁹ Np	66	39	²³⁹ Np	764	28
2	¹³² Te	0.21	51	¹³² Te	0.11	51	¹³² Te	18	50	⁹³ Y	437	43
3	¹⁴⁰ Ba	0.093	59	¹⁴⁰ Ba	0.048	59	¹⁴³ Ce	16	59	¹⁴³ Ce	221	51
4	⁹⁹ Mo	0.079	67	⁹⁹ Mo	0.044	66	⁹⁹ Mo	14	68	92 Y	182	58
5	¹⁴⁰ La	0.064	72	¹⁴³ Ce	0.037	72	⁹³ Y	11	74	⁹⁹ Mo	160	64
6	¹⁴³ Ce	0.058	78	¹⁰⁵ Rh	0.033	78	¹⁴⁰ Ba	7.5	79	¹³² Te	138	69
7	¹⁰⁵ Rh	0.053	83	¹⁴⁰ La	0.029	83	¹⁰⁵ Rh	6.8	83	¹⁴¹ La	136	74
8	¹⁴⁹ Pm	0.026	85	¹⁴⁹ Pm	0.015	85	¹⁴⁹ Pm	5.1	86	^{145}Pr	125	78
9	143 Pr	0.025	87	¹²⁷ Sb	0.014	87	¹⁴⁰ La	3.0	88	⁹² Sr	105	82
10	¹²⁷ Sb	0.025	90	143 Pr	0.011	89	¹²⁷ Sb	2.2	89	¹⁰⁵ Ru	83	85
RBM												
1	¹³² Te	0.0071	31	¹³² Te	0.0039	31	¹³² Te	0.62	30	¹³² Te	4.7	19
2	⁸⁹ Sr	0.0039	48	¹⁴⁰ Ba	0.0020	47	²³⁹ Np	0.28	43	²³⁹ Np	3.2	32
3	^{140}Ba	0.0038	64	⁸⁹ Sr	0.0020	63	⁹⁹ Mo	0.28	57	⁹⁹ Mo	3.0	45
4	⁹⁹ Mo	0.0015	71	⁹⁹ Mo	0.00085	70	¹⁴⁰ Ba	0.19	66	^{135}I	2.2	54
5	²³⁹ Np	0.0014	77	²³⁹ Np	0.00083	76	^{133}I	0.15	73	¹³³ I	1.8	61
6	¹⁴⁰ La	0.0013	83	¹⁴⁰ La	0.00060	81	¹⁴³ Ce	0.064	77	¹⁴⁰ Ba	1.4	67
7	^{131}I	0.00084	86	^{133}I	0.00045	85	¹⁴⁰ La	0.061	79	^{105}R	1.2	72
8	¹²⁷ Sb	0.00065	89	^{131}I	0.00044	88	⁸⁹ Sr	0.060	82	⁹² Sr	0.91	75
9	^{133}I	0.00061	92	¹²⁷ Sb	0.00037	91	127 Sb	0.058	85	¹⁴³ Ce	0.90	79
10	^{132}I	0.00038	93	^{131m} Te	0.00023	93	^{131m} Te	0.054	88	¹²⁹ Sb	0.70	82
Stomach												
1	²³⁹ Np	0.019	23	²³⁹ Np	0.011	23	²³⁹ Np	3.8	25	^{92}Y	98	18
2	¹³² Te	0.011	36	¹³² Te	0.0060	35	^{133}I	1.8	37	⁹³ Y	68	31
3	^{132}I	0.010	47	^{133}I	0.0059	47	⁹³ Y	1.7	47	¹⁴¹ La	63	43
4	^{133}I	0.0080	57	^{132}I	0.0053	58	¹⁴³ Ce	1.1	54	²³⁹ Np	44	51
5	⁹⁹ Mo	0.0055	64	⁹⁹ Mo	0.0031	64	⁹⁹ Mo	1.0	61	¹⁴⁵ Pr	34	58
6	¹⁴⁰ La	0.0054	70	¹⁴⁰ La	0.0025	69	¹³² Te	0.95	67	^{135}I	33	64
7	¹⁴³ Ce	0.0039	75	¹⁴³ Ce	0.0025	74	^{132}I	0.84	72	¹⁰⁵ Rh	27	69
8	¹⁰⁵ Rh	0.0038	79	¹⁰⁵ Rh	0.0024	79	^{135}I	0.57	76	^{133}I	24	74
9	^{140}Ba	0.0032	83	¹⁴⁰ Ba	0.0016	82	¹⁰⁵ Rh	0.48	79	¹⁴² La	17	77
10	^{131}I	0.0023	86	^{131}I	0.0012	84	¹⁴⁵ Pr	0.29	81	⁹² Sr	17	80
Thyroid												
1	^{131}I	3.6	66	^{131}I	1.9	59	^{133}I	380	55	^{133}I	4,200	56
2	^{133}I	1.4	92	^{133}I	1.0	92	^{131}I	230	89	^{131}I	1,700	78
3	¹³² Te	0.34	98	¹³² Te	0.19	98	^{135}I	36	95	^{135}I	1,300	96
4	^{132}I	0.072	99	^{132}I	0.040	99	¹³² Te	29	99	¹³² Te	220	99
5	^{131m} Te	0.022	100	^{131m} Te	0.015	100	^{132}I	4.5	99	^{132}I	47	99
6	^{135}I	0.0078	100	^{135}I	0.012	100	^{131m} Te	3.4	100	^{131m} Te	36	100
7	⁹⁹ Mo	0.00050	100	⁹⁹ Mo	0.00028	100	⁹⁹ Mo	0.091	100	^{133m} Te	4.0	100
8	^{99m} Tc	0.00044	100	^{99m} Tc	0.00025	100	^{99m} Tc	0.079	100	⁹⁹ Mo	1.0	100
9	¹⁴⁰ Ba	0.00024	100	140Ba	0.00012	100	¹⁴⁰ Ba	0.010	100	^{99m} Tc	0.54	100
10	⁸⁹ Sr	0.00016	100	⁸⁹ Sr	0.000081	100	¹⁰⁵ Rh	0.0074	100	¹²⁹ Sb	0.11	100

^a Rank of 1 indicates radionuclide with highest organ dose; rank of 10 indicates radionuclide with tenth highest organ dose. ^b Cumulative % is cumulative percentage of total organ dose estimated from all 63 radionuclides considered in acute intake

calculations.

found for the Rongelap Island community. For example, for adults at the time of the test Bravo, the lifetime equivalent dose to RBM was estimated to be 0.4 mSv, which represents about 2% of the total internal dose to RBM from acute intakes of other nuclides. For the other organs and tissues, the equivalent doses from acute intakes of $^{239+240}$ Pu represented less than 0.01% of the total internal doses to those organs and tissues from acute intakes. Doses from chronic intakes received during the lifetime of adults at the time of the

test Bravo also have been estimated using (1) the concentrations of $^{239+240}$ Pu in the top level of soil (0–5 cm) presented in Table 18, (2) a daily ingestion intake of soil of 500 mg (Sun et al. 1997), and (3) the committed dose coefficients recommended by ICRP (1990). Here again, the highest doses were those to RBM delivered to the Rongelap Island community. The equivalent dose thus obtained was 0.8 mSv, which represents about 3% of the total internal dose to RBM from chronic intakes. For the other organs and tissues,

			Absorbed dose per unit	Percentag first 5	e of absorbe y after intal	ed dose deli ke for 1-y-c	vered per y ld reference	ear in the child
Radionuclide	f_1	Organ	$(Gy Bq^{-1})$	1 st year	2 nd year	3 rd year	4 th year	5 th year
⁵⁵ Fe	$2.0 imes 10^{-1}$	RBM ^a	$8.1 imes 10^{-9}$	31	25	15	10	7
⁹⁰ Sr	$2.0 imes 10^{-1}$	RBM	2.1×10^{-7}	52	25	11	5	3
¹⁰⁶ Ru	$1.0 imes 10^{-2}$	RBM	$1.8 imes 10^{-9}$	72	19	6	2	1
¹²⁵ Sb	$5.0 imes 10^{-2}$	RBM	$4.1 imes 10^{-9}$	54	17	10	6	4
¹³⁷ Cs	$8.0 imes10^{-1}$	RBM	$8.1 imes 10^{-9}$	100	_			
144 Ce	$5.0 imes 10^{-4}$	RBM	2.4×10^{-9}	63	25	8	3	1
⁵⁵ Fe	$2.0 imes 10^{-1}$	Thyroid	$7.5 imes 10^{-10}$	41	25	13	8	5
⁹⁰ Sr	$2.0 imes 10^{-1}$	Thyroid	$2.7 imes 10^{-9}$	73	10	5	3	2
¹⁰⁶ Ru	$1.0 imes 10^{-2}$	Thyroid	$1.8 imes 10^{-9}$	70	20	6	2	1
¹²⁵ Sb	$5.0 imes 10^{-2}$	Thyroid	$5.0 imes 10^{-10}$	54	16	10	6	4
¹³⁷ Cs	$8.0 imes 10^{-1}$	Thyroid	$8.9 imes 10^{-9}$	100				
¹⁴⁴ Ce	$5.0 imes 10^{-4}$	Thyroid	$6.8 imes 10^{-11}$	64	24	8	3	1
⁵⁵ Fe	$2.0 imes 10^{-1}$	St wall ^b	$8.0 imes 10^{-10}$	45	23	13	7	4
⁹⁰ Sr	$2.0 imes 10^{-1}$	St wall	$4.4 imes 10^{-9}$	83	6	3	2	1
¹⁰⁶ Ru	1.0×10^{-2}	St wall	$1.3 imes 10^{-8}$	96	3	1		
¹²⁵ Sb	$5.0 imes 10^{-2}$	St wall	$1.9 imes 10^{-9}$	89	4	2	2	1
¹³⁷ Cs	$8.0 imes 10^{-1}$	St wall	$1.0 imes 10^{-8}$	100				
¹⁴⁴ Ce	$5.0 imes 10^{-4}$	St wall	$7.7 imes 10^{-9}$	100				
⁵⁵ Fe	$2.0 imes 10^{-1}$	Colon wall	$1.9 imes 10^{-9}$	76	10	6	3	2
⁹⁰ Sr	$2.0 imes 10^{-1}$	Colon wall	$1.0 imes 10^{-7}$	98	1	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01
¹⁰⁶ Ru	1.0×10^{-2}	Colon wall	3.4×10^{-7}	100				
¹²⁵ Sb	5.0×10^{-2}	Colon wall	$2.8 imes 10^{-8}$	99	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01
¹³⁷ Cs	$8.0 imes 10^{-1}$	Colon wall	3.6×10^{-8}	100	_	_	_	
¹⁴⁴ Ce	5.0×10^{-4}	Colon wall	3.1×10^{-7}	100	_	—	_	

Table 12. Absorbed dose per unit intake to age 70 y for 1-y-old reference child (acute ingestion) and the percentage of dose delivered in the first 5 y after intake on March 1 (date of Bravo test).

^a Red bone marrow.

^b Stomach wall.

			Absorbed dose per unit intake	Percentage of absorbed dose per year delivered in the first 5 y after intake for adult reference person					
Radionuclide	f_1	Organ	$(Gy Bq^{-1})$	1 st year	2 nd year	3 rd year	4 th year	5 th year	
⁵⁵ Fe	$1.0 imes 10^{-1}$	RBM ^a	1.1×10^{-9}	15	20	17	13	10	
⁹⁰ Sr	$2.0 imes 10^{-1}$	RBM	1.2×10^{-7}	17	14	11	9	8	
¹⁰⁶ Ru	1.0×10^{-2}	RBM	$3.3 imes 10^{-10}$	68	20	8	3	1	
¹²⁵ Sb	$5.0 imes 10^{-2}$	RBM	$8.0 imes10^{-10}$	45	15	10	8	6	
¹³⁷ Cs	$8.0 imes10^{-1}$	RBM	$1.1 imes 10^{-8}$	86	13	1	_		
144 Ce	$5.0 imes 10^{-4}$	RBM	$1.9 imes10^{-10}$	58	26	10	4	1	
⁵⁵ Fe	$1.0 imes10^{-1}$	Thyroid	$8.6 imes 10^{-11}$	27	22	15	10	7	
⁹⁰ Sr	$2.0 imes10^{-1}$	Thyroid	$4.4 imes10^{-10}$	63	6	4	4	3	
¹⁰⁶ Ru	1.0×10^{-2}	Thyroid	$2.9 imes10^{-10}$	63	23	9	3	1	
¹²⁵ Sb	$5.0 imes 10^{-2}$	Thyroid	$1.3 imes 10^{-10}$	40	17	11	8	6	
¹³⁷ Cs	$8.0 imes10^{-1}$	Thyroid	$1.1 imes 10^{-8}$	86	13	1			
¹⁴⁴ Ce	$5.0 imes 10^{-4}$	Thyroid	$1.2 imes 10^{-11}$	56	27	10	4	2	
⁵⁵ Fe	$1.0 imes10^{-1}$	St wall ^b	$9.2 imes 10^{-11}$	32	20	14	10	7	
⁹⁰ Sr	$2.0 imes10^{-1}$	St wall	$6.8 imes 10^{-10}$	76	4	3	2	2	
¹⁰⁶ Ru	$1.0 imes 10^{-2}$	St wall	$2.0 imes 10^{-9}$	94	4	1	1		
¹²⁵ Sb	$5.0 imes 10^{-2}$	St wall	$3.7 imes 10^{-10}$	80	5	4	3	2	
¹³⁷ Cs	$8.0 imes10^{-1}$	St wall	$1.1 imes 10^{-8}$	86	13	1	_		
144 Ce	$5.0 imes 10^{-4}$	St wall	1.1×10^{-9}	99	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	
⁵⁵ Fe	$1.0 imes10^{-1}$	Colon wall	$2.6 imes10^{-10}$	75	7	5	4	3	
⁹⁰ Sr	$2.0 imes10^{-1}$	Colon wall	$1.4 imes 10^{-8}$	97	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	
¹⁰⁶ Ru	1.0×10^{-2}	Colon wall	$4.6 imes 10^{-8}$	100	_		_		
¹²⁵ Sb	5.0×10^{-2}	Colon wall	4.1×10^{-9}	98	1	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	
¹³⁷ Cs	$8.0 imes10^{-1}$	Colon wall	$1.4 imes 10^{-8}$	88	11	1			
¹⁴⁴ Ce	5.0×10^{-4}	Colon wall	4.2×10^{-8}	100	_	—	_	_	

Table 13. Absorbed dose per unit intake to age 70 y for reference adult (acute ingestion) and the percentage of dose delivered in the first 5 y after intake on March 1 (date of Bravo test).

^a Red bone marrow.

^b Stomach wall.



Fig. 4. Annual doses (mGy) to thyroid due to chronic intake of residual radioactivity in the environment from fallout for Majuro and Kwajalein residents, and for Utrik and Rongelap Island community members, born in three different years: 1930 or before, 1953, and 1957. Doses for Utrik and Rongelap community members account for relocations (see Simon et al. 2010, Table 3). Note different y-axis scaling of each panel.

the equivalent doses from chronic intakes of $^{239+240}$ Pu represented less than 1% of the total internal doses from chronic intakes; and

²⁰⁷Bi: Along with ⁶⁰Co and ¹³⁷Cs, ²⁰⁷Bi was one of the three radionuclides that were detected with regularity in gamma spectrometry analyses conducted until the mid-1990's (Noshkin et al. 1997). A summary of all the available data on the concentrations of ²⁰⁷Bi in flesh samples of reef and pelagic fish collected from Bikini and Enewetak Atolls between 1964 and 1995 was published by Noshkin et al. (1997). Their analysis showed that: (1) the highest ²⁰⁷Bi concentrations, by far, were observed in goatfish, which is representative of reef fish, (2) at Enewetak Atoll, ²⁰⁷Bi was lost from

the environment with an effective half-time of 5.1 y, whereas at Bikini Atoll, only radioactive decay, with a half-life of 32.2 y, accounted for the rate at which ²⁰⁷Bi was disappearing from the lagoon, and (3) representative concentrations of ²⁰⁷Bi in goatfish flesh in 1978 were 8.1 Bq kg⁻¹ at Bikini and 241.9 Bq kg⁻¹ at Enewetak. Assuming, here again, that all of the radioactive contamination of the lagoons occurred in 1954, the time-integrated concentrations of ²⁰⁷Bi in goatfish flesh from 1954 to infinity were estimated to be 630 Bq y kg⁻¹ at Bikini and 46,000 Bq y kg⁻¹ at Enewetak. Using an assumed daily consumption of 43 g of reef fish (Robison and Sun 1997), these time-integrated concentrations lead to lifetime doses to adults that

would be, at most, 5 mGy to the colon walls of persons who would exclusively consume goatfish flesh from the Enewetak lagoon and to doses of less than 1 mGy to the other organs and tissue of consumers of reef fish from Bikini lagoon. It is clear, however, that these doses are vastly overestimated because the residents of Bikini and Enewetak were evacuated in 1946 and 1947, respectively (Table 3 in Simon al. 2010) and because the ²⁰⁷Bi concentrations in fish from lagoons other than Bikini and Enewetak are likely to have been much lower.

Comparison of internal doses to external doses

Table 19 compares the estimated acute and chronic doses to an adult for four organs at the four representative atolls with the external doses as reported in Bouville et al. (2010) for those same atolls. Except for doses to the thyroid gland, the external doses were comparable or much greater than the internal doses. As discussed previously, the chronic doses for thyroid were small compared to the acute doses, but the chronic doses to stomach and RBM were comparable or greater than the acute doses (except for the Rongelap community). However, the calculated chronic doses were mainly due to ingestion of ⁶⁵Zn (Table 15) and are very uncertain since, as discussed previously, they are very dependent on assumptions regarding the intake of ⁶⁵Zn at atolls other than Rongelap.

Comparison of internal doses from fallout to internal doses from natural background radioactivity

It is useful to compare the estimated acute and chronic doses to Marshallese from ingestion of fallout radioactivity with estimates of dose from ingestion of natural radioactivity in the diet. Coral-based soil is low in natural radioactivity, resulting in little natural radioactivity in locally grown foods; hence, seafood provides the largest amount of natural radioactivity to the Marshallese diet (Noshkin et al. 1994). Though the diet of the Marshallese in years past has been difficult to reconstruct precisely (NAP 1994), reasonable estimates of annual intake are possible. Depending on assumptions made about the proportion of the diet from local foods compared to imported foods, the annual intake by adult Marshallese was estimated by Noshkin et al. (1994) to range from 800 Bq (mixture of local and imported food) to 3,000 Bq (local food only) for ²¹⁰Po, and from 130 Bq to 240 Bq for ²¹⁰Pb. While Noshkin et al. (1994) used these intakes to estimate effective doses, we used their estimates of intake to calculate organ equivalent doses so that a more direct comparison can be made with our estimated organ absorbed doses resulting from exposure to fallout.

A comparison of the doses from routine ingestion of ^{210}Po and ^{210}Pb with doses from ingestion of fallout radioactivity is complex for several reasons: (1) The types of radiations that give rise to the doses are different: predominantly alpha particles for the doses from ²¹⁰Pb and ²¹⁰Po, and electrons and photons for fallout radionuclides from nuclear weapons tests. Consequently, a radiation-weighting factor equal to 20 is necessary to determine the equivalent doses from ²¹⁰Pb and ²¹⁰Po, while the factor is equal to 1.0 for the doses from fallout. In this comparison, the doses are expressed in terms of equivalent dose (mSv), as that quantity is generally proportional to the radiation risk; (2) The equivalent doses that result from intakes of the radionuclides considered vary according to age; in this comparison, only the equivalent doses to adults are estimated; (3) The annual equivalent doses from naturally-occurring radionuclides are considered to be constant over time unlike the doses from fallout that were highest in 1954 and generally decreased until 1970. In this analysis, the fallout equivalent doses accumulated from 1948 through 1970 are compared with doses from natural radioactivity in foods for the same number of years. Therefore, the estimated annual equivalent doses from natural radioactivity were summed over 23 y; (4) The equivalent doses from fallout varied substantially among groups of atolls, whereas the doses from naturally-occurring radionuclides were considered to be the same at all atolls of the Marshall Islands.

The results of the comparison are presented in Table 20 for representative adults of the four communities discussed throughout this paper. Two general findings emerged, regardless of the atoll: (1) the equivalent dose to RBM of adults from ingestion of fallout was estimated to be substantially less than the equivalent dose from ingestion of naturally-occurring ²¹⁰Po and ²¹⁰Pb over an equal number of years of intake; and (2) the equivalent dose to the thyroid of adults from ingestion of fallout was greater than the equivalent doses from naturally-occurring ²¹⁰Po and ²¹⁰Pb.

At southern and mid-latitude atolls, best represented by Majuro and Kwajalein, respectively (Fig. 2, Simon et al. 2010), the equivalent doses to the stomach wall and colon from exposure to fallout were smaller than the equivalent doses from ingesting naturally-occurring ²¹⁰Po and ²¹⁰Pb. Of the two diets, the local-food-only diet would give a larger dose from natural radioactivity. The thyroid equivalent dose for members of these communities was only slightly greater from fallout than from intakes of naturally-occurring ²¹⁰Pb and ²¹⁰Po (20% to 3.3 times larger). These relationships would apply to about 96% of the population alive during the testing years (73% who lived in the southern atolls and 23% who lived in the mid-latitude atolls).

Table 14. Cumulative radiation absorbed doses (mGy) to four organs of representative persons by birth year (\leq 1930 through 1968) from chronic intakes of residual radioactivity in the environment from fallout (all values rounded to two significant digits). Doses for Utrik and Rongelap communities account for relocations.

Diath		Majuro	residents			Kwajalei	n residents	
year	RBM	Thyroid	Stomach	Colon	RBM	Thyroid	Stomach	Colon
1929	0.98	0.76	0.75	0.99	1.7	1.3	1.3	1.7
1930	0.98	0.76	0.75	0.99	1.7	1.3	1.3	1.7
1931	0.98	0.76	0.75	0.99	1.7	1.3	1.3	1.7
1932	0.98	0.76	0.75	0.99	1.7	1.3	1.3	1.7
1933	0.98	0.76	0.75	0.99	1.7	1.3	1.3	1.7
1934	0.98	0.76	0.75	0.99	1.7	1.3	1.3	1.7
1935	0.98	0.76	0.75	0.99	1.7	1.3	1.3	1.7
1936	0.98	0.76	0.75	0.99	1.8	1.4	1.3	1.8
1937	1.0	0.78	0.77	1.0	1.8	1.4	1.4	1.8
1938	1.0	0.78	0.78	1.0	1.8	1.4	1.4	1.8
1939	1.0	0.78	0.77	1.0	1.8	1.4	1.4	1.8
1940	1.0	0.78	0.78	1.0	1.8	1.4	1.4	1.8
1941	1.0	0.78	0.78	1.0	1.9	1.4	1.4	1.8
1942	1.1	0.90	0.85	1.1	2.0	1.6	1.5	2.0
1943	1.2	0.98	0.89	1.2	2.1	1.7	1.6	2.2
1944	1.3	1.0	0.90	1.3	2.2	1.7	1.6	2.2
1945	1.3	1.0	0.91	1.3	2.2	1.7	1.6	2.2
1946	1.3	1.0	0.91	1.3	2.2	1.7	1.6	2.2
1947	1.3	1.1	0.97	1.3	2.2	1.8	1.6	2.3
1948	1.3	1.1	0.98	1.4	2.2	1.8	1.7	2.3
1949	1.2	1.0	0.96	1.3	1.9	1.6	1.5	2.1
1950	1.2	1.0	0.95	1.3	1.8	1.5	1.4	2.0
1951	1.2	1.0	0.94	1.3	1.7	1.4	1.3	1.9
1952	1.2	1.0	0.90	1.3	1.7	1.4	1.3	1.8
1953	1.1	0.93	0.83	1.2	1.6	1.3	1.2	1.8
1954	1.2	0.98	0.87	1.2	1.7	1.4	1.2	1.8
1955	0.70	0.57	0.52	0.75	1.0	0.82	0.76	1.1
1956	0.40	0.31	0.30	0.48	0.61	0.47	0.47	0.74
1957	0.27	0.20	0.21	0.35	0.41	0.31	0.33	0.55
1958	0.21	0.16	0.17	0.29	0.32	0.24	0.26	0.45
1959	0.17	0.13	0.14	0.25	0.26	0.20	0.22	0.38
1960	0.14	0.11	0.12	0.22	0.22	0.17	0.19	0.34
1961	0.12	0.10	0.11	0.19	0.19	0.15	0.17	0.30
1962	0.11	0.087	0.10	0.18	0.17	0.14	0.15	0.28
1963	0.10	0.079	0.088	0.16	0.15	0.12	0.14	0.25
1964	0.086	0.072	0.080	0.14	0.13	0.11	0.13	0.23
1965	0.076	0.065	0.073	0.13	0.12	0.10	0.11	0.21
1966	0.068	0.059	0.066	0.12	0.11	0.092	0.10	0.19
1967	0.056	0.048	0.054	0.10	0.087	0.076	0.085	0.15
1968	0.045	0.039	0.044	0.081	0.070	0.061	0.069	0.13

For the Utrik and Rongelap communities, the dose to RBM from fallout, as mentioned, was less than from the dose from natural radioactivity; however, the fallout-related equivalent doses to the other organs (thyroid, stomach wall, and colon) exceeded the diet-related equivalent doses to those organs and tissues from natural radioactivity. In the case of these two population groups, the thyroid equivalent dose was far greater from intakes of fallout radionuclides than from intakes of naturally-occurring ²¹⁰Pb and ²¹⁰Po (40 to nearly 400 times larger). The combined Utrik and Rongelap populations composed about 3% of the population alive during the testing years.

Estimation of uncertainties

There are numerous sources of uncertainty in the acute and chronic dose estimates presented here, many of which are difficult to quantify. Because of the various types and sources of data used in the reconstruction, the wide variety of submodels used, and the many assumptions and interpolations required, numerical determination of the overall uncertainty in doses for each atoll and age group is difficult and involves considerable subjective judgment. In this section, the uncertainties in the total internal dose received by each population group in each year from all tests in that year are crudely quantified. The dosimetric uncertainties for the population groups exposed on the three northern atolls (Rongelap, Ailinginae, Rongerik), for Utrik, and for the mid-latitude and southern latitude atolls (see Fig. 2 in Simon et al. 2010) are considered in turn.

Population groups in the northern latitudes. The doses received by the Rongelap Island community are used here to

Table 14. (Continued)

Dirth		Utrik co	ommunity			Rongelap Isla	and community	
year	RBM	Thyroid	Stomach	Colon	RBM	Thyroid	Stomach	Colon
1929	33	25	24	32	17	14	14	17
1930	33	25	24	32	17	14	14	17
1931	33	25	24	32	17	14	14	17
1932	33	25	24	32	17	14	14	17
1933	33	25	24	32	17	14	14	17
1934	33	25	24	32	17	14	14	17
1935	33	25	24	32	17	14	14	17
1936	33	25	24	32	17	14	14	17
1937	34	25	25	33	17	14	14	17
1938	34	26	25	33	17	14	14	17
1939	34	25	25	32	17	14	14	17
1940	34	25	25	32	17	14	14	17
1941	34	25	25	32	17	14	14	17
1942	39	30	28	38	17	14	14	17
1943	42	33	30	41	17	14	14	17
1944	43	33	30	42	17	14	14	17
1945	43	33	30	42	17	13	13	17
1946	43	33	30	42	17	13	13	16
1947	46	37	33	46	17	13	13	16
1948	45	36	33	46	16	12	12	16
1949	43	35	32	45	16	12	12	16
1950	43	34	32	45	16	12	12	17
1951	42	34	32	45	16	12	12	17
1952	44	37	33	47	17	12	13	18
1953	41	34	31	45	16	12	13	19
1954	46	38	34	47	17	13	13	20
1955	28	22	20	30	17	13	14	22
1956	15	11	11	17	18	14	15	25
1957	10	7.5	8.0	13	23	16	18	30
1958	8.4	6.2	6.7	11	21	15	16	28
1959	7.0	5.4	5.8	10	18	13	14	25
1960	5.9	4.7	5.1	8.9	15	12	13	22
1961	5.1	4.1	4.5	7.8	13	10	11	20
1962	4.3	3.6	3.9	6.9	11	8.9	10	17
1963	3.7	3.1	3.5	6.1	9.8	7.8	8.6	15
1964	3.1	2.7	3.0	5.3	8.3	6.7	7.4	13
1965	2.5	2.3	2.5	4.5	6.9	5.6	6.2	11
1966	2.1	1.9	2.1	3.8	5.7	4.7	5.2	9.4
1967	1.7	1.5	1.7	3.1	4.7	3.8	4.3	7.8
1968	1.3	1.2	1.4	2.5	3.7	3.0	3.4	6.4

represent the doses received by the three population groups other than Utrik in the northern atolls (Rongelap Island, Ailinginae, Rongerik). As shown in Table 11, the thyroid doses received by the Rongelap Island community in 1954 were almost entirely due to acute intakes of radioiodines (¹³¹I, ¹³³I, ¹³⁵I) resulting from the Bravo test. These intakes were estimated on the basis of ¹³¹I measurements made on samples of pooled urine collected from adults who were at Ailinginae, Rongelap, and Rongerik at the time of fallout from the test. The average thyroid dose to adults from acute intake of ¹³¹I from Bravo can be expressed as:

 $D(^{131}$ I, Bravo, adults) = $C(^{131}$ I, Bravo, adults)

$$\times \left(\frac{\bar{Q}}{C}\right) \times \left(\frac{D}{\bar{Q}}\right), \quad (13)$$

where

- $C(^{131}$ I, Bravo, adults) = the measured concentration of 131 I in the pooled sample of urine; the average over the two samples taken among the Rongelap people is 0.42 Bq mL⁻¹:
 - $\bar{Q} = 3,500$ kBq is the estimated intake of ¹³¹I averaged over the two urine samples (Table 7); and
 - D = 1,700 mGy is the estimated thyroid dose due to the intake of ¹³¹I (Table 11).

Uncertainties in *C***.** As shown in eqn (A1) (Appendix), *C* is obtained as the ratio of the background adjusted count rate of ¹³¹I, *CR* (counts s^{-1} per mL), and of the

Organ and	Majuro	o residents	Kwajale	in residents	Utrik d	community	Rongelap Island community	
rank ^a	Nuclide	Dose	Nuclide	Dose	Nuclide	Dose	Nuclide	Dose
RBM								
1	⁶⁵ Zn	$7.1 imes 10^{-1}$	⁶⁵ Zn	$1.2 imes 10^{\circ}$	⁶⁵ Zn	$2.3 imes 10^1$	¹³⁷ Cs	$1.4 imes 10^1$
2	¹³⁷ Cs	$2.4 imes 10^{-1}$	¹³⁷ Cs	$4.1 imes 10^{-1}$	¹³⁷ Cs	$7.7 imes 10^{0}$	⁵⁵ Fe	$2.6 imes 10^{\circ}$
3	⁵⁵ Fe	$2.6 imes 10^{-2}$	⁵⁵ Fe	$4.5 imes 10^{-2}$	⁵⁵ Fe	$1.9 imes 10^{0}$	⁶⁵ Zn	$2.4 imes 10^{\circ}$
4	⁹⁰ Sr	1.4×10^{-2}	⁹⁰ Sr	$2.4 imes 10^{-2}$	⁹⁰ Sr	$2.0 imes 10^{-1}$	⁹⁰ Sr	$1.0 imes 10^{0}$
5	⁶⁰ Co	5.9×10^{-3}	⁶⁰ Co	$1.0 imes 10^{-2}$	⁶⁰ Co	1.1×10^{-1}	⁶⁰ Co	$9.1 imes 10^{-2}$
Thyroid								
ĩ	⁶⁵ Zn	$5.2 imes 10^{-1}$	⁶⁵ Zn	$8.9 imes 10^{-1}$	⁶⁵ Zn	$1.8 imes 10^1$	¹³⁷ Cs	$1.4 imes10^1$
2	¹³⁷ Cs	$2.4 imes 10^{-1}$	¹³⁷ Cs	$4.1 imes 10^{-1}$	¹³⁷ Cs	$7.8 imes 10^{0}$	⁶⁵ Zn	$1.8 imes 10^{0}$
3	⁶⁰ Co	4.7×10^{-3}	⁶⁰ Co	$8.0 imes 10^{-3}$	⁵⁵ Fe	$1.5 imes 10^{-1}$	⁵⁵ Fe	$2.0 imes 10^{-1}$
4	⁵⁵ Fe	2.0×10^{-3}	⁵⁵ Fe	3.4×10^{-3}	⁶⁰ Co	$8.6 imes 10^{-2}$	⁶⁰ Co	$7.2 imes 10^{-2}$
5	⁹⁰ Sr	5.2×10^{-5}	⁹⁰ Sr	9.0×10^{-5}	⁹⁰ Sr	$7.6 imes 10^{-4}$	⁹⁰ Sr	3.8×10^{-3}
Stomach								
1	⁶⁵ Zn	$5.1 imes 10^{-1}$	⁶⁵ Zn	$8.7 imes 10^{-1}$	⁶⁵ Zn	$1.6 imes 10^1$	¹³⁷ Cs	$1.4 imes10^1$
2	¹³⁷ Cs	$2.5 imes 10^{-1}$	¹³⁷ Cs	$4.2 imes 10^{-1}$	¹³⁷ Cs	$7.6 imes 10^{0}$	⁶⁵ Zn	$1.7 imes 10^{0}$
3	⁶⁰ Co	7.1×10^{-3}	⁶⁰ Co	$1.2 imes 10^{-2}$	⁵⁵ Fe	$1.5 imes 10^{-1}$	⁵⁵ Fe	$2.2 imes 10^{-1}$
4	⁵⁵ Fe	2.1×10^{-3}	⁵⁵ Fe	3.7×10^{-3}	⁶⁰ Co	$1.2 imes 10^{-1}$	⁶⁰ Co	$1.1 imes 10^{-1}$
5	⁹⁰ Sr	7.2×10^{-5}	⁹⁰ Sr	$1.2 imes 10^{-4}$	⁹⁰ Sr	$9.8 imes 10^{-4}$	⁹⁰ Sr	5.2×10^{-3}
Colon								
1	⁶⁵ Zn	$6.9 imes 10^{-1}$	⁶⁵ Zn	$1.2 imes 10^{\circ}$	⁶⁵ Zn	$2.2 imes 10^1$	⁹⁰ Cs	$1.6 imes10^1$
2	¹³⁷ Cs	$2.8 imes10^{-1}$	¹³⁷ Cs	$4.8 imes 10^{-1}$	¹³⁷ Cs	$8.8 imes10^{0}$	⁶⁵ Zn	$2.3 imes 10^{0}$
3	⁶⁰ Co	2.4×10^{-2}	⁶⁰ Co	4.1×10^{-2}	⁶⁰ Co	4.3×10^{-1}	⁵⁵ Fe	$6.0 imes 10^{-1}$
4	⁵⁵ Fe	5.9×10^{-3}	⁵⁵ Fe	$1.0 imes 10^{-2}$	⁵⁵ Fe	4.3×10^{-1}	⁶⁰ Co	3.7×10^{-1}
5	⁹⁰ Sr	1.0×10^{-3}	⁹⁰ Sr	1.8×10^{-3}	⁹⁰ Sr	1.4×10^{-2}	⁹⁰ Sr	7.5×10^{-2}

Table 15. Radionuclides giving highest cumulative organ doses (mGy) to adults of four population groups (Majuro residents, Kwajalein residents, Utrik community, and Rongelap Island community) from chronic intakes of long-lived radionuclides. Utrik and Rongelap community doses account for relocations.

^a Rank of 1 indicates radionuclide with highest organ dose; Rank of 5 indicates radionuclide with fifth highest organ dose.

Table 16. Comparison of estimates of average acute intake (MBq) of radioiodines and precursor radionuclides among exposed Marshallese and American groups following deposition of Bravo fallout. All values are rounded to two significant digits.^a

Literature source of estimates	Gender (adults)	131 I (8.02 d)	¹³² I (2.3 h)	¹³³ I (20.8 h)	¹³⁵ I (6.6 h)	^{131m} Te (30 h)	¹³² Te (3.2 d)
Rongelap Island							
(Marshallese adults)							
Harris ^{b,c}	Male-female average	2.8	9.6	30	43	nr	nr
Lessard et al. (1985)	Male-female average	3.4	20	73	120	2.8	19
This work	Male-female average	3.5	9.7	40	50	2.3	9.4
Sifo, Ailinginae							
(Marshallese adults)							
Harris ^{b,c}	Male-female average	1.3	4.4	14	20	nr	nr
Lessard et al. (1985)	Male-female average	0.69	4.1	20	41	0.84	19
This work	Male-female average	1.2	3.5	16	25	0.88	3.4
Rongerik (American							
military, adults)							
Harris ^{b,c}	Male	$0.78(1.7)^{d}$	2.6 (5.7)	8.1 (18)	12 (26)	nr	nr
Goetz et al. (1987)	Male	$0.56 (1.2)^{e}$	nr	nr	nr	nr	nr
This work	Male	1.7	4.6	18	17	1.1	4.6

^a nr means not reported.

^b Harris PS. A summary of the results of urine analysis on Rongelap natives Americans and Japanese fishermen to date. Memorandum to AEC. Los Alamos, NM: Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory; 1954.

^c Personal communication, P.S. Harris to S.L. Simon, 2005.

^d 500 mL urine volume (same as for Marshallese) was used by Harris; use of 1,100 mL urine volume for LA319A (see Table 7) would have given 1.7 MBq.

^e 500 mL urine volume (same as for Marshallese) was used by Goetz et al. (1987); use of 1,100 mL urine volume for LA319A (see Table 7) would have given 1.2 MBq.

calibration factor, $\varepsilon_{\rm C}$ (count per decay). In this analysis, the uncertainties in the estimates of *CR* and $\varepsilon_{\rm C}$ are considered to be small in comparison to the uncertainty in \overline{Q}/C .

Uncertainties in \bar{Q}/C . It follows from eqn (A1) that

$$\bar{Q}/C = K \times \bar{V}/EF(t),$$

om the Bravo test (acute intake) from this

		Es	timated thyroid	absorbed dose	(mGy) to adults		
	¹³¹ I (8.02 d)	¹³² I (2.3 h)	¹³³ I (20.8 h)	¹³⁵ I (6.6 h)	^{131m} Te (30 h)	¹³² Te (3.2 d)	Total
Rongelap							
This work	1,700	47	4,200	1,300	41	260	7,600
Lessard et al. (1985)	1,400	74	5,600	2,000	130	1,200	11,000
Ailinginae							
This work	500	14	1,400	520	13	80	2,500
Lessard et al. (1985)	290	16	1,600	670	39	300	2,900
Utrik							
This work	230	4.5	380	36	3.8	35	690
Lessard et al. (1985)	330	15	850	79	27	240	1,600
Rongerik							
This work	740	16	2,200	820	18	120	4,000
Goetz et al. (1987)	190	_		—		_	190

Table 17. Comparison of estimates of absorbed dose to the thyroid from the Bravo test (acute intake) from this work and from Lessard et al. (1985) and Goetz et al. (1987); all entries are in mGy and represent the average for male and female adults (except Rongerik which pertains to adult males only), rounded to two significant digits (entries with dash were not estimated).

Table 18. Deposition density (kBq m⁻²) of ²³⁹⁺²⁴⁰Pu at the time of fallout and the variation of surface soil concentration (Bq kg⁻¹ in 0–5 cm) with time (y) after deposition. All values rounded to two significant digits.

	Deposition density	ensity $\frac{239+240}{2}$ Pu soil concentration (Bq kg ⁻¹) as a function of time (y) after deposition						sition		
Atoll	(kBq m ⁻²)	0 y	0.5 y	1 y	3 y	5 y	10 y	20 y	30 y	50 y
Ailinginae	7.5	150	130	110	86	78	70	63	59	55
Ailinglaplap	0.055	1.1	0.90	0.77	0.61	0.56	0.50	0.45	0.42	0.40
Ailuk	0.19	3.8	3.1	2.7	2.1	2.0	1.7	1.6	1.5	1.4
Arno	0.060	1.2	1.0	0.88	0.70	0.64	0.57	0.52	0.49	0.46
Aur	0.065	1.3	1.1	0.92	0.74	0.67	0.60	0.54	0.51	0.48
Bikar ^a	5.7	110	91	79	60	57	49	45	42	42
Ebon	0.021	0.41	0.34	0.29	0.23	0.21	0.19	0.17	0.16	0.15
Erikub	0.095	0.19	0.16	0.13	0.11	0.10	0.090	0.080	0.070	0.070
Jabat	0.033	0.65	0.54	0.46	0.37	0.34	0.30	0.27	0.25	0.24
Jaluit ^b	0.085	1.7	1.4	1.2	0.97	0.88	0.78	0.71	0.67	0.63
Jemo	0.090	1.8	1.5	1.3	1.0	0.92	0.82	0.74	0.70	0.66
Kili	0.085	1.7	1.4	1.2	0.95	0.87	0.77	0.70	0.66	0.62
Knox	0.037	0.73	0.61	0.52	0.41	0.38	0.34	0.30	0.29	0.27
Kwajalein	0.066	1.2	1.0	0.84	0.67	0.61	0.55	0.49	0.47	0.44
Lae	0.12	2.4	2.0	1.7	1.3	1.2	1.1	0.98	0.92	0.86
Lib	0.050	1.0	0.85	0.73	0.58	0.53	0.47	0.43	0.40	0.38
Likiep	0.44	8.7	7.2	6.1	4.9	4.5	4.0	3.6	3.4	3.2
Majuro ^c	0.072	1.2	1.0	0.88	0.70	0.64	0.57	0.52	0.49	0.46
Maloelap	0.055	1.1	0.94	0.81	0.64	0.59	0.52	0.47	0.45	0.42
Mejit	0.14	2.7	2.3	1.9	1.5	1.4	1.3	1.1	1.1	1.0
Mili	0.034	0.68	0.56	0.48	0.38	0.35	0.31	0.28	0.27	0.25
Namorik	0.085	1.7	1.4	1.2	0.97	0.88	0.78	0.71	0.67	0.63
Namu	0.085	1.7	1.4	1.2	0.93	0.85	0.76	0.68	0.65	0.61
Rongelap Island	16	290	240	210	160	150	130	120	110	110
Rongerik	35	700	580	500	400	360	320	290	270	260
Taka	1.4	28	23	20	16	14	13	11	11	10
Taongi	0.16	3.2	2.7	2.3	1.8	1.7	1.5	1.3	1.3	1.2
Ujae	0.075	1.5	1.3	1.1	0.87	0.80	0.71	0.64	0.60	0.57
Ujelang	0.22	4.3	3.6	3.1	2.5	2.2	2.0	1.8	1.7	1.6
Utrik	3.5	63	53	45	36	33	29	26	25	23
Wotho	0.085	1.7	1.4	1.2	1.0	0.89	0.80	0.72	0.68	0.64
Wotje	0.090	1.8	1.5	1.3	1.0	0.94	0.83	0.75	0.71	0.66

^a Values scaled to those for Rongelap Island, using total ¹³⁷Cs deposition density (Table 5, Simon et al. 2010) as a guide.

^b Values from Namorik assumed for Jaluit.

^c Values from Arno assumed for Majuro.

Table 19. Comparison of estimates of acute and chronic internal doses (mGy) with external dose (mGy) for representative adults of four population groups.

		Popula	tion groups	
Organ/Mode of exposure	Majuro residents	Kwajalein residents	Utrik community	Rongelap Island community
Thyroid				
Acute	22	66	740	7,600
Chronic	0.76	1.3	25	14
RBM				
Acute	0.11	0.25	2.3	25
Chronic	0.98	1.7	33	17
Stomach wall				
Acute	0.32	1.1	16	530
Chronic	0.75	1.3	24	14
Colon				
Acute	4.4	12	180	2,800
Chronic	0.99	1.7	32	17
Whole body	9.8	22	130	1,600
(external				
dose)				

where

- K = the correction factor corresponding to the radioactive decay of ¹³¹I between time of sampling and time of counting;
- \overline{V} = the 24-h urine volume (mL) averaged over the sample population; and
- EF(t) = the urinary excretion fraction for ¹³¹I on day of sampling, *t* being the time elapsed between intake and sampling.

Because of the relatively long half-life of ¹³¹I (8 d), the uncertainty for K is very small. Uncertainties on \overline{V} are discussed in the Appendix and in Harris et al. (2010): the mean 24-h urine volumes averaged over the sample population are 427 and 448 mL for the samplings on March 16 and 17, respectively (Table 7); the distributions of the mean are assumed normal with standard errors of the mean of 42 and 37 mL for the two days of sampling. For this analysis, the mean and the standard error of the mean were taken to be 440 and 40 mL, respectively. The uncertainties in EF(t) are related to those in the parameter values of the biokinetic model. In Appendix A, six possible sets of parameter values for the thyroid biokinetic model were used to quantify the variations of the value of EF(t). Results, presented in Table A1, suggest for the Rongelap Island community a range of values from 0.92 to 2.3×10^{-4} around an arithmetic mean value of 1.7×10^{-4} , leading to a GSD of 1.6, assuming that the range of values correspond to one GSD. Using the numerical estimates of the GSDs for \overline{V} and for EF(t)indicated above, the GSD for \overline{Q}/C for adults of the Rongelap Island community exposed to acute intakes of 131 I from the Bravo test is found to be 1.6.

August 2010, Volume 99, Number 2

Uncertainties in D/\bar{Q} . The uncertainties in the thyroid dose per unit ¹³¹I intake, D/\bar{Q} , are relatively well documented (for example, Dunning and Schwarz 1981; Zvonova 1989; NCI 1997; Apostoaei and Miller 2004). They depend essentially on the uncertainties on the fractional thyroidal uptake and on the thyroid mass, as well as on the degree of correlation between the two parameters. Considering that the quantity of interest is the average thyroid dose per unit intake for adults of the Rongelap Island community, a direct approach was taken: the six possible sets of parameter values for the thyroid biokinetic model that are presented in Table A1 were used to quantify the variations of the value of D/\bar{Q} for ¹³¹I. The obtained range of values is from 4.3 to 6.1×10^{-7} Gy Bq⁻¹, resulting in a GSD of 1.2.

Using values of 1.0, 1.6, and 1.2 for the GSDs of *C*, \bar{Q}/C , and D/\bar{Q} , respectively, the GSD for $D(^{131}$ I, Bravo, adults) is estimated to be 1.7.

There are additional uncertainties involved in the estimation of the overall uncertainty in the annual doses received by representative persons of the Rongelap Island community. They include:

- uncertainties in the contributions of ¹³³I (20.8 h) and ¹³⁵I (6.6 h) to the thyroid dose to adults from the Bravo test, which are estimated to be 4,200 and 1,300 mGy, respectively, and, therefore, of the same order of magnitude as the thyroid dose from ¹³¹I (1,700 mGy);
- uncertainties in the estimation of the thyroid dose to children; and
- uncertainties related to small components of the thyroid dose due to acute intakes of ¹³²Te and ¹³²I from the Bravo test, thyroid doses due to chronic intakes from Bravo, and to acute and chronic intakes from other tests of the Castle series.

The contributions of ¹³³I (20.8 h) and ¹³⁵I (6.6 h) account for about 75% of the thyroid dose from the Bravo test to adults of the Rongelap Island community. As all other radionuclides collectively contribute no more than 25% of the thyroid dose from Bravo, a good approximation of the thyroid dose is:

$$D(\text{total}) = D(^{131}\text{I}) + D(^{133}\text{I}) + D(^{135}\text{I})$$

= $D(^{131}\text{I}) \times \left[1 + \frac{D(^{133}\text{I})}{D(^{131}\text{I})} + \frac{D(^{135}\text{I})}{D(^{131}\text{I})}\right]$
= $D(^{131}\text{I}) \times \left\{1 + \left[\frac{\bar{Q}(^{133}\text{I})}{\bar{Q}(^{131}\text{I})} \times \frac{D(^{133}\text{I})/\bar{Q}(^{133}\text{I})}{D(^{131}\text{I})/\bar{Q}(^{131}\text{I})}\right] + \left[\frac{\bar{Q}(^{135}\text{I})}{\bar{Q}(^{131}\text{I})} \times \frac{D(^{135}\text{I})/\bar{Q}(^{135}\text{I})}{D(^{131}\text{I})/\bar{Q}(^{131}\text{I})}\right]\right\}.$ (14)

Because the values of $\bar{Q}(^{133}\text{I})$ and $\bar{Q}(^{133}\text{I})$ are correlated to $\bar{Q}(^{133}\text{I})$, and the values of $[D(^{133}\text{I})/\bar{Q}(^{133}\text{I})]$ and

Table 20. Comparison of equivalent doses (mSv) to four organs of representative adults of four communities from intakes of fallout radioactivity (acute + chronic) with equivalent doses from consumption of naturally-occurring ²¹⁰Po and ²¹⁰Pb for an equal number of years and according to two different diets (mixture of local and imported foods and local-food-only diets). All values rounded to two significant digits.

	Population group							
Organ/Source of exposure	Majuro residents	Kwajalein residents	Utrik community	Rongelap Island community				
Thyroid								
Fallout (acute + chronic)	23	67	760	7,600				
Natural radioactivity, mixed food diet	5.4	5.4	5.4	5.4				
Natural radioactivity, local-food-only diet	20	20	20	20				
RBM								
Fallout (acute + chronic)	1.1	1.9	35	42				
Natural radioactivity, mixed food diet	55	55	55	55				
Natural radioactivity, local-food-only diet	190	190	190	190				
Stomach wall								
Fallout (acute + chronic)	1.1	2.4	41	550				
Natural radioactivity, mixed food diet	5.4	5.4	5.4	5.4				
Natural radioactivity, local-food-only diet	20	20	20	20				
Colon								
Fallout (acute + chronic)	5.4	14	210	2,800				
Natural radioactivity, mixed food diet	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0				
Natural radioactivity, local-food-only diet	22	22	22	22				

 $[D(^{135}I)/\bar{Q}(^{135}I)]$ are also correlated to $[D(^{131}I)/\bar{Q}(^{131}I)]$, the uncertainties in the total thyroid dose to adults from Bravo appear to be close to those of the contribution to the dose due to intake of ¹³¹I. However, in the absence of measurements of ¹³³I and ¹³⁵I in urine, and because of the lack of certainty on the nature of the pathways leading to the acute intakes, the uncertainty in the thyroid dose from the radioiodines was modestly increased to a GSD of 1.9.

The uncertainties in the estimation of the ¹³¹I thyroid dose to children are admittedly greater than those to adults, as there was no measurement of ¹³¹I in urine from children that could be used to validate them. However, the correction for age dependency seems to be well established for all age groups, with the exception of infants, for whom the contribution to the thyroid dose from breast feeding needs to be added. Also, for infants born in 1954, the dose is averaged over all possible dates of birth, resulting in additional uncertainties related to the estimation of breast feeding and of the doses received in utero (ICRP 2001, 2004). Table 21 provides a comparison of doses to persons born in the year of tests under two assumptions: (1) averaged over all dates of birth (the BCAD), and (2) assumed to have been born on 1 January. In this table, we compare the doses for the same four tissues and atolls as before. Though this study is not concerned with doses to identified persons, this table indicates how much greater a person's dose might be if they were born in the year of test, but before it took place. As noted earlier, the BCAD is the least biased estimate since the choice of any single DOB cannot be representative of all persons. Because infants represent a very small fraction of the population, the simplifying assumption was made that the uncertainty assigned to adults is also applicable to infants, and to children of any age as well.

In comparison to the contributions to the thyroid dose due to acute intakes of ¹³¹I, ¹³³I, and ¹³⁵I from the Bravo test, the other components of the thyroid dose received in 1954 by members of the Rongelap Island community (acute intakes of other radionuclides, chronic intakes of long-lived radionuclides, acute and chronic intakes from tests other than Bravo) are very small, so that their levels of uncertainty have little influence on the overall uncertainty. For that reason, we assumed that the uncertainty in the thyroid dose received in 1954 by representative persons of the Rongelap Island community is expressed by a GSD of 2.0, which is only slightly greater than the value used for the thyroid dose from Bravo (GSD of 1.9). We assume that the uncertainty in our estimates of dose to other organs (RBM, stomach wall and colon) is comparable to that which we estimate for the thyroid. The intakes of the nuclides that account for these doses are assumed to be given by the ratio of deposition densities which we assume have relatively small uncertainty. We also assume that the uncertainty in dose per unit intake for these nuclides is comparable to that for the radioiodines. Finally, we assume the same uncertainties for years other than 1954 since, even though the uncertainty in deposition varies somewhat from test to test, the major source of uncertainty is in \bar{Q}/D and it should not have varied significantly. The same uncertainty value was assigned to the other population groups (Ailinginae and Rongerik) exposed in the northern group of atolls.

Table 21. A comparison of the birth-cohort averaged dose (BCAD) with the dose to infants who are assumed to have been born on Jan. 1 in the same year as the tests (1948, 1951, 1953, 1954, 1956, 1958). All estimated doses (mGy) are from acute intakes of radionuclides, are truncated to the end of the year, and are rounded to two significant digits.^a

Birth year	Assumption		Majuro residents				Kwajalein residents			
of tests	calculation	RBM	Thyroid	Stomach	Colon	RBM	Thyroid	Stomach	Colon	
1948	BCAD	0.00028	0.046	0.00027	0.0022	0.063	18	0.17	0.98	
1948	Born Jan.1	0.00080	0.13	0.00076	0.0063	0.19	53	0.51	2.9	
1951	BCAD			_		0.00056	0.11	0.00089	0.0054	
1951	Born Jan.1			_		0.0020	0.38	0.0032	0.020	
1952	BCAD	0.025	6.3	0.058	0.30	0.027	7.7	0.076	0.34	
1952	Born Jan.1	0.030	7.3	0.067	0.35	0.031	9.0	0.088	0.39	
1954	BCAD	0.10	16	0.13	0.82	0.20	54	0.52	2.5	
1954	Born Jan.1	0.42	66	0.53	3.5	0.67	180	1.7	8.3	
1956	BCAD	0.017	2.5	0.020	0.13	0.032	6.0	0.053	0.30	
1956	Born Jan.1	0.036	5.4	0.044	0.29	0.072	13	0.12	0.67	
1958	BCAD	0.0025	0.37	0.0025	0.021	0.0036	0.50	0.0030	0.026	
1958	Born Jan.1	0.0068	0.99	0.0066	0.055	0.0094	1.3	0.0080	0.068	

^a Note: Table entries with a dash (---) were doses estimated to have been less than 0.001 mGy.

Utrik population group. As is the case for the Rongelap Island community and as shown in Tables 11 and 19, the internal thyroid doses received by the Utrik community in 1954 were, for the most part, due to acute intakes of radioiodines (¹³¹I and ¹³³I) resulting from the Bravo test. However, no samples for bioassay of ¹³¹I were collected from the members of the Utrik community. Those intakes were estimated from the ¹³⁷Cs deposition densities provided in Beck et al. (2010) for all tests with measurable fallout. Taking only into consideration the intakes of ¹³¹I and ¹³³I from Bravo, the thyroid dose received in 1954 by representative adults of the Utrik community is expressed as:

$$D(adults) = Dep(^{137}Cs, Bravo)$$

$$\times \left\{ \left[\frac{\bar{Q}(^{131}\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{Bravo})}{Dep(^{137}\mathrm{Cs}, \mathrm{Bravo})} \times \frac{D(^{133}\mathrm{I})}{\bar{Q}(^{131}\mathrm{I})} \right] + \left[\frac{\bar{Q}(^{133}\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{Bravo})}{Dep(^{137}\mathrm{Cs}, \mathrm{Bravo})} \times \frac{D(^{133}\mathrm{I})}{\bar{Q}(^{133}\mathrm{I})} \right] \right\}. \quad (15)$$

Uncertainties in Dep(^{137}Cs): As discussed in Beck et al. (2010), an uncertainty estimate was assigned to each estimate of the ^{137}Cs deposition density at each atoll from each test. These uncertainties, expressed in terms of GSDs, ranged from 1.3 to 3.0, depending on the availability and number of measurements of exposure rates and long-lived radionuclides at the atoll for the test under consideration. In the case of Utrik, the ^{137}Cs deposition density resulting from the Bravo test was estimated to be 21 kBq m⁻² with an uncertainty (GSD) of 1.5.

Uncertainties in $\overline{Q}(^{131}I, Bravo)/Dep(^{137}Cs, Bravo)$ and $\overline{Q}(^{133}I, Bravo)/Dep(^{137}Cs, Bravo)$: Taking ¹³¹I as an example, $\overline{Q}(^{131}I, Bravo)/Dep(^{137}Cs, Bravo)$ is, in fact, the product of two terms:

$$\frac{Q(^{131}\text{I, Bravo})}{Dep(^{137}\text{Cs, Bravo})} = \left[\frac{Dep(^{131}\text{I, Bravo})}{Dep(^{137}\text{Cs, Bravo})} \times \frac{\bar{Q}(^{131}\text{I, Bravo})}{Dep(^{131}\text{I, Bravo})}\right].$$
 (16)

The first term is derived from the tables provided in Hicks (1984) for discrete times of fallout. It is assumed that the deposition ratios have relatively small error (Hicks 1982) and, thus, that the uncertainty in the first term is due primarily to the uncertainty in the TOI estimate of 31 h for Utrik after the Bravo detonation. Estimates of TOI that depend on TOA clearly influence the estimates of intake for short-lived radionuclides due to differences in physical decay. In a simple analysis where TOA (h) was allowed to take on values of the best estimate minus 20% and the best estimate plus 20%, we compared the organ doses at the four atolls discussed. We found that organ doses from acute intakes were 7% to 25% greater at the earlier TOAs (best estimate minus 20%) compared to the best estimates, depending on the organ and population group. Conversely, we found that organ doses were 7% to 17% lower at longer TOAs (best estimate plus 20%) compared to the best estimates depending on the organ and population group. Table 22 presents a summary of the outcome of these calculations and leads to the general conclusion that errors in TOA or TOI potentially lead to errors in dose that are, for the most part, less than $\pm 25\%$ and, more often than not, about $\pm 15\%$. Considering that the overall uncertainty in internal doses is characterized by a GSD of at least 2, the uncertainty in TOI and, thus, in the first term, is a small component of the overall uncertainty and can be ignored for practical reasons.

Table 21.	Continue	d
THULL MILL	Commune	u.

Birth year and year of tests	Utrik community				Rongelap Island community			
	RBM	Thyroid	Stomach	Colon	RBM	Thyroid	Stomach	Colon
1948	0.00020	0.046	0.00042	0.0026	0.033	11	0.12	0.59
1948	0.00058	0.14	0.0012	0.0026	0.10	33	0.35	1.8
1951	0.0053	1.1	0.0093	0.055	0.010	2.2	0.020	0.11
1951	0.019	3.9	0.034	0.20	0.035	8.1	0.075	0.41
1952	0.016	3.4	0.030	0.17	0.016	4.0	0.036	0.19
1952	0.019	4.0	0.036	0.20	0.020	4.7	0.043	0.23
1954	1.7	460	5.6	31	16	5,100	150	480
1954	10	2,600	33	190	97	32,000	920	2,900
1956	0.0083	1.1	0.0085	0.058	0.017	2.5	0.020	0.13
1956	0.019	2.7	0.020	0.14	0.036	5.4	0.044	0.29
1958	0.016	3.3	0.029	0.19	0.036	7.2	0.067	0.38
1958	0.045	8.9	0.078	0.52	0.087	17	0.16	0.93

The uncertainty in the second term, \bar{Q}/Dep , depends on the validity of the assumption that the ratio of the acute intake and of the deposition density of ¹³¹I at Utrik for a TOI of 31 h is the same as the ratio that would have been obtained at Rongelap for the same TOI value. Because there are no measurements of ¹³¹I from which the intake of the members of the Utrik community can be readily derived, the uncertainty in the best estimate for \bar{Q}/Dep at Utrik is greater than that at Rongelap. The fact that, in the case of chronic intakes, the ratios of intake to deposition of ¹³⁷Cs differ by a factor of about 3 between the two atolls (see Table 4) suggests that there are uncertainties of an unknown nature that should be accounted for. It is worth keeping in mind that the pathways leading to acute intake have not been quantitatively described in an adequate way and that it is assumed that ingestion of fallout deposited on the skin, as well as on cooking utensils and foodstuffs, was the predominant

source of internal contamination. The contribution from inhalation is assumed minor, as, based on the meteorological modeling described in a companion paper (Moroz et al. 2010), the particle sizes of fallout from Bravo at Rongelap and at Utrik were very large (\gg 20 μ m). Because the atmospheric conditions, the physical and chemical characteristics of the fallout, and the lifestyle and dietary habits of the populations were similar at Utrik and at Rongelap, the GSD for $\bar{Q}(^{131}I, \text{Bravo})/Dep(^{131}I, \text{Bravo})$ at Utrik was taken to be 2.0, which is not much greater than the value of 1.6 that was determined for the GSD of $\overline{Q}(^{131}I, \text{Bravo})/C(^{131}I, \text{C})$ Bravo) for Rongelap. The same value was used for ¹³³I, which is strongly correlated with ¹³¹I. Finally, the uncertainties in the doses per unit intake, D/Q, at Utrik were taken to be characterized by a GSD of 1.4, which is slightly greater than the value of 1.2 at Rongelap; this is due to the fact that there were no measurements of ¹³¹I in urine among the members of the Utrik community.

Table 22. Sensitivity of organ doses (mGy) to assumptions in time-of-arrival (TOA). Estimated doses are for adults exposed to Bravo fallout at four atolls and at three TOAs: (i) best estimate (BE) of TOA (Beck et al. 2010), (ii) best estimate of TOA minus 20%, and (iii) best estimate of TOA plus 20%.

		(% diff	Organ dose, mGy (% difference from dose based on best estimate TOA)			
Atoll population	TOA (h)	RBM	Thyroid	Stomach	Colon	
Majuro residents	38 (BE -20%)	0.12 (11.8)	26 (17.9)	0.40 (23.6)	5.2 (18.7)	
Majuro residents	48 (BE)	0.11 (0.0)	22 (0)	0.32 (0)	4.4 (0)	
Majuro residents	58 (BE +20%)	0.10(-9.4)	20 (-12.1)	0.27 (-16.6)	3.7 (-14.4)	
Kwajalein residents	32 (BE - 20%)	0.27 (10.0)	77 (17.5)	1.4 (25.2)	14 (14.5)	
Kwajalein residents	40 (BE)	0.25 (0)	66 (0)	1.1 (0)	12 (0)	
Kwajalein residents	48 (BE +20%)	0.23(-7.5)	58 (-12.6)	0.92(-16.0)	11(-10.8)	
Utrik community	17.6 (BE - 20%)	2.5 (9.7)	880 (18.9)	21 (27.2)	200 (12.6)	
Utrik community	22 (BE)	2.3 (0)	740 (0)	16 (0)	180 (0)	
Utrik community	26 (BE +20%)	2.1(-7.1)	630 (-13.9)	14(-16.1)	160(-9.1)	
Rongelap Island community	4.8 (BE - 20%)	27 (9.7)	8,100 (6.9)	660 (23.8)	3,100 (10.7)	
Rongelap Island community	6.0 (BE)	25 (0)	7,600 (0)	530 (0)	2,800 (0)	
Rongelap Island community	7.2 (BE +20%)	23 (-7.0)	7,100 (-5.9)	440 (-17.0)	2,500 (-8.5)	

The combination of the assigned uncertainties to the components of the thyroid dose to representative adults of the Utrik community, due to intakes of ¹³¹I and ¹³³I from the Bravo test, results in an overall uncertainty (GSD) of 2.4.

As was the case for the members of the Rongelap Island community, the other components of the thyroid dose received in 1954 by members of the Utrik community (acute intakes of other radionuclides, chronic intakes of long-lived radionuclides, acute and chronic intakes from tests other than Bravo) are small, but much more uncertain. Even though these additional components have little influence on the overall uncertainty, the GSD of 2.4 estimated for the thyroid dose from Bravo was modestly increased to 2.5 to represent the uncertainty in the thyroid dose received in 1954 by members of the Utrik community. Again, as for the northern atolls, we assume that the uncertainty in the dose to other organs is comparable to that we estimate for the thyroid. We also assume the same uncertainties for years other than 1954 since, even though the uncertainty in deposition varies from test to test, the uncertainty in \bar{Q}/D should not have differed significantly.

Population groups in the mid-latitudes and in the southern latitudes

In the mid-latitudes and in the southern latitudes, the internal doses are much smaller than those for the Rongelap Island and the Utrik communities and the test with the largest contribution to the doses was not Bravo, but rather Romeo, Koon, or Yankee, all of which took place in 1954. Our estimate of uncertainty in internal doses to residents of mid-latitude and southern latitude atolls is again based on the estimated uncertainty in the acute thyroid dose due to intakes of ¹³¹I from a particular test in a specific atoll, in this case, from the Romeo test by an adult representative of the Majuro residents.

The thyroid dose to adults from acute intake of ¹³¹I from Romeo can be expressed as:

1

 $D(^{131}$ I, Romeo, adults) = $Dep(^{137}$ Cs, Romeo) D (1311 D

$$\times \frac{Dep(^{131}I, \text{Romeo})}{Dep(^{137}Cs, \text{Romeo})} \times \frac{Q(^{131}I, \text{Romeo})}{Dep(^{131}I, \text{Romeo})} \times \frac{D(^{131}I)}{Q(^{131}I)}.$$
 (17)

Z/131x D

Uncertainties in Dep(¹³⁷Cs): The ¹³⁷Cs deposition density resulting from the Romeo test was estimated to be 0.7 kBq m⁻² (Beck et al. 2010) with an uncertainty (GSD) of 1.3.

Uncertainties in Dep(¹³¹I, Romeo)/Dep(¹³⁷Cs, Romeo): As discussed above for Utrik, the uncertainty in this term is a minor contributor to the overall uncertainty and, thus, can be neglected.

August 2010, Volume 99, Number 2

Uncertainties in $\overline{Q}(^{131}I, Romeo)/Dep(^{131}I, Romeo)$: The uncertainty in \overline{Q}/Dep depends on the validity of the assumption that the ratio of the acute intake and of the deposition density of ¹³¹I at Majuro for a TOI of 140 h is the same as the ratio that would have been obtained at Rongelap for the same TOI value. Because there were no bioassay measurements of ¹³¹I from which the intakes of the Majuro residents can be readily derived, the uncertainty in the best estimate for \overline{O}/Dep at Majuro is clearly greater than that at Rongelap or Utrik. Deposition would have continued for much longer times and been likely influenced by both wet- and dry-deposition processes. Also, for these distant atolls, fallout particles would be considerably smaller, although still, based on the meteorological modeling described in Moroz et al. (2010), generally $>10-15 \ \mu m$ in diameter. Thus, there is considerable uncertainty about the magnitude and pathway of the intakes following individual tests. It is likely that, for some tests, much of the fallout took place during the frequent occurrences of heavy rainfall in the south. Consequently, the skin of the residents, as well as the cooking utensils and the foodstuffs, were probably not contaminated to the degree that may have occurred from dry fallout of very large particles at Rongelap. Inhalation doses would, thus, still likely be relatively minor compared to ingestion, particularly when the fallout occurred during rain. Thus, the GSD for $\bar{Q}(^{131}I, \text{Romeo})/Dep(^{131}I,$ Romeo) at Majuro was taken to be 2.5, which is substantially greater than the values of 1.6 and 2.0 that were determined for the GSD of $\bar{Q}(^{131}\text{I}, \text{Bravo})/C(^{131}\text{I}, \text{Br$ Bravo) for Rongelap and Utrik, respectively. Finally, the uncertainties in the doses per unit intake, D/\bar{Q} , at Majuro were taken to have the same value of GSD (1.4) as for Utrik.

The combination of the assigned uncertainties to the components of the thyroid dose to representative adults at Majuro due to intakes of ¹³¹I from the Romeo test results in an overall uncertainty (GSD) of 2.7. However, contrary to the situation at Rongelap and Utrik, more than one test contributed substantially to the 1954 thyroid dose. The tests Koon and Bravo contributed about as much as Romeo, while Union and Yankee accounted for much smaller ¹³¹I intakes (see Table 9, Simon et al. 2010). Because the uncertainty assigned to the deposition of ¹³⁷Cs from the Romeo test at Majuro was relatively low (GSD = 1.3), the choice of another test could have resulted in an overall uncertainty (GSD) greater than 2.7. For example, an overall uncertainty of 2.9 would have been obtained for Koon, as the uncertainty in the ¹³⁷Cs deposition density for Koon at Majuro (GSD = 1.5) is greater than that for Romeo. For Yankee, with an even higher uncertainty in the ¹³⁷Cs deposition density (GSD = 1.8), the overall uncertainty is estimated to be characterized by a GSD of 3.1. For that reason, we assumed that the uncertainty in the thyroid dose received in 1954 by representative persons of the Majuro population and of the populations of other atolls of the mid-latitude and southern regions had the same value (GSD = 3.0), somewhat higher than our estimate for the uncertainty in the thyroid dose from Romeo (GSD of 2.7). Again, as for Rongelap and Utrik, we assume that the uncertainty in the dose to other organs is comparable to that we estimate for the thyroid. Finally, we also assume the same uncertainties for years other than 1954.

In summary, we crudely estimated uncertainties of the population-average age-specific annual doses from internal irradiation that were received from 1948 to 1970 by lognormal probability distributions with GSDs of 2.0 for the population groups of the northern latitudes, 2.5 for the Utrik Community, and 3.0 for the population groups of the mid-latitudes and southern latitudes. Though all dose estimates we have presented for the Marshallese are uncertain, the models and estimation procedures were developed without knowledge of any specific systematic biases that could be corrected.

CONCLUSION

The methods developed in this work and the related dosimetry calculations provide a full accounting and disclosure of the doses received by the Marshallese from regional nuclear testing within the limits of the data known to us. The importance of the bioassay-based approach is clear here, but stands in contrast to methods often used for dose reconstructions relevant to continental nuclear tests sites where intake of fallout activity by the public is usually a consequence of ingestion of contaminated dairy foods (Health Physics 1990) and where suitable and well-characterized pathway models can be used for dose estimation. The pathways leading to acute and chronic intakes by the Marshallese were primarily ingestion of contamination on face and hands, plates, cooking utensils, and foods drying outdoors, and probably less importantly, consumption of contaminated water, and over the long-term, consumption of locally grown fruits. Because there are no pathway models for this lifestyle that have been suitably quantified, the urinary excretion data of Harris (1954) obtained from the highly exposed populations, and, in later years, the whole-body counting data summarized by Lessard et al. (1984, 1985), were of particularly great value to estimating doses.

There were several unusual and interesting aspects of the exposures in the Marshall Islands in addition to the

absence of well-known and well-understood exposure pathways. One unusual circumstance was the very limited access to fresh water prior to Bravo exposure. Coupled with a tropical environment that typically leads to significant losses of water through the skin, urine volumes that were obtained for bioassay were smaller than in most temperate climate collections on which radioactivity measurements have been based. In addition, analyses of the contamination from Bravo and the intakes of the highly exposed Marshallese indicated that particles in the environment were large (tens to hundreds of microns in size) and that the large acute intakes were a result, almost exclusively, of ingestion, while inhalation played only a very minor role (Harris 1954; Lessard et al. 1985). In contrast, chronic intakes at more distant atolls, resulting in much lower protracted doses, arise from dietary intakes that occur through ingestion of fruits and crops contaminated by root uptake and the consumption of fish (Robison and Sun 1997). Internal contamination of fruits with ¹³⁷Cs, e.g., coconuts, whose juice is a common water replacement for native residents, is higher (per unit soil concentration) than in almost every continental location because coral-based soils of the atolls are highly deficient in potassium.

In addition, at least one important conclusion emerged from our analysis of doses on a test-specific basis. For many years, the Bravo test has been assumed to have been the single most important test for all atolls from the point-of-view of exposure. While this is clearly the case for the northern atolls, it is not the case for the mid-latitude and southern latitude atolls (see Table 9, Simon et al. 2010). As a basis for comparison, the proportions of the thyroid dose contributed by Bravo at Rongelap, Utrik, Kwajalein, and Majuro were >99%, 93%, 4.7%, and 24%, respectively. In contrast, among the mid-latitude atolls (Kwajalein and others), the Yankee test was the most important. The contributions from Yankee to the thyroid dose at Rongelap, Utrik, Kwajalein, and Majuro were about ≪1%, 3.5%, 37%, and 2.4%. Among the southern atolls, the Koon test was the most important contributor to thyroid dose. The contributions to the thyroid dose from Koon at Rongelap, Utrik, Kwajalein, and Majuro were about 0.2%, 2%, 19%, and 28%, respectively.

One over-arching finding from our dose assessment was a distinctive geographic pattern of internal doses received by residents of the atolls, which, as discussed in Simon et al. (2010), was the same as for deposition, external dose, and projected cancer risk. Our data (see Fig. 2, Simon et al. 2010) clearly illustrate an overall decreasing trend in internal doses received from more northern latitude atolls to southern latitude atolls (see Table 5 of Simon et al. 2010). Moreover, we found that Health Physics August 2010, Volume 99, Number 2

our best estimates of internal dose varied less than two-fold within the southern atolls and within the midlatitude atolls, suggesting that the doses within each of those groups of atolls were relatively consistent.

Uncertainty in estimated doses has been assessed based on some simplifications, and while uncertainties are relatively large, as expected, our estimates of intakes of fallout radionuclides by the Marshallese, and their related doses, contain no known biases that require correction or that might unduly influence the estimates of cancer risk provided by Land et al. (2010).

Our estimates of radiation dose to the Marshallese living on all inhabited atolls should add considerably to our understanding of the cancer risks to the Marshallese from nuclear testing at Bikini and Enewetak during the years 1946–1958. In addition, these estimates of intakes and related doses add to our understanding in more general ways about the consequences of exposure to radioactive fallout from nuclear detonations.

The authors are indebted to several individuals whose analyses and research have made substantial contributions to this work. They include Payne Harris, who collected and analyzed the original urine samples of the exposed Marshallese, William Robison for various data and publications on radionuclide measurements made in the Marshall Islands, Shawki Ibrahim for analysis of f_1 data on fallout radionuclides, Brian Moroz for meteorological analysis and graphic support, Jack Robbins (deceased) for discussions about thyroid exposures and tissue damage seen among the Marshallese, as well as other scientists who have added to our understanding of the contamination and consequences in the Marshall Islands through their scientific publications, many of which are cited here.

REFERENCES

- Apostoaei AI, Miller LF. Uncertainties in dose coefficients from ingestion of ¹³¹I, ¹³⁷Cs, and ⁹⁰Sr. Health Phys 86:460–482; 2004.
- Beck HL, Bouville A, Moroz BE, Simon SL. Fallout deposition in the Marshall Islands from Bikini and Enewetak nuclear weapons tests. Health Phys 99:124–142; 2010.
- Bertelli L, Melo DR, Lipsztein J, Cruz-Suarez R. AIDE: internal dosimetry software. Radiat Protect Dosim 130:358-367; 2008.
- Bevington PR. Data reduction and error analysis for the physical sciences. New York: McGraw Hill; 1969.
- Bouville A, Beck HL, Simon SL. Doses from external irradiation to Marshall Islanders from nuclear weapons testing. Health Phys 99:143–156; 2010.
- Chilean Iodine Educational Bureau. Iodine content of foods. London: Lange, Maxwell & Springer; 1952.
- Comroe JH. Physiology of perspiration. Chicago: Year Book Medical Publishers; 1965.
- Cronkite EP, Conard RA, Bond VP. Historical events associated with fallout from BRAVO Shot—Operation Castle and 25 years of medical findings. Health Phys 73:176–186; 1997.

- Division of Cancer Epidemiology and Genetics, National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health. Estimation of the baseline number of cancers among Marshallese and the number of cancers attributable to exposure to fallout from nuclear weapons testing conducted in the Marshall Islands. Bethesda, MD: NCI; 2004.
- Donaldson LR, Seymour AH, Nevissi AH. University of Washington's radioecological studies in the Marshall Islands, 1946-1977. Health Phy 73:214-222; 1997.
- Dore C, Weiner JS, Wheeler EF, El-Neil H. Water balance and body weight: studies in a tropical climate. Annals Human Biol 2:25–33; 1975.
- Dosios T, Billis A, Skalkeas G. Evaporative water loss of adult surgical patients in Greece. The Am J Surgery 128:15–18; 1974.
- Dunning DE Jr, Schwarz G. Variability of human thyroid characteristics and estimates of dose from ingested I-131. Health Phys 40:661-675; 1981.
- Eckerman KF, Leggett RW, Cristy M, Nelson CB, Ryman JC, Sjoreen AL, Ward RC. Dose and risk calculation software (DCAL). Oak Ridge, TN: Oak Ridge National Laboratory; ORNL/TM-2001/190; 2006.
- Elebute EA. Determinants of evaporative fluid loss in a tropical environment. Bulletin de la Societé Internationale de Chirurge (Surgery) 2:2-6-213; 1973.
- Food and Agriculture Organization. Leaflet No. 8: Coconut [online]. Noumea, New Calcedonia: South Pacific Commission; 1983. Available at: http://www.fao.org/WAIRdocs/ x5425e/x5425e08.htm. Accessed May 2010.
- Fuge R, Johnson CJ. The geochemistry of iodine: a review. Environ Geochem and Health 8:31-54; 1986.
- Glasstone S, Dolan PJ. The effects of nuclear weapons. Washington, DC: United States Department of Defense and Energy Research and Development Administration; 1977.
- Goetz J, Klemm J, Phillips J, Thomas C. Analysis of radiation exposure—service personnel on Rongerik Atoll, Operation Castle—Shot Bravo. Washington, DC: Defense Nuclear Agency; SAIC-86/1608; 1987.
- Haldimann M, Alt A, Blanc A, Blondeau K. Iodine content of food groups. J Food Composition Analysis 18:461-471; 2005.
- Harris PS. Summary of the results of urine analysis on Rongelap natives Americans and Japanese fisherman to date. Memo to Atomic Energy Commission. Los Alamos, NM: Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory; 1954.
- Harris PS, Simon SL, Ibrahim SA. Urinary excretion of radionuclides from Marshallese exposed to fallout from the Bravo nuclear test. Health Phys 99:217-232; 2010.
- Harrison MT, McFarlane S, Harden RM, Wayne E. Nature and availability of iodine in fish. Am J Clinical Nutrition 17:73-33; 1965.
- Health Physics. Special issue: Evaluation of environmental radiation exposures from nuclear testing in Nevada. Health Phys 59(5); 1990.
- Hicks HG. Rates from fallout and the related radionuclide composition. Livermore, CA: Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory; Report UCRL-53152, Parts 1-7; 1981.
- Hicks HG. Calculation of the concentration of any radionuclide deposited on the ground by offsite fallout from a nuclear detonation. Health Phys 42:585-600; 1982.
- Hicks HG. Results of calculations of external gamma radiation exposure rates from local fallout and the related radionuclide compositions of selected U.S. Pacific events. Livermore, CA: Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory; UCRL-53505; 1984.

Acknowledgments—This work was supported by the Intra-Agency Agreement between the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases and the National Cancer Institute, NIAID agreement #Y2-A1-5077 and NCI agreement #Y3-CO-5117.

- Ibrahim SA, Simon SL, Bouville A, Melo D, Beck HL. Alimentary tract absorption (f_1 values) for radionuclides in local and regional fallout from nuclear tests. Health Phys 99:233-251; 2010.
- International Commission on Radiological Protection. Agedependent doses from intakes of radionuclides. Oxford: Pergamon Press; ICRP Publication 56, Ann ICRP 20(2); 1990.
- International Commission on Radiological Protection. Agedependent doses to members of the public from intake of radionuclides: Part 2 ingestion dose coefficients. New York: Elsevier; ICRP Publication 67; 1993.
- International Commission on Radiological Protection. Doses to members of the public from intake of radionuclides: Part 5 Compilation of ingestion and inhalation dose coefficients. New York: Elsevier; ICRP Publication 72; 1996.
- International Commission on Radiological Protection. Doses to the embryo and fetus from intakes of radionuclides by the mother. New York: Elsevier; ICRP Publication 88, Ann ICRP 31(103); 2001.
- International Commission on Radiological Protection. Basic anatomical and physiological data for use in radiological protection: reference values. New York: Elsevier; ICRP Publication 89; 2002.
- International Commission on Radiological Protection. Doses to infants from radionuclides ingested in mother's milk. New York: Elsevier; ICRP Publication 95; 2004.
- James RA. Estimate of radiation dose to thyroids of the Rongelap children following the BRAVO event. Livermore, CA: Lawrence Radiation Laboratory; UCRL-12273; 1964.
- Johnson LR. Essential medical physiology. Baltimore: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 1998.
- Kuno Y. Human perspiration. Springfield, IL: Thomas; 1956.
- Land CE, Bouville A, Apostoaei I, Simon SL. Projected lifetime cancer risks from exposure to regional radioactive fallout in the Marshall Islands. Health Phys 99:201–215; 2010.
- Latham MC. Human nutrition in the developing world. FAO Food and Nutrition Series, No. 29. Rome: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations; 1997.
- Leithead CS, Pallister MA. Observations on dehydration and sweating. The Lancet 2:114-117; 1960.
- Lessard ET, Miltenburger RP, Cohn SH, Musolino SV, Conard RA. Protracted exposure to fallout: the Rongelap and Utirik experience. Health Phys 46:511–527; 1984.
- Lessard E, Miltenberger R, Conard R, Musolino S, Naidu J, Moorthy A, Schopfer C. Thyroid absorbed dose for people at Rongelap, Utrik and Sifo on March 1, 1954. Upton, NY: Brookhaven National Laboratory, Safety and Environmental Protection Division; BNL 51882; 1985.
- Levy SJ, Taylor R, Higgins IL, Grafton-Wasserman DA. Fertility and contraception in the Marshall Islands. Studies Family Planning 19:179–185; 1988.
- Mao IF, Ko YC, Chen ML. The stability of iodine in human sweat. Japanese J Physiol 40:693-700; 1990.
- Mao IF, Chen ML, Ko YC. Electrolyte loss in sweat and iodine deficiency in a hot environment. Archives of Environmental Health 56:271–277; 2001.
- Moroz BE, Beck HL, Bouville A, Simon SL. Predictions of dispersion and deposition of fallout using the NOAA-HYSPLIT meteorological model. Health Phys 99:252–269; 2010.

- National Academy Press, National Academy of Sciences. Predicting decrements in military performance due to inadequate nutrition. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press; 1986.
- National Academy Press, National Academy of Sciences. Personal needs in hot environments: applications for military personnel in field operations. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press; 1993.
- National Academy Press, National Academy of Sciences. Radiological assessments for resettlement of Rongelap in the Republic of the Marshall Islands. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press; 1994.
- National Cancer Institute. Estimated exposures and thyroid doses received by the American people from Iodine-131 in fallout following the Nevada atmospheric nuclear bomb tests (U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Washington). Bethesda, MD: NCI; 1997.
- National Council on Radiological Protection and Measurements. Recommended screening levels for contaminated surface soil and review of factors relevant to site-specific studies. Bethesda, MD: NCRP; NCRP Report 129; 1999.
- Noshkin VE, Robison WL, Wong KM. Concentration of Po-210 and Pb-210 in the diet at the Marshall Islands. Sci Total Environ 155:87-104; 1994.
- Noshkin VE, Robison WL, Wong KM, Brunk JL, Eagle R, Jones HE. Past and present levels of some radionuclides in fish from Bikini and Enewetak Atolls. Health Phys 73:49– 65; 1997.
- Pennington JA, Schoen SA, Salmon GD, Young B, Johnson RD, Marts RW. Composition of core foods of the U.S. food supply 1982–1991. J Food Composition Analysis 8:171– 217; 1995.
- Quinn VE. Analysis of meteorological and radiological data for selected fallout episodes. Health Phys 59:577–592; 1990.
- Rall JE, Conard RA. Elevation in the serum protein-bound iodine level in inhabitants of the Marshall Islands. Am J Med 40:883-886; 1966.
- Riggs DS. Quantitative aspects of iodine metabolism in man. Pharmacol Rev 4:284-370; 1952.
- Robison WR, Sun C. The use of comparative ¹³⁷Cs body burden estimates from environmental data/models and whole body counting to evaluate diet models for the ingestion pathway. Health Phys 73:152–166; 1997.
- Robison WL, Mount ME, Phillips WA, Conrado C, Stuart ML, Stoker CE. The Northern Marshall Islands radiological survey: terrestrial food chain and total doses. Livermore, CA: Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory; UCRL-52853, Pt 4; 1982.
- Robison WL, Phillips WA. Estimates of the radiological dose from ingestion of ¹³⁷Cs and ⁹⁰Sr to infants, children, and adults in the Marshall Islands. Livermore, CA: Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory; UCRL-53917; 1989.
- Sharp R, Chapman WH. Report to the scientific director: Exposure of Marshall Islanders and American military personnel to fallout, WT-938, Operation Castle—Project 4.1 Addendum. Bethesda, MD: Naval Medical Research Institute; 1957. Extract version prepared for Defense Nuclear Agency; 1980.
- Simon SL. A brief history of people and events related to atomic weapons testing in the Marshall Islands. Health Phys 73:5-20; 1997.
- Simon SL. Soil ingestion by humans: a review of data, history, and etiology with application to risk assessment of radioactively contaminated soil. Health Phys 74:647-672; 1998.

Health Physics August 2010, Volume 99, Number 2

- Simon SL, Graham JC. Findings of the first comprehensive radiological monitoring program of the Republic of the Marshall Islands. Health Phys 64:66–85; 1997.
- Simon SL, Vetter RJ. Consequences of nuclear testing in the Marshall Islands. Health Phys 73:66–85; 1997.
- Simon SL, Borchert A, Graham JC. Concentrations and spatial distribution of plutonium in the terrestrial environment of the Republic of the Marshall Islands. Science Total Environment 229(1–2):21–39; 1999.
- Simon SL, Graham JC, Terp SD. Uptake of ⁴⁰K and ¹³⁷Cs in native plants of the Marshall Islands. J Environmental Radioact 59:223–243; 2002.
- Simon SL, Bouville A, Land CE, Beck HL. Radiation doses and cancer risks in the Marshall Islands associated with exposure to radioactive fallout from Bikini and Enewetak nuclear weapons tests: summary. Health Phys 99:105–123; 2010.
- Stather JW, Greenhalgh JR. The metabolism of iodine in children and adults. Chilton, Didcot, UK: National Radiological Protection Board; NRPB-R140; 1983.
- Sun LC, Meinhold CB, Moorthy AR, Kaplan E, Baum JW. Assessment of plutonium exposure in the Enewetak population by urinalysis. Health Phys 73:127–132; 1997.
- Takahashi T, Fujimori K, Simon SL, Bechtner E, Trott KR. Thyroid nodules, thyroid function and dietary iodine in the Marshall Islands. International J Epidemiol 28:742–749; 1999.
- Takahashi T, Trott KR, Fujimori K, Nakashima N, Ohtomo H, Minouk J, Schoemaker MJ, Simon SL. Thyroid disease in the Marshall Islands, findings from 10 years of study. Sendai, Japan: Tohoku University Press; 2001.

- U.S. Atomic Energy Commission. Some effects of ionizing radiation on human beings. A report of the Marhallese and Americans accidentally exposed to radiation from fallout and a discussion of radiation injury in the human being. Washington, DC: U.S. AEC; TID 5358; 1956.
- Varo P, Saari E, Paaso A, Koivistoinen P. Iodine in Finnish foods. International J Vit Nutr Res 52:80–89; 1982.
- Welander AD. Radiobiological studies of the fish collected at Rongelap and Ailinginae atolls, July 1957. Washington, DC: United States Atomic Energy Commission; Report UWFL-55; 1958.
- Wenlock RW, Buss DH, Moxon RE, Bunton NG. Trace nutrients, iodine in British food. British J Nutrition 47:381–390; 1982.
- Woodward KT, Schrodt AG, Anderson JE, Claypool HA, Hartgering JB. The determination of internally deposited radioactive isotopes in the Marshallese people by excretion analysis. Washington, DC: Division of Nuclear Medicine, Walter Reed Army Institute of Research; 1959.
- World Health Organization. Draft report: Water requirements, impinging factors, and recommended intakes [online]. Geneva: WHO; 2004. Available at: http://www.who.int/water_ sanitation_health/dwq/nutwaterrequir.pdf. Accessed 2008.
- World Health Organization. The WHO global data bank on breastfeeding and complementary feeding [online]. 2009. Available at: http://apps.who.int/research/iycf/bfcf/. Accessed 2 June 2010.
- Wright S. Applied physiology. London: Oxford University; 1956.
- Zvonova IA. Dietary intake of stable iodine and some aspects of radioiodine dosimetry. Health Phys 57:471–475; 1989.

APPENDIX

Details of methods, data, and assumptions used in calculating ¹³¹I intakes from bioassay of urine samples

The basic calculation to estimate the average intake of 131 I among the Rongelap community members from whom a 24-h urine sample was collected is shown in eqn (A1):

$$\bar{Q}(^{131}I) = \frac{CR \times K \times \bar{V}}{EF(t) \times \varepsilon_{\rm C}},\tag{A1}$$

where

- \bar{Q} = acute intake of ¹³¹I intake (Bq, group average);
- CR = background adjusted count rate of ¹³¹I per mL of urine (c s⁻¹ mL⁻¹);
 - K = correction factor corresponding to the radioactive decay of ¹³¹I between time of sampling and time of counting,
 - \overline{V} = 24-h urine volume (mL) averaged over sampled population;
- EF(t) = urinary excretion fraction for ¹³¹I on day of sampling, *t* being the time elapsed between intake and sampling; and
 - $\varepsilon_{\rm C}$ = gamma detector counting efficiency (count per decay).

The important parameters that are discussed here are the 24-h urine volume (\overline{V}) and the urinary excretion fraction for ¹³¹I on day of sampling [EF(t)]. They will be discussed in turn.

Urine volumes. The most difficult of the historical input data to interpret are the original volumes of urine collected from Rongelap community members in 1954. Those data have been described by Harris (1954) and Harris et al. (2010) though here we present a more detailed discussion. Volumes of urine collected in 10 different samplings (8 from Marshallese, 2 from American military weather observers) are summarized in Table 1 in Harris et al. (2010). Note that all these samplings were only from adults.

The mean values of 24-h urine volumes within the first three weeks after exposure were 427 mL (n = 35), 448 mL (n = 31), and 385 mL (n = 15). In the fourth week, the mean values for Marshallese were 596 mL (n = 40), 523 mL (n = 43), 756 mL (n = 12), and 603 mL (n = 15). One and a half-months after exposure, the mean value was still only 573 mL (n = 21). Over many years, there has been discussion on whether the volumes of urine that were collected actually represented the total amounts excreted during 24 h, as the mean values of

The residents of Rongelap and those visiting Ailinginae Atoll were evacuated to Kwajalein around H+50 h since their exposure to unexpected high levels of fallout had been recognized by U.S. authorities. Harris was told by Jabwe that he advised the Rongelap people not to

urine volume from each sampling of Marshallese appear much smaller than the daily water intakes associated with normal conditions. Water intake per day for adults in most familiar situations (temperate climates where fresh water is easily accessible) is usually 2 L or more and an uninformed view of urinary excretion is that urinary water losses should approximately equal water intake. However, that is often untrue in temperate climates and appears, according to much literature, as almost always untrue in tropical climates.

The volume of urine excreted daily varies with a number of factors that suggest a reasonable central estimate for the 73 kg adult reference male is 1,600 mL d^{-1} (ICRP 2002); however, that generalization is based on Western Europeans and North Americans (i.e., implying temperate climate situations with continual access to potable water). ICRP (2002) further notes that "during prolonged periods of high water loss or low water intake, urine output may decrease to as little as 6-7 mL kg⁻¹ d⁻¹" and cites Johnson (1998). Such extreme conditions would lead to urine volumes as small as 500 mL d⁻¹. Johnson explains in more detail that 500 mL d^{-1} is about the minimum water loss through urine to achieve proper glomerular filtration.

The description of reference man also indicates typical perspiration losses to be 500 and 375 mL d⁻¹ and insensible losses (gaseus water losses via skin and lungs) to be 690 and 515 mL d⁻¹, for adult male and females, respectively (see Table 2.30, ICRP 2002). According to those estimates, total daily water losses via the skin (sweating or perspiration, plus insensible losses) would be 1,190 mL and 890 mL, for males and females, or a sex-averaged average value of more than 1 L water lost daily through pathways other than urine and feces (also see draft report of WHO 2004). These estimates of total perspiration plus insensible water losses agree with numerous other literature sources (see NAP 1986, 1993).

It is not clear from the available data whether insensible water losses result in loss of iodine from the body, but such losses do help explain the small urine volumes observed by Harris (1954). Tropical, highhumidity settings tend to favor sweating (Wright 1956; Kuno 1956; Dosios et al. 1974) over insensible losses (Comroe 1965) and sweating is known to be a loss pathway for iodine (Mao et al. 1990, 2001). All these data descriptions and data support our interpretation that the small urine volumes obtained in the Marshall Islands were a consequence of perspiration and insensible water losses. Other literature has confirmed similar losses of water through perspiration, particularly in tropical settings. For example, studies of more than 70 adult patients in Greece indicated mean evaporative water losses (via the skin) of about 1,500 to $1,750 \text{ mL d}^{-1}$ with a range of

observations of 490 to 3,600 mL d^{-1} (Dosios et al. 1974). In studies of 18 young men in the Royal Air Force transferred from the UK to Bahrain (Persian Gulf), the average daily urine volume decreased from 1,070 mL d⁻¹ in the UK (before transfer to Bahrain) to 570 mL d⁻¹ after transfer to Bahrain. The reductions in daily urine volume were attributed to perspiration losses (Leithead and Pallister 1960). After transfer to a tropical environment, 48% had 24-h urine specimens smaller than 500 mL and 10% were less than 300 mL.

While the daily population-mean values of urine from the samplings of Marshallese in 1954 appear small and at first consideration, cast doubt on their validity to represent true 24-h volumes, there is not only supporting evidence that urine volumes are often small in tropical climates, there was substantial consistency among the urine volume distributions from eight different samplings, with a slight increase after 3 wk after exposure (Table 4, Harris et al. 2010).

Several other points are important with respect to understanding the volumes of collected urine. At the time of Bravo (1 March 1954), a lengthy drought was in progress in the northern Marshall Islands (Sharp and Chapman 1957; Lessard et al. 1985). According to those sources, Marshallese living on Rongelap at the time had been limited to about one pint of water (~500 mL) per day from community cisterns. Further evidence regarding the drought is from the small amounts of water in the catchments at the time of evacuation as noted by Sharp and Chapman (1957). Since 1 cup of water per day is not sufficient fluid intake for adults or children in tropical climates, it must be assumed that the additional water needed per person per day was obtained from the juice from young "drinking" coconuts. Drinking coconuts are plentiful on every atoll and island in the Marshall Islands. One medium drinking coconut can provide about 350 mL of liquid (FAO 1983).

It has been reported that at the time of fallout, the residents of Rongelap were advised by the Marshallese medical practitioner on the island, a man named Jabwe (Sharp and Chapman 1957; personal notes of P.S. Harris), not to drink the water in their open catchments because of the unknown nature of the fallout. Sharp and Chapman (1957) speculated that the native residents probably resorted to drinking more water from the catchments than advised by their medical practitioner and supplemented their fluid intake with liquid from drink water on Kwajalein because of his fear of the fallout, the metallic taste of water on Rongelap Island following the detonation, and the sickness (in particular, vomiting) that some experienced after their exposure. It is not clear how much water the Rongelap people might have been consuming on a daily basis near to the time of the urine sampling (day 16 and 17 for the Rongelap group, and day 18 for the Ailinginae group). It seems to be a reasonable assumption, however, that they were consuming at least the minimum amount of water necessary for healthy sustenance in tropical climates.

Assuming that each adult was consuming more than 1 L d^{-1} as is typical in tropical locations, one explanation for the small average urine volumes is that the Marshallese did not provide complete 24-h urine samples to Harris. However, Harris has strongly disputed this (personal communication) partly based on the assurances of *Jabwe* that complete 24-h urine samples were collected.

If incomplete 24-h urine collections were provided, a high degree of randomness between the mean and shapes of the distributions of volumes from the eight different samplings would be expected. However, the distributions of urine volume were very similar in shape and central tendency, which suggests, by arguments of reproducibility, a degree of validity of the collected data. Our interpretation is that the volumes of urine that were sampled from adult Marshallese community members reasonably represent the true volumes excreted during 24-h periods of time.

Estimating iodine loss via perspiration. Our premise that daily liquid intake was accompanied by significant perspiration and insensible water losses resolves the apparent discrepancy between a mean value of about 500 mL collected in urine samples and an average daily consumption of water of about 2,000 mL. It is well established that evaporative fluid loss in the tropics is much higher than the values usually accepted for temperate countries (Elebute 1973) and water loss through perspiration in tropical climates can equal or exceed that lost through urine (Latham 1997). Loss of stable iodine (normally obtained through dietary intake) via perspiration has been recognized as a significant loss mechanism, in particular for athletes and those living in hot or tropical climates where perspiration losses of body water can be large. Studies in Taiwan (Mao et al. 1990, 2001) of iodine loss in sweat from athletes indicate that iodine concentrations in body sweat are the same before and after strenuous exercise. Mao et al. suggested that the lack of significant differences in these various situations suggests a physiologically-based consistency to the amount of iodine lost in sweat per unit volume. In a study of 13 athletes during 8 consecutive days, Mao et al. found August 2010, Volume 99, Number 2

that $37 \pm 6.6 \ \mu g$ iodine per L of sweat was lost and reported that average adult excretes approximately 400 to 600 mL of sweat daily through perspiration and excretes about 22 μg iodine in the sweat. The data of Mao et al. (1990) represent the best known information on iodine loss (on a concentration basis) through perspiration.

Daily stable iodine intake. It is also of importance to make a reliable estimate of the average daily intake of stable iodine among Marshallese in order to partition the daily excretion of iodine among urine, perspiration, and feces.

Little historical data are available on dietary iodine intakes among Marshallese. While iodine intakes can, in theory, be estimated through an understanding of diet and iodine concentrations in foods consumed, it has been difficult for researchers to reconstruct anything but a semi-quantitative typical diet for Marshallese during the years of nuclear testing. Though some studies of foods and food intakes have been conducted to attempt to reconstruct typical diets, those attempts have been heavily criticized (NAP 1994) for lacking quantitative validity and for sources of possible bias.

To better understand typical daily iodine intakes among Marshallese (at least contemporarily), iodine concentration measurements have been made in recent years on fish commonly caught and consumed in the Marshall Islands (Takahashi et al. 1999, 2001). Concentrations of iodine in fresh samples were about 100 ng g^{-1} in yellow-fin tuna (*Neothunus macropterus*), 700 ng g^{-1} in "reef fish," 5,000 ng g⁻¹ in giant clam (Tridacnidae), and 6,800 ng g⁻¹ in mixed-type salted and dried fish. Those data are reasonably consistent with other reported iodine measurements for marine fish. For example, the Chilean Iodine Education Bureau (1952), Wenlock et al. (1982), Varo et al. (1982), Pennington et al. (1995), and Haldimann et al. (2005) reported mean fresh weight iodine concentrations in unidentified marine fish to be 832 (163-3,180), 750 (320-1,440), 460, 1,160 (\pm 880), 486 (89–1,593) ng g⁻¹, respectively. That marine fish have average iodine concentrations in their flesh (fresh weight) of a few tens of $\mu g g^{-1}$ is consistent with a equilibrium between their flesh and seawater which typically has an iodine concentration of 58 μ g L⁻¹ (Fuge and Johnson 1986).

For Marshallese consuming traditional diets, maintaining an adequate intake of iodine could only be achieved by eating marine foods since no other foods in their diets were significant sources of iodine (Takahashi et al. 2001). The average daily intake of iodine was a function of the frequency of consumption of fish, the species of fish consumed, and the method of preparation. For example, drying and salting fish has been shown to increase iodine concentrations about 10-fold, while one



	Transfer rates (d ⁻¹)						
Set	PL→T	T→ PBI	PBI→PL	PBI→F	PL→U	PL→PR	
1b	1.1969	0.0095	0.0760	0.0190	1.6591	0.8741	
2b	1.7365	0.0095	0.0760	0.0190	1.1571	1.2681	

Fig. A1. Schematic diagram of modified ICRP (1993) thyroid recycling model incorporating a pathway for iodine elimination via perspiration and transfer rates for two preferred data sets of physiological parameters (1b and 2b); see text and Table A1.

study (Harrison et al. 1965) of iodine availability in cooked fish showed that boiling fish results in a nearly 60% loss of the iodine, and grilling and frying results in losses of 23% and 20%, respectively.

A daily consumption of 200 g of reef fish (probably the most commonly consumed fish since they are caught in nets without the use of boats) would result in a physiologically adequate daily intake of 140 μ g (200 g × 700 ng g⁻¹). Despite the criticisms of reconstructed diets, we note that diets described by National Academy Press (NAP 1994) had a range of seafood intakes, varying from 69 g d⁻¹ to 480 g d⁻¹, with related iodine intakes of 48 to 336 μ g d⁻¹ (assuming concentrations typical of reef fish).

The only known measurements of dietary intake of iodine among Marshallese in past decades can be derived from the urinary excretion measurements reported by Rall and Conard (1966). They made urinary iodine measurements in Marshallese from Rongelap in 1966. From 28 urine samples, they derived an average excretion of iodine in urine of 105 μ g (range of 19.5 to 279). Their average value is in the adequate range, though not particularly high compared to some populations. While the collection and

analysis of the urine samples were 12 y after exposure, the Rongelap community was then living on their home atoll, having returned in 1957 following their post-Bravo evacuation. Living conditions and diets in 1966 can be reasonably assumed not to have been greatly different from 1954 when the most important exposure took place.

Urinary excretion fraction at time of sampling. An important parameter of eqn (A1) is the urinary excretion fraction, EF(t). However, there are few empirical data available on the excretion of ¹³¹I as a fraction of intake at more than one week after intake. In the case of the urine sampled by Harris in 1954, the lengthy time from intake to when urine samples were collected (≥ 16 d) adds substantial uncertainty to knowing the true excretion fraction for any individual or the true average for the group of people that contributed to the pooled sample. Hence, prediction of the urinary excretion fraction is necessary through calculations of an iodine biokinetic model.

Models of the time-dependent behavior of iodine in the body have been evolving since the landmark analysis of Riggs (1952). The solution of these models requires

Parameter sets	Water intake (L d ⁻¹)	Water loss via urine (L d ⁻¹)	Water loss via perspiration (L d ⁻¹)	Concentration of iodine in perspiration $(\mu g L^{-1})$	Iodine loss via perspiration $(\mu g d^{-1})$	Fractional uptake
Marshallese						
1a	1.5	0.5	1.0	37	37.0	0.35
1b (preferred)	2.0	0.5	1.5	37	55.5	0.32
1c	2.5	0.5	2.0	37	74.0	0.30
2a	1.5	0.5	1.0	37	37.0	0.42
2b (preferred)	2.0	0.5	1.5	37	55.5	0.42
2c	2.5	0.5	2.0	37	74.0	0.42
Average of data sets 1b and 2b (applied to Marchallese)	_	_	_	—	_	_
Military personnel (Rongerik Atoll)	2.0	1.1	0.9	37	33.3	0.30

Table A1. Six possible sets of parameter values for thyroid biokinetic model for Marshallese and for American military personnel on Rongerik. Parameter sets 1b and 2b were preferred for Marshallese (see text).

quantitative estimates of the rate of iodine transfer among compartments, though, fortunately, iodine kinetics is relatively well understood.

Iodine is essential in the body as it is accumulated by the thyroid gland in the production of the hormones thyroxine and triiodothyronine, which are essential for regulating the metabolic rate of the body. Several publications have shown that 70 μ g is the daily intake requirement to maintain adequate stores of iodine in the thyroid; this value has been assumed as the normal thyroid secretion of hormonal iodine. Intakes of iodine below 70 μ g d⁻¹ may cause symptoms of deficiency. The fraction of iodine ingested that is taken up by thyroid at 24 h after intake and the thyroid size vary according to long-term average dietary iodine intake (Stather and Greenhalgh 1983; Zvonova 1989). Zvonova (1989) derived a relationship between thyroid uptake and dietary iodine intake, which shows that the thyroid uptake increases with any deficiency of daily iodine dietary intake. This relationship is based upon the numerous human experimental data on ¹³¹I uptake and thyroid secretion. It has also been shown that larger than typical values of thyroid mass tend to be associated with iodine intake deficiency and with larger than typical values of thyroid uptake.

The fractional urinary excretions of iodine on the days of urine sampling were predicted using the ICRP compartmental recycling model (ICRP 1993) with a modification to include the perspiration loss pathway. A schematic diagram of the iodine biokinetic model assumed in this work is shown in Fig. A1. The assumptions used to derive the parameters of the iodine model were based on literature data. We assumed, for example, that the normal thyroid gland (adult) contains about 8,000 μ g of stable iodine and that the organic iodine pool (proteinbound iodine, or PBI) is about 800 μ g (Stather and Greenhalgh 1983; Zvonova 1989; ICRP 1993). The transfer

rates from the inorganic iodide pool to the thyroid gland and from the thyroid gland to PBI are assumed to be 76 μ g d⁻¹. From the PBI compartment, 80% returns to plasma with a transfer rate of 61 μ g d⁻¹ and 20% is excreted by feces with a transfer rate of 15 μ g d⁻¹.

The parameters of our model are slightly different from the standard ICRP assumptions. Our assumption of 76 μ g d⁻¹ for the transfer rate from thyroid gland to PBI is based on the data reported by Rall and Conard (1966) for Marshallese. Those authors measured the thyroid uptake of iodine for the Rongelap inhabitants. Their estimate of 42%, based on measurements, was somewhat higher than might be expected for a population with good access to seafood. For most populations today, 30% uptake is typically assumed (ICRP 1990, 1993). Rall and Conard (1966) also reported an average urinary loss of 105 μ g d⁻¹, which was assumed to be equivalent to the daily intake of iodine. An amount of iodine secreted by the thyroid of 76 $\mu g d^{-1}$ is inferred from a thyroid uptake of 42% and a urinary excretion rate of 105 μ g d⁻¹ if both the perspiration and the fecal excretion losses are ignored.

Applying the relationship developed by Zvonova (1989), the data reported by Rall and Conard (1966), constraining fecal losses to be 15 μ g d⁻¹, and using our assumption of perspiration as an additional pathway of excretion, we could not find complete concordance between a urinary excretion of 105 μ g d⁻¹ and a fractional thyroid uptake of 0.42. We found that a fractional thyroid uptake of 0.42 is exactly consistent only with urinary losses of 32 to 69 μ g d⁻¹, and that the value 105 μ g d⁻¹ for urinary losses of iodine is precisely consistent only with fractional thyroid uptake sets of physiological parameters (Table A1) by attempting to merge somewhat disparate historical information on various

Parameter sets	Iodine intake (µg d ⁻¹)	Iodine loss via feces ($\mu g d^{-1}$)	Iodine loss via urine ($\mu g d^{-1}$)	¹³¹ I urinary excretion day 16	¹³¹ I urinary excretion day 17	¹³¹ I urinary excretion day 19
Marshallese						
1a	158	15.2	105	$2.32 imes 10^{-4}$	$2.17 imes 10^{-4}$	$1.88 imes 10^{-4}$
1b (preferred)	176	15.2	105	$1.97 imes 10^{-4}$	$1.85 imes 10^{-4}$	$1.60 imes 10^{-4}$
1c	194	15.2	105	$1.70 imes 10^{-4}$	$1.59 imes 10^{-4}$	$1.38 imes 10^{-4}$
2a	121	15.2	69	$2.12 imes 10^{-4}$	$1.98 imes10^{-4}$	$1.72 imes 10^{-4}$
2b (preferred)	121	15.2	51	$1.55 imes 10^{-4}$	$1.45 imes 10^{-4}$	$1.26 imes 10^{-4}$
2c	121	15.2	32	$9.84 imes 10^{-5}$	9.21×10^{-5}	$7.99 imes 10^{-5}$
Average of data sets 1b and 2b (applied to Marshallese)	_	_	_	1.76×10^{-4}	1.65×10^{-4}	1.43×10^{-4}
Military personnel (Rongerik Atoll	194)	15.2	145	_	_	1.85×10^{-4}

Table A1. (Continued)

measurements made on Marshallese with physiologically reasonable values for other parameters. In particular, we proposed reasonable rates of daily water intake for tropic locations, ranging from 1.5 to 2.5 L d^{-1} . To simulate the group-average urine observed by Harris, we maintained 500 mL d^{-1} urine excretion implying perspiration losses from 1 L d⁻¹ to 2 L d⁻¹. We based the urine losses on the concept that water lost via perspiration (sweat) is the difference between water intake and daily urine volume. Those assumptions are supported by experimental studies in tropical climates (e.g., in Tanzania, see Dore et al. 1975),



Fig. A2. Comparison of temporal pattern of urinary excretion fractions for 131 I as derived from simulation of a thyroid biokinetic model (see text) for (i) average of functions for two sets of preferred assumptions for Marshallese (see Table A1), (ii) ICRP (1993) assumptions, and (iii) assumptions for military personnel on Rongerik. Note that the values of the excretion fraction were only used for days of urine sampling (day 16, 17, and 19 for Marshallese, and day 19 for Rongerik military personnel).

which showed a strong correlation (r = 0.87) between the sweat loss and the difference of water consumed and urine volume (Dore et al. 1975).

The iodine biokinetic model and its transfer rates, presented in Fig. A1, were applied to derive the daily urinary excretion fractions for iodine for the six data sets using available computer codes.^{§§} Solving the biokinetic model as a function of time, t, gave the urinary excretion on each day following intake. The ratio of the calculated daily excretion on each day to the acute intake, specified as input, produced the values of EF on each day following intake (Fig. A2). The estimates of EF(t), i.e., the ¹³¹I excretion fractions, were found to be only moderately affected by the differences in the six sets of physiological parameters. In the three sets of parameters where the urinary excretion of stable iodine was constrained to be 105 μ g d⁻¹ (sets 1a, 1b, 1c), the ¹³¹I excretion fraction on day 16 varied at most by 37%. In contrast, among the three sets of parameters which constrained the fractional thyroid uptake to be 42% (sets 2a, 2b, 2c), the ¹³¹I excretion fraction on day 16 varied by up to a factor of two.

In this work, two of the six sets of physiological parameters with a daily water intake of 2 L d^{-1} (sets assigned as 1b and 2b on Table A1) were considered as preferred. Set 1b assumes a daily intake of iodine of

176 μ g, 0.32 for the fractional thyroid uptake, 76 μ g d⁻¹ of iodine secreted by the gland, and 105 μ g d⁻¹ of urinary excretion of stable iodine. Set 2b (Table A1) assumes a daily intake of iodine of 121 μ g, 0.42 for the fractional thyroid uptake, 76 μ g d⁻¹ of iodine secreted by the gland, and 51 μ g d⁻¹ of urinary excretion of stable iodine. The ¹³¹I excretion fractions on day 16 from those two scenarios were similar, differing by less than 35%. Hence, we used an average of the excretion fractions from sets 1b and 2b for all intake and dose estimates. The values obtained for the ¹³¹I excretion fraction are presented in Table A1; they are 1.76×10^{-4} , 1.65×10^{-4} , and 1.43×10^{-4} , for days 16, 17, and 19 after intake, respectively.

For Air Force and Army military personnel (weather observers) stationed on Rongerik, we also assumed a daily water intake of 2.0 L d⁻¹ with body water losses of 1.1 L d⁻¹ via urine as reported by Harris (1954) and 0.9 L d⁻¹ via perspiration. The parameters of the iodine model were derived assuming a daily intake of iodine of 194 μ g, 0.30 for the fractional thyroid uptake, 76 μ g d⁻¹ of iodine secreted by the gland, 146 μ g d⁻¹ of urinary excretion of stable iodine, and 33 μ g d⁻¹ eliminated by perspiration. Using these parameter values, the ¹³¹I excretion fraction for the weather observers is found to be 1.85 \times 10⁻⁴ for day 19 after intake.

^{§§} AIDE (Bertelli et al. 2008) and STELLA (ISEE Sytems, Inc.)